

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

The Great Depression

in a Hurry

How the world economy fell apart

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 6

The Core Ideas 8

How It Actually Works 22

What People Get Wrong 32

Use It 37

Terms 41

Go Deeper 45

Notes and Sources 46

Bibliography 53

The Whole Thing in One Page

The famous picture of the Great Depression is a crowd outside Wall Street after share prices crashed in October 1929. It is also a poor map of what followed. A stock-market collapse could ruin investors, frighten consumers and cancel investment. It could not by itself explain why Vienna's banking crisis spread, factories closed in Germany, wool and coffee prices collapsed in Australia and Brazil, sterling broke from gold and about one American worker in four was unemployed.

The world economy fell apart because flexible incomes had been tied to rigid claims, with no accepted way to share losses when income fell. Germany owed reparations. Britain and France owed war debts to the United States. Banks promised depositors cash on demand while lending for longer periods. Governments promised to maintain fixed gold values for their currencies. Firms, farmers and households owed debts fixed in money. These obligations differed in law, purpose and legitimacy. Financially, each depended on cash flows generated elsewhere in the same system.

During the 1920s, American lending helped Germany make reparations payments, which helped the Allies service war debts. Gold linked national monetary policies. Rising output, consumer credit and buoyant asset prices made the arrangement look durable. Yet adjustment was one-sided. A country losing gold could be forced to raise interest rates, cut credit, reduce wages or compress imports. A country gaining gold faced no equal deadline to spend more. When American policy tightened and foreign lending weakened, the payment chain lost its main source of new credit.

The 1929 crash intensified uncertainty and cuts in household and business spending. Falling demand reduced production, jobs and prices. Deflation then raised the real burden of debt because the figures written on contracts stayed fixed while the incomes used to pay them shrank. Loan losses weakened banks. Runs forced asset sales. Bank closures destroyed deposits, payments and lending relationships. Governments defending gold or balanced budgets often answered lost confidence with further

contraction. Each attempt to protect one balance sheet removed income from another.

The sequence differed across countries and groups. Canada suffered a severe slump without a comparable banking panic. Britain left gold in 1931 and recovered earlier than members of the gold bloc, though old industrial regions remained depressed. The United States stabilised banks and changed its monetary regime in 1933, then grew rapidly from a low base before relapsing in 1937. Germany combined controls, public spending and rearmament with dictatorship and coercion. Commodity exporters devalued, defaulted, restricted imports or endured harsh adjustment. Colonial institutions often protected official payments by passing losses to producers and households.

Recovery began when governments changed which claims they defended and who had to absorb the loss. They suspended gold convertibility, guaranteed deposits, created money, restructured debts, accepted deficits or controlled foreign exchange. These choices did not abolish costs. They moved them, and they replaced one integrated world economy with managed national and imperial systems. Several countries recovered substantial output before 1939. Wartime mobilisation later completed the return to full employment in the United States and elsewhere through spending and compulsion on a scale peacetime governments had not attempted.

The enduring lesson is not that markets went mad or one villain pressed the wrong button. A system can become least stable when every participant tries to appear individually safe.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

On 21 September 1931, Britain stopped converting sterling into gold at its old fixed rate. The decision looked like an admission of defeat. It became an economic release. Interest rates could fall, sterling could depreciate and domestic spending no longer had to be sacrificed to defend a parity chosen six years earlier. Countries that remained tied to gold tended to remain depressed for longer. Respectability and recovery had separated.

That reversal makes the Great Depression useful to think with. The crisis was not a natural disaster descending upon passive societies. It was an interaction between shocks and rules. Share prices fell, harvests failed, borrowers defaulted and frightened people demanded cash. Institutions decided whether those events were absorbed, amplified or passed onwards. A bank run became more destructive where no credible authority protected deposits or supplied liquidity. Falling prices became more damaging where debts could not adjust. A loss of exports became ruinous where a currency could not fall and creditors still demanded payment in full.

The Depression also changes the scale at which economic history makes sense. National stories conceal an international machine. American interest rates affected German borrowing. German reparations affected Allied war debts. French and American gold accumulation reduced room elsewhere. Austrian banking trouble tested confidence in Germany and Britain. British departure from gold influenced Japan and the wider sterling area. A farmer in Saskatchewan or Malaya did not need to own a New York share to be pulled into the crash. A falling world price was enough.

Yet global mechanisms did not produce equal suffering. Creditors and debtors faced different choices. Owners with cash could buy assets cheaply; indebted farmers could lose land after producing more. Men were often treated as the official breadwinners while women's paid work was restricted, hidden or dismissed as secondary. Black Americans entered the slump through labour markets already shaped by discrimination. Colonial governments protected remittances and tax receipts while producers

absorbed lower prices. Aggregate figures flatten these conflicts into one line.

Nor did hardship dictate one political outcome. The Depression strengthened demands for social insurance, public employment and financial regulation. It also fed protection, authoritarianism, racial exclusion and imperial preference. In Germany it weakened a republic already damaged by war, inflation and political violence, helping the Nazi movement without making Hitler inevitable. In the United States it enlarged federal responsibility while leaving many exclusions intact. The same economic pressure passed through different constitutions, parties and power structures.

The period exposes a hard problem in public reasoning. A policy can look responsible when judged by one institution and destructive when judged across the system. A bank calling loans may protect its reserves while bankrupting customers. A government cutting spending may defend its credit while removing income from taxpayers. A country restricting imports may save foreign exchange while destroying an export market elsewhere. The Depression forces accounting across balance sheets rather than moral applause for isolated prudence.

It also disciplines counterfactual claims. No historian can rerun 1931 with one rate cut, tariff repeal or larger budget and observe the result. Strong explanation therefore combines mechanisms with comparisons: countries on and off gold, banking systems with and without failures, regions exposed to different commodities, and policy reversals followed by changed outcomes. The case teaches how to reason when controlled experiments are impossible but decisions still demand causal judgement.

This book cannot settle every dispute about the relative weight of money, spending, banking, trade and policy. It can give you the causal map needed to understand why those disputes exist. Once the map is clear, the crisis stops looking like ten years of misery after a bad day on Wall Street. It becomes a study of rigid claims, asymmetric adjustment and the political choice of who must bear a loss. That is a more unsettling story, and a more useful one.

The Core Ideas

Core Idea 1: The peace became a payment chain

The economic origins of the Depression begin before 1929. The First World War left Europe with destroyed capital, disrupted trade, inflated currencies and obligations that crossed the Atlantic. The United States had moved from debtor to creditor. Britain and France owed large war debts to Washington. Germany was required to pay reparations to the victorious powers. Those obligations were politically distinct, but financially connected.

Late-1923 fiscal and currency reforms ended the hyperinflation before the Dawes Plan took effect. The plan of 1924 then reduced immediate reparations pressure, reorganised German finances and opened the way for a large American loan. American banks floated further loans to Germany. Germany used foreign exchange to meet reparations; recipient governments used part of those receipts to service war debts to the United States. Money crossed the Atlantic to Germany and then returned through official payments. The arrangement was often described as circular. It was closer to a conveyor belt whose motor sat in New York.

Nothing about borrowing to rebuild was inherently reckless. Germany needed capital, American investors wanted returns and European governments wished to avoid renewed confrontation over reparations. The danger lay in maturity and politics. Much foreign lending was short-term or could be withdrawn quickly, while the obligations it supported stretched over decades. Reparations and war debts were denominated in money and defended as matters of national honour. Trade surpluses large enough to transfer them were politically difficult, especially while creditor countries protected their own markets.

The Young Plan of 1929 reduced and rescheduled German reparations but preserved the basic architecture. By then, American lending had already weakened. When new loans stopped, Germany could no longer service external obligations by replacing old borrowing with new funds. Debtor governments then faced choices among deflation, taxation, reserve loss,

controls and default. Their creditors faced a different choice: accept less, lend again or force contraction abroad. The system contained no automatic agreement about how losses should be shared.

This payment chain did not cause every weakness of the 1920s. American agriculture had suffered low prices before the crash. British coal, textiles and shipbuilding struggled after sterling returned to gold at a high parity. Construction booms, consumer credit and unequal income growth created domestic vulnerabilities. France stabilised later and accumulated gold. Japan, Latin America and the British dominions entered the decade with their own structures. The postwar settlement mattered because it connected these weaknesses and restricted the remedies available when lending reversed.

A useful distinction is between the capacity to produce and the capacity to pay. Germany might produce goods, yet reparations required foreign exchange. To acquire dollars or sterling, it needed exports, new borrowing or reserve sales. If creditor countries lent less and imported too little, payment became arithmetically harder. Pressure then appeared as a moral argument about thrift, solvency and national character. Beneath that language lay a transfer problem: one country's payment required another country's willingness to receive goods, extend credit or reduce its claim.

The chain's fragility explains why apparently remote decisions mattered. A change in American appetite for foreign bonds could squeeze German municipalities. German austerity could reduce imports from neighbours. A default could damage banks holding foreign claims. Allied inability to collect reparations could weaken their debt service to Washington. The world did not enter the Depression as a collection of sealed national economies. It entered with claims stacked on claims, and with no accepted mechanism for reducing them together.

Core Idea 2: Gold made credibility contractionary

The interwar gold standard promised a stable measuring stick. Participating governments fixed their currencies to a weight of gold and stood ready, within rules that varied by country, to convert money or settle external balances at that rate. Fixed exchange rates reduced currency uncertainty and evoked the pre-1914 order, when trade and capital had expanded under gold. After wartime inflation, restoration appeared to offer discipline, lower borrowing costs and proof that governments could keep promises.

The restored system was not the old one revived. The war had shifted gold, debts and financial power towards the United States. Mass electorates and organised labour made wage cuts more politically explosive. Central banks held foreign exchange as well as gold, so loss of confidence in a reserve currency could spread quickly. International cooperation depended heavily on personal relationships among central bankers and on the willingness of governments to accept domestic pain for external credibility.

The operating mechanism was severe. A country losing gold or foreign exchange could raise interest rates, restrict credit and cut domestic demand. Lower spending reduced imports; falling wages and prices might improve export competitiveness; higher rates might attract capital back. The policy defended the parity by making the home economy less attractive to buy from and more attractive to lend to. In a mild imbalance, adjustment could work. In a general slump, many countries tried it at once.

Adjustment was asymmetric. Deficit countries were forced to contract because their reserves could run out. Surplus countries accumulating gold faced no equivalent deadline to expand credit, raise prices or import more. They could sterilise gold inflows, preventing them from enlarging the domestic money supply. France and the United States held a rising share of monetary gold around the turn of the decade. Their caution looked prudent from inside each country, but it left less monetary room elsewhere.

Gold also changed the meaning of a banking panic. A central bank that created money freely to support banks risked losing gold if depositors or

foreign investors converted that money and moved it abroad. Raising rates to protect reserves could worsen loan losses and unemployment. Supplying liquidity could threaten the exchange rate. The authorities were asked to defend the banks and the currency with instruments that pulled in opposite directions.

Britain's return to gold in 1925 at the prewar parity illustrates the political force of credibility. Sterling's rate placed pressure on export industries already facing changed markets and competition. Wage reduction rather than currency depreciation became the route to lower costs, contributing to industrial conflict. Yet leaving gold seemed to threaten London's financial standing and the moral authority of the state. A price chosen as a symbol of restoration became difficult to question because abandoning it would admit the symbol had been mispriced.

Gold did not mechanically impose one policy everywhere. Countries could use exchange controls, borrow reserves, devalue or suspend convertibility. Central bankers interpreted the rules differently, and domestic coalitions shaped how long defence continued. Canada informally limited gold exports early while keeping its dollar near sterling. France stayed on gold until 1936. Japan left in December 1931 and pursued monetary and fiscal expansion. While governments treated fixed parity as the overriding commitment, monetary policy was repeatedly pulled towards contraction.

This is why departure from gold became one of the strongest comparative markers of recovery across many countries. The comparison is not a controlled experiment: leaving gold often came with depreciation, bank support, capital controls, fiscal change or a new commitment to higher prices. The mechanism is still clear. Once parity no longer dominated policy, interest rates could fall, money supplies could expand and expectations of endless price decline could weaken. The institution sold as a guarantee of stability had become a device for synchronising instability. Credibility survived. Income did not.

Core Idea 3: The crash was a trigger, not a mechanism

The United States entered recession before the famous days of panic on the New York Stock Exchange. Industrial production had begun to weaken in the summer of 1929. The Federal Reserve had tightened policy from 1928, seeking to restrain lending used for stock speculation. Higher American rates drew funds towards New York and reduced lending abroad. The international economy was losing momentum before crowds gathered outside the Exchange.

The stock boom still mattered. Share prices had risen far beyond the growth of corporate earnings in parts of the market, and purchases on margin allowed investors to control stock with borrowed money. Falling prices then produced margin calls and forced sales. In late October, attempts to sell overwhelmed buyers. The crash erased wealth, damaged confidence, disrupted securities finance and revealed how much optimism had been capitalised into prices.

Yet a fall in shares has no fixed economic consequence. The United States had endured sharp market breaks before without a decade-long disaster. Most households owned no stock directly. Factories do not close because an index line falls; they close when orders, finance or expected profits disappear. The question is how the crash altered spending and how policy responded.

One route ran through uncertainty. Businesses facing an unreadable future postponed plant and equipment. Households delayed cars, appliances and other durable goods whose purchase could wait. A family uncertain about next month's wage does not need to predict a depression to stop buying a refrigerator. Enough such decisions turn caution into falling sales, layoffs and further caution. The crash gave a common date to a widening retreat.

A second route ran through balance sheets. Falling securities reduced the wealth of investors and the value of collateral. Brokers, banks and firms exposed to market loans became more defensive. The crash itself did not

bankrupt most commercial banks, whose worst losses often came later from property, farm and business loans. It weakened the system's capacity and appetite to absorb those later losses.

A third route was political and monetary. Officials feared renewed speculation, gold losses, inflationary rescue or support for unsound institutions. The Federal Reserve did supply liquidity to New York markets after the crash, preventing an immediate payments seizure. It did not sustain an expansion strong enough to offset the broader fall in money, credit and spending. As banking panics developed, the central bank remained constrained by doctrine, decentralised authority, collateral rules and concern for gold.

The distinction between trigger and mechanism prevents two errors. One is to dismiss the crash because deeper forces existed. A trigger can be causally important without being sufficient. The other is to treat the crash as a complete explanation, which hides the decisions that turned recession into depression. The shared macroeconomic movement was a collapse in spending. The crash helped start it; gold defence, monetary contraction, debt, banking failure and fiscal retrenchment kept removing income from the system. October 1929 opened the trapdoor. The amplifiers and constraints determined how far the world fell.

Core Idea 4: Banks destroyed more than money

A bank takes liabilities that can be demanded quickly and turns them into loans that mature slowly. That transformation helps an economy use savings productively. It also makes even a solvent bank vulnerable if too many depositors demand cash together. A bank can own sound mortgages and business loans yet be unable to sell them quickly without taking a large loss. Confidence is therefore part of its operating structure, not decoration added after the accounts are complete.

The American banking system carried special weaknesses. Thousands of small unit banks operated within narrow local markets. Many depended on one crop, town or industry and could not spread risk across regions.

Agricultural banks had failed throughout the 1920s as farm prices remained weak. The Federal Reserve covered member banks unevenly, while many state banks stood outside it. Deposit insurance did not exist at federal level. A depositor who doubted a bank had reason to withdraw before neighbours did.

Panics beginning in 1930 raised currency hoarding and forced banks to build cash reserves. To obtain cash, banks called loans, refused renewals and sold assets into falling markets. Borrowers lost working capital; asset prices fell further; other banks suffered new losses. When a bank closed, deposits could be frozen for years and local knowledge vanished with its loan officers. A viable small firm could lose credit because its bank failed, even if neither the firm nor the bank's original loan had caused the panic.

This extra damage is the credit channel. Money contraction matters because fewer deposits and more currency reduce spending capacity. Financial disruption adds another cost: screening a borrower, monitoring a loan and enforcing a contract require information. Replacing those relationships is slow, especially for farmers and small firms without access to bond markets. Ben Bernanke's influential work showed why banking collapse could deepen and prolong the Depression beyond what a fall in the measured money supply alone explained.

More than 9,000 American banks suspended operations between the October 1929 crash and the national bank holiday of March 1933 in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's retrospective account. The category needs care. Contemporary statistics often used suspension and failure interchangeably even though some suspended banks reopened or were reorganised. Some institutions were insolvent before runs exposed them, and falling asset values mattered alongside panic. Later research rejects a tidy choice between bad banks and irrational depositors. Weak fundamentals made banks vulnerable, while runs and fire sales could turn weakness into collapse.

International banking created another layer. European banks had borrowed short-term funds abroad and lent to governments, businesses and

municipalities whose revenues were falling. When Austria's Credit-Anstalt announced major losses in May 1931, the event became a test of confidence across central Europe. Rescue negotiations were entangled with Austrian politics, foreign creditors and gold-standard rules. Pressure moved to Germany, where banks and the currency faced withdrawals. Controls and a bank holiday followed in July.

Bank failure was an amplifier, not a necessary condition for severe depression. Canada had nationwide branch banks and suffered no comparable wave of failures, yet its output collapsed under falling exports, prices and demand. Britain also avoided American-scale bank destruction. Those counterexamples matter. They show that the global slump cannot be reduced to banks, while the contrast between Canada and the United States shows how institutional design changed the form and local depth of distress.

The American bank holiday of 1933 worked because it changed expectations and authority together. Banks closed, were examined and reopened under federal licence; emergency legislation widened support; Roosevelt explained the process to the public; deposit insurance followed. The policy did not prove every reopened bank sound for all time. It ended the immediate race to be first at the teller's window. Once depositors believed that waiting was safer than running, a liability structure built on confidence could operate again.

Core Idea 5: Deflation made yesterday's debts heavier

Deflation sounds like cheaper living. During a depression it can be a mechanism of ruin. Suppose a farmer owes £1,000 after buying land or machinery. If crop prices and income fall by one-third, the number on the loan does not fall with them. The farmer must sell more produce, cut more consumption or surrender more assets to make the same payment. The debt has become heavier in real terms although its nominal amount has not changed.

Irving Fisher called this debt-deflation. Distressed borrowers sell assets to

meet fixed obligations. Forced sales lower asset prices. Falling prices weaken collateral and net worth, prompting lenders to demand repayment or refuse new credit. Bankruptcies and bank losses then reduce spending and lending. Attempts by each debtor to become safer can leave debtors as a group less able to pay because their retrenchment destroys one another's income.

The mechanism works through wages and employment as well as loans. Employers facing lower selling prices seek lower costs. Nominal wages may fall slowly because contracts, morale, bargaining and simple resistance impede rapid cuts. Firms then reduce hours or workers. If wages do fall, household purchasing power weakens and debt burdens rise. The idea that flexible wages would quickly restore employment overlooked how wage cuts could reduce demand and worsen balance sheets across the economy.

Farmers were exposed before 1929. Wartime demand and high prices had encouraged borrowing and land expansion. European agricultural recovery and global productivity then increased supply. American farm prices weakened during the 1920s while mortgages, taxes and rail charges remained fixed. The Depression drove prices lower. Producing more could worsen the glut, so individual effort offered no escape. Foreclosure was an economic transfer from debtor to creditor, but it could also destroy the creditor's expected value when forced sales flooded the market.

Governments faced a similar arithmetic. Tax receipts fell faster than obligations for debt service, administration and relief. Local governments cut teachers, construction and wages precisely when private spending had collapsed. National leaders often pursued balanced budgets to protect creditworthiness and gold reserves. Higher taxes and lower spending could improve a government's ledger relative to a fixed rule while reducing the national income from which future taxes would be collected.

Deflation distributed power. Cash gained purchasing power. Creditors with secure claims could acquire assets cheaply, although defaults and bank failures could still hurt them. Debtors, younger households, farmers, small businesses and local authorities faced the hardest adjustment. Averages

such as the price level conceal this transfer. Falling prices did not mean that everyone could buy more, because the people receiving lower prices were often the same people losing wages, jobs or farms.

The burden also crossed borders. External debts were commonly denominated in dollars, sterling or gold. A commodity exporter whose coffee, tin or wool revenue collapsed still owed foreign creditors in hard currency. Devaluation could raise the domestic-currency cost of that debt, while staying on gold could force domestic prices and wages down. Default, exchange control and import restriction were often responses to impossible transfer arithmetic rather than sudden discoveries of national dishonesty.

Debt-deflation explains why waiting for prices to find a natural floor could fail. Each round of lower prices increased real leverage and created new distress. Recovery required stopping expectations of further decline, reducing nominal claims, raising incomes or some combination of the three. Inflation was feared because the 1920s had shown its capacity to destroy savings. In the early 1930s, modest reflation became the route by which contracts stopped tightening around a shrinking economy.

Core Idea 6: Contraction crossed borders through trade and finance

A world depression needs transmission. Gold carried monetary pressure. Banks carried credit losses. Trade carried falling demand into places that had borrowed little and owned few shares. When American and European consumers bought fewer goods, exporters lost sales. When factories reduced production, they bought less cotton, rubber, copper, tin and wool. Prices of many primary commodities fell faster than prices of manufactured goods, cutting the purchasing power of countries that depended on a narrow range of exports.

The shock was brutal because export earnings had several jobs. They paid for imported food, machinery and fuel. They supplied taxes to colonial and national governments. They serviced foreign debt and supported the exchange rate. A fall in coffee revenue in Brazil, wool receipts in Australia or

rubber and tin income in Malaya therefore moved from farms and mines into banks, budgets and foreign payments. The balance of payments was not an abstract account. It decided whether medicines, equipment and debt service could still be bought abroad.

Commodity producers faced the fallacy of composition. One farmer could raise income by growing more if the price held. Millions producing more into a collapsing market pushed prices lower. Governments tried export controls, purchasing schemes and destruction of surplus stocks. Brazil withheld and destroyed coffee; rubber producers experimented with restriction; wheat exporters sought agreements. Coordination was hard because every producer had an incentive to sell while others restrained supply.

Trade policy then deepened fragmentation. The American Smoot-Hawley tariff of 1930 raised duties across a broad range of imports. Other governments retaliated or adopted their own tariffs, quotas and preferences. Yet the timing matters. World trade had begun falling with demand, credit and prices before the full protectionist spiral. Tariffs did not create the American monetary contraction or European banking crisis. They worsened the slump by narrowing export markets, raising political conflict and making debt transfer harder.

The nominal value of world trade collapsed far more than its physical volume because prices fell as well as quantities. Treating the headline fall in dollar value as a pure measure of goods no longer traded exaggerates the volume decline. The distinction does not rescue the system. Even where the same tonnage moved, exporters received fewer dollars with which to buy imports or pay debts. Price and quantity damage worked through different accounts and reached the same budget.

Financial retreat reinforced commercial retreat. American investors stopped renewing foreign loans. Banks reduced cross-border exposure. Debtor countries imposed exchange controls to ration scarce foreign currency. Germany developed bilateral clearing arrangements that directed trade through state agreements. Britain adopted imperial preference and a sterling area emerged around currencies linked to London. The integrated

multilateral system broke into blocs whose members conserved reserves by managing whom they bought from and how payments were settled.

Colonial rule shaped who adjusted. Many colonial currencies were tied to metropolitan systems, and administrations sought to preserve remittances, debt payments and balanced budgets. Producers absorbed falling export prices while taxes and imported manufactures adjusted more slowly. Wage cuts, public retrenchment and tighter marketing controls could protect official accounts at the expense of rural households. The Depression's global reach therefore followed political power as well as prices.

No single transmission channel operated everywhere. Countries less tied to trade could still suffer domestic banking and spending collapses. The Soviet Union was partly insulated from capitalist finance, yet its forced industrialisation and collectivisation produced catastrophe of another kind. Nations with flexible currencies could still choose austerity. The general mechanism was cumulative: each country reduced imports, lending or reserve losses to protect itself, and those defensive acts removed someone else's income.

Core Idea 7: Recovery began when rules changed

The Depression did not end through a universal conference at which governments discovered the correct policy. Recovery came in national breaks with the old rules. Britain left gold in September 1931. Japan followed that December. Sweden and other countries allowed currencies to depreciate and monetary conditions to ease. The United States changed course in 1933. France and the remaining gold bloc held on longer and experienced later contraction. Across countries, earlier release from gold was strongly associated with earlier recovery.

The mechanism combined room and expectation. Once a government stopped defending a fixed gold price, its central bank could lower rates or expand money without the same fear of reserve exhaustion. Depreciation could shift demand towards domestic goods, though it also raised import costs and moved pressure onto trading partners. A credible commitment to

higher prices reduced the reward for holding idle cash and lowered expected real interest rates. Reflation made fixed debts less crushing by raising the income side of the contract.

Bank repair mattered alongside monetary change. Roosevelt's national bank holiday, examination and reopening of banks, emergency support and later federal deposit insurance restored confidence in American deposits. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation supplied capital to institutions and firms. Banking reform separated some activities and tightened supervision. These measures reduced panic and gave monetary expansion a functioning channel through which to reach borrowers and spenders.

The New Deal added relief, public works, farm support, labour rules and social insurance. Its programmes fed households, employed millions and changed the relationship between citizen and federal government. Their macroeconomic effects were mixed. Public spending supported demand, while some taxes and production restrictions offset it. Industrial codes could protect established firms as well as workers, and farm support often benefited landowners more directly than labourers or tenants. The central error is to demand one verdict on a package whose parts did different jobs. Relief can succeed while unemployment remains high; reform can endure while recovery is incomplete.

American output rose rapidly after 1933 from a devastated base, but unemployment remained severe. In 1937, fiscal tightening, changes in monetary conditions and other restrictive forces contributed to another sharp recession. The relapse showed that stabilising banks and beginning reflation had not made expansion self-sustaining. It also warned against measuring recovery from the trough alone. A patient who rises from the floor may still be far from health.

Other recoveries carried different prices. British cheap money and a housing boom helped newer industries and the south while coal and shipbuilding districts remained distressed. Scandinavian governments combined currency flexibility with public action and bargaining institutions. Japan's finance minister Takahashi Korekiyo used monetary and fiscal expansion,

but military spending and political conflict reshaped the policy. Germany reduced unemployment through controls, public works, conscription and rearmament while suppressing wages, unions and political freedom. Output recovery cannot be detached from what was produced and under what coercion.

Latin American governments often devalued, defaulted or controlled exchange, then promoted domestic substitutes for imports no longer affordable. Results varied with export structure, state capacity and social conflict. Some countries recovered earlier than orthodox creditors expected because default released foreign exchange for domestic use. That did not make default costless. Access to capital, creditor relations and domestic wealth distribution changed. The relevant question is whose balance sheet was repaired and whose claim was reduced.

War mobilisation later brought vast public spending, directed credit, controls and labour demand. In the United States it completed the return to full employment. Several economies had already regained substantial output under new monetary and policy regimes, though recovery remained uneven and unemployment often stayed high. The war proved that idle resources could be mobilised by public demand on an immense scale. It did not prove that destruction was economically necessary. Armaments counted as output while civilian consumption was rationed. The war also imposed losses in lives, liberty and damaged capital that national accounts did not subtract from production.

The causal loop closes here. The postwar economy was organised around defending fixed claims without an accepted way to share losses. Recovery required governments to rank those claims differently: employment above gold convertibility, depositors above bank shareholders, domestic spending above full foreign debt service, or national control above multilateral exchange. The claims did not vanish. They were suspended, insured, revalued, defaulted upon or placed under state command. Recovery began when governments accepted that preserving exchange could require changing the rule that had defined credibility.

How It Actually Works

An unstable peace

In 1919, the victors at Paris tried to settle a war that had been financed on credit. European governments owed one another, owed the United States and expected Germany to pay reparations. The sums were revised repeatedly because they had to satisfy incompatible demands: punish aggression, rebuild devastated regions, repay wartime borrowing and preserve a German economy capable of producing anything at all.

The transfer was never a matter of moving coins from one treasury to another. Germany needed taxes at home and foreign exchange abroad. It could earn that exchange through exports or borrowing. France and Britain could receive German goods, but imports threatened their producers. The United States wanted war debts repaid, while Congress also maintained barriers against European exports. The payment problem was therefore embedded in trade policy from the beginning.

Political conflict made the arithmetic worse. When Germany fell behind in deliveries, French and Belgian forces occupied the Ruhr in January 1923. The German government financed passive resistance, adding to a monetary collapse already under way. Hyperinflation destroyed paper savings and contracts before stabilisation arrived late that year. The trauma would remain politically potent, but it was not the Depression. Hyperinflation dissolved debts through rising prices; the coming crisis would make debts heavier through falling prices.

With hyperinflation stopped by late-1923 budget reform and the Rentenmark, the Dawes Plan of 1924 reorganised German finances, eased the first years of reparations and opened a large American loan. Foreign money financed German businesses, municipalities and public works. Reparations flowed again. France and Britain could service more of their American war debt. The Ruhr occupation ended. Currency reform, diplomacy and credit together produced a period of calm that later memory exaggerated into a secure settlement.

Prosperity on borrowed time

Between 1924 and 1928, industrial production and trade expanded across much of the world. American factories turned out cars, radios and electrical appliances. Instalment credit spread the cost across future pay packets. Construction boomed in parts of the United States and abroad. Germany's cities borrowed for housing and infrastructure. Capital crossed borders in search of yield. The machinery of modern prosperity looked persuasive because much of it was modern.

The gains were uneven. American farm income had never fully recovered from the end of wartime demand. Farmers carried mortgages on land bought or improved at higher prices. Britain returned sterling to gold at its prewar rate in 1925, exposing coal, textiles and shipbuilding to pressure for lower costs. The General Strike of 1926 grew from the conflict over coal wages and hours. New industries and regions advanced while older export districts remained stuck.

France stabilised the franc in 1926 and legally returned to gold in 1928 at a rate that made French exports competitive. Confidence returned and gold accumulated in French reserves. The United States also held a large share of the world's monetary gold. Neither country was compelled to turn every inflow into faster domestic credit or imports. Gold gathered where the system already had strength.

Germany's apparent recovery remained dependent on foreign borrowing. Many American funds were short-term and could leave faster than the investments they financed could earn returns. German municipalities used foreign loans for housing and infrastructure. Whatever the merits of individual projects, the structural problem was maturity mismatch. Long-lived assets had been financed by claims that could be withdrawn during a change in mood.

The boom also created a political illusion. Rising income made fixed debts easier to service without resolving whether creditors would accept enough imports or continue lending. Every payment confirmed confidence;

confidence supplied the next loan. A structure can appear strongest when favourable conditions prevent its rules from being tested.

The American turn

In 1928 the Federal Reserve intensified its effort to restrain stock speculation. Officials feared that easy credit was feeding a dangerous market and tried to limit the funds reaching brokers. Higher interest rates and direct pressure on banks tightened American credit. Foreign lending slowed as returns in New York rose and investors grew more cautious. Germany felt the change before the American public associated it with depression.

The Fed faced a real problem. Share prices had risen rapidly, margin borrowing was widespread and a disorderly collapse could damage finance. Its tools were blunt. Raising rates affected factories, construction and foreign borrowers as well as speculators. Attempts to direct credit towards productive use and away from stocks proved difficult because money could be rerouted. Policy aimed at one market reduced demand across several.

American production peaked in the summer of 1929. In September, share prices began to fall. The selling became disorderly in late October, with Black Thursday on 24 October and the heavier collapses of 28 and 29 October. Bankers organised purchases intended to steady the market, but confidence did not hold. The Dow Jones industrial average would lose close to nine-tenths of its peak value by July 1932, though that later trough should not be read back into the first week of panic.

The immediate financial system survived. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York supplied liquidity and payments continued. This success helped make the deeper danger less visible. A market crash had been contained as a market event while spending, prices and production kept falling.

From crash to contraction

President Herbert Hoover urged business leaders to maintain wages and investment. Congress accelerated some public construction, and the Federal Reserve reduced rates. These actions complicate the later caricature of a government doing nothing. They were too limited, too conditional and increasingly offset by other priorities. Hoover believed voluntary cooperation, local relief and sound public finance could support recovery without creating permanent federal dependence.

Businesses could not maintain payrolls when orders vanished. Consumers postponed durable purchases. Firms cut inventories and investment. Layoffs reduced household spending, which removed more orders. Industrial production fell across countries tied to American demand and finance. Wholesale prices declined, widening the gap between revenues and debts.

The Smoot-Hawley tariff became law in June 1930 after a long congressional struggle. Its broad rise in duties invited retaliation and damaged export interests, especially agriculture. Yet by then the downturn was well established. The tariff became one gear in a protectionist machine that included quotas, import licences, exchange restrictions and imperial preferences. Its greatest harm lay in reinforcing a shared belief that recovery could be imported by excluding someone else's goods.

For households, contraction arrived through sequences rather than statistics. Hours were cut before jobs disappeared. Savings covered rent until they ran out. Families took in lodgers, pooled the earnings of several members, postponed marriage, repaired goods and moved in search of work. Relief rules often required applicants to exhaust assets or submit to intrusive inspection. Unemployment meant loss of income, but also loss of status in societies that treated paid work as evidence of character.

The burden followed existing hierarchies. Black workers in the United States were concentrated in insecure jobs and faced discrimination in hiring and relief. Women were told that scarce jobs belonged to male breadwinners

even while families depended on women's wages, domestic labour and informal earnings. Agricultural and domestic workers, many of them Black, were excluded from major parts of early federal social insurance. The Depression did not suspend social power. It used it to allocate scarcity.

On the American plains, drought and damaged soils produced the Dust Bowl during the middle of the decade. Farm families faced crop failure, foreclosure and migration after years of weak prices and debt. The photographs of displaced workers became defining images of the Depression, but the environmental disaster should not be mistaken for the cause of the international collapse. It struck inside an economy whose credit, commodity markets and relief systems were already under severe strain.

Elsewhere, rural suffering often stayed outside the camera's frame. Cocoa, jute, coffee, rubber, wool and tin producers received less for exports while taxes, rents and imported necessities remained harder to reduce. Colonial marketing boards and currency links gave administrations tools to preserve official revenue and metropolitan payments. They also meant that adjustment reached households through lower producer prices and public cuts before it appeared in the accounts of London or Paris.

British India showed how a stronger external account could rest on private distress. Agricultural prices fell while land revenue, rent and debt service adjusted slowly. Rural households sold gold ornaments and hoards, and India became a net exporter of bullion. Those exports supplied foreign exchange and supported external payments within the sterling system. The balance of payments could therefore improve partly because households were liquidating stores of value. The pattern was not uniform across every region or seller, and bullion exports cannot be read as one direct transfer from every peasant to London. The broader point remains: part of the adjustment was pushed into villages and recorded as gold exports rather than as an overt sovereign default.

Panic crosses the Atlantic

The first large American banking panic began late in 1930. Failures in farm regions and the collapse of the Bank of United States in New York helped spread fear, though historians still debate how far each episode was driven by panic, insolvency or common economic losses. Depositors converted balances into currency. Banks responded by shrinking loans. The money supply contracted while the Federal Reserve failed to provide support on the scale later critics believed necessary.

A second wave followed in 1931. In May, Credit-Anstalt, Austria's largest bank, disclosed losses it could not absorb. The Austrian government and central bank arranged support, but rescue was delayed and complicated by foreign conditions. Depositors and creditors asked which institution or currency would be next. The crisis moved into Germany, where foreign funds had financed a large short-term position.

President Hoover proposed a one-year moratorium on intergovernmental debts and reparations in June. The gesture recognised that the payment chain was breaking, but negotiation consumed time and private withdrawals continued. In July, German banks closed temporarily and exchange controls followed. Capital could no longer move freely; neither could the losses. Austria and Germany were not isolated accidents. Hungary, several Balkan states and other debtors faced reserve shortages, banking pressure and demands for standstills on foreign claims. International committees could postpone payment and organise credits, but every negotiation revealed that nominal contracts exceeded the foreign exchange debtors could earn during collapsing trade.

Pressure then reached sterling. Britain ran external deficits, held limited gold reserves and carried short-term foreign liabilities because London remained a global financial centre. A budget crisis and political dispute over spending cuts damaged confidence. An international loan failed to stop reserve losses. On 21 September, the government suspended gold convertibility.

The feared humiliation produced no monetary apocalypse. Sterling

depreciated. Interest rates fell. Much of the empire and several trading partners followed sterling rather than gold. Britain gained room for cheap money and domestic recovery, although export districts in the north, Wales and Scotland continued to endure mass unemployment. Leaving a bad constraint did not erase geography or industrial decline.

The effect on countries still defending gold was harsher. Investors shifted funds towards gold and strong currencies. The United States lost gold after sterling's departure. The Federal Reserve raised rates in October 1931 to defend the dollar, deepening domestic contraction during bank stress. France and other gold-bloc countries accumulated reserves and delayed devaluation. The world had entered a contest in which safety for one balance sheet often required pain on another.

Governments choose losses

By 1932, depression was visible in shuttered factories, relief queues, farm auctions and collapsing public revenue. In the United States, historical estimates place unemployment near one-quarter of the labour force at the trough, though contemporary data were poor and later calculations differ. In Germany, millions were out of work and governments ruled increasingly through presidential decrees. Across primary-exporting regions, the price of what people sold had fallen much faster than many fixed taxes and debts.

Chancellor Heinrich Brüning's German government pursued budget cuts, tax increases and wage reduction while seeking an end to reparations. The policies reflected gold constraints, external debt and conservative economic doctrine. Brüning also hoped that visible sacrifice would strengthen Germany's case for ending reparations. The programme crushed demand and weakened parliamentary legitimacy. Economic misery enlarged the constituencies of both Nazis and Communists. It did not determine which movement would gain power; elite bargains, conservative miscalculation, institutional breakdown, nationalism and violence remained necessary parts of the result.

At Lausanne in 1932, European governments agreed in effect to end

German reparations, subject to conditions that were never fulfilled as designed. War debts to the United States remained politically unresolved. During 1933 and 1934, most European debtors defaulted on those obligations. The payment architecture built after the First World War had collapsed after helping transmit the second great crisis.

American voters replaced Hoover with Franklin Roosevelt in November 1932, but the transfer of office lasted until March. During the interregnum, banking distress intensified. States declared holidays as withdrawals accelerated. Gold left the banks and the country. On 6 March 1933, Roosevelt closed banks nationwide. Congress passed emergency legislation; sounder banks reopened under licence; federal support and public explanation changed expectations. The immediate run ended.

Roosevelt then broke the domestic link to gold and allowed the dollar to fall before fixing a lower gold value in 1934. Prices and expectations began to turn. The administration launched a torrent of measures covering relief, agriculture, industry, public works, securities, housing and banking. Some contradicted others. The Agricultural Adjustment Administration paid producers to restrict output in order to raise farm prices, even while many consumers needed cheaper food. The National Recovery Administration sought higher prices and wages through codes that often protected established firms. Experiment was both strength and disorder.

The clearest early successes came where policy solved a defined mechanism. The Civilian Conservation Corps put young men to work and sent wages home. Federal relief reduced destitution. Deposit insurance reduced the incentive for retail runs. Securities rules improved disclosure. Mortgage refinancing stopped some foreclosures. None supplied a complete macroeconomic cure, but each changed a channel through which collapse had been feeding itself.

Recovery without restoration

From 1933, world recovery was real and divided. The United States expanded rapidly but remained below full employment. Britain grew through cheap credit, housebuilding and consumer industries while depressed areas lagged. Sweden combined monetary flexibility, public works and institutional bargaining. Japan recovered quickly under currency depreciation, monetary expansion and deficit spending, then moved deeper into military mobilisation and empire.

France followed a later path. Its attachment to gold initially seemed rewarded because capital sought the franc. As other countries devalued and French prices remained high, exports and production weakened. Political division delayed departure until the Popular Front period in 1936. The gold bloc's experience supplied the clearest comparative evidence that defence of parity had prolonged contraction.

Many Latin American countries defaulted on foreign debts, rationed exchange and reduced imports. Domestic manufacturing expanded where imported goods became scarce or expensive. This was not a uniform industrial revolution. Larger states with markets, skills and administrative capacity could substitute more than small commodity economies. Recovery from export collapse could coexist with authoritarian politics and unequal land ownership.

Germany's recovery after 1933 was tied to dictatorship. The regime destroyed independent unions, controlled wages and foreign exchange, financed public works, introduced conscription and shifted resources towards rearmament. Unemployment fell, but consumer choice and living standards were subordinated to military preparation. Counting jobs without asking what institutions created them would turn coercion into a technical policy recommendation.

The American recession of 1937-1938 exposed the remaining fragility. Reduced federal spending and higher taxes lowered fiscal support; tighter reserve policy and Treasury gold sterilisation limited monetary expansion.

The relative weight of these policies and of private investment weakness remains disputed. Industrial production dropped sharply. Policy then reversed again. The episode remains a warning that the end of financial panic is not the end of deficient demand.

By 1939, the old liberal economic order had not returned. Exchange controls, tariff walls, bilateral deals and imperial blocs organised trade. Governments accepted wider responsibility for employment, banking and welfare, but cooperation was weak and authoritarian powers channelled recovery towards war. There is no single end date for the Depression. It changes with the measure: output, prices, unemployment, banking stability or political emergency. The world economy recovered its capacity to produce before it recovered a shared set of rules.

How we know

The Depression left an unusually large statistical and documentary record, but the evidence is uneven. Central banks, the League of Nations, governments and the International Labour Office collected data on prices, production, trade, reserves, banking and unemployment. Their categories differ across countries and often changed during the crisis. A fall in the money value of trade cannot be treated as the same thing as a fall in physical volume. Unemployment estimates depend on who counted as seeking work and whether relief workers were classified as employed.

Causal claims come from timing, institutional detail and comparison as well as national time series. The link between leaving gold and recovery appears across countries and has a clear monetary mechanism, yet departure was often bundled with devaluation, bank support, capital controls and fiscal change. Bank failures plainly destroyed credit in the United States, but Canada's severe slump without bank failure shows that finance cannot explain the whole world depression. Archival research has also weakened simple stories of either irrational runs or universal bank insolvency.

Ordinary experience is documented through relief records, surveys, photographs, letters and later oral histories. Each source selects. Official

records see applicants through administrative categories; photographs frame; memories change. They still establish what aggregate output cannot: economic adjustment was lived as negotiation inside households, workplaces and unequal political systems.

What People Get Wrong

"The Wall Street crash caused the Depression"

The crash is persuasive as a complete cause because it offers a date, a spectacle and a familiar moral about greed. It also happened at the centre of the world's largest creditor economy. Yet American production had begun falling before late October 1929, foreign lending was already weakening and several economies carried older agricultural, industrial and debt problems.

The crash mattered by reducing wealth, increasing uncertainty, damaging collateral and accelerating cuts in durable consumption and investment. What it cannot explain alone is the depth, duration and geography of the slump. Banking panics, monetary contraction, gold-standard defence, debt-deflation, fiscal retrenchment and trade fragmentation turned a downturn into a global system failure. The correction matters because preventing bubbles is not the same task as stopping a recession from becoming a depression. It also changes responsibility. Once the crash is treated as sufficient, later central-bank choices, bank structures, debt contracts and international rules become mere aftermath. In fact, those institutions decided whether lost confidence would be contained or compounded. A crash-centred story ends where the harder causal history begins. It also mistakes the price of one asset class for the condition of all incomes.

"The 1920s were prosperous until the music stopped"

Cars, radios, skyscrapers and rising American shares dominate the decade's image. They were real. So were indebted farms, weak commodity prices, British depressed industries and a German recovery reliant on foreign credit. Prosperity varied by sector, region, race, class and country. The world economy expanded while carrying unresolved war debts and fixed exchange rates that had not been tested by a severe fall in income.

This does not mean the boom was fake. New technologies raised productivity and changed daily life. The error is to treat aggregate growth as proof that all balance sheets were sound. Vulnerability often accumulates during expansion because rising income makes refinancing easy and hides who depends on continuing credit. The Depression did not interrupt a uniformly healthy world. It exposed the conditions under which the 1920s had worked. Booms can create useful capacity and hidden dependence at the same time. The relevant audit is therefore not whether growth was real, but which incomes, prices and refinancing flows had to continue for existing debts and investments to remain viable. Prosperity should be stress-tested against interruption, not judged only by its peak. Weak edges matter because downturns travel first through borrowers unable to refinance.

"Only the Second World War ended the Depression"

The claim captures one secure fact: in the United States, wartime mobilisation completed the return to full employment. Federal spending, military orders, directed credit, conscription and labour demand absorbed resources on a scale the New Deal had never reached. Similar mobilisation transformed other economies.

It does not follow that destruction was the cure or that nothing had recovered before 1939. Britain, Japan, Sweden, several Latin American countries and the United States had already regained substantial output after changing monetary and financial regimes, though unemployment,

regional distress and household insecurity often persisted. War then altered the measure as well as the level of activity. Tanks and ammunition count as production, while rationing can limit civilian consumption. Mobilisation can remove unemployment through conscription and controls while imposing enormous losses outside the national accounts.

The correction matters because it separates mechanism from circumstance. The war demonstrated the effect of public demand, monetary accommodation and administrative mobilisation at vast scale. It did not demonstrate that peacetime policy lacked other routes to higher employment, or that bombing and death created wealth. In the American case, war completed labour-market recovery. Across the world, it also destroyed people, homes and productive capital.

"Smoot-Hawley caused the whole disaster"

The tariff deserves its bad reputation. It raised American duties in 1930, encouraged retaliation and injured exporters at a moment when debtors needed foreign sales. It strengthened political forces pushing the world towards quotas, preferences and bilateral bargains. Trade policy made international cooperation harder.

It was not the master switch. The American recession and stock crash came first. Gold constraints, falling demand, financial retreat and deflation explain much of the collapse in trade, including the huge fall in its money value. Several countries used barriers beyond tariffs, and their effects differed by commodity and partner. Removing Smoot-Hawley would have helped without repairing banks or reversing monetary contraction. The correction matters because a famous policy error can become an excuse to ignore quieter mechanisms with greater force. Tariffs also affected countries unevenly. An exporter facing a new barrier suffered directly; a sheltered producer might gain market share. The system-wide harm came through retaliation, reduced foreign earnings and the political abandonment of multilateral adjustment. Trade barriers were accelerants inside a fire already spreading through money and credit. Their place in the explanation is important and bounded.

"The New Deal either cured the Depression or failed completely"

This argument compresses many programmes into one verdict. Bank reopening, deposit insurance and monetary regime change helped end panic and begin recovery. Relief and work programmes prevented suffering and built assets. Securities, labour, housing and social-insurance reforms changed American institutions. Some industrial and agricultural policies restricted output or protected insiders. Fiscal expansion was modest relative to the unused capacity of the economy, and unemployment stayed high.

The United States grew rapidly after 1933, then relapsed in 1937. War mobilisation completed the move to full employment. None of those facts requires calling the New Deal either cure or fraud. Its monetary, relief, recovery and reform components had different aims and results. Policy should be judged mechanism by mechanism, not as a party label. The argument also changes with the outcome selected. Deposit stability, destitution prevented, real output, private investment, unemployment and constitutional change are separate tests. A programme can pass one and fail another without the evidence becoming contradictory. Asking one word, success or failure, to cover all six measures creates the confusion. The package contained competing theories of recovery as well as separate purposes.

"Everyone suffered in roughly the same way"

A national unemployment rate hides who had secure savings, who owed money, who lost work first and who could claim relief. Debtors were squeezed by falling prices while cash holders gained purchasing power. Black Americans, migrants and colonial subjects met older discrimination inside new scarcity. Women could be excluded from public jobs as supposed secondary earners while their unpaid and paid labour kept households alive. Regions dependent on coal, shipbuilding or one crop remained depressed after national output rose.

Countries differed too. Canada avoided bank failures but not collapse.

Britain recovered earlier after leaving gold, with sharp regional gaps. Commodity exporters lost purchasing power through prices. The Soviet Union avoided much capitalist transmission while undergoing state-made famine. Distribution is part of causation: rules about wages, debt, tax, relief and empire decided whose attempt to adjust would preserve one claim by destroying another income. This is why household and regional evidence belongs inside an economic explanation. Unequal losses altered consumption, migration, voting, bargaining power and the political durability of recovery policies. The average depression existed nowhere as a lived condition. National recovery could therefore coexist with communities that remained trapped in depression.

"The Depression made Hitler and world war inevitable"

German mass unemployment, deflation and austerity helped destroy support for parliamentary government and enlarged extremist electorates. The Depression was a major enabling condition for Nazi success. It did not appoint Hitler chancellor by economic formula. Conservative elites, presidential government, political violence, nationalism, antisemitism, fear of communism and repeated strategic miscalculation shaped the route to January 1933.

Other depressed democracies did not choose fascism, and German voters were never one undivided Nazi bloc. Once in power, the regime's recovery through controls and rearmament linked economics to aggression, but war remained a sequence of political decisions. The distinction guards against fatalism. Economic collapse widens the range of outcomes people will accept; institutions and organised movements decide which outcome wins. Counterfactual humility matters here. Without the Depression, Nazi success on the same scale becomes much harder to explain. With the Depression alone, Hitler's appointment and the later war still do not follow without contingent political choices. Economic pressure changed probabilities; it did not abolish agency. Fatalism lets decision-makers disappear behind the economy they helped shape.

Use It

Map the claims

When a financial system looks confusing, list its claims before admiring its assets. Who can demand cash today? Which debts are fixed in money? Which exchange rate is guaranteed? Which public obligation is treated as untouchable? For each one, identify the income or refinancing flow that makes payment possible.

The claims will differ in law, maturity and legitimacy. A household mortgage is not a reparation bill, and a bank deposit is not a government exchange-rate promise. They can still become connected through balance sheets. The interwar payment chain looked manageable while new American lending filled its gaps. Once income and credit fell, enforcing one claim often required someone else to spend less.

Stress-test the collection rather than each contract in isolation. What must remain true for every short-term creditor to be repaid, every deposit to be converted and every long-term debt to stay at face value? If the answer requires permanent growth, rolling credit and no simultaneous demand for liquidity, the structure carries more risk than its documents disclose. Then find the mechanism for revision. Can maturity be extended, principal reduced, payment suspended, deposits guaranteed or losses allocated before a run begins? A durable system needs a credible route for changing claims when the income beneath them changes. That is institutional design, not an excuse for casual default.

Find the forced adjuster

Economic systems rarely ask all participants to adjust equally. Under gold, a country losing reserves faced a deadline; a country accumulating gold did not. A debtor missing payment could be closed or foreclosed; a creditor could often wait. A worker needed wages each week while an owner with cash could delay investment. Formal symmetry hid practical asymmetry.

Look for the participant who cannot say no. That actor will cut imports,

wages, employment or consumption first, passing contraction onwards. Then look for the participant with room to expand but no obligation to do so. The Depression deepened because deficit countries were compelled to deflate while surplus countries could hoard. Any proposed adjustment rule should be tested for this bias: does it force action on the side already shrinking while leaving the stronger side voluntary? Also ask whether the stronger side benefits from the weaker side's contraction. A creditor gaining repayment or a surplus country gaining reserves may have little private incentive to supply the expansion the system needs. Symmetric language can preserve asymmetric power. System stability often fails between capacity to act and any duty to act.

Separate trigger, amplifier and constraint

A trigger changes direction. An amplifier increases the movement. A constraint blocks the escape. The 1929 crash was a trigger and spending shock. Bank failures amplified contraction by destroying deposits and credit relationships. Gold constrained monetary rescue. Debt-deflation connected each round to the next. Protection and capital controls transmitted or redirected losses.

This classification improves causal arguments. People often promote the most visible event into the sole cause or treat one mechanism as sufficient everywhere. Canada's slump shows that bank failure was unnecessary for a severe contraction. America's banking collapse shows that it could still add enormous damage. The right question is not which single explanation wins. It is which mechanism performed which job, in which country, at which stage. Build the sequence before assigning weights. An amplifier that arrives late can explain the depth of collapse without explaining its start; a constraint can matter most through policies never attempted because officials believed it binding. Constraints operate through beliefs and procedures as well as statutes. The sequence prevents late amplifiers from being mistaken for original causes.

Watch nominal contracts when prices fall

A contract written in pounds, dollars or marks is nominal. The effort needed to honour it depends on prices and income. During inflation, the real burden of a fixed debt may shrink. During deflation, it rises. This is why lower prices cannot be judged only from the buyer's side. The shop's bargain may be the farmer's insolvency and the bank's bad loan.

Whenever prices are falling, compare the speed of adjustment in revenues, wages, taxes, interest and principal. Slow-moving liabilities can crush fast-falling income. A policy that celebrates lower costs while ignoring balance sheets may worsen the system it intends to cleanse. The Depression teaches a precise caution: liquidation can reduce one debt while forcing asset prices down enough to damage many other borrowers and lenders. For any proposed deleveraging, identify the buyer of the assets and the source of repayment income. If both disappear during the sale, private balance-sheet repair may contract the public economy. Solvency cannot be audited without a path for income. Every distressed sale needs a buyer, funding and future income behind the price.

Measure recovery across several ledgers

Output, employment, household security, financial stability and political freedom can move in different directions. Britain recovered national output while depressed industrial regions lagged. The United States grew quickly after 1933 while millions remained unemployed. Germany reduced unemployment while dismantling labour rights and preparing for war. A country can repair banks without restoring investment, or restore production by directing it towards coercive ends.

A single aggregate is useful only after its job is specified. Gross output answers how much was produced, not who received income or whether the production improved welfare. Unemployment answers labour absorption, but its historical measurement can exclude discouraged workers, relief workers or unpaid family labour. Financial calm may reflect confidence, guarantees, repression or capital controls. Recovery is a set of claims

requiring separate evidence, not a ceremonial date at which a nation becomes well. Compare levels with the pre-crisis path as well as growth from the trough. Fast percentage gains after collapse can coexist with lost years of output, damaged health, missed education and careers that never regain their previous course. A return to trend growth does not repay the lost level. Report the recovered level, its distribution and the institutions used to reach it.

The limits

The Great Depression offers mechanisms, not a reusable script. Modern currencies, deposit insurance, central banks, welfare states, global supply chains and financial instruments differ from those of 1929. A floating exchange rate removes one constraint while creating others. Deposit insurance reduces retail runs but can encourage risk if supervision is weak. Emergency spending can support demand while later creating inflation or debt problems under different conditions.

Historical analogy becomes dangerous when labels replace balance sheets. Falling shares do not guarantee depression. A large public debt does not equal German reparations. A fixed exchange rate may be credible and useful until the conditions supporting it change. The responsible transfer is to ask the Depression's questions about promises, adjustment, money, banks and distribution, then answer them with current evidence. Analogy should generate hypotheses, not verdicts. The moment an old label supplies the conclusion before present institutions and data are examined, history has become costume rather than analysis. Similar headlines can conceal opposite monetary and institutional settings. A useful analogy remains open to rejection when current facts differ.

The one thing to keep

The Great Depression should permanently change how you recognise safety. Gold convertibility, balanced budgets, full debt payment and liquid bank deposits each signalled discipline. Defending them all during a general fall in income made the system less able to survive. Institutions protected their credibility by destroying the cash flows on which credibility depended.

So when every participant is cutting risk at once, do not add up their intentions and call the result secure. Trace the lost spending. Ask whose asset is another person's liability, who is forced to adjust and which claim prevents repair. The world economy fell apart when private and public acts of self-protection became a common contraction. The turn came when governments accepted that preserving the system could require altering the claims imposed on it. That insight is uncomfortable because it denies a clean division between honour and default. The practical task in a crisis is to preserve the network of useful exchange while changing obligations that the network can no longer carry. The choice is rarely between enforcing every contract and abandoning all of them. Managed revision may preserve exchange more faithfully than rigid enforcement that destroys it during a general contraction.

Terms

Aggregate demand. Total spending on goods and services by households, firms, governments and foreign buyers. During the Depression, each group's retrenchment reduced another group's income, making weak demand cumulative.

Balance of payments. The record of a country's transactions with the rest of the world. Persistent deficits drain reserves under fixed exchange rates unless financed by borrowing or offset by adjustment.

Bank holiday. A temporary official closure of banks. Roosevelt's March 1933 holiday stopped withdrawals while institutions were examined, supported and licensed to reopen, changing expectations about the safety

of waiting.

Bank run. A rush by depositors to withdraw cash. Because banks lend much of their deposits, even a bank with valuable long-term assets can fail to meet simultaneous demands.

Capital flight. Rapid movement of funds away from a country, currency or institution thought unsafe. It can exhaust reserves and force interest-rate rises, controls, devaluation or default.

Central bank. An institution managing currency, reserves and monetary conditions, often with responsibility for financial stability. Interwar central banks varied in powers, doctrine, ownership and freedom from government.

Commodity price. The market price of a standardised raw material or foodstuff such as wheat, coffee, copper or rubber. Collapsing commodity prices devastated export earnings and debtor balance sheets.

Convertibility. A promise to exchange one form of money for another at a stated rate. Gold convertibility constrained how freely authorities could create money or support banks.

Credit. Purchasing power supplied now in return for future repayment. Credit depends on expected income, collateral and trust, so it can disappear faster than physical productive capacity.

Debt-deflation. Fisher's mechanism in which falling prices increase real debt burdens, provoking distress sales, bankruptcies, bank losses and further falls in spending and prices.

Devaluation. An official reduction in a currency's fixed external value. Under gold, devaluation could restore monetary room and competitiveness while increasing the domestic burden of foreign-currency debt.

Deficit country. A country paying more abroad than it receives over the relevant account. Under gold, reserve loss forced deficit countries to adjust sooner than surplus countries.

Deflation. A sustained fall in the general price level. It raises the purchasing

power of money but can increase real debts, delay spending and squeeze profits and wages.

Deposit insurance. A guarantee protecting eligible bank deposits up to a limit. It reduces the incentive to run, while requiring supervision and resolution rules to contain reckless risk-taking.

Discount rate. The interest rate a central bank charges on certain loans to banks. Raising it could defend gold reserves but also tighten credit and weaken domestic activity.

Exchange control. Government restriction or rationing of foreign-currency transactions. Controls conserved scarce reserves and prevented flight, while fragmenting payments and giving the state power over trade and investment.

Fiscal policy. Government decisions about spending, taxation and borrowing. In the early 1930s, balanced-budget efforts often removed demand; later deficits and public works supported differing recoveries.

Gold bloc. France and other countries that maintained gold parities after sterling and the dollar had changed course. Their delayed exit was associated with later and deeper contraction.

Gold-exchange standard. An international system in which currencies were tied to gold while central banks also held reserve currencies convertible into gold. Confidence in those currencies became a systemic fault line.

Lender of last resort. An authority prepared to lend against sound assets during panic when private funding disappears. Delay or refusal can turn liquidity pressure into fire sales and failure.

Liquidity. The ability to meet payments quickly without selling assets at damaging prices. A bank can be solvent in long-run value yet illiquid during a run.

Money supply. The stock of currency and spendable bank deposits,

defined in several ways. Bank failures, cash hoarding and tight central-bank policy reduced it during the contraction.

Open-market operations. Central-bank purchases or sales of securities used to alter bank reserves and monetary conditions. During the Depression, their scale, coordination and relation to gold constraints became central points of later criticism and empirical dispute in monetary history.

Nominal and real. Nominal values are stated in current money; real values adjust for prices. A fixed nominal debt becomes larger in real terms when income and prices fall.

Protectionism. Policies favouring domestic producers by restricting imports, including tariffs, quotas and preferences. Such measures can redirect demand while provoking retaliation and obstructing international debt payment.

Reflation. Policy intended to reverse deflation and restore prices or nominal income towards a previous path. It can reduce real debt burdens and change expectations about future spending.

Reparations. Payments imposed on defeated states for war damage and costs. German reparations became linked to Allied war debts and American lending during the 1920s.

Reserve currency. A currency held by central banks and used for international settlement. Under the interwar gold-exchange system, doubts about sterling or dollars could trigger reserve conversion and contagion.

Sterilisation. Action preventing gold or reserve flows from changing domestic money and credit. Sterilising inflows allowed surplus countries to accumulate reserves without providing the expansion expected under textbook adjustment.

Terms of trade. The price of a country's exports relative to its imports. When commodity export prices fell faster than manufactured import prices, producers had to sell more to buy less.

Go Deeper

Liaquat Ahamed, *Lords of Finance: The Bankers Who Broke the World* (2009). Start here for an inviting narrative built around Montagu Norman, Benjamin Strong, Hjalmar Schacht and Émile Moreau. Ahamed makes central banking, reparations and gold readable without removing their human politics. The biographical frame can give a few powerful men more explanatory weight than institutions, electorates and ordinary balance sheets deserve, so treat it as a strong entrance rather than the final causal verdict. Pair its portraits with comparative data and the voices of people whose choices never reached a central-bank boardroom.

Studs Terkel, *Hard Times: An Oral History of the Great Depression* (1970). Read this after the macroeconomics to hear how unemployment, relief, migration, shame, solidarity and opportunism were remembered by Americans who lived through them. The voices prevent national output from becoming the whole event. The interviews were conducted decades later, memory is selective and the book is centred on the United States, but those limits are part of learning how lived history differs from a statistical series. Read across witnesses rather than mining one recollection for a universal experience, and notice how later success or failure reshaped remembered hardship.

Barry Eichengreen, *Golden Fetters: The Gold Standard and the Great Depression, 1919-1939* (1992). This is the major study behind the book's international monetary spine. It explains how the restored gold standard transmitted contraction, constrained central banks and linked recovery to departure from fixed parity. It is denser than Ahamed and assumes patience with monetary institutions. Its gold-centred interpretation is powerful because it organises comparative evidence, not because gold erases banking, fiscal politics or domestic demand. Its greatest reward is comparative discipline: the same international constraint met different domestic institutions, and the timing of release becomes evidence rather than anecdote.

Dietmar Rothermund, *The Global Impact of the Great Depression, 1929-1939* (1996). Use this to escape the Atlantic-centred version.

Rothermund follows commodity prices, colonial structures, trade and policy across Asia, Africa and Latin America, showing how the crisis reached producers far from Wall Street. Its geographical range requires compression and some regional literatures have advanced since publication. Even so, it asks the necessary question missing from many accounts: what did a world depression mean outside the countries that wrote most of its early histories? Keep a map beside you. The book's argument becomes clearer when coffee, jute, rubber, tin and wool are followed from producing districts into imperial budgets and foreign-exchange accounts.

Notes and Sources

The notes are arranged in book order. They identify the main evidential foundations, the measurements that need care and the interpretations that remain contested. Routine dates and institutional facts are consolidated rather than footnoted sentence by sentence.

The Whole Thing in One Page

The account of the postwar payment chain draws on the United States Department of State's reconstruction of the Dawes and Young Plans, Ahamed's narrative of interwar central bankers, Kindleberger's international synthesis and Eichengreen's analysis of the restored gold standard. The Dawes settlement lowered and rescheduled German payments while an American loan and subsequent private lending supplied the foreign currency with which Germany could pay reparations. Britain and France could then service war debts owed to the United States. This did not make every payment a circular transfer or erase domestic taxation, but it created a fragile dependence on continuing American credit.

The estimate that thousands of American banks disappeared is based on the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's retrospective history. Contemporary statistics distinguish suspensions, failures, mergers and

institutions later reopened, so the manuscript avoids treating every suspension as a permanent insolvency. The wider claim is secure: recurrent runs and closures destroyed deposits, interrupted payments and damaged credit intermediation on a scale large enough to deepen contraction.

The comparative link between leaving gold and recovery follows Eichengreen and Sachs, Bernanke's cross-country work, and Eichengreen's later synthesis. Departure was not an isolated treatment applied to identical economies. It often arrived with depreciation, monetary expansion, capital controls, banking intervention or altered fiscal expectations. The manuscript therefore presents earlier recovery after release from gold as a strong comparative pattern with a clear mechanism, not as a claim that one announcement mechanically cured every economy.

Why You Should Care

The discussion of asymmetric adjustment uses Kindleberger, Eichengreen, Clavin, James and Rothermund. Their accounts differ in emphasis, but they converge on a system in which deficit countries faced sharper pressure to cut imports, wages and credit than surplus countries faced to expand. French and American gold accumulation did not alone create global scarcity, yet it reduced the monetary room available elsewhere under fixed convertibility.

Britain's suspension of gold in September 1931 is confirmed by the Bank of England's institutional history. The chronology of Austria, Germany and Britain follows Clavin and Kindleberger, checked against Bernanke and James. The sequence matters because it shows how a banking disturbance in one country could become a currency and reserve problem in another.

Claims about unequal experience draw on Greenberg for African Americans, Kessler-Harris for women's wage work, Katznelson for the distributive structure of later American social policy, Rothermund for colonial and commodity-producing regions, and Terkel for remembered household experience. Oral history supplies texture rather than population estimates. National averages remain necessary, but they do not describe a single

representative victim.

The Core Ideas

The peace became a payment chain. The diplomatic architecture and payment figures are based on the Office of the Historian, the League of Nations' economic surveys, Ahamed, Kindleberger and Eichengreen. Late-1923 currency stabilisation is separated from the 1924 Dawes settlement to avoid crediting the plan with an event that preceded it. Reparations and war debts are treated as important structural liabilities rather than the sole cause of the Depression. Their importance lay in interaction with private lending, political legitimacy and limited willingness to reduce claims.

Gold made credibility contractionary. The central account follows Eichengreen, Bernanke and James, Temin, and Eichengreen and Temin. Under the interwar gold standard, reserve losses could induce higher interest rates, credit restraint and fiscal retrenchment. The response varied with central-bank practice, banking structure and political authority. Gold constrained policy because governments and markets expected convertibility to be defended, not because metal physically dictated every decision.

The crash was a trigger, not a mechanism. Romer's study supports the claim that the 1929 market collapse raised uncertainty and depressed consumer spending on durable goods. Friedman and Schwartz, Temin, Bernanke and later comparative scholarship show why the crash alone cannot explain the depth, duration or international variation of the slump. The manuscript distinguishes a dramatic initiating shock from the banking, monetary, debt and policy mechanisms that propagated it.

Banks destroyed more than money. Bernanke's 1983 article is the key source for the credit-intermediation mechanism: failed banks and damaged borrower relationships made external finance scarcer and more expensive even beyond the fall in the money stock. FDIC history supports the American institutional sequence. Canada is retained as the counterexample.

Bordo, Redish and Rockoff show that Canada escaped a comparable banking panic while suffering a severe depression, demonstrating that bank collapse was a major amplifier without being a necessary condition for deep contraction.

Deflation made yesterday's debts heavier. Fisher's 1933 debt-deflation essay supplies the classic mechanism. When prices and incomes fall faster than nominal debts, real debt burdens rise, forced sales depress asset prices and defaults impair lenders. Later scholarship disputes whether Fisher's full sequence explains every episode, but the balance-sheet arithmetic and amplification channel are central to the 1930s.

Contraction crossed borders through trade and finance. League of Nations surveys, Kindleberger, James, Rothermund and Eichengreen and Irwin support the account of falling trade, private lending retreat, protection and bilateral control. A fall in the money value of world trade combined lower quantities with lower prices and changed exchange rates. The manuscript avoids presenting a nominal trade collapse as the same thing as an equal fall in physical volume.

Recovery began when rules changed. Eichengreen and Sachs, Romer, Eggertsson and country studies support the emphasis on monetary regime change, altered expectations, deposit protection and debt adjustment. Fiscal policy mattered unevenly because its size, timing and financing differed. American output recovery after 1933 and persistent unemployment are treated as different ledgers. The 1937 relapse is evidence against any account in which recovery became automatic once Roosevelt took office.

Chronology and evidence

The chronology from postwar settlement through 1929 uses Ahamed, Kindleberger, Eichengreen, Clavin and Feinstein, Temin and Toniolo. The account of the Federal Reserve's late-1920s turn and subsequent failures follows Friedman and Schwartz, Romer, Bernanke and Federal Reserve History. These works disagree over the relative weights of monetary contraction, autonomous spending decline and financial disruption. The narrative preserves that disagreement while showing how the mechanisms reinforced one another.

American unemployment estimates vary because historical series reconstruct a labour market before modern monthly surveys and differ over whether relief workers count as employed. Lebergott's series produces the familiar peak near one quarter of the labour force; Darby's alternative treatment of work-relief participants lowers later estimates. The manuscript uses "about a quarter" for the 1933 peak and keeps output, employment and relief distinct.

The European banking sequence is based on Clavin, Kindleberger, Eichengreen and Bernanke and James. Austria's Credit-Anstalt crisis was an important confidence shock, although historians dispute how far it was the independent cause of later failures rather than a visible break in a system already strained. German austerity, banking controls and political breakdown are treated with Clavin, Tooze, de Bromhead, Eichengreen and O'Rourke, and the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. Economic collapse helped enlarge the Nazi opportunity. It did not remove the agency of conservative elites, parties, voters or President Hindenburg.

The global material relies especially on Rothermund's global study, his detailed work on India, Tirthankar Roy's later synthesis and League of Nations surveys. Commodity exporters faced sharply falling prices, reduced tax and foreign-exchange receipts, and pressure to expand production into weak markets. Governments responded through devaluation, defaults, quotas, imperial preference, exchange control and import substitution. The India passage distinguishes the documented bullion-export pattern from a

universal claim about every region, household or reason for sale. Because colonial regimes and commodity structures differed, no one peasant or exporter experience is treated as universal.

The Soviet comparison follows Davies and Wheatcroft. The Soviet Union was less exposed to the capitalist banking chain, but forced collectivisation, procurement policy and famine make it unusable as a clean example of humane insulation from depression. Japan's monetary departure, fiscal expansion, exchange-rate change and military procurement are treated as a bundle. The chronology does not credit one ministerial decision with every part of Japanese recovery or separate recovery from imperial aggression.

The American New Deal sequence draws on Kennedy, Romer, Eggertsson, Friedman and Schwartz, FDIC history and Federal Reserve History. Bank stabilisation, monetary expansion, relief, public works, regulation and social insurance had different objectives and effects. Agricultural and industrial programmes also restricted output or distributed benefits unevenly. The 1937-1938 relapse is treated as multicausal, with fiscal tightening, reserve-policy changes and Treasury gold sterilisation among the restrictive forces. The manuscript therefore avoids one verdict for the entire package.

How we know. Interwar data were built from tax records, production reports, trade returns, price quotations, bank statements, censuses and retrospective estimates. Definitions differ across countries and series. A bank suspension is not always a final failure; registered unemployment is not the same as survey unemployment; national income was reconstructed before modern accounting conventions were standardised; and nominal trade values combine prices, exchange rates and quantities. Comparative timing is often more reliable than a spurious decimal. Strong causal claims rest on several forms of evidence: accounting identities, documented institutional rules, chronological reversals, cross-country contrasts and mechanisms visible in balance sheets. None provides a controlled experiment. The largest unresolved question is the relative weight of interacting forces, not whether monetary contraction, banking distress, debt-deflation and international transmission existed.

What People Get Wrong

The correction to the Wall Street-only account follows Romer, Friedman and Schwartz, Temin, Bernanke and Eichengreen. The correction to the picture of uniform 1920s prosperity draws on agricultural weakness, household credit and international payment strains documented by Kennedy, Kindleberger, Ahamed and League of Nations surveys.

Hoover's administration is treated neither as inactive nor adequate in the chronology. Kennedy documents public works, lending institutions, voluntary coordination, tariff policy and the Reconstruction Finance Corporation alongside resistance to direct federal relief and sustained deficit spending. The chronology therefore describes Hoover as activist by earlier federal standards but constrained by scale, doctrine and institutional reach.

The tariff correction rests on Irwin and Eichengreen and Irwin. Smoot-Hawley raised duties and encouraged retaliation, but trade contraction had already begun and was driven by falling demand, prices, finance and exchange restrictions as well as tariffs. The New Deal correction uses Kennedy, Romer, Eggertsson and the official banking record. Its monetary, banking, relief, regulatory and output-restricting elements cannot be graded as one intervention. The wartime correction uses Romer's work on recovery and the distinction between output, employment and civilian welfare. It treats wartime mobilisation as the completion of American full-employment recovery, not proof that destruction was the productive mechanism.

The distribution correction uses Greenberg, Kessler-Harris, Katznelson, Rothermund, Terkel and Worster. The political correction uses de Bromhead, Eichengreen and O'Rourke, Tooze and the Holocaust Encyclopedia. These sources support a probabilistic claim: depression strengthened extremist opportunities under some institutional conditions. They do not support inevitability or a universal path from unemployment to dictatorship.

Use It

The analytical lenses are historical deductions rather than forecasts. They arise from the documented interaction of balance sheets, fixed nominal claims, reserve rules and forced adjustment. A present-day system may have fiat money, deposit insurance, floating exchange rates, stronger automatic stabilisers or different creditor structures. The lenses are useful only after those differences are tested. Their purpose is to improve the questions asked of a crisis, not to rename every recession "another 1930s".

Terms

Definitions of the gold standard, convertibility, reserve loss, devaluation and exchange control follow the usage in Eichengreen, Bernanke and James, and League of Nations sources. Banking terms follow FDIC and Federal Reserve usage, with the warning that historical "failure" and "suspension" are not interchangeable. Debt-deflation follows Fisher. Fiscal and monetary terms use their ordinary historical-economic meanings rather than later legal definitions tied to one jurisdiction.

Go Deeper

Publication details were checked against publisher, library or scholarly catalogue records. Ahamed was first published by Penguin Press in 2009. Terkel's *Hard Times* was first published by Pantheon in 1970. Eichengreen's *Golden Fetters* was published by Oxford University Press in 1992. Rothermund's global study was published by Routledge in 1996. The recommendations are chosen for distinct purposes: narrative entry, lived testimony, monetary interpretation and geographical breadth.

Bibliography

Primary, official and contemporaneous sources

Bank of England. "History: Gold Standard Suspended." Institutional history page. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Great Britain. *Gold Standard (Amendment) Act 1931*, 21 & 22 Geo. 5, c. 46.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. *Banking and Monetary Statistics, 1914-1941*. Washington, DC: Board of Governors, 1943.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. *The First Fifty Years: A History of the FDIC, 1933-1983*. Washington, DC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 1984.

Federal Reserve History. "Banking Act of 1933." Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Federal Reserve History. "Banking Panics of 1930-31." Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Federal Reserve History. "The Great Depression." Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Federal Reserve History. "Recession of 1937-38." Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Fisher, Irving. "The Debt-Deflation Theory of Great Depressions." *Econometrica* 1, no. 4 (1933): 337-357.

League of Nations, Economic Intelligence Service. *World Economic Survey, 1932-33*. Geneva: League of Nations, 1933.

United States Bureau of the Census. *Historical Statistics of the United States, Colonial Times to 1970*. Bicentennial edition. Washington, DC: United States Government Printing Office, 1975.

United States Department of State, Office of the Historian. "The Dawes Plan, the Young Plan, German Reparations, and Inter-Allied War Debts." Accessed 2 September 2026.

United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. "The Great Depression." *Holocaust Encyclopedia*. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Modern scholarship and interpretation

Ahamed, Liaquat. *Lords of Finance: The Bankers Who Broke the World*. New York: Penguin Press, 2009.

Bernanke, Ben S. "Nonmonetary Effects of the Financial Crisis in the Propagation of the Great Depression." *American Economic Review* 73, no. 3 (1983): 257-276.

Bernanke, Ben S. "The Macroeconomics of the Great Depression: A Comparative Approach." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 27, no. 1 (1995): 1-28.

Bernanke, Ben S., and Harold James. "The Gold Standard, Deflation, and Financial Crisis in the Great Depression: An International Comparison." In *Financial Markets and Financial Crises*, edited by R. Glenn Hubbard, 33-68. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1991.

Bordo, Michael D., Angela Redish, and Hugh Rockoff. "Why Didn't Canada Have a Banking Crisis in 2008 (or in 1930, or 1907, or ...)?" *Economic History Review* 68, no. 1 (2015): 218-243.

Clavin, Patricia. *The Great Depression in Europe, 1929-1939*. Basingstoke: Macmillan, 2000.

Darby, Michael R. "Three-and-a-Half Million U.S. Employees Have Been Misplaced: Or, an Explanation of Unemployment, 1934-1941." *Journal of Political Economy* 84, no. 1 (1976): 1-16.

Davies, R. W., and Stephen G. Wheatcroft. *The Years of Hunger: Soviet Agriculture, 1931-1933*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004.

de Bromhead, Alan, Barry Eichengreen, and Kevin H. O'Rourke. "Political Extremism in the 1920s and 1930s: Do German Lessons Generalize?" *Journal of Economic History* 73, no. 2 (2013): 371-406.

Eichengreen, Barry. *Golden Fetters: The Gold Standard and the Great Depression, 1919-1939*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1992.

Eichengreen, Barry, and Douglas A. Irwin. "The Slide to Protectionism in the Great Depression: Who Succumbed and Why?" *Journal of Economic History* 70, no. 4 (2010): 871-897.

Eichengreen, Barry, and Jeffrey Sachs. "Exchange Rates and Economic Recovery in the 1930s." *Journal of Economic History* 45, no. 4 (1985): 925-946.

Eichengreen, Barry, and Peter Temin. "The Gold Standard and the Great Depression." *Contemporary European History* 9, no. 2 (2000): 183-207.

Eggertsson, Gauthi B. "Great Expectations and the End of the Depression." *American Economic Review* 98, no. 4 (2008): 1476-1516.

Feinstein, Charles H., Peter Temin, and Gianni Toniolo. *The World Economy between the World Wars*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.

Friedman, Milton, and Anna Jacobson Schwartz. *A Monetary History of the United States, 1867-1960*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1963.

Greenberg, Cheryl Lynn. *To Ask for an Equal Chance: African Americans in the Great Depression*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2009.

Irwin, Douglas A. *Peddling Protectionism: Smoot-Hawley and the Great Depression*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011.

James, Harold. *The End of Globalization: Lessons from the Great Depression*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2001.

Katznelson, Ira. *When Affirmative Action Was White: An Untold History of Racial Inequality in Twentieth-Century America*. New York: W. W. Norton, 2005.

Kennedy, David M. *Freedom from Fear: The American People in Depression and War, 1929-1945*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1999.

Kessler-Harris, Alice. *Out to Work: A History of Wage-Earning Women in the United States*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1982.

Kindleberger, Charles P. *The World in Depression, 1929-1939*. Revised and

enlarged edition. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1986.

Lebergott, Stanley. *Manpower in Economic Growth: The American Record since 1800*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964.

Romer, Christina D. "The Great Crash and the Onset of the Great Depression." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 105, no. 3 (1990): 597-624.

Romer, Christina D. "What Ended the Great Depression?" *Journal of Economic History* 52, no. 4 (1992): 757-784.

Rothermund, Dietmar. *The Global Impact of the Great Depression, 1929-1939*. London and New York: Routledge, 1996.

Rothermund, Dietmar. *India in the Great Depression, 1929-1939*. Delhi: Manohar, 1992.

Roy, Tirthankar. *India in the World Economy: From Antiquity to the Present*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.

Temin, Peter. *Did Monetary Forces Cause the Great Depression?* New York: W. W. Norton, 1976.

Temin, Peter. *Lessons from the Great Depression*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1989.

Terkel, Studs. *Hard Times: An Oral History of the Great Depression*. New York: Pantheon, 1970.

Tooze, Adam. *The Wages of Destruction: The Making and Breaking of the Nazi Economy*. London: Allen Lane, 2006.

Worster, Donald. *Dust Bowl: The Southern Plains in the 1930s*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1979.