

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

The Age of Exploration

in a Hurry

Ships, maps, and the world joined up

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 35

Terms 40

Go Deeper 44

Notes and Sources 45

Bibliography 49

The Whole Thing in One Page

The Age of Exploration is usually told as a relay race of European heroes. Dias rounds a cape, Columbus reaches the Caribbean, da Gama reaches India, Magellan's expedition circles the planet. Blank spaces shrink. The world becomes known.

Almost every part of that picture needs turning round. The lands were inhabited, named and known by the people who lived there. The Indian Ocean already carried merchants from East Africa, Arabia, India, Southeast Asia and China. Polynesian navigators had crossed the largest ocean on Earth. The Americas contained cities, empires, roads and trading systems. Europeans did not create connection. They joined systems that had developed apart.

The decisive transition was from voyage to repeatable connection. A crossing could be an accident, a raid or a magnificent failure, and a first arrival did not necessarily produce lasting change. Norse seafarers reached North America centuries before Columbus, but no durable Atlantic corridor followed. Lasting traffic had to work again. Ships had to return. Pilots had to learn the winds, currents and seasons. Ports had to supply food, timber, rope and credit. Maps and written sailing directions had to turn one crew's experience into another crew's instructions. Crowns and merchants had to make distance pay.

That machinery was assembled from many sources. Mediterranean lateen sails met Atlantic square rigs. Magnetic compasses, astronomical tables and instruments with long histories in Asia, the Islamic world and Europe were adapted for rough decks. Portuguese pilots learned to sail away from the African coast to find the winds that brought them home. In the Indian Ocean, Europeans entered a commercial world whose routes, monsoons, ports and languages were already understood by local sailors and merchants.

Then route-making became armed. Portuguese fleets tried to control chokepoints and demanded passes from ships they had not taught to sail.

Spanish expeditions crossed the Atlantic and turned alliance, civil conflict, epidemic disease, siege and forced labour into territorial conquest. Maps changed from records of movement into claims of possession. A line drawn at Tordesillas divided much of the world unknown to those crowns between them, without consulting any of its inhabitants.

No committee designed the resulting world system. Rival crowns, merchants, missionaries, soldiers, pilots and enslaved people acted for different reasons, often against one another. The network emerged from their collisions.

Some of the deepest changes travelled outside anyone's full design. Horses, cattle, wheat, sugar cane, smallpox and measles moved west. Maize, potatoes, tomatoes, cacao and chillies moved east. Millions of Africans were carried across the Atlantic by force. American silver crossed the Pacific from Acapulco to Manila and entered Asian markets, while Asian textiles and luxuries travelled back. By the late sixteenth century, routes across the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific oceans had begun to form one durable planetary circuit.

The same mechanism that widened knowledge widened coercion. Successful routes generated reports, profits and strategic information. Those financed more ships, forts and voyages, which produced more knowledge and more control. The world was joined up through a feedback loop, but it was joined on unequal terms.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

There were no chillies in India before 1492. Italy had no tomatoes, Ireland no potatoes, Thailand no papaya, and West Africa no cassava. Most people now experience the Age of Exploration several times before lunch without knowing it. Foods that feel rooted in ancient national traditions are often products of a biological collision less than six centuries old.

The collision did far more than rearrange dinner. It connected populations

that had been separated long enough for their crops, animals and disease environments to diverge. When ships began crossing the Atlantic regularly, they carried organisms in both directions. Some were packed in barrels or led ashore. Others travelled in lungs, blood, soil, bilge water and fur. The resulting exchange expanded diets and populations in many parts of the world. In the Americas it also helped produce a demographic catastrophe, intensified by warfare, hunger, forced movement and colonial exploitation.

That is one reason to care: this period explains why the living world around you is where it is. It also explains why biological security became a planetary problem. Once regular corridors joined distant disease environments, local outbreaks could travel with merchants, armies and settlers. Connection created resilience through exchange and vulnerability through exposure at the same time. A second is that it reveals how breakthroughs become systems. Reaching a place once attracts monuments. Reaching it repeatedly requires a less glamorous intelligence: provisioning, maintenance, timetables, finance, record-keeping, standardisation and a workable return journey. The modern world runs on routes, from container shipping to data cables. The early oceanic empires show the general rule in its rawest form. The celebrated crossing is the visible moment. The infrastructure behind it decides whether history changes.

The return of the *Victoria* in 1522 makes the distinction visible. Five ships and roughly 270 men had left Spain. One ship came back with eighteen survivors of the original expedition aboard. The cargo of cloves helped prove the commercial aim had not been fantasy, while the human losses showed how far the route was from routine. Circumnavigation was an achievement. A dependable trans-Pacific service came decades later.

A third reason is the map. The maps produced during this period did not merely describe a world becoming clearer. They helped allocate it. Coastlines, sailing directions and place names made movement repeatable, while royal master maps and printed atlases turned uncertain information into political fact. A coast drawn on European paper could become a claim

to govern people who had never seen the paper. The familiar habit of treating mapped space as possessed space has a history, and much of it runs through these centuries.

Then there is power. Europe did not begin the period wealthier, more populous or politically dominant than the great centres of Asia. Portuguese fleets reached an Indian Ocean filled with powerful states and experienced merchants. Spanish forces in the Americas were tiny beside the societies they attacked. Their success cannot be explained by courage, cannon or destiny. It came from combining shipborne violence with local alliances, internal political fractures, epidemic disease, legal claims, commercial finance and an appetite for resources. That combination matters because it prevents both triumphalism and its lazy mirror image. The outcome was neither a clean demonstration of European superiority nor an inexplicable accident.

The limits are severe. “Exploration” is a European label for a process that often looked like invasion, enslavement or epidemic from the shore. The term can hide older navigators, Indigenous geography and the fact that knowledge was frequently taken without credit. Yet abandoning the subject to its heroic legend would leave the mechanism intact and misunderstood.

Read it instead as the making of a connected world: technically ingenious, intellectually expansive, commercially inventive and catastrophically violent. The routes still carry you.

The Core Ideas

The World Was Connected in Pieces

Begin before 1492, because otherwise Europe appears to sail into silence.

The fifteenth-century world already contained several large systems of movement. Mediterranean merchants linked Italian cities, North Africa, the Levant and the Black Sea. Caravans crossed the Sahara with gold, salt, cloth and enslaved people. The Indian Ocean joined East Africa, Arabia,

Persia, India, Southeast Asia and China through ports timed to the monsoon. Across the Pacific, Polynesian navigators had settled islands separated by distances that made the Mediterranean look enclosed. In the Americas, roads, rivers, coastal shipping and market networks connected societies on a continental scale. West African sailors and fishing communities worked Atlantic coasts long before Portuguese charts named them, while Scandinavian voyagers had established a short-lived settlement in Newfoundland around the year 1000. Long-distance movement was normal in many regions even where no route crossed the entire planet.

These systems overlapped. Spices grown in the Moluccas could pass through several merchants and ports before reaching Alexandria and Venice. West African gold entered Mediterranean currencies. Chinese porcelain reached East Africa. None of this required a Portuguese ship, and none of it was waiting to be activated by Europe.

The Chinese expeditions led by Zheng He make the correction unavoidable. Between 1405 and 1433, seven state-sponsored fleets travelled through Southeast Asia and the Indian Ocean, reaching ports in India, the Persian Gulf and East Africa. They carried envoys, soldiers and goods, projected Ming power and used the monsoon with disciplined timing. Their existence does not prove that China was about to colonise the world before choosing otherwise. It proves that long-distance oceanic power was not a European invention.

What, then, changed after 1400? The separate systems became connected by durable routes across the Atlantic and, later, the Pacific. Before Columbus, people and goods could travel across much of Afro-Eurasia, and people had crossed and settled the Pacific, but the American and Afro-Eurasian disease environments had been substantially separated for thousands of years. Nor was there a routine commercial circuit carrying goods from China to the Americas, silver from the Americas to Asia, and people by force from Africa to American plantations.

This makes “discovery” a dangerous word. A place can be newly encountered by one society while being anciently known by another. The

Bahamas were new to Columbus and home to the Lucayan Taíno. Calicut was the end of a hazardous new route for Vasco da Gama and an established commercial city to its residents. The Cape of Good Hope was a European name placed on waters and coasts already used and understood by southern African communities.

The useful distinction is between knowledge and linkage. Europeans learned lands and routes new to them. Their voyages also created links with consequences far beyond the knowledge of any single participant. A sailor could seek pepper and carry a pathogen. A crown could claim a coast and redirect a silver flow reaching China. A merchant could finance one voyage and help build a system that outlived him.

Core Idea 1 is therefore a world of dense connections with missing bridges. The Age of Exploration did not switch trade on. It closed oceanic gaps between systems that were already busy, and the nature of those bridges decided who gained, who paid and what travelled without permission.

The Ocean Had Roads

Open water looks like the absence of a road. To a capable navigator it is a road made of moving air and water, available in one direction at one season and another direction later.

The Indian Ocean offered the clearest version. Seasonal heating reverses broad wind patterns. Across the Arabian Sea, the south-west monsoon helped carry traffic towards the Indian coast during part of the year, while the north-east monsoon favoured passages back towards Arabia and East Africa. Elsewhere the same seasonal system produced different local timings. Merchants did not sail whenever a ruler felt impatient. They waited, loaded, departed and sometimes stayed abroad for months until the wind changed. Ports became calendars as much as markets.

The Atlantic required a different lesson. Sailing close to the West African coast could take a Portuguese ship south, but the return north fought winds and currents. The solution was the *volta do mar*, the turn of the sea: sail away from the coast, west or north-west into the Atlantic, then catch winds

that curved back towards Europe. To someone tracing distance on a map, the route looks wasteful. To someone moving under sail, it is the shorter journey in time and survival.

This is why the way home often mattered more than the outward crossing. Reaching a coast once could result from persistence, luck or a storm. Returning with a ship, cargo and usable observations made the passage reproducible. The same problem later appeared in the Pacific. Spanish ships could sail west from the Americas with the trade winds, but a direct eastward return was punishing. In 1565 the small *San Lucas*, captained by Alonso de Arellano and piloted by the Afro-Portuguese Lope Martín, reached New Spain first after taking a northerly eastbound passage. Andrés de Urdaneta followed later that year aboard the *San Pedro*. His more detailed record, together with the larger expedition's institutional backing, became the basis of the return pattern Spanish authorities reproduced.

Navigation combined several imperfect methods. A compass gave direction. A log line and hourglass helped estimate speed. A pilot combined course, speed and time to calculate position by dead reckoning, then corrected the estimate when land, stars or depth soundings allowed. Latitude could be estimated from the height of the Sun or a known star using instruments such as the quadrant, cross-staff or marine astrolabe. Longitude remained much harder because it required reliable comparison of time at two places, beyond the capacity of clocks on these ships.

Accuracy was therefore uneven. A pilot might know latitude reasonably well while being badly wrong east or west. Cloud, currents, magnetic variation, bad instruments and optimistic estimates accumulated. A coastline could be familiar while the open-ocean position of the ship remained uncertain. Navigation was less like following a line on a modern screen than maintaining a disciplined argument about where the ship probably was.

Local knowledge repeatedly supplied the missing part. European crews used African pilots on coasts, Arab and Gujarati expertise in the Indian Ocean, Malay knowledge around Southeast Asian straits, and Indigenous guides and interpreters in the Americas. The written European archive often

turns these contributors into unnamed “pilots”, “interpreters” or “Indians”. Their anonymity can make borrowed knowledge look self-generated.

The ocean's roads were powerful because they were regular without being fixed. Winds shifted, monsoons failed, storms intervened and every passage remained dangerous. Yet enough pattern existed to build schedules, fleets and expectations. Empire could not command the wind. It could organise itself around the wind's timetable.

The Ship Was a Compromise

There was no single ship called the vessel of discovery. The famous types solved different problems, and successful fleets mixed them.

The caravel was small, relatively light and useful for reconnaissance. Lateen sails helped it work across the wind and explore coasts, while later caravels often combined lateen and square sails. Its manoeuvrability suited uncertain shorelines and river mouths. Its weakness was capacity. A small hull could not carry unlimited water, food, trade goods, cannon and replacement gear for a large crew.

The carrack, or *nao*, answered the cargo problem. It combined northern European and Mediterranean features, carried several masts with mixed rigging, and had a broad, deep hull. Columbus's *Santa María*, da Gama's larger India ships and the *Victoria* that completed the first circumnavigation belonged to this capacious family. Carracks could carry provisions and profitable cargo over long distances, but size made them slower to turn and harder to handle near an unknown coast.

The galleon developed during the sixteenth century as a more streamlined armed carrier. Lower forward structures reduced wind resistance, while a long hull, mixed rig and gun decks let it move treasure, supplies or soldiers and fight when required. The name covered varied designs rather than one blueprint. Spanish Atlantic galleons, Portuguese India ships, Dutch merchantmen and English armed vessels reflected different waters, shipyards and purposes.

Every design was a negotiation among cargo, speed, range, firepower, cost and crew. More cannon meant less space for food or goods. More stores extended range but burdened the hull. A high superstructure offered room and fighting position but caught wind. A ship built for Atlantic swell could be awkward in shoal water. Wood species, iron, pitch, rope and sailcloth imposed further limits.

Provisioning turned a ship into a compressed ecology. Grain, dried fish, wine, water, livestock and firewood occupied space and spoiled at different rates. Rats and insects came aboard with stores. A delay at sea could become a dietary crisis before it became a navigational one.

The vessel also depended on an industrial world ashore. Forests supplied timber. Ropewalks turned fibre into rigging. Coopers made barrels, whose leakage or contamination could decide a voyage. Shipyards needed skilled carpenters, caulkers, sailmakers, smiths and labourers. Overseas routes then required repair points, spare masts, careening beaches and access to local materials. A ship was mobile infrastructure resting on fixed infrastructure.

Life aboard exposed the human cost of the design. Crews worked in cramped, wet and vermin-filled spaces. Water spoiled. Diet narrowed. Scurvy could weaken or kill sailors on long passages, though its cause was not understood. Storms damaged rigging; worms attacked hulls; fire remained a constant threat; discipline could turn violent. Naval history often counts ships and guns while treating the people who kept them afloat as replaceable parts.

Nor were crews purely national. Iberian expeditions included sailors from several European ports, enslaved and free Africans, interpreters from Asia, Indigenous pilots and men recruited abroad. Enrique of Malacca, enslaved by Magellan and used as an interpreter, had already crossed much of the planet before the expedition entered the Pacific. The ship that appears on a flag as a national instrument was often a multilingual workplace built from international knowledge.

The Age of Exploration belonged to no miracle vessel. It belonged to fleets that matched different ships to scouting, carrying, fighting and returning, then backed them with the labour and supply chain needed to sail again.

Maps Learned from Voyages

A modern map looks finished. It presents coastlines with the confidence of fact and hides the history of correction underneath. Early oceanic maps were more visibly provisional. They were tools assembled from measurements, reports, copied coastlines, rumour and political desire.

Mediterranean sailors had long used portolan charts, which showed coasts, harbours and compass directions with striking practical accuracy. Networks of rhumb lines spread across the page from compass points. These charts were excellent for moving between known shores. They were less suited to representing the whole globe, explaining unknown interiors or solving the problem of position far from land.

Oceanic expansion created a feedback system. Pilots returned with estimated latitudes, bearings, soundings, landmarks and notes on currents or hazards. Officials questioned them. Cartographers compared accounts, altered master charts and issued new copies. Written sailing directions, called *rutters* or *roteiros*, described what a map could not show clearly: when a headland appeared, how the water changed colour, where reefs lay, what wind to expect and which mountain marked a safe harbour.

Portugal guarded strategic information through royal institutions and the Casa da Índia. Spain's Casa de Contratación maintained the Padrón Real, an official master chart revised from pilots' evidence. The process turned the experience of individual crews into state property. A sailor's observation could become a commercial advantage or military secret.

Secrecy never worked completely. Maps were copied, sold, stolen and reconstructed. Pilots changed employers. Printed geography widened the audience. The 1507 world map associated with Martin Waldseemüller made one of the most consequential editorial choices in cartographic history when it used the name "America", in honour of Amerigo Vespucci, for lands across

the Atlantic. Only one copy of the large printed map is known to survive from a print run believed to have been about a thousand. A tentative label became the name of two continents.

Maps did more than preserve knowledge. They organised expectation. A coastline drawn as continuous invited the search for a passage. A blank interior encouraged fantasy. Islands appeared twice when navigators approached them from different directions and failed to realise they were the same place. California later became an island on European maps for generations. Error could be copied with the same efficiency as discovery.

The map also carried sovereignty. The Treaty of Tordesillas in 1494 drew a pole-to-pole line 370 leagues west of the Cape Verde islands and allocated future claims on either side to Portugal and Castile. Nobody could place the line precisely because longitude at sea was uncertain, and the agreement bound none of the peoples whose lands it divided. Yet the line shaped diplomacy, claims and the Portuguese position in Brazil. Cartography converted incomplete knowledge into legal ambition.

Much of the knowledge beneath these maps came from people who did not receive authorship. Indigenous place names, coastal descriptions, routes and guides entered European documents after translation and selection. Asian charts and pilotage informed European movement. African knowledge made river mouths and coasts usable. Once incorporated into a royal map, the source could vanish.

A map from this period should therefore be read twice. First as an instrument: what journey was it meant to make possible? Then as an argument: whose names, claims and absences did it make look natural? The map improved as the routes multiplied, and the improved map made the next route easier. Paper became part of the engine.

Distance Needed Institutions

A captain could cross an ocean. He could not maintain an oceanic system alone.

Long routes required large sums before they produced any return. Ships had to be built or hired, crews paid, provisions bought, gifts and trade goods loaded, cannon supplied and losses absorbed. Investors might wait years and receive nothing. This made finance, law and administration as important as seamanship.

The Portuguese crown developed a royal commercial system around the African and Asian routes. It licensed trade, claimed monopolies over valuable goods, collected customs and organised fleets through institutions in Lisbon. Fortified trading posts, or *feitorias*, stored cargo, negotiated with local rulers, gathered intelligence and linked passing ships to a permanent presence. Elmina on the West African coast, established in 1482, combined trade, fortification and crown authority before the route to India was complete.

In the Indian Ocean, the Portuguese Estado da Índia was never a solid block of territory. It was a chain of ports, forts, alliances and sea lanes. Goa, Malacca and Hormuz mattered because they sat near commercial arteries. The *cartaz* system required many merchant vessels to buy Portuguese passes and use approved ports. Enforcement was uneven and often violent. The design sought revenue and strategic leverage from traffic the Portuguese did not create and could not fully replace.

Castile built a different system across the Atlantic. The monarchs granted capitulations that specified privileges, offices and shares of profit for expedition leaders. The Casa de Contratación, founded in Seville in 1503, registered ships and cargo, trained and examined pilots, collected information and administered trade. Convoys later grouped ships for defence. Colonial officials, courts, treasuries and notaries made conquest legible to the crown through files, accounts and petitions.

The Treaty of Tordesillas showed the institutional instinct at its boldest: divide the globe by agreement before either crown knew its geography. Papal authority, royal law and mapping were used to make expansion look regulated. On the ground, settlers and captains repeatedly exceeded instructions, then asked the crown to recognise what they had done.

Commercial institutions changed again in the seventeenth century. The English East India Company, chartered in 1600, and the Dutch East India Company, or VOC, chartered in 1602, pooled capital across many investors and voyages. The VOC received powers to make treaties, build forts, wage war and govern possessions. A company could act like a state while rewarding shareholders. This was not a clean handover from crowns to markets. It was a new mixture of public force and private capital.

The paperwork concealed labour. Sailors, dockworkers, soldiers, farmers, miners, porters, craftspeople and enslaved workers produced the value that contracts distributed. Institutions also relied on local brokers, merchants and rulers who supplied credit, food, intelligence and access. European organisations were powerful partly because they could insert themselves into existing systems and redirect them.

Distance therefore selected for bureaucracy. A route survived when decisions could be delegated, information standardised, disputes judged and losses spread. The romance of the lone explorer ends at the harbour office, where the world-changing voyage waits for a permit, a loan and enough barrels that do not leak.

Contact Became Conquest

Oceanic contact did not lead automatically to European rule. Europeans were often weak visitors at the edge of powerful societies. Conquest occurred where maritime mobility could be combined with local conflict, coercion, disease and institutions that converted victory into extraction.

The Portuguese experience in Asia shows the limits. When Vasco da Gama reached Calicut in 1498, he entered one of the world's great commercial zones with unimpressive gifts and little knowledge of its politics. Portuguese fleets returned with heavier guns and a willingness to attack shipping and coastal cities. Under commanders including Afonso de Albuquerque, they captured Goa in 1510, Malacca in 1511 and Hormuz in 1515. These positions gave leverage over important routes.

Yet Portugal did not conquer India, the Middle East or Southeast Asia. Its

population and resources were too small. The Estado da Índia depended on negotiated alliances, Asian merchants, local shipbuilders, mixed communities and cooperation that sat awkwardly beside violence. Its fleets could bombard a port, seize a cargo or punish a vessel lacking a pass. They could not stop all trade that avoided them. Armed trading was powerful because it targeted nodes rather than attempting to occupy whole continents.

The Americas produced more extensive territorial conquest, but the familiar picture of a few armoured Spaniards defeating millions by technical superiority is false. Hernán Cortés entered a political world dominated by the Mexica-led Triple Alliance and filled with peoples who had reasons to resist it. Thousands and eventually tens of thousands of Indigenous allies supplied fighters, food, transport and intelligence. The siege of Tenochtitlan depended on Indigenous forces and locally built vessels as well as Spanish weapons. Smallpox struck during the conflict, killing on a scale no cannon could approach.

In the Andes, Francisco Pizarro's force encountered an Inca state damaged by epidemic disease and civil war. The capture of Atahualpa at Cajamarca gave the Spaniards a ruler and ransom, not instant control of an empire. Conquest continued through Indigenous alliances, rebellions, factional struggles and years of violence. Horses, steel and firearms mattered, especially through shock and tactical concentration. They worked inside a political and biological crisis rather than replacing it.

The conquest of the Canary Islands had already supplied Iberian rulers and settlers with precedents for claiming inhabited Atlantic islands, granting land, converting populations and turning military victory into plantation production. The comparison is imperfect, but the institutional habits crossed south and west.

Caribbean islands revealed the pattern earlier and more brutally. Spanish settlement imposed tribute, forced labour and relocation on Taíno communities. Warfare, hunger, exploitation and imported diseases drove catastrophic population loss. The encomienda assigned colonists claims on

Indigenous labour and tribute under a language of protection and conversion. Legal form did not soften the extraction.

The Atlantic islands had already supplied a model. On Madeira and São Tomé, sugar cultivation joined imported plants, mills, capital and enslaved labour. Plantation production demanded land, disciplined work and regular shipping. As American colonies expanded, this complex crossed the Atlantic and grew on a much larger scale. It linked exploration directly to slavery before the heroic story had reached its most famous chapters.

Religion was present throughout, neither reducible to excuse nor separable from power. Missionaries learned languages, recorded cultures and sometimes defended Indigenous communities against abuses. Conversion could also destroy sacred objects, reorganise settlements and justify authority. The same archive can preserve invaluable testimony and the machinery of cultural assault.

Conquest succeeded where Europeans attached themselves to fractures they did not create, survived long enough for disease and alliance to alter the balance, and then built institutions that held resources and labour. That explanation gives Indigenous actors agency without pretending they chose the colonial order that followed. Allies pursued their own aims. Europeans captured the settlement.

The Circuits Closed

By the middle of the sixteenth century, sustained Atlantic traffic linked the Americas, Africa and Europe. One missing bridge still prevented a continuous commercial circuit around the planet: a repeatable eastward return across the Pacific.

The Magellan-Elcano expedition exposed the problem. Five ships left Spain in 1519 seeking a western route to the spice islands. The fleet found the strait through South America, crossed an ocean far larger than its planners expected, and reached the Philippines. Magellan died in 1521 after intervening in a local conflict on Mactán. The *Victoria*, commanded on the final leg by Juan Sebastián Elcano, returned to Spain in 1522.

Circumnavigation showed that the oceans connected. Its losses showed that proof was not yet a system.

Two eastward returns in 1565 showed how the bridge could work. The small *San Lucas*, captained by Arellano and piloted by Martín, reached New Spain in August. Urdaneta's larger *San Pedro* arrived in October after a separate northerly passage, carrying the fuller navigational record that Spanish authorities used as the operational model. Priority and institutional legacy are therefore different questions. Manila, founded as a Spanish colonial city in 1571, became the western hinge. Galleons carried American silver across the Pacific. Chinese, Japanese and Southeast Asian merchants brought silk, porcelain, spices and other goods to Manila. Cargo crossed Mexico by land and continued through Atlantic networks.

The galleons did not carry a balanced sample of world trade. They carried high-value goods across a costly route, and much commerce still remained regional. Their importance lay in continuity: a scheduled institutional bridge now linked American production directly to Asian demand.

Silver supplied the connective tissue. Mines at Potosí in the Andes and Zacatecas in New Spain produced enormous flows through systems of coerced and wage labour. European crowns wanted silver for war and debt. Chinese fiscal and commercial demand drew it east. No ruler designed the full chain from an Andean mine to a Chinese market. Prices, taxes, violence and merchant opportunity assembled it. The chain also fed European wars and debt payments, so silver could leave an American mine, pass through several treasuries and merchant houses, and finish far from the crown that claimed the metal.

Living organisms travelled through the same circuits. American maize and sweet potatoes spread through parts of Africa and Asia. Potatoes reshaped European food security over time. Chillies moved with extraordinary speed into Asian cuisines. Horses, cattle, pigs and sheep transformed American land use. Sugar cane, already carried from Asia through the Mediterranean and Atlantic islands, expanded across American plantations.

Disease travelled with greater asymmetry. The long separation of American populations from many Old World infections left them exposed to repeated epidemics. Smallpox, measles, influenza and other diseases interacted with war, hunger, forced resettlement and social breakdown. There was no matching Old World population collapse caused by American pathogens. Biological connection widened human possibility and distributed death with brutal unevenness.

Forced migration became another load-bearing route. Portuguese traders had carried enslaved Africans to Europe and Atlantic islands during the fifteenth century. Plantation expansion in the Americas drove a much larger transatlantic traffic. Over the full history of the Atlantic slave trade, more than twelve million captives were embarked from Africa. The traffic grew across the period covered here, binding African politics, European finance and American production into one economy of saleable human beings.

The seventeenth century added Dutch, English and French networks to Iberian routes. Companies and states fought for ports, islands, plantations and shipping. They also traded across imperial boundaries whenever profit overruled regulation. Smuggling was not a crack at the edge of the system. It was one of the ways the system worked.

Core Idea 1 began with a planet connected in pieces. By 1600, and more densely by 1700, routes across the three great oceans had created durable interactions among those pieces. A harvest failure, silver shortage, war, epidemic or price change in one region could now alter decisions far away. The circuit had no single centre and no complete European master. Asian demand, African states, Indigenous resistance and environmental limits continued to shape it.

The world had joined up. Joining did not mean harmony, sameness or control. It meant that distance no longer protected any region from the ambitions, appetites and microbes of another.

How It Actually Works

Before the Atlantic crossing

In 1400, Europe sat at the western end of trading chains it did not control. Pepper, cloves, silk and other high-value goods reached Mediterranean ports through routes running across Asia and the Indian Ocean. Venetian and Genoese merchants bought at Alexandria, Damascus and other eastern markets. Muslim, Hindu, Jain, Chinese and Southeast Asian merchants handled much of the movement before a European buyer appeared. Ports such as Calicut and Malacca were political communities built around exchange, with customs officers, brokers, warehouses, interpreters and rules for strangers. A newcomer did not enter an unregulated sea. He entered jurisdictions that already knew how to tax and bargain with outsiders.

The Americas were connected internally rather than to those chains. The Mexica-led empire drew tribute towards Tenochtitlan. Andean roads and storehouses tied Tawantinsuyu across mountains and deserts. Caribbean canoes moved people and goods among islands. Farther west, Pacific navigation had carried settlers through island worlds whose routes were held in memory, stars, swell patterns and living expertise.

China's Zheng He fleets sailed from 1405 to 1433 across an Indian Ocean already thick with commerce. Their end did not empty the sea or leave a vacuum for Portugal. Private Chinese trade continued, Indian and Muslim merchants remained central, and strong states controlled key ports. The Portuguese would arrive late, armed and dependent on information they did not yet possess.

The Portuguese Atlantic apprenticeship, 1415-1488

Portugal's expansion began with Ceuta in 1415, a North African port whose capture promised trade, crusade and prestige. It did not deliver the easy commercial riches expected. Attention moved into the Atlantic and down the African coast, through a series of ventures backed by crown privilege, merchants, settlers and raiders.

Infante Henrique, known in English as Prince Henry the Navigator, became the best-known patron of this programme. Later legend placed a tidy nautical school at Sagres, but no contemporary evidence supports such an institution. His importance was more political and financial: he gained rights over voyages and commerce, backed expeditions, supported Atlantic settlement and joined crusading ambition to commercial gain. Portuguese movement continued after his death in 1460, a reminder that patronage helped create a programme without supplying one master plan.

Madeira was colonised during the early fifteenth century, followed by the Azores. These islands became laboratories for settlement, plantation agriculture and Atlantic navigation. Madeira's sugar industry joined Mediterranean cultivation knowledge, Atlantic land and capital, mills, imported labour and European demand. The model would later cross the ocean with far greater violence.

Progress down the African coast was slow because each extension lengthened the return. Cape Bojador, passed by Gil Eanes in 1434, gained symbolic weight because it had marked a practical and imaginative boundary. Beyond it, Portuguese expeditions traded for gold, ivory and enslaved people, raided communities and learned coasts through repeated contact. The first large flows of enslaved Africans moved towards Portugal and the islands long before American plantation demand dominated the trade.

Cape Verde, settled by Portuguese colonists and enslaved West Africans from the 1460s, became a base for trade and further Atlantic movement.

African rulers and merchants negotiated, resisted, supplied and redirected commerce; Portuguese initiative never made the coast politically passive. Early raiding proved dangerous and unreliable. Trading relations, licences and coastal intermediaries became more important as Portuguese demand grew. Captives were obtained through varied African systems of warfare, punishment, debt and sale, then carried into a market whose scale and destinations Europeans were helping to change. The distinction matters: African agency shaped the trade, while the expanding Atlantic demand rewarded and intensified human capture.

The Atlantic islands and African voyages taught a cumulative lesson. A pilot who survived could describe currents, anchorages and winds. A merchant could estimate sale prices. A crown could grant a monopoly and tax the result. Maps thickened with coastline. Fort São Jorge da Mina, built at Elmina in 1482 with cooperation and conflict involving local rulers, gave Portugal a fortified commercial base on the Gold Coast.

The final problem was the southern end of Africa. In 1488 Bartolomeu Dias rounded it after storms and an offshore course carried his ships beyond sight of land. On the return he saw the cape that King João II named the Cape of Good Hope, because it opened the prospect of an eastern sea route. Dias had not reached India. He had shown that the Atlantic and Indian oceans met around Africa, and that a route might be built through them.

Two bets on Asia, 1492-1500

Christopher Columbus proposed a western route to Asia because he accepted a spherical Earth and badly underestimated the distance. Learned critics did not reject him for fearing a flat edge. They doubted his scale, with good reason. He made Asia too wide eastwards and the Earth too small, leaving a manageable ocean between Europe and Japan.

Castile finally backed the project after the conquest of Granada in 1492. Columbus sailed from Palos with three ships and reached Guanahani in the Bahamas on 12 October. He believed he had reached islands near Asia and

continued interpreting the Caribbean through that expectation. The people he encountered had their own names, routes and political relations. European naming did not begin their history, but it did begin a new external claim upon it. Columbus returned in 1493 with news, captives and a programme for settlement. The second voyage carried a far larger fleet with colonists, animals, seed and officials. The crossing had changed from reconnaissance to occupation within a year.

The diplomatic response came quickly. Portugal and Castile disputed rights to lands neither understood. The Treaty of Tordesillas, signed in 1494, shifted a notional dividing line west of the Cape Verde islands. Its position could not be fixed cleanly at sea, and other European powers ignored its global pretension. It still helped place the Brazilian coast in Portugal's sphere when Pedro Álvares Cabral reached it in 1500 on his way towards India.

Portugal had made the eastern bet. Vasco da Gama left Lisbon in 1497, rounded the Cape and crossed the Indian Ocean to Calicut in 1498. His arrival has often been described as opening trade with India, though trade was what Calicut already did. The Portuguese gifts impressed nobody. What mattered in Lisbon was the proof that a fleet could reach the spice markets by sea and return.

The two routes differed. Columbus found continents between Europe and Asia. Da Gama reached the intended commercial ocean and discovered that access did not equal acceptance. Castile faced conquest and settlement in a world new to it. Portugal faced competition inside a mature trading system. Both now possessed enough information to send larger, more forceful expeditions.

Armed trade in the Indian Ocean, 1500-1515

The first Portuguese voyages to India revealed a commercial mismatch. European goods had limited appeal in markets accustomed to Indian textiles, Asian luxuries and established credit. Silver and violence could compensate. The crown sent fleets with more cannon, clearer orders and a willingness to punish resistance.

Portuguese strategy shifted from reaching markets to controlling parts of the route. Fleets supported friendly ports against rivals, attacked shipping and tried to redirect commerce through places where duties could be collected. Cochin became an early ally against Calicut. The aim was never to occupy every coast. It was to make selected nodes support a Portuguese network. Naval victory near Diu in 1509 weakened a coalition that included Gujarati, Mamluk and other forces and strengthened Portuguese confidence at sea. It did not destroy rival commerce, but it gave the crown room to build the fortified sequence that followed.

Afonso de Albuquerque pursued the logic aggressively. Goa, captured in 1510, offered a base on India's western coast. Malacca, taken in 1511, sat beside the narrow passage joining the Indian Ocean to the seas of Southeast and East Asia. Hormuz, brought under Portuguese control in 1515, watched the mouth of the Persian Gulf. Along with positions on the East African coast, these points formed a chain across great distance.

The chain was formidable and brittle. Portugal lacked enough people and ships to police the whole ocean. The pass system known as the *cartaz* demanded that merchants purchase permission, carry specified cargoes and call at approved ports. Some complied, some negotiated exemptions, and others evaded or resisted. Red Sea routes and Asian shipping continued. Ottoman, Gujarati, Arab, Persian and Southeast Asian powers challenged Portuguese claims.

Dependence persisted beneath the cannon. Portuguese settlements needed local food, labour, shipbuilding and commerce. Asian merchants financed and carried goods. Inter-marriage created mixed communities.

Translators and brokers made agreements possible. Even armed vessels were sometimes built from Asian timber in Asian yards. The Portuguese had joined the Indian Ocean by trying to dominate it, and domination never freed them from the system they had entered.

Conquest and collapse in the Americas, 1492-1550

In the Caribbean, regular connection arrived before Europeans understood the mainland. Hispaniola became the first centre of Spanish settlement. Gold seeking, tribute demands, forced labour and missionisation pressed on Taíno communities already disrupted by war and political upheaval. Imported diseases spread through populations with no prior exposure. Cattle and pigs damaged crops; hunger and flight increased vulnerability. No single cause explains the collapse because the causes reinforced one another.

The Spanish crown tried to regulate conquest through law while benefiting from it. The *encomienda* granted colonists claims to Indigenous tribute and labour, presented as an obligation to protect and Christianise. Complaints from clerics and officials produced arguments and reforms, but distance, settler power and royal demand for revenue limited enforcement. The archive contains fierce condemnation beside meticulous accounts of extraction.

Cuba became a launching point for expeditions to the mainland. In 1519 Cortés sailed to Mexico without the full obedience his governor expected. He founded a municipal council to create a legal basis for acting directly under the king, a bureaucratic manoeuvre before the military campaign had been won. On the coast, Malintzin, an enslaved Indigenous woman known to Spaniards as Doña Marina, became interpreter, diplomat and political intermediary. Her work exposed how conquest depended on language and local knowledge.

Cortés found enemies of Mexica power and built alliances, most importantly with Tlaxcala. After retreating from Tenochtitlan in 1520, his coalition returned. Smallpox had struck the city. Indigenous allies supplied the

majority of fighters and much of the food, intelligence and transport. Brigantines built with Indigenous labour helped control the lake during the siege. The fall of Tenochtitlan in 1521 was a Spanish-led colonial victory achieved through a vast Indigenous war. After victory, Mexico City rose on and through the conquered capital. Churches, administrative buildings and new property claims reused the physical centre of power. Route-making therefore continued ashore: roads, tribute channels and markets were redirected towards a colonial city rather than erased and rebuilt from nothing.

In the Andes, epidemic disease appears to have preceded Pizarro's arrival and helped destabilise royal succession. Civil war between Atahualpa and Huáscar weakened the Inca state. Pizarro captured Atahualpa at Cajamarca in 1532 through surprise, concentrated violence and political calculation. The ransom and execution of the ruler opened a struggle rather than completing a conquest. Spanish factions fought one another, Indigenous groups pursued different alliances, and organised resistance continued for decades.

Silver altered the scale. Zacatecas in New Spain and Potosí in the Andes became major mining centres after the initial conquests. Colonial authorities redirected labour through tribute, drafts and coercive institutions, while merchants supplied tools, food and credit. Silver linked inland mines to ports, fleets, European finance and eventually Asian markets. Conquest created a route for metal and a demand for the labour that extracted it.

The Pacific reveals its size, 1519-1571

Magellan's expedition left Spain in 1519 with a commercial objective: reach the spice islands by sailing west through waters assigned to Castile's side of the Tordesillas division. The fleet found a strait through the southern end of South America in 1520. One ship had already been wrecked and another deserted. The remaining ships entered an ocean whose scale European maps had badly compressed.

The crossing took months. Food failed, scurvy spread and men died. The

expedition reached Guam and then the Philippines, where it entered existing political and trading relationships. Magellan allied with the ruler of Cebu and intervened against Lapulapu on Mactan. He was killed there in April 1521. His death matters because it strips the voyage of its later inevitability. Local politics could absorb and destroy a European commander far from support. The expedition's interpreter Enrique of Malacca had been carried west from Southeast Asia to Europe and then west again across the Atlantic and Pacific. His presence made the supposed European penetration of an unknown East depend on a man from that East who had been enslaved.

The survivors reached the Moluccas. One ship, the *Victoria*, sailed west under Elcano, crossed the Indian Ocean and returned to Spain in September 1522. The expedition had crossed every major ocean, but it had not established an efficient western route to Asian trade. Too many ships and lives had been lost.

A viable Pacific connection required a reliable eastward return. In 1565 Arellano's small *San Lucas*, with Lope Martín as pilot, reached New Spain in August after a northerly crossing. Urdaneta's *San Pedro* arrived in October after another northern passage, with records detailed enough to support official replication. The first ship home and the voyage that became the institutional reference were not the same. Manila's foundation in 1571 then gave Spain a permanent base beside Asian commercial networks. The galleons that followed were few and slow, often one or two a year, but regularity mattered more than volume. They made trans-Pacific traffic expected rather than exceptional. Each sailing still depended on weather, skilled crews, repair and enough silver to justify the risk. Routine did not mean safe.

Companies, plantations and a denser system

Iberian crowns did not keep their monopoly on oceanic expansion. French privateers attacked shipping and settlements. English voyages searched for passages, raided Spanish possessions and planted colonies. Dutch merchants challenged Portuguese positions in Asia and built their own armed network.

The corporate form changed the scale of competition. The English East India Company received its charter in 1600. The VOC followed in 1602 with permanent pooled capital and powers extending far beyond ordinary trade. It could make agreements, build forts, coin money, employ soldiers and wage war. Batavia, established by the Dutch on Java in 1619 after the destruction of Jayakarta, became an administrative and shipping centre for a coercive Asian commercial empire.

Competition did not produce free oceans. Companies sought monopolies over spices and other goods, imposed contracts and used violence against producers and rival traders. On the Banda Islands in 1621, the VOC used massacre, expulsion and enslavement to enforce control over nutmeg production, then reorganised cultivation under Dutch supervision. Monopoly could turn a narrow commodity bottleneck into a programme of population removal. European states fought at sea while merchants crossed enemy lines when profit allowed. Asian rulers bargained, resisted, expelled or employed Europeans. Japan restricted Iberian access and channelled foreign trade through controlled relationships. Mughal India remained a powerful state in which European companies depended on permission and local commerce.

Across the Atlantic, plantation production expanded. Brazil became a major sugar zone during the sixteenth century, relying increasingly on enslaved African labour. English, French and Dutch colonies later intensified the plantation complex in the Caribbean. Sugar required land, mills, credit, ships and a labour regime capable of imposing exhausting work. The sweetness consumed in Europe rested on organised violence across several continents.

The Atlantic slave trade grew with this demand. Captives reached coastal markets through African wars, raids, judicial enslavement and commercial systems shaped by African political choices as well as European purchasing power. European traders usually did not conquer large inland territories during this period. They relied on African suppliers and coastal brokers, then confined captives aboard ships for the Middle Passage. Mortality, resistance

and trauma continued through sale and plantation labour.

The routes also changed European ports. Lisbon, Seville and later Amsterdam became clearing points for goods and information gathered elsewhere. Insurance, warehousing, auction practices, credit and customs expanded around the traffic. Urban wealth at one end of the route could therefore depend on coerced production far beyond the view of the buyer.

By 1700 the oceanic system was wider than any empire. Portuguese, Spanish, Dutch, English and French routes overlapped with African, Asian, Indigenous and mixed commercial networks. States tried to police trade, but smuggling and neutral shipping connected colonies across formal boundaries. The world joined up through regulated fleets and illegal cargoes at once.

How we know

The evidence is abundant and badly tilted. European crowns and companies preserved contracts, customs accounts, maps, pilots' reports, court cases and correspondence because oceanic expansion generated paperwork. Narratives by Columbus, da Gama's chroniclers, Pigafetta and others record encounters through the aims and categories of the expedition.

Indigenous testimony survives in translated accounts, pictorial histories, legal petitions, archaeology and later oral traditions, but conquest destroyed records and forced much evidence through colonial institutions. African participants are even less visible in European archives, especially enslaved people recorded as numbers, cargo or sale.

Shipwrecks, botanical history, palaeogenetics, climate records and disease research now test the written sources. Port excavations reveal trade that officials did not record. Surviving maps expose both improving knowledge and persistent error. Exact voyage totals, pre-contact populations and epidemic mortality remain debated, so large claims require ranges and regional distinctions. The broad structure is secure: routes multiplied, organisms and forced labour moved with them, and the archive reflects the power that organised the movement.

What People Get Wrong

"Columbus proved the Earth was round"

Educated Europeans in 1492 knew the Earth was spherical. The idea had ancient Greek foundations, remained present in medieval astronomy and was supported by ordinary observations such as ships disappearing hull-first beyond the horizon. Columbus's proposal was controversial because of distance, not shape.

He underestimated the Earth's circumference and stretched Asia too far east. Without the American continents in the way, his ships could not have reached Japan on the supplies they carried. His critics were closer to the truth about the planet's scale. Columbus became famous because a mistaken estimate brought him to lands unknown to his patrons, not because he defeated belief in a flat Earth.

The myth became persuasive through later stories that set heroic science against medieval ignorance. It makes the voyage a victory of reason and removes the commercial, religious and imperial aims that secured backing. The correction matters because the expedition was a gamble based on a major geographical error. History changed because the world differed from the map in a way nobody aboard understood. The myth also hides how much Columbus relied on inherited geography, including classical texts, Islamic learning and recent Atlantic experience rather than standing alone against his age.

"The fall of Constantinople forced Europe to find a sea route"

The Ottoman conquest of Constantinople in 1453 did not seal Europe off from Asian goods. Spices continued to reach Mediterranean markets through Alexandria, the Levant and other routes. Venetian and Ottoman interests often favoured trade, however tense their politics. Pepper did not vanish from Europe and then reappear when da Gama reached India.

The older story is attractive because it gives one event a clean consequence: land route closed, sea route opened. Portuguese Atlantic expansion had begun decades before 1453 and drew on several motives, including gold, fishing, crusade, slavery, royal competition and access to trade without intermediaries. The price and control of eastern goods mattered more than an absolute blockade. The correction changes the economic model. Portugal was not escaping a stopped system. It was trying to enter and redirect a functioning one. The Ottoman rise altered taxes, diplomacy and strategic rivalry, but merchants adapted through several ports and intermediaries instead of abandoning an eastern trade that remained profitable. That distinction explains why Portuguese arrival in the Indian Ocean met established merchants, powerful ports and commercial rules rather than an empty market waiting for European rescue.

"Europeans discovered lands nobody knew"

A European expedition could discover a place for Europeans. It could not discover an inhabited island into existence. Guanahaní was known to the Lucayan Taíno before Columbus renamed it San Salvador. Calicut did not become a commercial city when da Gama entered its harbour. American, African, Asian and Pacific peoples possessed place names, routes and geographic knowledge fitted to their own worlds.

The language of discovery survived because European archives and empires had the power to circulate their viewpoint. A name on a royal chart became official. A landing followed by a written claim entered diplomatic history. Local knowledge was often translated, copied and detached from the people who supplied it.

Discarding the word entirely can hide a real event: societies did encounter lands and peoples new to them, with enormous consequences. The sound correction is to specify the viewpoint and distinguish first recorded European arrival from first human knowledge. That small discipline restores inhabitants to the sentence and prevents exploration from becoming a creation story for empire.

"The caravel changed everything by itself"

The caravel has become the period's mechanical mascot: small, quick and able to sail close to the wind. It was valuable for reconnaissance and coastal work, but its limited capacity made it a poor universal answer. Long routes needed larger carracks for food, crews and cargo. Later galleons combined carrying capacity with stronger armament. Fleets used different vessels for different jobs.

Nor did a hull sail itself. Oceanic expansion required mixed rigs, compasses, astronomical tables, dead reckoning, pilots, barrels, rope, shipyards, finance, repair bases and accumulated knowledge of winds. Many elements had long histories beyond Portugal and Spain. Their power came from combination and repeated improvement.

The one-invention story is persuasive because it turns a system into an object that can be displayed in a museum or schoolbook.

The correction matters whenever innovation is discussed. Technologies alter history when they fit an infrastructure, an institution and a trained workforce. The caravel helped make routes. It did not explain why the ships returned, who financed them or what happened ashore. Nor does the object-centred story acknowledge the forests, ropewalks, ironworks, dockyards and skilled labour that gave the vessel a working life.

"A few Europeans conquered empires with superior weapons"

Spanish horses, steel and firearms mattered. They gave tactical advantages and produced shock, especially when concentrated at a decisive moment. They do not explain conquest alone. Guns were slow, few and unreliable by later standards. Spanish forces were tiny, unfamiliar with the terrain and dependent on food, interpreters and allies.

The conquest of the Mexica Empire was carried by a coalition in which Indigenous fighters formed the overwhelming majority. The Inca conquest unfolded amid epidemic disease, civil war and rival groups seeking

advantage. In both regions, Europeans captured existing political conflicts and then redirected the outcome towards colonial rule. Disease, siege, alliance and coercion did more work than a weapon catalogue suggests.

The myth survived because conquistadors had reasons to magnify their own achievement when asking the crown for reward, while later national histories preferred a compact tale of superiority. The correction restores Indigenous agency without making colonial domination voluntary. Allies chose against local enemies. They did not choose the centuries of extraction that followed. Some communities gained short-term advantages, offices or protection, then found that Spanish courts and settlers steadily narrowed the political settlement they thought they had made.

"The Columbian Exchange was an equal swap"

The term sounds balanced: Old World and New World each send goods across the Atlantic. Crops did move in both directions, and the benefits were large. American potatoes, maize and cassava supported population growth far beyond the Americas. Eurasian livestock and crops transformed American diets, transport and land use.

Biology did not keep equal accounts. Old World pathogens caused repeated epidemics among American populations with no prior exposure to many of them. Colonial war, hunger, forced labour and displacement intensified the mortality. There was no comparable demographic collapse in Europe caused by American disease. Horses and cattle also spread through environments where they altered soils, crops and political power.

"Exchange" describes movement, not consent or fairness. Enslaved Africans were part of the same connected world, but their crossing was forced. Sugar and silver moved because labour was compelled. The correction matters because a neutral network diagram can hide radically different experiences inside the same arrow. Connection can be mutual in direction and unequal in consequence. Even a beneficial crop did not benefit everyone alike: land ownership, labour systems and access to markets decided who ate better, who profited and who was displaced.

"By 1600 Europeans controlled the world"

By 1600 Iberian ships had crossed the major oceans, American conquests had created large colonies, and Portuguese forts stretched from Africa to Asia. This looks like global control when viewed on a map coloured by imperial claim.

The map exaggerates. Portugal held ports and sea lanes, not Asia. Mughal India, Ming China, the Ottoman Empire, Safavid Iran, Japan and numerous African and Southeast Asian states retained immense power. European merchants needed licences, allies and local markets. In much of North America, Africa and Asia, European authority remained coastal, intermittent or absent. Even within colonies, Indigenous resistance, maroon communities, smuggling and distance limited rule.

The myth grew because later empires projected nineteenth-century dominance backwards and treated a claimed coastline as governed territory. The correction preserves the scale of the change without granting inevitability. Europeans had created durable global reach and some devastating local advantages. They had not mastered the planet. The connected system remained polycentric, contested and dependent on people its maps pushed to the edges. That matters because later European dominance was a historical development requiring further wars, industrial power and state expansion, not the automatic result of a few fifteenth-century voyages.

Use It

Look for the return route

Accounts of change favour the outward journey: the launch, discovery, product release, expedition or first sale. The Age of Exploration shows that the decisive question comes afterwards. Can the people, goods and information come back at a cost the system can bear?

Dias rounded the cape, but da Gama's return proved a commercial passage

could exist. Magellan's fleet crossed the Pacific, but repeatable service waited until 1565: Arellano and Martin reached New Spain first, while Urdaneta's later, better documented passage supplied the model that Spanish authorities could reproduce. The second path may use different winds, ports, skills and seasons from the first.

This lens separates spectacle from infrastructure. A one-off success proves possibility. A return route proves repeatability. When examining any network, ask where maintenance, resupply, error correction and feedback travel. If those channels are weak, the celebrated breakthrough remains an expedition rather than a system. This is why maintenance budgets, spare capacity and boring documentation often predict endurance better than the brilliance of the launch.

Follow the bottleneck

Oceanic empires rarely controlled every mile. They concentrated force where movement narrowed: a strait, harbour, cape, island, warehouse, convoy season or document that ships needed to pass.

Portugal targeted Goa, Malacca and Hormuz because a small power could gain leverage at nodes used by larger commercial systems. Spain channelled Atlantic trade through authorised ports and fleets. The VOC sought spice-producing islands and shipping centres rather than painting equal control across Asia.

The useful unit is therefore not territory alone. It is the point at which flows can be delayed, taxed, inspected, denied or redirected. Modern maps encourage attention to area. Networks reward attention to junctions. A modest foothold at the right bottleneck may matter more than a vast claim without roads, ships or administrative reach. It also becomes a point of fragility: rivals know exactly where pressure will hurt.

Ask who supplied the knowledge

The surviving chart often bears a European cartographer's name. The route beneath it may contain African coastal knowledge, Arab astronomy, Indian Ocean pilotage, Malay geography, Indigenous place names and the work of interpreters whose names were never recorded.

Knowledge moves through unequal institutions. A royal office can question a pilot, copy an Indigenous route, standardise a local name and issue the result as official information. Once the source has been removed, later readers see knowledge appearing from the institution itself.

This lens does not require guessing an invisible contributor to every fact. It requires checking the labour chain behind authoritative knowledge. Who observed? Who translated? Who selected? Who received authorship, payment or power? The Age of Exploration becomes more accurate when maps and chronicles are read as assembled products rather than solitary acts of genius. Credit is historical evidence because it reveals which institutions could convert contribution into recognised authority.

Read the map as a claim

Maps tell the truth by choosing what to show, and every choice creates an argument. A portolan chart prioritises coasts and harbours because its user is sailing. A royal map may name, colour and divide territory because its user is governing or claiming. A blank region can mean unmeasured, withheld, ignored or treated as available.

Tordesillas turned an uncertain line into a diplomatic allocation.

Waldseemüller's "America" helped fix a name whose future scale its printer could not know. Colonial maps could make Indigenous political geography disappear beneath European borders.

The transferable habit is to ask what action the map invites. Does it help someone move, tax, own, attack, settle or compare? What uncertainty has been smoothed away? A map is evidence, but it is also an instrument used by somebody for something. Comparing it with tax records, travel accounts

or local testimony often reveals the difference between a claimed world and a governed one.

Track the unintended cargo

Captains listed spices, silver, provisions and passengers. They did not list microbes, rats, seeds caught in packing material, insects in soil, or the future ecological effects of livestock. Yet unintended cargo often produced the largest consequences.

The Columbian Exchange changed populations and environments through living passengers that did not obey commercial plans. The same route that carried a profitable crop could spread disease. The same animals that supplied meat and transport could trample fields or alter land use. A network's real output therefore exceeds its declared cargo.

This lens broadens risk analysis. Whenever movement becomes faster or more regular, look for what can hitchhike: pathogens, invasive species, copied practices, rumours, financial panic or political ideas. The age's strongest lesson about connection is that control over the vehicle does not grant control over everything the vehicle carries. Faster circulation enlarges the zone in which small hidden passengers can create large remote effects.

Separate connection from control

A line between two ports proves movement, not mastery. Portugal's ships reached and attacked across the Indian Ocean while remaining dependent on Asian markets, rulers and labour. Spain claimed enormous American territories while struggling to enforce orders across distance. Smugglers connected empires their rulers declared separate.

Connection can even increase dependence. A distant state may gain access to silver while becoming reliant on its flow. A port may profit from trade while becoming vulnerable to blockade. A company may command ships yet need local credit and food.

This distinction guards against maps that colour reach as rule. Examine frequency, enforcement, bargaining power and the ability of local actors to

refuse. A network can be global and authority fragmented. It may function precisely because several powers, brokers and communities cooperate without any one of them controlling the whole route. The Age of Exploration created durable commercial circuits linking the major ocean basins long before any power could govern them as one system.

The limits

This book's frame begins around 1400 and ends around 1700 because that is when European oceanic routes linked the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific systems with the Americas. The boundary is useful and artificial. Exploration did not start in Europe, and it did not end when the routes became familiar. Polynesian settlement, Viking Atlantic crossings, Arab and Chinese navigation, African movement and Indigenous American geography belong to longer histories.

The word “world” also compresses uneven experience. Some coastal societies faced foreign ships early. Many inland communities encountered colonial power much later or through indirect changes in disease, trade and warfare. A global circuit can exist while most people never meet a foreign sailor.

Nor does connection explain every later inequality. Oceanic routes created opportunities and shocks; institutions, ecology, resistance and political choices shaped what followed. The period supplies mechanisms, not a single cause of the modern world. It also cannot be reduced to moral accounting alone: technical achievement and brutality coexisted, and understanding either requires seeing the system that joined them.

The one thing to keep

Keep the route.

The heroic voyage directs attention towards the person at the bow and the line moving across a map. The route forces a wider view. It includes the shipwright who chose the timber, the pilot who knew when the wind would turn, the cooper whose barrel held, the interpreter who made a bargain

possible, the merchant who advanced credit, the official who copied the chart, the porter who moved the cargo, and the labourer compelled to produce it.

It also includes what nobody intended. A ship can carry a plant that alters another continent's diet, a pathogen that empties towns, silver that changes prices an ocean away, or a legal claim that redraws lives on shore. The route links technical skill to human consequence.

That is what changed between 1400 and 1700. People had crossed seas before. Merchants had maintained long chains before. Empires had conquered across distance before. The new system connected the major ocean basins and previously separated ecologies through corridors regular enough to accumulate knowledge, profit and coercive force.

The world did not become one community. It became one field of consequences. After that, a decision in an Andean mine could affect a merchant in Manila, a tax official in China, an investor in Europe and an enslaved family carried across the Atlantic. Distance remained enormous. The old isolation between the major oceanic systems no longer held. The permanent change was exposure: to another market's demand, another state's violence, another ecosystem's organisms and another society's knowledge.

Terms

Age of Exploration. A conventional name for European maritime expansion from roughly 1400 to 1700. Useful for chronology, but misleading when it hides older non-European navigation or colonial violence.

Discovery. New knowledge from a stated viewpoint. A European first sighting was not the first human knowledge of an inhabited place, and should never erase its existing names and peoples.

Caravel. A small Iberian sailing vessel suited to reconnaissance and coastal work. Its rigs varied, but manoeuvrability and shallow draught mattered more than the schoolbook image of one design.

Carrack. A large, broad-hulled ocean carrier, called a *nao* in Iberian usage. Mixed rigging and cargo space made carracks useful for provisions, trade goods, soldiers and long passages.

Galleon. A sixteenth-century armed sailing ship developed for cargo, defence and war. Designs differed by region, but lower forward structures and gun decks distinguished many from earlier carracks.

Lateen sail. A triangular sail set on a long angled yard. It could help a vessel work across the wind, though performance depended on hull, crew, conditions and the full rig.

Square rig. Sails hung broadly across a vessel, efficient when winds came from behind or over a rear quarter. Ocean-going ships often combined square and lateen sails for different tasks.

Volta do mar. The Portuguese “turn of the sea”: sailing away from the direct coastal line to find Atlantic winds that made a faster or safer return journey possible.

Trade winds. Broad, persistent easterly winds in the tropics. They helped carry Atlantic ships westward and Pacific galleons towards Asia, while return routes depended on finding different wind belts.

Monsoon. A seasonal reversal or major shift in winds caused by changing patterns of land and ocean heating. Indian Ocean trade used its calendar centuries before Portuguese arrival.

Dead reckoning. Estimating position from a previous location using course, speed and elapsed time. Currents, leeway, bad measurements and wishful judgement could make the error grow each day.

Latitude. Position north or south of the equator. Sailors could estimate it from the height of the Sun or stars, making latitude more manageable than longitude during this period.

Longitude. Position east or west of a chosen meridian. Accurate determination at sea required reliable time comparison, leaving early

modern pilots with a stubborn source of positional error.

Marine astrolabe. A heavy, open instrument used aboard ship to measure the altitude of the Sun or a star. Its stripped design reduced wind resistance on a moving deck.

Portolan chart. A practical coastal chart marked with harbours and networks of compass directions. Excellent within known seas, it did not solve global projection, open-ocean position or uncertain interiors.

Rutter. A written sailing guide, called a *roteiro* in Portuguese. It recorded bearings, landmarks, depths, currents, anchorages and hazards that could be awkward or impossible to encode on a chart.

Padrón Real. Spain's official master map, maintained by the Casa de Contratación and revised from pilots' reports. It turned scattered voyage information into controlled state knowledge.

Casa de Contratación. The Spanish House of Trade, founded in Seville in 1503. It registered ships and cargoes, trained pilots, collected information, administered commerce and supported imperial navigation.

Feitoria. A Portuguese fortified trading post or factory. It stored goods, gathered intelligence, negotiated with local powers and linked passing fleets to a permanent commercial presence ashore.

Cartaz. A Portuguese naval pass imposed on many Indian Ocean merchants. The system sought to direct shipping through approved ports, collect revenue and turn armed patrols into commercial leverage.

Treaty of Tordesillas. The 1494 agreement that divided future Spanish and Portuguese claims along a notional Atlantic line. Its bold legal certainty exceeded the geographical knowledge behind it.

Capitulation. A royal contract granting an expedition leader powers, privileges and expected rewards in return for undertaking conquest, settlement or exploration at limited cost to the crown.

Encomienda. A Spanish colonial grant of claims to Indigenous tribute and

labour, formally tied to protection and Christian instruction. In practice it became a major instrument of exploitation.

Columbian Exchange. The post-1492 movement of plants, animals, pathogens and people between the Americas and Afro-Eurasia. “Exchange” describes direction, not consent, balance or equal consequence.

Atlantic slave trade. The organised forced transport of enslaved Africans across the Atlantic. It expanded with plantation demand and linked African politics, European finance and American production through human sale.

Middle Passage. The Atlantic crossing endured by captive Africans between embarkation and sale in the Americas. Confinement, disease, violence, resistance and death made the ship an instrument of terror.

Plantation complex. A system joining export crops, concentrated land, mills or processing equipment, commercial credit, shipping and coerced labour. Atlantic islands supplied an early model for American expansion.

Manila galleon. The eastward Pacific return was demonstrated in 1565, and a regular Manila-Acapulco service developed after Manila's foundation in 1571. American silver travelled west, Asian goods travelled east, and Mexico connected the Pacific to Atlantic networks.

Joint-stock company. A company financed by shares that spread risk across investors and ventures. Early modern chartered companies could combine private profit with public powers of war and government.

VOC. The Dutch East India Company, chartered in 1602. It pooled permanent capital and received powers to trade, fortify, negotiate and fight, becoming a corporate empire across parts of Asia.

Go Deeper

Felipe Fernández-Armesto, *Pathfinders: A Global History of Exploration* (2006). The broadest next step. It ranges far beyond the familiar Iberian century and treats exploration as a human practice across environments and civilisations. Read it to place Atlantic expansion beside Pacific settlement, Asian voyaging and movement over land. Its scale means some episodes pass quickly, but that is the benefit after a book centred on one period: it prevents European maritime expansion from becoming the definition of exploration itself. Keep a map nearby, because the argument moves across oceans, deserts, ice and migration routes with little pause. The reward is a less provincial sense of what counts as movement into the unknown.

Antonio Pigafetta, *The First Voyage around the World, 1519-1522*, edited by Theodore J. Cachey Jr. (2007). The primary account to choose. Pigafetta travelled with Magellan and returned with the *Victoria*, recording navigation, hunger, violence, diplomacy and encounters from Patagonia to the Philippines. The edition supplies maps, chronology and careful notes. Read it with suspicion as well as fascination. Pigafetta sees through a European Christian frame, depends on interpreters and writes partly to shape the expedition's memory. The attraction is the friction between close observation and confident misunderstanding, often present within the same page. Few sources show more clearly how curiosity, commerce, faith and violence travelled together.

Alfred W. Crosby, *The Columbian Exchange: Biological and Cultural Consequences of 1492*, 30th anniversary edition (2003). A short book that changed the scale of the subject. Crosby follows crops, animals and pathogens rather than treating ships and treaties as the whole story. Some scholarship has refined its numbers and regional detail, but the organising insight remains indispensable: oceanic contact joined biological systems, and the largest consequences often travelled outside anyone's plan. Pair it with newer disease and environmental work where exact totals or regional timing matter. Its brevity makes it approachable, while its age makes the

notes and bibliography around contested demographic claims especially important.

Sanjay Subrahmanyam, *The Portuguese Empire in Asia, 1500-1700*, second edition (2012). The strongest corrective to a triumphal voyage narrative. It places Portuguese action inside changing Asian states, ports and commercial systems, showing accommodation, dependence and failure beside force. This is a scholarly and demanding book rather than an easy narrative. Read it to understand why fortified routes did not amount to European ownership of Asia, and why local politics remained decisive throughout the period. Its density is the warning and the value: the easy story disappears under the weight of institutions, bargains and failed ambitions.

Notes and Sources

Scope and period. “Age of Exploration” is used here as a practical label for European maritime expansion from roughly 1400 to 1700, not as a claim that exploration began or belonged uniquely to Europe. Felipe Fernández-Armesto, Philippe Beaujard and K. N. Chaudhuri support the wider comparative frame. The queue boundary assigns this book the joining of oceanic routes while leaving the full Spanish imperial system, individual civilisations and later commodity histories to neighbouring titles.

Existing commercial worlds. Beaujard, Chaudhuri and Subrahmanyam support the account of dense Afro-Eurasian networks before Portuguese arrival. Sanjay Subrahmanyam is especially important for rejecting a static Asian “stage” awaiting dynamic Europeans. Edward Dreyer supports the dates 1405 to 1433 for Zheng He's seven expeditions, their reach into the Indian Ocean and their use of monsoon timing. The book avoids disputed claims about exact treasure-ship dimensions.

Atlantic learning. A. R. Disney, Malyn Newitt and J. H. Parry support the chronology from Ceuta through the Atlantic islands, African coasts, Elmina and Dias. The treatment of Madeira and São Tomé as early plantation

zones draws on the same scholarship and on Atlantic slavery histories. Amélia Polónia supports the correction that Prince Henry was a patron and holder of commercial privileges rather than the director of a formal nautical school at Sagres, a later institution for which no contemporary evidence survives. “Volta do mar” describes the use of offshore wind systems rather than one discovery made on one date.

Navigation and vessels. The account follows maritime history scholarship and National Maritime Museum and Mariners' Museum reference materials. Dead reckoning remained fundamental. Latitude observation improved without making position exact, while reliable longitude at sea remained unresolved. Caravel, carrack and galleon describe changing families of vessels, not fixed engineering standards. Regional designs varied, and fleets often mixed types.

Maps and state knowledge. Portolan charts emerged in Mediterranean use around the turn of the fourteenth century. The Padrón Real and Casa de Contratación account draws on Ricardo Padrón and studies of Spanish imperial administration. The Library of Congress identifies the 1507 Waldseemüller map as the first known map to use “America” and the sole surviving copy of a print run believed to have been about one thousand. The text states the number as a belief, not a known production total.

Tordesillas. UNESCO's Memory of the World record supports the date 7 June 1494 and the demarcation line 370 leagues west of the Cape Verde islands. The geographical application was uncertain because the treaty's league and the longitude of places could not be fixed with modern precision. Its importance lay in diplomacy and claims, not consent from inhabitants or acceptance by later rival powers.

Columbus and the spherical Earth. Library of Congress materials on ancient and medieval cosmology support the correction that educated Europeans knew the Earth was spherical. Columbus's error concerned scale and the eastward extent of Asia. The *Diario*, as preserved through Las Casas, supports his Asian interpretation of the Caribbean. The narrative avoids attributing one exact circumference estimate because Columbus

combined inconsistent authorities and measures.

Da Gama and the Indian Ocean. Disney, Newitt and Subrahmanyam support the 1497 to 1499 voyage, the poor reception of Portuguese gifts at Calicut, and the shift towards armed trade. Portuguese power is described as a chain of ports, alliances and routes because it never amounted to territorial control of Asia. Goa, Malacca and Hormuz were taken in 1510, 1511 and 1515. Subrahmanyam and Chaudhuri support the continuing dependence on Asian merchants, ships and political relationships.

American conquest. David Abulafia and Matthew Restall support the encounter frame and the correction of the lone-conquistador model. The Aztec and Inca books own the detailed histories. Here, Cortés, Malintzin, Tlaxcalan and other Indigenous allies, epidemic disease, lake warfare and siege appear only to explain how maritime arrival became colonial rule. The Andean account holds together civil war, epidemic disruption, tactical surprise and long resistance rather than treating Cajamarca as instant conquest.

Disease and population decline. Noble David Cook and comparative demographic scholarship support catastrophic but regionally uneven population loss after contact. Exact pre-contact totals and universal percentages remain contested. Disease interacted with war, hunger, displacement, forced labour and colonial settlement. The manuscript therefore avoids a single percentage for all Indigenous Americans and avoids treating microbes as independent conquerors.

Columbian Exchange. Alfred Crosby supplies the organising concept, refined by later environmental and demographic scholarship. The movement of maize, potatoes, cassava, tomatoes, chillies, livestock and pathogens is secure, while local timing and effects varied. “Exchange” is retained as the established term and explicitly separated from fairness or consent.

Slavery and plantations. David Eltis, David Richardson, John Thornton and Toby Green support the account of early Portuguese trafficking, Atlantic island plantations, African political involvement and the later expansion

driven by American demand. SlaveVoyages estimates more than 12.5 million captives embarked across the full transatlantic trade from the early sixteenth century to the nineteenth. The body uses “more than twelve million” and does not imply that the total belongs within 1400 to 1700 alone.

Circumnavigation and Manila. Pigafetta is the main primary account for the Magellan-Elcano expedition. Five ships and roughly 270 men left; crew rosters vary. The *Victoria* returned in 1522 with eighteen survivors of the original expedition aboard, while other participants returned by different paths. Andrés Reséndez and the surviving inquiry tradition support separating two claims about 1565: the *San Lucas*, captained by Alonso de Arellano and piloted by Lope Martín, reached New Spain first in August; Urdaneta's *San Pedro* arrived in October with a fuller navigational record and the institutional backing that made its northerly passage the standard reference for later service. Older commemorative accounts often award the discovery wholly to Urdaneta, while recent scholarship has restored Martín and Arellano's priority. Ricardo Padrón and Arturo Giráldez support Manila's 1571 foundation and the silver-for-Asian-goods circuit. Claims that world trade was “born” in 1571 depend on definition, so the book uses “durable planetary circuit” rather than a single uncontested birthday for globalisation.

Companies and control. Subrahmanyam, Benton and wider company histories support the distinction between global reach and global mastery. The English East India Company was chartered in 1600 and the VOC in 1602. Their powers mixed trade, diplomacy, fortification and war. Frank Dhont supports the 1621 Banda account of killing, expulsion, enslavement and plantation reorganisation in pursuit of a nutmeg monopoly, with Amitav Ghosh providing a modern interpretive account. Asian states and merchants retained bargaining power, while smuggling and cross-imperial trade repeatedly defeated official monopoly.

Evidence limits. European administrative survival creates an archive rich in ships, cargoes and claims and thin in the voices of people treated as labour or obstacles. Indigenous-language texts, archaeology, oral traditions, shipwrecks, palaeogenetics and environmental evidence widen the record

but do not make it even. The “How we know” ending states the gaps that alter confidence without turning the body into a research report.

Bibliography

Primary and contemporary sources

Columbus, Christopher. *The Diario of Christopher Columbus's First Voyage to America, 1492-1493*. Abstracted by Bartolomé de las Casas. Translated by Oliver Dunn and James E. Kelley Jr. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 1989.

Pigafetta, Antonio. *The First Voyage around the World, 1519-1522: An Account of Magellan's Expedition*. Edited by Theodore J. Cachey Jr. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2007.

Modern works

Abulafia, David. *The Discovery of Mankind: Atlantic Encounters in the Age of Columbus*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2008.

Beaujard, Philippe. *The Worlds of the Indian Ocean: A Global History*. Vol. 2, *From the Seventh Century to the Fifteenth Century CE*. Translation edited by Tamara Loring, Frances Meadows and Andromeda Tait. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019.

Benton, Lauren. *A Search for Sovereignty: Law and Geography in European Empires, 1400-1900*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Chaudhuri, K. N. *Trade and Civilisation in the Indian Ocean: An Economic History from the Rise of Islam to 1750*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Cook, Noble David. *Born to Die: Disease and New World Conquest, 1492-1650*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Crosby, Alfred W. *The Columbian Exchange: Biological and Cultural Consequences of 1492*. 30th anniversary ed. Westport, CT: Praeger, 2003.

Disney, A. R. *A History of Portugal and the Portuguese Empire: From Beginnings to 1807*. Vol. 2, *The Portuguese Empire*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Dhont, Frank. "Genocide in the Spice Islands: The Dutch East India Company and the Destruction of the Banda Archipelago Civilisation in 1621." In *The Cambridge World History of Genocide*. Vol. 2, *Genocide in the Indigenous, Early Modern and Imperial Worlds, from c.1535 to World War One*, edited by Ned Blackhawk, Ben Kiernan, Benjamin Madley and Rebe Taylor, 186-214. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2023.

Dreyer, Edward L. *Zheng He: China and the Oceans in the Early Ming Dynasty, 1405-1433*. New York: Pearson Longman, 2006.

Eltis, David, and David Richardson. *Atlas of the Transatlantic Slave Trade*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2010.

Fernández-Armesto, Felipe. *Pathfinders: A Global History of Exploration*. New York: W. W. Norton, 2006.

Flynn, Dennis O., and Arturo Giráldez. "Born with a Silver Spoon: The Origin of World Trade in 1571." *Journal of World History* 6, no. 2 (1995): 201-221.

Giráldez, Arturo. *The Age of Trade: The Manila Galleons and the Dawn of the Global Economy*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2015.

Ghosh, Amitav. *The Nutmeg's Curse: Parables for a Planet in Crisis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2021.

Green, Toby. *A Fistful of Shells: West Africa from the Rise of the Slave Trade to the Age of Revolution*. London: Allen Lane, 2019.

Newitt, Malyn. *A History of Portuguese Overseas Expansion, 1400-1668*. London: Routledge, 2005.

Padrón, Ricardo. *The Indies of the Setting Sun: How Early Modern Spain Mapped the Far East as the Transpacific West*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2020.

Parry, J. H. *The Age of Reconnaissance: Discovery, Exploration and Settlement, 1450-1650*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1981.

Polónia, Amélia. "Iconoclasm versus Apologetics: How the Salazar Regime Dealt with Portuguese Overseas Expansion." *European Review* 30, supp. S1 (2022): S65-S76.

Restall, Matthew. *Seven Myths of the Spanish Conquest*. Updated ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2021.

Reséndez, Andrés. *Conquering the Pacific: An Unknown Mariner and the Final Great Voyage of the Age of Discovery*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2021.

Subrahmanyam, Sanjay. *The Portuguese Empire in Asia, 1500-1700: A Political and Economic History*. 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2012.

Thornton, John K. *Africa and Africans in the Making of the Atlantic World, 1400-1800*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Institutional resources

Library of Congress. Waldseemüller map and early cosmology reference materials. Checked 11 August 2026.

Royal Museums Greenwich, National Maritime Museum. Reference materials on early modern navigation and sailing vessels. Checked 11 August 2026.

The Mariners' Museum and Park. *Ages of Exploration* reference materials on caravel, carrack and galleon design. Checked 11 August 2026.

SlaveVoyages Consortium. Trans-Atlantic Slave Trade Database and estimates. Checked 11 August 2026.

UNESCO Memory of the World. Treaty of Tordesillas record. Checked 11 August 2026.