

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

The Mafia

in a Hurry

Honour, silence, and organised crime

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 6

The Core Ideas 8

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 36

Terms 40

Go Deeper 46

Notes and Sources 48

Bibliography 55

The Whole Thing in One Page

The Mafia arrives already cast. A dark room, a whispered oath, a patriarch at the head of a family, men who speak of respect before arranging a murder. The picture is so complete that it hides the institution underneath. Mafiosi did not become durable because they were more violent than every rival. They became durable because they learned to govern violence, sell protection and make other people depend on their permission.

That is the central mechanism. A thief takes your property. A protection organisation decides who may take it, who must return it and what both sides owe for the ruling. In nineteenth-century Sicily, where property, markets and political office were expanding faster than reliable public enforcement, armed brokers could turn reputation into a service. They guarded land and goods, recovered debts, settled disputes, intimidated workers, fixed elections and punished anyone who ignored them. The service was often protection from dangers the protectors helped create. It still worked, because the threat was credible and the alternative could be worse.

Honour gave this coercion a moral costume. A mafioso called himself a man of honour because status mattered inside a system with few written contracts. His word had value when people believed that betrayal would be punished. The code did not make him ethical. It made his promises expensive to break.

Silence did the rest. Omerta is often presented as an ancient Sicilian instinct, as though an island decided not to talk. In practice, silence was manufactured. Witnesses calculated the risk of speaking, victims doubted the state could protect them, officials leaked information, neighbours feared isolation and members knew that co-operation could mean death. When those calculations changed, silence changed too. Defectors such as Tommaso Buscetta did not discover speech. They found a state able to use it.

The family was another useful disguise. A mafia family was chiefly a

territorial brotherhood with initiated members, associates, ranks and recognised borders, rather than a blood household. Families competed, traded and killed one another, while commissions tried to prevent conflicts that damaged everyone's business. Sicily and the United States developed related versions, but never one global corporation. Migration carried habits and contacts; local markets rewrote them. Prohibition enlarged American fortunes, yet extortion, gambling, labour rackets and political brokerage were already there.

The system's greatest strength became its weakness. Mafia power relied on remaining half visible: feared locally, denied publicly, embedded in legal firms and public authority. Investigators eventually treated scattered murders, contracts, bank records and testimony as evidence of one governing structure. RICO prosecutions in the United States and the Maxi Trial in Palermo attacked the organisation rather than one offence. Falcone and Borsellino were murdered, but their deaths helped turn private fear into public refusal.

The Mafia survives because the conditions it exploits can survive an arrest. Where lawful protection is slow, markets are captured and witnesses stand alone, a replacement boss can inherit the demand. The deepest anti-mafia work therefore does more than remove criminals. It makes their government unnecessary.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

On 23 May 1992, a charge buried beneath the motorway outside Palermo lifted a section of road into the air. Judge Giovanni Falcone, his wife Francesca Morvillo and three police officers were killed. Fifty-seven days later another bomb killed Falcone's colleague Paolo Borsellino and five members of his escort. Cosa Nostra had murdered magistrates before. These attacks were different in scale and message. An organisation that had long prospered by controlling what could be known announced that it could strike the state in public.

The announcement misfired. Funerals became protests. Officials who had treated mafia power as rumour, local custom or somebody else's department faced a national crisis. Prison rules tightened, investigations widened and the murders acquired the opposite meaning from the one intended. Cosa Nostra had tried to prove that resistance was futile. It helped prove that silence was a political arrangement, and arrangements can break.

That is the first reason to care. The Mafia is a study in how power becomes normal before it becomes visible. It does not need to own every official, threaten every business or win every election. It needs enough examples to make everyone else calculate around it. One contractor withdraws from a tender. One shopkeeper pays rather than report. One witness forgets. One councillor knows which firm must receive the work. The organisation's reach is then larger than the number of orders it issues, because expectation does part of the governing for it.

The second reason is that the line between crime and ordinary economic life is much thinner than the films suggest. A criminal market can be fenced off and policed. A mafia seeks influence over markets other people regard as lawful: construction, transport, wholesale trade, waste, property, food, unions, public procurement and local credit. It can earn from an illegal commodity, but its deeper advantage is the power to decide who may operate, who receives a contract, whose debt will be honoured and whose

complaint will go nowhere. Respectability forms part of the machine rather than camouflage added later.

The third reason is the word honour. Organisations built on coercion rarely describe themselves as coercive. They borrow the language of duty, family, loyalty, protection and respect. That language matters because it helps violence present itself as order. A payment becomes a contribution. Obedience becomes loyalty. Refusal becomes disrespect. Murder becomes a sentence. Once the vocabulary is accepted, the victim appears to have broken the peace that the extortionist was selling.

Then there is organised crime itself. The phrase encourages a false comparison with a company chart. Some groups are hierarchical, others networked, and many combine stable identities with temporary ventures. The Mafia adds something more specific: recognised membership, territorial authority, ritual, a claim to regulate others and relations with public power. Understanding that difference stops every cartel, gang, corrupt firm and smuggling network from becoming “the mafia”, a label that can create drama while destroying analysis. It also forces a harder question: does the group merely commit crimes, or can it make rules that other criminals, businesses and officials expect to obey?

The limits matter. Sicily is not reducible to Cosa Nostra, Italian migrants did not import a criminal essence, and most people exposed to weak institutions do not become mafiosi. Nor is every act of silence cowardice. Speaking can be irrational when protection is absent and retaliation is likely. The achievement of anti-mafia judges, journalists, police, organisers, business owners and witnesses was not that they discovered courage unavailable to everyone before them. They built conditions in which courage could connect to consequence.

Read the Mafia, then, as a criminal organisation, a market in protection and a private government embedded in public life. The murders are the visible edge. The more disturbing subject is everything that had to become ordinary before the bomb was planted.

The Core Ideas

Protection Is the Product

The easiest way to misunderstand the Mafia is to begin with what it sells illegally. Citrus theft, cattle rustling, gambling, alcohol, heroin, construction fraud and public contracts all matter, but no commodity defines the institution. Markets change. Protection remains.

Protection has an innocent form. A court enforces a contract. Police deter theft. An insurer pools risk. A trade association sets standards. A mafia enters when coercive enforcement becomes a private asset. It offers to guard property, recover a debt, settle a quarrel, secure access, discipline a worker, deter a rival or guarantee that an agreement will hold. The seller's advantage comes from a reputation for making refusal costly, not from legal authority.

That makes the transaction unstable to describe. A shopkeeper who pays the pizzo may receive some protection against ordinary theft. He may also be buying protection from the organisation collecting the payment. The two services cannot be separated cleanly because the mafioso helps determine the local level of danger. He can suppress unauthorised crime and create authorised crime. He is firefighter and arsonist, though the order varies by customer.

Diego Gambetta's influential model calls the Sicilian Mafia a business of private protection. The model explains why violence need not be constant. A successful threat saves ammunition, attention and prison time. One public punishment can support a hundred quiet payments if people believe the organisation knows, remembers and retaliates. Reputation turns past violence into present income.

The model fits several early Sicilian settings without reducing them to one birthplace. Valuable citrus groves needed guarding and access to water. Large estates were often managed through intermediaries, armed field guards and leaseholders. Livestock, sulphur, docks and urban produce

markets created goods whose ownership, movement or sale could be disputed. Men able to connect violence, local knowledge and political access could become brokers across these points. The Mafia did not spring from lemons. Lemons help show why enforceable trust became profitable.

Yet protection is not a complete definition. Mafiosi also trade contraband, steal, manipulate elections and pursue status. Some territories have predatory groups that collect without delivering order. Customers may seek a mafia's help voluntarily, reluctantly or only after being cornered. Nor does weak state capacity automatically produce mafia rule. Most places with slow courts or corrupt officials do not develop initiated brotherhoods with recognised territories.

The useful distinction is between a gang that commits offences and an organisation that claims authority over offending. A robber wants the lorry. A mafia wants the right to decide whose lorry may move, which thief may touch it and who must pay after the decision. That claim to govern other criminals, businesses and disputes is why the Mafia can survive the loss of one market. It is also why ordinary policing often underestimates it. Counting offences sees the outputs. Protection explains the position from which they are produced.

The word can sound too gentle, so keep the coercion visible. Mafia protection differs from a public service with poor complaints handling. It is an imposed relationship backed by violence and sustained without consent that can be withdrawn safely. Its value depends on a problem. Its power grows when the organisation can decide what the problem is.

Honour Makes Violence Credible

Members of Sicilian Cosa Nostra have called themselves uomini d'onore, men of honour. The phrase has encouraged two equal mistakes. Admirers hear a code that restrains violence. Sceptics hear empty theatre. It is neither. Honour is a working currency inside an organisation that cannot ask a court to enforce its agreements.

A mafioso needs others to believe several things about him: that he will

keep a confidence, honour a bargain, answer an insult, obey a legitimate order and punish betrayal. These beliefs lower the cost of doing business. Two men who trust each other's reputations do not need a written contract describing an illegal venture. A borrower pays because default threatens more than a damaged credit score. A broker can settle a dispute because both parties expect his ruling to have consequences.

Initiation gives that reputation a recognised owner. Accounts from Joseph Valachi in the United States and Tommaso Buscetta in Italy described ceremonies involving a saint's image or paper burned in the hand, an oath and the presentation of existing members. Details have varied across place and time. The function is steadier. The ceremony marks a change in status, creates witnesses and tells other members that the initiate is now covered by collective rights and duties. Violence against him can become violence against the group.

Recognition matters because criminal trust is hard. Participants cannot advertise openly, sue for breach or rely on audited accounts. They face incentives to steal from partners and inform on rivals. A brotherhood answers by making identity durable. Membership cannot be put on for one transaction and removed after the proceeds arrive. The man carries his record through repeated dealings, and others carry information about it.

Violence contributes only when an audience can read it. An impulsive killer may be feared and still be useless as a guarantor, because nobody knows what will trigger him. Organised punishment sends a clearer signal: this rule exists, this authority authorised the response, this debt will be remembered. Restraint can therefore strengthen a violent reputation. The man who does not need to shout may appear more powerful than the one firing at every insult.

This is where honour becomes dangerous when read as a moral rule. A code may forbid adultery, drug dealing, attacks on women or disobedience, while members violate each rule when advantage changes. Prohibitions can govern status more than conduct. The organisation may punish an unauthorised murder while authorising a worse one. It may condemn

betrayal and practise deception against everyone outside the circle. Honour is inward-facing and unequal.

The language also launders domination. Extortion becomes respect. A murdered rival becomes someone who had behaved badly. An order is presented as a judgement rather than a preference. This does not mean members are pretending every second. People can believe the moral vocabulary that serves them. A mafioso may understand himself as a protector, mediator and loyal friend while terrifying a neighbourhood. Self-respect and cruelty fit together with uncomfortable ease.

Honour therefore does two jobs. It makes commitments more credible among men who lack lawful enforcement, and it turns their power into a claim of deserved standing. The first helps the organisation operate. The second helps it endure in memory and culture. Films inherited the dignity and trimmed away the accounting.

Silence Is Manufactured

Omerta is usually translated as a code of silence. The translation catches the result and misses the mechanism. Silence is not one rule obeyed by one population. It is an equilibrium: many people deciding that speech will cost more than it changes.

Begin inside the organisation. Secrecy protects membership, plans, income and chains of command. Information is divided because a man cannot reveal what he does not know. Introductions authenticate strangers. Orders may travel through intermediaries. Sensitive decisions are kept within small circles. The aim is practical damage control when arrest, surveillance or betrayal occurs, not mystical invisibility.

Around the organisation, the calculation is broader. A victim may know who demanded payment but doubt that a complaint will remain confidential. A witness may believe the accused will return before the trial ends. A business owner may fear losing customers, suppliers or permits. A neighbour may think the police arrive after the threat and leave before the retaliation. An official may be corrupt, intimidated or eager to avoid a case that will

consume years. Each decision can be understandable. Together they make mafia power look unanimous.

Culture matters, but not as inherited obedience in Sicilian blood. Historical distrust of distant authority, memories of arbitrary policing, local loyalties and ideas about masculinity can shape the cost of informing. They do not dictate behaviour. Sicily produced mafiosi and anti-mafia organisers, silent communities and public rebellions, collaborators and judges. Treating omerta as an ethnic trait turns a problem of institutions and coercion into folklore.

Silence also has beneficiaries beyond initiated members. A politician may prefer not to ask how votes were delivered. A company may accept a subcontractor without examining its ownership. A professional adviser may treat suspicious instructions as somebody else's risk. Respectable actors rarely swear an oath of omerta. They can still supply it through convenient ignorance.

The organisation need not suppress all information. Selective visibility can work better. Everyone may know who has influence while nobody can prove the order behind a particular fire, assault or contract. Rumour enlarges reach because people supply their own worst case. Denial then becomes plausible at the level where law requires evidence, while certainty remains high enough at street level to secure obedience. The Mafia benefits from being simultaneously obvious and unrecorded.

The history of pentiti, members who collaborated with justice, shows what changes the calculation. Tommaso Buscetta's evidence in the 1980s mattered because prosecutors could compare it with other testimony, records and known events. Witness protection gave co-operation a future. Specialist investigators connected local fragments. Severe internal conflict also weakened loyalty: the Corleonesi had killed Buscetta's relatives and allies, changing what honour required in his own mind. Speech became possible when protection, revenge, survival and credibility aligned differently.

A collaborator's word is not sacred because it breaks silence. He may minimise his own crimes, settle scores or repeat rumours. Investigators need corroboration. That is another reason the Maxi Trial was more than one dramatic confession. Its achievement was to turn insider knowledge into testable structure.

Omerta is therefore best understood as controlled information. Fear is central, but so are confidence, incentives and expected protection. Ask why someone stays silent, who can hear safely, what follows after disclosure and whether the institution asking for trust has earned it. Once those questions are visible, the code stops looking ancient. It starts looking engineered.

The Family Is Territory, Not Kinship

The word family makes the Mafia feel domestic. It suggests sons inheriting from fathers, cousins around a table and private loyalty expanding into crime. Blood ties occur and dynasties matter, but a mafia family is primarily a recognised organisation controlling a territory. Its members may be unrelated. A member's relatives may remain outside it.

In Sicily the family is often called a cosca, a word also used for the tight leaves of an artichoke. It has initiated members, a leader, subordinate groups and associates who work with it without receiving full membership. Several families may be grouped within a mandamento, with representatives meeting in a provincial commission or Cupola. The arrangement has changed, broken down and been rebuilt. It should not be mistaken for a constitution followed without interruption.

American Cosa Nostra developed a related vocabulary: boss, underboss, consigliere, caporegime, soldier and associate. The Five Families of New York became the best-known example, while other cities had their own organisations or different criminal settlements. The Commission created a forum for coordination and conflict management. It did not turn every family into a branch office. Families recognised one another and could cooperate while remaining independent on most decisions.

Territory supplies the logic. A family's strength depends on who can demand

payment, place people, settle disputes and authorise crime within an area or market. Borders reduce conflict by assigning claims. They also create conflict when profits move, populations change or one group tests another's weakness. A commission exists partly because uncontrolled war destroys the value of everyone's reputation.

Formal rank does not reveal every working relationship. A trafficking venture may join members and associates from several territories. A boss in prison may retain authority through messengers, lose it slowly or keep the title after practical control has shifted. A wealthy associate may command resources without the right to vote on internal matters. The chart matters because status governs permissions. The network matters because earning and enforcement cross the chart. Both views are needed.

Kinship can support this system. Relatives may be easier to monitor, family businesses can conceal ownership and marriage can connect networks. Kinship can also create risk. A son may be incompetent, a brother may know too much and a feud can turn organisational discipline into private revenge. Cosa Nostra's formal brotherhood tries to place chosen membership above household affection. The ideal is loyalty to the organisation, though ideals fail under pressure.

Women expose the difference between formal status and practical participation. Traditional Cosa Nostra rules excluded women from initiation and office. That did not make women absent. Some carried messages, managed money, maintained relationships, concealed fugitives, transmitted expectations to children or assumed economic tasks when men were imprisoned. Others resisted, testified or broke with mafia families at immense cost. Calling the organisation male is accurate at the level of formal membership and incomplete at the level of social reproduction.

The family metaphor also benefits the organisation. It makes hierarchy feel natural, obedience filial and punishment private. Outsiders can romanticise it as belonging. Members can present collective coercion as care. Yet an organisation willing to kill brothers, sons, wives or children when strategic violence escalates has already shown what sort of family it is.

Think of the mafia family as a territorial franchise without a lawful franchisor: recognised by peers, governed through reputation and force, capable of joint action, and resistant to complete central control. That model explains both coordination and chronic war. It also explains why removing one boss may change leadership without dissolving the organisation beneath him.

Crime Lives Inside the Legal Economy

Popular images place the Mafia in back rooms because separation is reassuring. Crime happens elsewhere, among criminals, until police enter and remove it. Mafia power is harder to isolate. It grows at the junction where illegal force can shape legal decisions.

A construction company can be lawful while its access to contracts is coerced. A haulage firm can deliver real goods while excluding competitors through threats. A union can represent workers while an official sells jobs or peace. A market can trade ordinary food while wholesalers pay for permission. A politician can win genuine votes while brokers control blocks of support. None of these settings becomes wholly fictitious. That is why capture can last.

The legal economy offers several kinds of value. It provides income, assets, invoices, jobs and places to mix money of different origins. It provides legitimacy, since a businessman attracts less attention than an armed collector. It gives access to information about tenders, land, regulation and competitors. Most importantly, it offers control over bottlenecks. A mafia does not need to own an entire industry if it can decide who receives concrete, who unloads at a market, which subcontractor is safe or which dispute will be enforced.

This position can benefit lawful actors in the short term. A contractor receives labour peace. A landowner gets a tenant removed. A candidate obtains votes. A debtor pays. The bargain is tempting because it replaces delay with decision. Its wider effect is corrosive. Prices include tribute, capable firms stay away, workers lose free representation, officials become dependent and honest businesses learn that compliance beats investment.

Corruption and mafia rule overlap without becoming identical. A corrupt official sells a decision. A mafia can supply the pressure, votes, intermediaries and enforcement that make a sequence of decisions dependable. Clientelism can distribute favours without an initiated brotherhood. A cartel can rig prices without territorial violence. Precision matters because a vague label lets every form of southern Italian misgovernment become “mafia” and leaves the organisation itself unexplained.

Political exchange can run in both directions. Mafiosi may deliver votes, intimidate organisers or mediate access to a neighbourhood. Politicians and officials may supply contracts, information, appointments or protection from scrutiny. The balance varies, and contact does not prove command. A photographed meeting can mean alliance, pressure, brokerage or little at all. The strongest cases trace decisions, money and repeated reciprocal benefit rather than treating proximity as guilt.

The boundary also runs through professional skill. Lawyers, accountants, bankers, surveyors, doctors, engineers and notaries can provide services used by criminals, sometimes knowingly and sometimes without enough curiosity. Their role is not equivalent to membership. It matters because complex assets and public contracts cannot be controlled by gunmen alone. Violence opens a door; paperwork keeps the room.

This is why financial investigation and confiscation became central to anti-mafia strategy. Imprisoning a killer addresses a grave offence. Removing companies, land and capital attacks the organisation’s ability to convert coercion into durable position. Social reuse of confiscated property adds a symbolic reversal: an asset once used to display private rule can become a co-operative, office or community service. Administration is difficult and failed businesses do not become healthy by changing ownership, but the target is correct.

The Mafia is not outside respectable society, waiting to invade it. It is a method by which parts of respectable society obtain enforcement, advantage and deniability. The most revealing question is often not where

the criminals are. It is which lawful transaction would work differently if their threat disappeared.

The Mafia Travels by Adapting

Millions of Italians crossed the Atlantic from the late nineteenth century, and a tiny minority carried criminal contacts, reputations and practices with them. American newspapers and officials often treated the result as an alien conspiracy directed from Sicily. That story confused migration with command. Organisations travelled because people did. They survived by becoming local.

The early label Black Hand shows the problem. It described threatening letters, symbols and extortion methods used by different offenders, not one national brotherhood with a single leadership. Some offenders had Sicilian connections. Others exploited the fear attached to the name. Public panic then helped make diverse crimes look like evidence of one imported machine.

Italian-American mafia groups drew on old-country ties, but their opportunities came from American cities: crowded neighbourhoods, municipal politics, docks, markets, gambling, labour unions, construction, business licensing and a vast consumer economy. Prohibition from 1920 to 1933 expanded the market for illegal alcohol and rewarded groups able to organise supply, protection and corruption. It did not create organised Italian crime. It increased capital, widened alliances and intensified conflict among organisations already present.

The settlement associated with the end of the Castellammarese War around 1931 helped institutionalise coordination among American families. Charles “Lucky” Luciano is often cast as the lone architect who replaced primitive Sicilian custom with a modern corporation. The story is too neat. He was important, and the Commission became important, but criminal institutions emerge through coalitions, inherited practice and bargains among survivors. One man did not invent every rank, family or rule.

Adaptation also changes structure. American families operated in a stronger

state, larger markets and a different racial and ethnic underworld. They worked with non-Italian associates and other organisations while restricting formal membership. Chicago under Al Capone became the public symbol of gangsterism, though the Outfit's history and composition did not provide a universal template for Sicilian or New York Cosa Nostra.

The same caution applies in Italy. The Camorra is not the Neapolitan branch of Cosa Nostra, and the Calabrian 'Ndrangheta is not a provincial copy. Each name covers its own histories, units, territories and relations with kinship, markets and state power. The 'Ndrangheta's close kinship organisation and transnational reach have made many investigations harder, and recent Italian anti-mafia assessments often give it greater international weight than Sicilian Cosa Nostra. That comparison does not create a league table of evil. It shows that one model cannot be exported unchanged.

What travels, then, is a bundle of organisational resources rather than a sealed tradition: trusted introductions, status, rituals, methods of enforcement, expectations of reciprocity and access to diasporic or commercial networks. The destination selects what pays. A protection group attached to land can become a racketeering group attached to unions and construction. A local brotherhood can participate in global trafficking while keeping its territorial base.

Transnational business does not require transnational government. A family can buy narcotics, arrange transport or launder proceeds through partners abroad without surrendering its local autonomy. Temporary ventures may be larger than the organisation formally directing them. This is why a seizure on one route proves a connection but not a single worldwide chain of command. Global markets link mafias more easily than they merge them.

The Mafia survives movement by claiming continuity and practising adaptation. It tells members that the rules are ancient while changing the business before the speech ends.

It Reproduces the Vacuum It Exploits

The protection model begins with a gap. Courts are slow, police are distrusted, property rights are contested, officials are distant or markets lack reliable enforcement. A mafia offers a private answer. The causal loop closes when that answer makes the gap harder to repair.

A business that pays for protection may survive, but its payment funds the threat faced by the next business. A witness who remains silent protects a family for another day, which makes the next witness's fear more reasonable. An official who trades one favour avoids conflict, while teaching colleagues that formal rules are negotiable. Competitors withdraw, citizens expect failure and capable people learn to work through brokers. Mafia rule converts distrust into evidence that distrust was wise.

This feedback explains why the organisation can persist without governing everything. It needs a critical mass of compliance, not total obedience. Public authority may still collect taxes, hold elections and run courts. The mafia occupies selected decisions and makes its presence uncertain enough that people overestimate it. Fear fills the gaps between confirmed interventions.

The trap is collective. Every individual may prefer a town without extortion while each business concludes that refusal alone is too dangerous. Every witness may want convictions while each doubts that one statement will survive. Mafia power can therefore rest on choices that nobody regards as ideal. A successful response changes the expected behaviour of other people: police will remain, neighbours will support, customers will reward refusal and testimony will join a case rather than vanish into a file.

It also explains why spectacular repression can fail. A campaign that arrests low-level members but leaves businesses exposed may prove the state can enter and not remain. A corrupt investigation can reveal witnesses. Collective punishment can deepen hostility to public authority. Fascist prefect Cesare Mori's assault on the Sicilian Mafia in the 1920s imprisoned and displaced many people and reduced visible activity, but dictatorship did

not create transparent, trusted institutions. Repression and durable legitimacy are different achievements.

The strongest later strategies attacked the system from several sides. In the United States, RICO allowed prosecutors to present patterns of offences as the work of an enterprise rather than trying each act in isolation. In Italy, the 1982 Rognoni-La Torre law introduced the offence of mafia-type association and strengthened patrimonial attack. Specialist pools shared information so the murder or transfer of one magistrate would not erase a case.

Collaborators received protection. The Maxi Trial tested the claim that Cosa Nostra formed a coordinated organisation. Prison restrictions sought to interrupt command from custody. Confiscation targeted the economic position that survived a sentence.

None of these tools is free of danger. Enterprise law can become too broad. Special prison regimes raise serious rights questions. Informants can lie. Preventive confiscation needs due process and competent management. Emergency institutions should be judged by evidence and law, not admired because the enemy is frightening. The state loses the argument if it copies private arbitrariness.

Civil action matters for the same reason. Anti-pizzo campaigns, journalists, schools, unions, business associations, victims' relatives and co-operatives using confiscated land can change expectations. One shop refusing alone may be exposed. Many shops displaying refusal, backed by investigation and customer support, create a different market. The practical unit of courage is often a network.

This is the repayment of the first idea. Mafia protection becomes valuable where lawful protection is unreliable. Mafia success then helps keep lawful protection unreliable. Breaking the loop means making contracts, testimony, competition and public decisions work without a private threat behind them. A captured boss is an event. A credible alternative is a defeat.

How It Actually Works

Before the word

Sicily entered the nineteenth century with a state, courts and armed authority. The problem was not their absence. It was their uneven reach, selective protection and competition with private force.

Feudal rights were abolished in 1812, yet large estates, distant owners and layers of leaseholding survived. A gabello might rent an estate from a landowner, divide its use, collect rents and employ campieri, armed field guards who protected crops, animals and boundaries. These men were not all mafiosi. The arrangement mattered because it placed coercion between owner, tenant and labourer, where disputes over land, work and payment could become a market for force.

Around Palermo, the Conca d'Oro became famous for citrus. Lemons and oranges could be valuable, vulnerable and dependent on water, transport and access to buyers. Guards and brokers who could prevent theft, arrange labour, recover stolen goods or decide who reached a market acquired leverage. Similar opportunities existed around livestock, sulphur, docks and urban produce. No grove created Cosa Nostra. The mix shows how expanding commerce can increase demand for enforcement before public institutions command equal confidence.

Sicily was also politically unsettled. Revolutions, Bourbon rule, the expedition of Garibaldi and annexation to the new Kingdom of Italy changed formal authority faster than local relationships. The new state conscripted, taxed and policed. Its officials could appear distant or brutal, and local notables remained useful intermediaries. Men able to connect landowners, voters, criminals and officials could present private command as public order.

The name and the network

The word mafia appears in the record after unification, not in some medieval charter. In 1863 a successful Palermo play, *I mafiusi de la Vicaria*, used a related term for swaggering prison inmates. An official document used “mafia” in 1865. Its deeper etymology remains uncertain, and attempts to derive the whole institution from one Arabic, Tuscan or Sicilian root have produced more confidence than agreement.

The thing was clearer than the word. Police and parliamentary inquiries described networks of armed men, estate managers, market brokers, local politicians and protectors who could arrange violence and then mediate its consequences. Leopoldo Franchetti’s 1876 inquiry treated private force as a form of capital in a society where law was unequal. His account was shaped by the liberal assumptions and prejudices of an outsider, but he grasped the political economy: violence could be invested, combined with reputation and used to govern transactions.

Early mafiosi were not rebels living beyond society. They sought connections to municipal office, landholding, commerce and parties. Elections created demand for controlled votes and intimidation. Public works created contracts. Agricultural organisation created disputes over wages and tenancy. Peasant movements and socialist organisers therefore threatened more than income. They offered collective ways to negotiate without the broker.

The murder of the former Palermo mayor and bank director Emanuele Notarbartolo in 1893 became an early national scandal. The trials exposed the difficulty of turning local knowledge into convictions when witnesses, politics and procedure pulled in different directions. Mafia power was becoming visible, yet visibility did not mean the state could name one stable organisation, still less prove every relationship attributed to it.

Fascism, war and return

Benito Mussolini could not tolerate a rival claim to authority. In 1925 the Fascist regime sent prefect Cesare Mori to Sicily with wide powers. Police and troops surrounded towns, made mass arrests and used pressure against families and communities. The campaign disrupted networks, drove some mafiosi abroad and allowed Fascism to declare the Mafia defeated. It also folded anti-mafia action into a dictatorship that crushed political opposition and independent organisation. Public silence under a police state is poor evidence that private power has been removed.

The Allied invasion of Sicily in 1943 produced another durable legend: American forces supposedly used Lucky Luciano and the Mafia to conquer the island. American authorities did use underworld contacts to protect New York docks, and Allied administrators sometimes relied on conservative local figures whose connections were compromised. Evidence does not support a single Mafia plan delivering Sicily to the Allies. The myth survives because it gives one secret organisation command over a confused transition.

After Fascism fell, old and new brokers returned amid black markets, separatism, damaged administration and fierce struggles over land. Peasant and trade-union organisers challenged estate power and demanded reform; several were murdered. The massacre at Portella della Ginestra in 1947, carried out by Salvatore Giuliano's band against a May Day gathering, became a symbol of the opaque overlap among banditry, anti-communism, local power and alleged mafia support. The exact chains of responsibility remain disputed. What is clear is that post-war democracy did not enter an empty field. It had to compete with men who could connect votes, land, violence and access.

Across the Atlantic

Italian migration carried people from many regions into American cities. Most built ordinary lives under harsh conditions. A minority brought criminal contacts or discovered that a feared ethnic label could be profitable. Newspapers often collapsed Sicilians, Neapolitans, anarchists, gangsters and labourers into one foreign threat.

Black Hand extortion fed that panic. A threatening letter might demand money beneath a crude symbol or promise fire, kidnapping or death. There was no single Black Hand society directing all such letters. The label described a method used by different offenders, including imitators who understood that the reputation could do some of the work.

The fear had lethal consequences. After New Orleans police chief David Hennessy was murdered in 1890, a mass trial of Italian defendants failed to secure the expected convictions. In March 1891 a mob broke into the jail and killed eleven Italians. The episode joined a real organised-crime problem to anti-Italian prejudice and public violence. The alien-conspiracy story could obscure criminal organisation while making innocent migrants answer for it.

Mafia groups in New York and other cities developed through local markets. Extortion, gambling, theft, docks, produce, labour and political protection all offered income. Sicilian identity and introductions could help establish trust, but American institutions shaped the result. The organisation was neither a pure import nor an invention without ancestry.

Prohibition and the settlement

National Prohibition began in 1920 and created a huge illegal alcohol market. The law did not create the Mafia. It rewarded organisations able to finance shipments, corrupt officials, protect warehouses, discipline distributors and co-operate across ethnic lines. Money and weapons flowed into conflicts that had older roots.

Al Capone became the era's face. His Chicago organisation controlled

brewing, gambling and other rackets through violence and political protection. It was not a clean model of Sicilian Cosa Nostra, and Capone's fame has pulled attention away from quieter organisations that lasted longer. He was convicted in 1931 for tax offences, a reminder that a state unable to prove murders may still follow money.

In New York, conflict remembered as the Castellammarese War ended in 1931 after the killings of Giuseppe Masseria and Salvatore Maranzano. Charles "Lucky" Luciano became central to the settlement that followed. The familiar version makes him a management genius who abolished old-world feuds, invented the Five Families and created the Commission in one sweep. The institutional change was real; the lone-founder story is not. Ranks, family identities and inter-city relations had histories, and the new order was a bargain among powerful survivors.

The Commission gave major families a forum for recognition, conflict management and decisions affecting more than one organisation. New York's Five Families became the best-known members of the American system, later labelled Bonanno, Colombo, Gambino, Genovese and Lucchese after particular bosses. The forum reduced some wars without ending rivalry. It coordinated autonomous families rather than running one national company.

After Prohibition, the organisations did not disappear because alcohol became legal. Gambling, loansharking, labour racketeering, docks, construction, trucking, theft, narcotics and control of legitimate businesses remained. The durable asset was not a bottle. It was an enforcement network with access to unions, officials and markets.

The organisation becomes sayable

For years, American officials could acknowledge gangsters while resisting the claim that a national Italian-American mafia structure existed. Secrecy, local prosecutions and ethnic stereotyping made the argument easy to mishandle. Then police interrupted a gathering at Joseph Barbara's home in Apalachin, New York, in November 1957. Dozens of suspected underworld figures fled through fields or were stopped on surrounding roads. The meeting did not reveal a single government of crime, but denial became harder.

Joseph Valachi made the internal world public in 1963. A soldier in the Genovese family, he testified before a Senate committee and described membership, ranks, rules and an initiation in which paper burned in his hand as he took an oath. His evidence contained errors and reflected one man's position. It gave investigators and the public a vocabulary that insiders recognised.

The legal problem remained. Traditional cases often isolated one murder, bribe or gambling operation. Leaders could distance themselves from the act, and the organisation survived a conviction. The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations provisions of 1970, known as RICO, allowed prosecutors to charge participation in an enterprise through a pattern of specified crimes. The law was broader than the Mafia and later reached many kinds of enterprise. Against Cosa Nostra, it matched the object of investigation more closely.

Electronic surveillance, co-operating witnesses, financial records and long investigations then made hierarchy evidence rather than folklore. The Mafia Commission case began with indictments in 1985 and produced major convictions at trial in 1986 against leaders of New York families. Prosecutors used the Commission itself as the connecting institution. The case did not end American Cosa Nostra, but repeated leadership prosecutions, internal betrayal and changing markets reduced the authority it had exercised at its peak.

Sicily's money and war

Post-war Sicily did not return to a timeless rural order. Land reform, migration, urban growth and public spending changed the opportunities. Palermo's building boom became the "Sack of Palermo" as planning, political patronage and construction interests transformed the city. Mafia power moved with development because permissions, land, concrete and contracts all created bottlenecks.

Violence remained close. The first Mafia War in the early 1960s culminated in the 1963 Ciaculli bombing, when a car bomb killed seven police and military officers who had approached it. State pressure followed, and the Palermo Commission ceased functioning for a period. The organisation contracted under scrutiny and rebuilt when conditions allowed.

During the 1970s, Sicilian groups became important in refining and moving heroin towards the United States. The trade produced immense profits and international exposure. It also intensified competition. Narcotics did not turn honourable protectors into criminals; the older honour story had always concealed murder and predation. The drug economy changed scale, alliances and the stakes of leadership.

A faction from Corleone, associated first with Luciano Leggio and then with Salvatore Riina and Bernardo Provenzano, expanded through secrecy, alliances and assassination. The Second Mafia War, beginning in 1981, was less a balanced war than a purge. Rivals were murdered, disappeared or forced abroad. Relatives and associates could be targeted, and the killings reached police, politicians, journalists and magistrates.

In 1982 Cosa Nostra murdered Pio La Torre, a Communist politician who had pressed for a legal offence recognising mafia association and for attacks on illicit assets. Later that year it murdered General Carlo Alberto dalla Chiesa, sent to Palermo as prefect after his work against terrorism. Parliament passed the Rognoni-La Torre law. Article 416-bis centred the offence on the intimidating power of a mafia association and the submission and silence it produced. Patrimonial measures made seizure and

confiscation part of the response.

The law supplied a concept. Investigators still had to prove the organisation behind the acts.

Buscetta and the Maxi Trial

Tommaso Buscetta had belonged to a Palermo family and worked across borders. The Corleonesi killed relatives and allies as they remade Cosa Nostra. Arrested in Brazil and extradited to Italy in 1984, he chose to speak to Giovanni Falcone.

Buscetta did not hand Falcone a neutral handbook. He had loyalties, enemies and reasons to distinguish an older Cosa Nostra from Riina's rule. Falcone's achievement lay in neither dismissing nor worshipping him. He tested names, relationships and procedures against existing investigations, other evidence and later collaborators. Buscetta's account made scattered cases legible as parts of a territorial brotherhood with a commission and shared rules.

That work depended on an institution. Rocco Chinnici had promoted a pool of Palermo magistrates who shared files and responsibility. Cosa Nostra killed him with a car bomb in 1983. Antonino Caponnetto continued the method with Falcone, Paolo Borsellino, Giuseppe Di Lello and Leonardo Guarnotta. Shared knowledge made a case harder to destroy by killing one investigator.

The Maxi Trial opened in Palermo in February 1986 inside a bunker courtroom built beside the Ucciardone prison. The number of defendants approached five hundred at its outset, though totals differ across charges and procedural stages. The physical scale mattered less than the intellectual claim: Cosa Nostra could be tried as an organisation whose commission authorised policy and violence, rather than as a collection of unrelated Sicilian offenders.

The first judgement came in December 1987. Appeals followed. On 30 January 1992 the Court of Cassation confirmed the central architecture of

the case and major convictions. The result vindicated a method based on coordination, specialist knowledge, insider evidence and corroboration. It also threatened men who had relied on delay, fragmentation and political protection.

The attack that failed

On 23 May 1992 Cosa Nostra detonated the motorway bomb at Capaci. Falcone, Francesca Morvillo and three escort officers died. On 19 July, a car bomb in Via D'Amelio killed Borsellino and five escort officers. The attacks removed two judges and exposed disputes, failures and later scandals within the institutions they left behind. They also destroyed any remaining comfort in treating the Mafia as local folklore.

The violence continued. Bombs in 1993 killed civilians in Florence and Milan and damaged cultural and religious sites in Rome. The strategy appeared designed to coerce the state through fear and public shock. It produced pressure, confusion and continuing controversy over contacts and negotiation. It did not restore the old equilibrium.

The state intensified the special 41-bis prison regime to restrict communication and command, increased witness protection and pursued fugitives. Salvatore Riina was arrested in Palermo in January 1993. Bernardo Provenzano, who favoured lower visibility, remained a fugitive until 2006. Matteo Messina Denaro was arrested in Palermo in January 2023 after three decades on the run. Their captures were operational victories, not proof that a territorial institution had vanished.

Civil society widened the contest. Libera built a national network against mafias and helped drive support for the 1996 law assigning confiscated property to social purposes. In 2004 young activists in Palermo began Addiopizzo, organising consumers and businesses against extortion. Journalists, teachers, priests, trade unionists, victims' relatives, administrators and business owners had resisted before them. The newer campaigns made refusal easier to see and join.

Confiscation gave that resistance a physical form. A villa, farm or business

could be removed from private criminal control and assigned to a municipality, co-operative or social organisation. The transfer does not erase debt, intimidation, damaged accounts or the loss of clients who came only because of the former owner. Some enterprises fail under lawful management. Even then, the policy identifies the right battlefield: mafia power resides in jobs, land, credit and market position as well as in guns.

Cosa Nostra today is weaker than the organisation that waged war on the Italian state. Major prosecutions, defections, imprisonment, confiscation and social change have narrowed its freedom. Weak does not mean absent. Territorial relationships, extortion, public contracts, legal firms and alliances can survive with less gunfire and less public display. Recent official reporting continues to treat Sicilian Cosa Nostra as an active organisation while often assigning greater international weight to the Calabrian 'Ndrangheta. The ranking can distract. A mafia does not need to be the largest criminal network in Europe to govern a tender, a neighbourhood or a frightened witness.

How we know

The Mafia leaves evidence designed to mislead. Members deny the organisation, witnesses speak under pressure and officials may describe a local society through prejudice. Early police reports can reveal real networks while treating Sicily or Italian migrants as inherently criminal. Trials show what prosecutors could prove under particular laws, not every relationship that existed.

The strongest modern accounts combine sources that fail in different ways: court files, surveillance, financial records, seized notes, parliamentary inquiries, business documents, victim testimony and statements from collaborators. Pentiti supplied organisational knowledge unavailable from outside, but each had interests, blind spots and enemies. Corroboration is therefore part of the history, not a footnote to it.

Popular culture creates another archive. Films and memoirs preserve language, ritual and public fear while selecting for dramatic bosses, decisive

orders and intimate betrayal. Quiet extortion, administrative complicity and failed cases film badly. The surviving picture is richest where institutions assembled many fragments, and weakest where silence succeeded. Any confident organisational chart should be read as a dated reconstruction of a moving target.

What People Get Wrong

“The Mafia is one worldwide organisation”

Films need a room in which the final order is given. The real picture is federated, competitive and untidy. Sicilian Cosa Nostra consists of territorial families that may recognise commissions and shared rules while retaining substantial autonomy. American Cosa Nostra developed its own families and coordinating bodies. The Camorra and 'Ndrangheta are separate histories, not regional departments waiting for instructions from Palermo.

Transnational ventures do not change that. Groups can buy from the same suppliers, share brokers, settle disputes and move money across borders without merging their sovereignty. Even within one mafia, a commission's authority depends on the balance among families and can collapse during war.

The one-world-Mafia model became persuasive because immigration fears, police language and popular fiction all favoured conspiracy over variation. Repeated surnames, rituals and titles make distant groups look more centralised than their decisions show. It matters because a false central chart produces weak enforcement. Investigators need to know which relationships are durable, which are transactional and where authority is recognised. A network connection is evidence of co-operation, not proof of one command. Shared ceremonies do not settle the issue either. Institutions can copy forms, exchange favours and recognise status while remaining rivals with separate territories, treasuries and chains of responsibility.

“Mafia families are blood families”

The language is deliberately domestic: family, godfather, brother, respect. Blood relations can carry trust, property and succession, and some mafia histories are full of dynasties. Formal membership, however, is an organisational status. A Sicilian cosca or American crime family contains unrelated initiated men and associates; relatives of members do not enter automatically.

The correction matters because kinship and membership create different maps. It also changes legal and investigative questions: a relative may know little, while an unrelated associate may carry the business. A brother may remain outside the organisation. An associate may earn more than a soldier without receiving his rights. A boss's son may inherit access but still need recognition. Women were traditionally excluded from initiation while participating in communication, finance, concealment, socialisation or resistance.

The blood-family picture survives because it explains loyalty in one glance and turns criminal authority into inheritance. It also hides coercion. An initiated brotherhood may demand that organisational orders outrank household affection, then punish relatives to reach a member. Calling this a family tells you how it wants obedience to feel, not how membership works.

“Omerta is an ancient Sicilian instinct”

This myth changes a rational response to danger into a racial or regional personality. Sicilians supposedly dislike authority, settle matters privately and refuse to inform because the rule is buried in the culture. The story has been useful to mafiosi, who present silence as honour, and to institutions, which can blame a population for cases they fail to protect.

Historical distrust and local norms can shape behaviour. They do not explain it alone. People speak when confidential reporting works, witnesses can survive, neighbours support them and evidence leads somewhere. They remain silent when police leak, trials drag on, employment depends on a local broker or retaliation is credible. Social isolation can matter beside

physical danger: a witness may lose work, custom, family contact or the ability to remain in the town. Members collaborate when internal loyalty collapses or the state offers a safer future.

Sicily has produced a long anti-mafia history alongside Cosa Nostra. That fact is fatal to the instinct theory. Omerta is better understood as an enforced expectation supported by fear, incentives and anticipated failure. Change those conditions and the supposedly ancient code can weaken within a generation. The change is rarely uniform. One district, trade or household may open while another remains closed because exposure, protection and dependence differ.

“Prohibition created the Mafia”

Prohibition supplies the perfect origin story: government bans alcohol, gangsters fill the demand, fortunes rise and modern organised crime is born. The sequence is dramatic and partly true. The illegal alcohol market from 1920 expanded profits, corruption, transport networks and inter-group alliances. It gave ambitious organisations room to grow. Enforcement also created profitable relationships with police, politicians, customs officers and legitimate suppliers who could divert goods or information.

Italian-American extortion, gambling, theft, market control and political protection predated the ban. Sicilian mafia organisations had existed for decades. When alcohol became legal again in 1933, the families retained men, money, relationships and enforcement capacity and moved through other rackets. A market had accelerated an institution rather than created it.

The distinction matters beyond history. Treating one commodity as the cause encourages the idea that removing the commodity removes the organisation. Legalisation can shrink a particular illicit profit and still leave protection, debt collection, corruption and control of lawful bottlenecks intact. The Mafia's durable asset was organised coercion attached to social and political access. Whisky was one use for it. The same distinction helps explain why organisations can survive the decline of gambling, heroin or another profitable market.

“Mafiosi obey a strict code of honour”

Codes exist. Collaborators and seized documents have described duties of secrecy, obedience, restraint and conduct. Membership can impose rules, and unauthorised violence may be punished because it exposes everyone. None of this turns the rules into ethics or guarantees compliance. Nor is there one timeless text: rules are transmitted orally, vary by organisation and are often reconstructed from people explaining them after arrest.

Mafiosi have trafficked drugs while claiming drugs were forbidden, pursued members' relatives while praising the family, killed civilians while presenting themselves as protectors and betrayed allies while condemning informants. Rules are interpreted by power. A boss may call his own breach an exception and another man's breach treason.

The romantic version persists because selective restraint looks like nobility beside uncontrolled violence. It also serves the organisation. “Honour” converts fear into respect and punishment into justice. The correction is not that every oath is fake. The oath helps regulate insiders. Its moral field is narrow, unequal and subordinate to survival. A code can make a criminal organisation more reliable without making it less criminal.

“The Mafia lives outside respectable society”

The gangster in a hideout is comforting because he is easy to separate from ordinary life. Durable mafia power has depended on landowners, contractors, markets, banks, professionals, unions, parties, councils and public works. The relationship can range from coercion to willing exchange. A lawful actor may begin as a victim, later use the connection against competitors and end as a partner, which makes clean categories difficult without making responsibility meaningless. It is often hidden inside transactions that remain partly real: a road is built, a worker is hired, a loan is repaid, a candidate receives votes.

This does not make every Sicilian firm, politician or professional suspect. Guilt by association reproduces the laziness of the underworld myth in reverse. The evidence must show knowledge, pressure, reciprocal benefit

or controlled decisions.

The correction matters because policing only stereotyped criminals leaves the organisation's position intact. Follow tenders, ownership, subcontracting, debt, voting blocs and access to permits. A mafia can lose gunmen and keep influence if lawful institutions still reward its intermediaries. Respectability is one of the places where mafia power is converted into permanence.

“Cut off the head and the body dies”

Bosses matter. They authorise violence, manage alliances, settle disputes and shape strategy. Arresting Salvatore Riina, Bernardo Provenzano or leaders of New York families removed experience and disrupted command. The mistake is turning an operational success into a biological law.

A territorial family may have succession rules, senior members and imprisoned leaders able to communicate. Markets still offer income, frightened businesses still face choices and corrupt relationships may outlive the person who arranged them. Decapitation can also produce fragmentation or violence as contenders compete. Its effect depends on whether communication is blocked, assets are removed, successors are trusted and the organisation can still reward loyalty. No outcome is automatic.

The opposite myth, that arresting bosses changes nothing, is equally false. Repeated prosecutions, surveillance, confiscation, witness protection and prison controls can exhaust leadership and narrow recruitment. The useful measure is what capacity remains: can the group enforce payments, resolve disputes, place firms, punish defectors and regenerate command? A head is important because it coordinates a system. The system dies when replacement authority and demand both fail.

Use It

Ask what protection is being sold

When a coercive organisation appears to be charging for nothing, look again. The payment may buy permission, predictability, debt enforcement, access or immunity from a threat. The visible commodity can be secondary.

This lens separates mafia power from ordinary predation. A thief profits when he takes the goods. A protector profits when owners, thieves and intermediaries recognise his authority over future goods. The strongest evidence is repeated regulation: disputes brought to the same broker, unauthorised offences punished, markets divided, payments standardised and customers unable to leave safely.

The question also exposes manufactured demand. Who creates the danger from which protection is offered? Does payment reduce an independent risk, or does it acknowledge the seller's power to activate one? Many systems mix the two. A mafia may deter street theft while making non-payment dangerous. The service can be useful and imposed at once. Calling it fake protection misses why people comply. Calling it protection without qualification adopts the seller's account.

Follow the boundary between legal and illegal

Do not search only for contraband. Search for the lawful decision that coercion changes. Which firm wins the tender? Who receives the subcontract? Which lorry unloads first? Whose planning objection disappears? Which worker is hired, which union official remains, which loan is repaid and which inspection does not occur?

This boundary is where criminal violence becomes durable economic power. Illegal income can be spent. A position inside land, companies, politics or procurement can be inherited, defended and used to generate new income. The paperwork may be authentic even when the choice behind it was not free.

Precision matters. A business operating in a mafia-affected market is not thereby a mafia business. A politician receiving votes from a district is not proved corrupt by geography. Trace ownership, decisions, messages, repeated advantage and the direction of pressure. The aim is to see how the boundary works without turning suspicion into evidence.

Treat silence as an outcome

“Why did nobody speak?” is usually asked as a moral accusation. Replace it with an institutional account. What did a witness expect would happen after speaking? Who could protect the witness, for how long, and from whom? Would the report remain confidential? Did earlier complaints produce action? What employment, family or social costs followed disclosure?

This does not remove personal responsibility. It identifies the system in which responsibility is exercised. One person may accept a risk that another refuses. Both are calculating against conditions they did not choose.

The same lens applies to organisations that are not criminal. Silence around abuse, corruption or failure often persists because knowledge is fragmented, retaliation is credible and each observer doubts that one statement will change the result. The Mafia provides the severe case. Its lesson is that exhorting people to be brave is cheaper than building a route through which truth can travel and remain protected.

Separate formal rank from practical power

An organisational chart tells you who may authorise, represent and punish. It does not tell you who controls money, information, external contacts or loyalty at a given moment. Mafia investigations learned to use both chart and network.

A nominal boss may be imprisoned and influential, imprisoned and bypassed, or free and dependent on a quieter broker. An associate may lack formal membership while controlling a union, company or political relationship. A commission may exist on paper and fail to restrain a dominant faction. A family may look hierarchical while earnings flow through

temporary ventures crossing its borders.

The lesson is not that charts are false. Formal status creates rights that a network diagram misses. The useful questions are paired: who holds the title, and what decision can that person cause today? Who lacks the title, and what stops working if that person disappears? Power is clearest where recognised authority and practical dependence meet.

Find the institution being substituted for

A mafia does not enter a vacuum in the literal sense. It competes with courts, police, insurers, unions, trade associations, councils, banks, parties, families and informal community settlement. Ask which function it performs badly but quickly enough to attract use.

A slow court may still be lawful and independent. A mafioso may collect the debt tomorrow. A weak union may still represent workers. A racketeer may guarantee that a strike ends. A fragmented market may lack trusted standards. A territorial broker may decide who can trade. The private answer gains support because comparison occurs under pressure, not in a seminar.

This lens prevents a lazy conclusion that people submit because they admire criminals. They may be choosing among damaged options. It also identifies the path out. Removing the mafia function without repairing the institution can leave demand for a replacement. Reform must make the lawful route credible at the moment it is needed.

Judge success by credible exits

Arrests, convictions and confiscations matter, but they are inputs into a larger test. Can a business refuse payment and continue trading? Can a witness report and remain safe? Can a contractor bid without a broker? Can a young person acquire status and work without entering a family's orbit? Can an official make a decision without calculating private retaliation?

These are exit questions. A monopoly weakens when customers and subordinates have alternatives they expect others to support. One public

refusal can inspire and still be crushed. Coordinated refusal, protected by investigation, finance, customers and neighbours, changes the expected cost.

This is why civil society and state capacity cannot be separated neatly. Police protection without local trust may not produce testimony. Business associations without enforcement may expose members. Confiscated land without credit and management may fail. Success is a chain in which each link makes the next person's lawful choice less exceptional. A temporary police presence may protect one refusal without changing the market. Durable exit exists when the refusal remains safe after the operation ends and when competitors no longer expect obedience to be rewarded.

The limits

The private-protection model is powerful and incomplete. It fits Sicilian Cosa Nostra better than every group called mafia. Some organisations earn mainly through trafficking, theft or fraud and govern little beyond their members. Others combine kinship, ritual and territorial authority in different proportions. A concept that explains everything explains nothing.

Weak institutions are also not a sufficient cause. They can produce disorder, community self-help, political machines, warlords or no durable coercive organisation at all. History, markets, geography, policing and local coalitions shape the result. Nor should efficient enforcement be romanticised. A mafia may settle a dispute quickly because one party has no rights.

Evidence remains selective. Collaborators explain what they knew and what serves them. Court records reflect legal categories. Surveillance captures moments. Public memory selects spectacular murder over routine pressure. The organisation adapts to investigation, so yesterday's accurate chart can become today's misleading certainty. The model should therefore guide questions rather than supply verdicts. Private enforcement, ritual and silence may coexist without forming the same institution in every place.

The one thing to keep

Keep the distinction between violence and governed violence.

The Mafia was never powerful because it possessed cruelty unavailable to other criminals. Its advantage was making cruelty legible. People learned who could authorise it, what conduct might trigger it, who could prevent it and which payment would acknowledge the arrangement. Once violence acquired rules, reputation and brokers, it could regulate transactions without appearing each time.

That is why honour, silence and organised crime belong in one subtitle. Honour gives coercion recognised standing. Silence protects the information that would let outsiders challenge it. Organisation carries the threat across people, markets and generations. Remove any one and the system becomes less durable.

The same model explains the route out. Law defeats mafia rule when lawful promises become more credible than criminal ones. A contract must be enforceable without a broker. A witness must expect protection after the headlines fade. A public office must withstand a private refusal. A business must believe competitors will refuse too. These are ordinary institutional achievements, which is why they receive less attention than a raid and matter for longer.

The Mafia asks a brutal question of any society: when something goes wrong, whose protection do people expect to work? The answer identifies the government that matters, whether or not its name appears on the building.

Terms

Mafia

A contested word associated first with Sicily. Used precisely, it denotes territorial criminal brotherhoods claiming authority through violence, secrecy and relations with public and economic life.

Cosa Nostra

Meaning “our thing”, the name associated with the Sicilian Mafia and its Italian-American counterpart. They are historically connected but organisationally distinct, with separate families and leadership.

Mafioso

An individual associated with mafia power; plural mafiosi. It can mean an initiated member or someone displaying mafia methods, so context determines whether formal membership is claimed.

Uomo d'onore

A “man of honour”, the status claimed by an initiated member of Sicilian Cosa Nostra. It describes standing inside the brotherhood, not an independent judgement that his conduct is honourable.

Cosca

A Sicilian mafia family or local unit. The word also refers to the close leaves of an artichoke, an image for a compact group protecting a territorial centre.

Famiglia

The family as an organisational unit, based chiefly on membership and territory rather than blood. Relatives, marriage and family businesses can still support trust, succession and concealment.

Mandamento

A territorial grouping of several Sicilian families, usually represented in a provincial commission. Its authority depends on local power and can change or collapse during conflict.

Commission or Cupola

A body through which families coordinate, recognise leadership and manage disputes across territories. It is not a permanent supreme government, and its authority has varied.

Capo

A boss or head of a family, faction or higher grouping. Formal status matters, but imprisonment, wealth, alliances and control of communication shape practical command.

Caporegime

A captain responsible for a crew in American Cosa Nostra. The position links senior leadership to earning, discipline and operations, though crew size and coherence vary.

Consigliere

A senior adviser within an American crime family, conventionally expected to counsel the boss and help mediate internal disputes. The office does not guarantee independence or equal influence in every family.

Soldato

A soldier, meaning an initiated or “made” member below the leadership ranks. The term marks recognised rights and duties inside the organisation, not an employee carrying out orders without personal ventures.

Associate

Someone who works with a family without formal initiation. Associates may facilitate crimes, control businesses or earn substantial money, but they lack the full status and protections attached to recognised membership.

Initiation

The ritual admission of a new member, commonly involving an oath, witnesses and a burning image or paper. Details vary; its main function is to authenticate status and attach durable obligations.

Omerta

The expectation of silence towards outside authority, supported by loyalty, fear, distrust and anticipated retaliation. It is better understood as a produced system of information control than a timeless Sicilian instinct.

Pizzo

An extortion or protection payment demanded from a business or individual. Payment may buy predictable treatment, yet the collector also supplies or controls the threat from which protection is offered.

Addiopizzo

An anti-extortion movement begun in Palermo in 2004. It organised businesses and consumers around public refusal of the pizzo, aiming to turn isolated courage into a supported market choice.

Protection racket

A coercive arrangement in which payment is demanded for security, permission or non-attack. The seller may deter independent threats, manufacture the danger or combine both functions within one continuing relationship.

Extortion

Obtaining money, property or advantage through threats or coercion. Mafia extortion becomes organisational when repeated demands express territorial authority and non-payment is treated as a challenge to that authority.

Racketeering

A broad term for organised schemes of illegal earning and, in United States law, specified offences linked through an enterprise and pattern. It is wider than mafia activity and should not be treated as an ethnic label.

Gabelloto

A leaseholder or intermediary who rented and managed large Sicilian estates, often between distant owners and cultivators. The role created opportunities for private enforcement but did not make every gabelloto a mafioso.

Campiere

An armed field guard employed to protect rural land, crops or livestock. Campieri formed part of the coercive environment in which early mafia brokers could emerge, without constituting one organisation by themselves.

Black Hand

A late nineteenth and early twentieth-century label for threatening letters and extortion associated with Italian communities in the United States. It described a method and reputation, not one national secret society.

Five Families

The five major New York Cosa Nostra organisations known as Bonanno, Colombo, Gambino, Genovese and Lucchese. The names are historical labels; the families coordinate but do not form one firm.

Pentito or collaboratore di giustizia

A mafia member who collaborates with justice, often translated as “repentant”. Italian legal language prefers collaborator, since useful testimony does not prove remorse and must still be tested and corroborated.

Article 416-bis

The Italian offence of mafia-type association, introduced in 1982. It centres on intimidation and the resulting conditions of subjection and omerta used to commit crimes or control economic and political activity.

RICO

The United States Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations law, enacted in 1970. It allows patterns of listed crimes to be prosecuted through an enterprise, reaching leaders beyond one isolated offence.

41-bis

An article of Italy's prison law used to suspend ordinary conditions for prisoners linked to organised crime or terrorism, chiefly to restrict communication and command. Its severity and safeguards remain contested.

Asset confiscation

The legal seizure and permanent removal of property linked to criminal power. In anti-mafia strategy it targets firms, land and capital through which coercion becomes economic position, rather than targeting cash proceeds alone.

Maxi Trial

The vast Palermo prosecution opened in 1986, built from coordinated investigations and collaborator evidence. Its final confirmation in 1992 established that Cosa Nostra could be proved and punished as an organised system.

Go Deeper

Salvatore Lupo, History of the Mafia (2009)

Start with the history. Lupo follows the Sicilian Mafia from Italian unification through migration, Fascism, post-war politics, drugs and the violent confrontations of the late twentieth century. His greatest value is resistance to two myths at once: the Mafia is neither an ancient Sicilian essence nor a purely modern company invented by clever gangsters. It grows through changing relationships among violence, markets, institutions and public language. Antony Shugaar's translation is clear, but the argument assumes some knowledge of Italian political history. Read it for chronology, for the links between Sicily and America, and for the discipline of asking what the word "mafia" meant in each period.

Diego Gambetta, The Sicilian Mafia: The Business of Private Protection (1993)

Read this for the mechanism. Gambetta asks what mafiosi sell and answers: protection, meaning credible guarantees in markets where trust and enforcement are uncertain. The model explains why reputation can replace frequent violence, why a protector may create the danger he offers to manage and why the organisation reaches beyond contraband. It is analytical rather than narrative and sometimes presses diverse behaviour into an economic framework. That limitation makes it useful. Test every chapter against cases where predation, politics, honour or trafficking seems to do more work than protection. Few books change the reader's mental model of the Mafia as decisively, though the model should remain a lens rather than a universal definition.

Giovanni Falcone with Marcelle Padovani, *Men of Honour: The Truth about the Mafia* (1992)

Read the investigator's account. Falcone explains Cosa Nostra's language, rules, rationality and violence from inside the work that produced the Maxi Trial. The book is short, controlled and free of gangster glamour. It is also a source written by a participant under extraordinary pressure, not a final academic synthesis. Falcone was murdered in May 1992, the year the English edition appeared, which gives later readers a knowledge the text itself does not possess. Use it to understand how disciplined attention to names, relationships and corroboration made a secret organisation legally intelligible without treating one collaborator as an oracle.

Letizia Paoli, *Mafia Brotherhoods: Organized Crime, Italian Style* (2003)

Read this for comparison and correction. Paoli examines Sicilian Cosa Nostra and the Calabrian 'Ndrangheta as brotherhoods with formal membership, ritual, violence, secrecy and claims over their social environments. She shows why "organised crime" as a market label cannot capture institutions that allocate status and authority, and why the two mafias should not be treated as one structure. The book is scholarly and denser than the other three, with close attention to collaborator testimony and criminal cases. It is the best next step once the protection model has become persuasive enough to need testing against membership, ritual and territorial sovereignty.

Notes and Sources

Scope and terminology

This book uses *the Mafia* for the historical subject named by the title and *mafia* for the wider organisational type. Its centre is Sicilian Cosa Nostra and the related but autonomous Italian-American Cosa Nostra. The Camorra and the 'Ndrangheta appear only to prevent the false idea that every Italian mafia is one branch of a single body. Letizia Paoli is the principal comparative authority for mafia brotherhoods, while Salvatore Lupo supplies the main historical chronology and warning against treating the word as a fixed essence.

The word *mafia* is documented after Italian unification. The 1863 Palermo play *I mafiusi de la Vicaria* helped bring a related term into public use, and an official Sicilian document used *mafia* in 1865. Its etymology is disputed. Lupo and the Treccani biographical and historical material support the cautious treatment adopted here. The manuscript rejects derivations that claim to explain the institution from one word root.

Protection and origins

The private-protection model follows Diego Gambetta, who treats the Sicilian Mafia as an industry supplying credible guarantees where trust and enforcement are uncertain. The book uses that model as an organising lens rather than a universal definition. Paoli, Lupo and John Dickie show why membership, politics, predation, trafficking and status cannot be reduced to one commodity.

No single birthplace is claimed. The rural estate system, citrus around Palermo, livestock, sulphur, docks, produce markets, elections and municipal politics supplied overlapping opportunities for private force and brokerage. Gabelloti and campieri were roles within that setting, not synonyms for mafiosi. Leopoldo Franchetti's 1870s inquiry is important for its description of private violence as a form of capital, but his liberal assumptions and outsider's view require caution.

Feudal privileges in Sicily were abolished through the 1812 constitution, while large estates and layered management continued. The resulting account does not claim that legal reform caused Cosa Nostra. It identifies a period in which property and political relationships changed while public enforcement remained uneven.

Honour, initiation and omerta

Accounts of initiation and internal language draw chiefly on Giovanni Falcone's discussions with Marcelle Padovani, Joseph Valachi's 1963 Senate testimony, Tommaso Buscetta's evidence as reconstructed in the Maxi Trial record, and Paoli's comparative analysis. Ceremonial details varied. Burning a saint's image or paper, an oath and formal introduction are treated as recurring forms whose organisational function was recognition, not as an unchanged medieval rite.

Uomo d'onore is translated as man of honour because that is the status claimed inside Sicilian Cosa Nostra. The manuscript distinguishes organisational honour from moral merit. Rules reported by collaborators are evidence of norms and self-description, not proof of consistent conduct.

Omerta is presented as produced silence. Historical distrust of authority and local cultural expectations can affect behaviour, but they do not establish an ethnic disposition. The history of anti-mafia organising, witness collaboration and changing rates of refusal demonstrates variation. Falcone, Paoli, Alison Jamieson and Jane and Peter Schneider support the emphasis on fear, institutional credibility, social dependence and collective action.

Family, hierarchy and women

Paoli is the main source for the brotherhood model, formal membership and the difference between Cosa Nostra and the 'Ndrangheta. American ranks and family organisation are checked against Valachi's testimony, Donald Cressey's influential model as discussed and criticised in later scholarship, Humbert Nelli, David Critchley and Selwyn Raab. Formal charts are treated as dated reconstructions because authority changes with imprisonment, faction and market position.

Traditional Cosa Nostra membership excluded women, but exclusion from initiation did not remove women from communication, money, concealment, kinship, social reproduction or resistance. Renate Siebert supplies the principal treatment of women and mafia society. The text avoids converting exceptional female conduct into a claim that women held the same formal standing as initiated men.

The artichoke explanation for *cosca* records the ordinary Sicilian meaning of the word and the familiar comparison with a compact cluster. It is not offered as an origin theory for the organisation.

Legal economy and politics

The treatment of construction, markets, unions, procurement, professional services and political exchange follows Lupo, Gambetta, Paoli, Dickie, Nelli, Stille and the Schneiders. Contact, kinship or residence is never treated as proof of membership or conspiracy. The evidential standard is repeated reciprocal benefit, coercion, controlled decisions, financial connection or legally tested organisational evidence.

The “Sack of Palermo” refers to the post-war building transformation associated with political patronage, planning decisions and mafia-connected interests. The manuscript uses it as a case of control over lawful bottlenecks, not as proof that every building or official was mafia-directed.

Asset seizure and confiscation are grounded in Italy’s Law 13 September 1982, no. 646, known as the Rognoni-La Torre law, later anti-mafia legislation and the parliamentary history summarised by the Italian Chamber of Deputies. Law 7 March 1996, no. 109 established a framework for the social use of confiscated assets. The text states that reuse can be difficult because a confiscated firm may carry debt, dependence, damaged accounts and customers obtained through coercion.

Migration and Black Hand

David Critchley and Humbert Nelli are the main authorities for the formation of the New York Mafia and the wider Italian-American underworld. The book rejects both a sealed import model and an ancestry-free American invention. Sicilian contacts, introductions and reputations crossed the Atlantic, while American markets, policing, politics, ethnicity and labour relations reshaped the organisations.

Black Hand described an extortion method and public label rather than one national society. The New Orleans episode is dated from David Hennessy's murder in October 1890, the trial that followed and the lynching of eleven Italians on 14 March 1891. It is included to hold organised crime and anti-Italian collective punishment in the same account without making either excuse the other.

Prohibition and American coordination

National Prohibition ran from 1920 until 1933. It enlarged illegal alcohol markets and corruption but did not create Italian-American organised crime. Nelli, Critchley and Raab support the continuity from earlier extortion, gambling, market control and political protection into and beyond alcohol.

Al Capone is used as a warning against making Chicago a universal model. His 1931 federal conviction was for income-tax offences. The Castellammarese War ended in 1931 after Masseria and Maranzano were killed. Charles "Lucky" Luciano was central to the settlement, but the claim that he single-handedly invented every rank, the Five Families and the Commission is rejected. The Commission is described as a forum among autonomous families whose authority depended on the balance among them.

The Apalachin gathering took place in November 1957. Valachi testified publicly before the United States Senate in 1963. The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations provisions were enacted in 1970 as Title IX of the Organized Crime Control Act. RICO applies beyond the Mafia; the manuscript mentions it because enterprise and pattern liability helped

prosecutors present hierarchy and repeated offences together.

The Mafia Commission case began with federal indictments in February 1985 and produced major trial convictions in November 1986. The wording avoids implying that the indictment and verdict occurred in the same year or that one prosecution ended American Cosa Nostra.

Fascism, war and post-war Sicily

Cesare Mori's campaign began after his appointment as prefect of Palermo in 1925. It disrupted networks through mass arrest and coercive police action under Fascism. Visible decline is not equated with trusted lawful government.

The Allied invasion account follows the cautious scholarly position. American naval intelligence did use underworld contacts in New York, and Allied administration in Sicily dealt with local figures of mixed standing. The evidence does not support a single Luciano-directed Mafia operation that delivered the island.

The Portella della Ginestra massacre occurred on 1 May 1947 and was carried out by Salvatore Giuliano's band. Claims about direction, mafia support and political responsibility remain contested, so the body states the proven event and identifies the wider overlap without supplying a closed chain of command.

Heroin, the Corleonesi and the 1982 law

The Ciaculli car bombing of 30 June 1963 killed seven police and military personnel. The crackdown that followed interrupted the Palermo Commission for a period. Sicilian participation in heroin refining and transatlantic supply expanded during the 1970s, but the book avoids treating narcotics as either forbidden in practice or the sole cause of later war.

The Corleonesi rise is described through Luciano Leggio, Salvatore Riina and Bernardo Provenzano. The conflict conventionally called the Second Mafia War began in 1981 and functioned largely as a purge of rival factions

and their allies. Pio La Torre was murdered on 30 April 1982. General Carlo Alberto Dalla Chiesa, his wife Emanuela Setti Carraro and escort officer Domenico Russo were attacked on 3 September 1982; Russo died days later. The body compresses the event to Dalla Chiesa's murder because the section's job is the legislative turn rather than a full victim list.

Article 416-bis, introduced by Law no. 646 of 1982, builds the offence around the intimidating power of the association and the subjection and silence that follow, linked to criminal, economic or political aims. The body paraphrases its function rather than reproducing the statutory language at length.

Evidence from Buscetta and the Maxi Trial

Brazilian authorities detained Buscetta, and he returned under extradition to Italy in 1984. His evidence was valuable because Falcone and colleagues tested it against existing files, other collaborators and external facts. The book does not present him as neutral, remorseful or omniscient.

Rocco Chinnici developed the Palermo anti-mafia pool and was murdered in July 1983. Antonino Caponnetto continued the pool with Falcone, Borsellino, Di Lello and Guarnotta. The institutional value was shared knowledge and responsibility.

The Maxi Trial opened on 10 February 1986. Published defendant totals vary because preliminary, severed and continuing proceedings are counted differently; "approached five hundred" is retained as the safer body formulation. The first judgement was delivered on 16 December 1987. Cassation's final ruling on 30 January 1992 upheld the central organisational account and major convictions. The Palermo court's own retrospective description supports the claim that the case marked a radical change in treating Cosa Nostra as a coordinated organisation.

The 1992 murders, prison controls and civil resistance

The Capaci bombing on 23 May 1992 killed Falcone, Francesca Morvillo and escort officers Vito Schifani, Rocco Dicillo and Antonio Montinaro. The Via D'Amelio bombing on 19 July killed Borsellino and escort officers Agostino Catalano, Emanuela Loi, Vincenzo Li Muli, Walter Eddie Cosina and Claudio Traina. The main text gives the institutional consequence without narrating the investigations and controversies that followed in full.

Bombs in Florence, Milan and Rome in 1993 extended violence against civilians and symbolic sites. Evidence and interpretation concerning contacts between state representatives and mafia figures remain disputed and procedurally complex. The body identifies continuing controversy rather than asserting one settled bargain.

Article 41-bis of Italy's prison law permits suspension of ordinary prison rules in specified cases and has been used to restrict communication by prisoners linked to organised crime and terrorism. Its use, duration and safeguards have generated legal and human-rights challenges. The manuscript therefore presents it as an anti-command tool with serious rights questions, not as an uncomplicated model.

Salvatore Riina was arrested in January 1993, Bernardo Provenzano in April 2006 and Matteo Messina Denaro in January 2023. Capturing long-term fugitives is treated as disruption rather than proof that territorial relationships have disappeared.

Libera was founded in 1995 and became central to civil anti-mafia networks and confiscated-property campaigns. Addiopizzo began in Palermo in 2004; its official history dates the first mass sticker action to the night before 29 June. The movement's consumer and business network supports the book's claim that refusal becomes safer when made collective and visible.

Current position and evidence limits

The current comparison relies on the Direzione Investigativa Antimafia's official reporting through the 2024 reporting cycle, the newest completed annual material available and checked for this Stage 11 pass. It continues to treat Sicilian Cosa Nostra as active while giving the 'Ndrangheta greater transnational weight in many contexts. The book does not turn that judgement into a numerical ranking or imply that national reach determines local authority.

Every organisational reconstruction is selective. Police records may be prejudiced, trials show what particular laws and evidence could establish, surveillance captures fragments and collaborators have interests. Court decisions, financial records, official inquiries, seized communications and independently corroborated testimony were preferred where available. No fictional scene, invented dialogue or undocumented private motive has been used.

Bibliography

Primary, legal and official sources

Addiopizzo. "La nostra storia" and twentieth-anniversary historical material. Palermo: Comitato Addiopizzo, accessed 4 September 2026.

Direzione Investigativa Antimafia. *Relazioni semestrali 2024*. Rome: Ministero dell'Interno, 2024.

Falcone, Giovanni, with Marcelle Padovani. *Men of Honour: The Truth about the Mafia*. London: Fourth Estate, 1992.

Franchetti, Leopoldo. *Condizioni politiche e amministrative della Sicilia*. Florence: G. Barbera, 1877.

Italy. *Codice penale*, Article 416-bis, Associazione di tipo mafioso.

Italy. Law 13 September 1982, no. 646. Provisions concerning preventive patrimonial measures and mafia-type association.

Italy. Law 7 March 1996, no. 109. Provisions concerning the management and social use of confiscated assets.

Italy. Law 26 July 1975, no. 354, Article 41-bis, as amended. Prison administration provisions.

Palermo Court of Assizes. Maxi Trial first-instance judgement, 16 December 1987.

Italian Court of Cassation. Maxi Trial judgement, 30 January 1992.

United States Congress, Senate, Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations. *Organized Crime and Illicit Traffic in Narcotics: Hearings*. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1963.

United States Code. Title 18, Chapter 96, sections 1961 to 1968, Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations.

Modern works

Critchley, David. *The Origin of Organized Crime in America: The New York City Mafia, 1891-1931*. New York: Routledge, 2009.

Dickie, John. *Cosa Nostra: A History of the Sicilian Mafia*. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 2004.

Gambetta, Diego. *The Sicilian Mafia: The Business of Private Protection*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1993.

Jamieson, Alison. *The Antimafia: Italy's Fight against Organized Crime*. Basingstoke: Macmillan, 2000.

Lupo, Salvatore. *History of the Mafia*. Translated by Antony Shugaar. New York: Columbia University Press, 2009.

Nelli, Humbert S. *The Business of Crime: Italians and Syndicate Crime in the United States*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1981.

Paoli, Letizia. *Mafia Brotherhoods: Organized Crime, Italian Style*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2003.

Raab, Selwyn. *Five Families: The Rise, Decline, and Resurgence of America's Most Powerful Mafia Empires*. New York: Thomas Dunne Books, 2005.

Schneider, Jane C., and Peter T. Schneider. *Reversible Destiny: Mafia, Antimafia, and the Struggle for Palermo*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003.

Siebert, Renate. *Secrets of Life and Death: Women and the Mafia*. Translated by Liz Heron. London: Verso, 1996.

Stille, Alexander. *Excellent Cadavers: The Mafia and the Death of the First Italian Republic*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1995.