

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Sugar *in a Hurry*

Sweetness, slavery, and empire

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 35

Terms 40

Go Deeper 43

Notes and Sources 45

Bibliography 51

The Whole Thing in One Page

Sugar looks like the least historical thing in the cupboard. It is white, dry, uniform and anonymous. A spoonful gives no clue whether it began as tropical cane or a temperate beet, whether it crossed an ocean or came from a field nearby, or whose hands cut, lifted and processed the crop. That blankness is its achievement.

The subject begins with a chemical trick. Plants make sugars, but ordinary table sugar is sucrose, a molecule built from glucose and fructose. Sugarcane stores it in watery stalks; sugar beet stores it in a root. Press or slice the crop, clean the juice, remove most of the water, persuade sucrose to form crystals, and sweetness becomes concentrated, divisible and durable. The plant is local and perishable. The crystal travels.

Cane adds a harder condition. Once cut, it begins losing recoverable sugar and must reach the rollers quickly. That clock bound fields, transport, labour, boilers and mills into one operating system. It did not dictate slavery. The decisive choices were political: conquest supplied land, racial law made people property, credit financed mills and captive labour, imperial preference protected markets, and violence prevented exit. Cane made coordination unusually valuable; empire decided who would be forced to coordinate. Brazil, Barbados, Jamaica and Saint-Domingue became landscapes organised around that combination.

Empire also helped build the customer. Sugar moved from medicine and display into tea, coffee, chocolate, jam, biscuits and everyday calories. Duties financed states, imperial rules favoured particular producers, and refiners and grocers widened access. Consumers were not passive recipients of a plan: they adopted sweetness for pleasure, fashion, sociability and convenience. Yet the widening distance between production and consumption mattered. The violence stayed at one end of the chain while the buyer increasingly met a clean crystal at the other.

Beet sugar broke the tropical monopoly. Chemists showed that the sucrose in a European root was the same as the sucrose in cane. War, subsidy,

plant breeding and factories turned that fact into an industry. Legal slavery ended, but coercion did not vanish with it. Indenture, debt, migrant labour, low farm prices and protected markets carried older inequalities into new systems.

Modern industry completed the separation. Sugar sweetens, but it also preserves, browns, feeds yeast, controls ice, thickens syrups and changes texture. Those functions make removal harder than the label suggests. The health case is strongest where free sugars are frequent, concentrated and easy to consume, especially in drinks: dental caries is directly tied to exposure, and excess energy can raise body weight. Claims that every sugar is poison, that brown sugar is a health food, or that one syrup alone explains obesity do not survive inspection.

So the modern argument is political as much as nutritional. Who pays when sweetness is cheap, products are engineered around it, growers depend on protected prices and disease costs arrive elsewhere? Taxes, labelling, reformulation rules and marketing restrictions try to alter that distribution. The substance has not changed. The system around it has. Sugar remains a molecule, but its price, visibility and consequences are decisions made through farms, factories, companies and states.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

Put a teaspoon of sugar on a table and it will sit there without explanation. The grains do not rot quickly, smell of a field or reveal a season. They carry no visible trace of the stalk, soil, boiler, ship, tariff, factory or body that made them possible. That is why sugar is useful to think with. It shows how a system can become invisible precisely when it works well.

Begin with the object. A teaspoon contains thousands of crystals, each repeating the same molecular arrangement. That regularity allows sugar to be weighed, traded, stored, blended and priced as though origin barely matters. Most agricultural goods resist such erasure. Coffee beans keep

their shape. Tea leaves can be graded by appearance. Fruit bruises and grain carries differences of variety and moisture. Refined sucrose approaches abstraction: a standard substance that can enter almost anything.

Then follow it backwards. A cane field is the opposite of the sugar bowl. Cane is tall, wet and heavy. After cutting, damage and microbes begin reducing the sugar a mill can recover. Harvest therefore creates urgency. Cutters, carts, rollers, furnaces and boiling vessels must keep moving together. A delay in one place wastes value everywhere else. Long before the modern assembly line, sugar linked biological time to industrial discipline.

That discipline had human consequences. Plantation slavery cannot be explained by crop chemistry alone, and the Atlantic slave trade cannot be reduced to sugar. Yet sugar helps explain why European colonisers built some of the richest and most violent societies in the early modern world on small tropical islands. The mill demanded capital. The harvest demanded coordinated labour. Imperial law supplied ownership claims over land and people. Shipping connected the result to distant consumers who rarely saw any of it.

The consumer story matters because demand was made, not discovered waiting. Medieval Europeans treated sugar as medicine, spice and luxury. Early modern elites sculpted it into displays. Later households stirred it into imported bitter drinks, preserved fruit with it and baked it into increasingly ordinary foods. By the nineteenth century, sweetness had moved down the social scale. Sugar became one of the ways empire entered the working day: quick energy in tea, jam on bread, a cheap pleasure in a hard life. That does not make consumers guilty of designing the plantation. It does show that taste has a political history.

The present still carries the old structure in altered form. Sugar is one of the world's most traded agricultural commodities. Brazil can divert cane between sugar and ethanol as prices and policy change, while many importing countries protect domestic producers through quotas,

administered prices or tariffs. A packet that appears to contain a straightforward commodity may therefore embody energy policy, rural support, trade diplomacy and public-health rules at the same time. Cane remains dominant, but beet, maize sweeteners, non-sugar sweeteners and ethanol complicate the market. Governments protect growers, limit imports, guarantee prices, tax drinks and negotiate over definitions. Companies use sugar because it performs several jobs at once. Public-health policy then meets a product whose price, recipe and availability were organised long before anyone made an individual choice in a shop.

The limit is important. Sugar is not the master key to capitalism, empire, slavery, obesity or modern food. Each has causes that outrun one commodity. Nor is sucrose a moral agent. A molecule cannot enslave anyone, write a tariff or advertise to children.

What sugar can do is expose the chain. It lets you watch sweetness become a crystal, the crystal become a commodity, the commodity become a labour regime, and the habit become ordinary enough to escape notice. Once you can see that chain, the spoonful no longer looks empty.

The Core Ideas

A Crystal Makes Sweetness Portable

The word sugar covers a family. Glucose circulates in blood and fuels cells. Fructose is common in fruit and honey. Lactose belongs to milk. The white crystals in a sugar bowl are mostly sucrose, a disaccharide made from one glucose unit joined to one fructose unit. Sugarcane and sugar beet both store sucrose, which is why a refined crystal from a tropical grass and one from a European root are chemically indistinguishable.

Humans are drawn to sweetness because it once carried useful information. In many ancestral environments, sweetness often signalled accessible carbohydrate, although it never guaranteed safety. The taste system is not a laboratory assay, however. Sweet receptors respond to several molecules, including some proteins and artificial sweeteners, while the same

concentration can taste different according to temperature, acidity, aroma and what came before it. Pleasure is biological, but appetite is trained by exposure, habit and culture.

The historic breakthrough was therefore not sweetness itself. People could chew cane, eat fruit and concentrate syrups long before they could make a stable, granular commodity. The decisive step was learning to purify juice and control crystallisation.

Fresh cane juice is a complicated liquid. It contains water, sucrose, glucose, fructose, acids, minerals, pigments, proteins, fragments of plant tissue and microorganisms. Crushing releases it. Clarification removes much of the suspended material and changes conditions so impurities can be separated. Evaporation raises the sucrose concentration. When the syrup becomes supersaturated, meaning it contains more dissolved sucrose than would remain comfortably in solution under those conditions, crystals can nucleate and grow. Centrifuging later separates crystals from the remaining syrup. Further refining removes colour and residual impurities. Raw and less-refined sugars retain more molasses-derived compounds, which affect colour, aroma, moisture and flavour. Those differences can matter in food, but they do not turn the underlying sucrose into another nutrient.

A crystal is orderly. Sucrose molecules fit into a repeating lattice, which excludes much of what accompanied them in the plant. That separation gives refined sugar its blank appearance and consistent behaviour. Dry crystals contain little available water, so microorganisms cannot grow in the sugar itself under ordinary storage. The product can be poured, divided, combined and carried without hauling the whole plant or its water.

This changed the economics of sweetness. Cane juice is bulky and deteriorates. A sugar loaf or sack of raw crystals stores value in concentrated form. It can cross an ocean, wait in a warehouse, be taxed at a port, refined near a city and sold by weight. The crystal made the product legible to merchants and states.

It also made origin easier to ignore. Refining removes differences

consumers might otherwise see. A packet does not display the field's water use, the mill's fuel, the worker's contract or the government's price support. Sugar's purity is physical. Its innocence is an optical effect.

That distinction runs through the book. The molecule explains sweetness and several useful food properties. It does not explain why one population controlled another, why an empire imposed a tariff or why a manufacturer chose a portion size. Those belong to the system built around the molecule. Confusing the two produces two opposite errors: treating sugar as a demon with intentions, or treating it as chemistry with no history.

Cane Creates a Clock

Sugarcane is a giant tropical grass. Farmers usually propagate it from pieces of stalk rather than seed, setting sections with viable buds into the soil. New shoots rise, form clumps and build long jointed stems. Through photosynthesis, the plant moves carbon into sugars and stores a high concentration of sucrose in the stalk. Warmth, water, sunlight, soil, variety, age and stress all affect the final yield.

The crop looks leisurely while it grows and becomes urgent at harvest. Once cane is cut, the stalk is wounded. Respiration continues. Enzymes split sucrose. Microorganisms enter damaged tissue. Water is lost. Delay, heat and rough handling can reduce the amount and quality of sugar recovered. The exact rate varies, but the direction does not: a mill wants cane fresh.

That creates a spatial rule. Fields must sit within practical reach of crushing capacity, and harvesting must be scheduled around what the mill can accept. Cut too little and expensive machinery stands idle. Cut too much and cane waits while sucrose deteriorates. Transport, labour and milling must be coordinated hour by hour during the season.

The rollers are the first bottleneck. They squeeze juice from fibrous stalk. Modern mills use several stages and water to wash additional sucrose from the fibre, but no process recovers everything. The crushed residue, bagasse, is then useful. Burned in boilers, it can produce steam and electricity for the mill; in efficient modern plants, surplus power may enter

the grid. Cane therefore arrives as both feedstock and much of its own fuel.

The juice moves through a sequence of vessels rather than one dramatic transformation. Clarification, filtration, evaporation, crystallisation and separation each remove something or change the physical state. Heat must be controlled. Boil openly at high temperature and colour forms, sucrose can break down, fuel use climbs and workers labour beside dangerous pans. Vacuum lowers the boiling temperature. Multiple-effect evaporation reuses steam across vessels at successively lower pressures, saving fuel. The equipment changed, but the operating problem stayed the same: move a perishable biological harvest into a stable crystal before time and heat destroy too much value.

Cane can regrow after cutting. These later crops are called ratoons, and they save the cost of replanting. Yields often decline over successive ratoons as stools age and pests, disease or field damage accumulate. The estate therefore balances immediate output against renewal. A sugar landscape is a calendar of plantings, ratoons, harvest windows, maintenance and factory capacity.

This clock helps explain why sugar production tends towards large, coordinated units, though it does not make one ownership form inevitable. Smallholders can grow cane and deliver it to central mills. Cooperatives can own processing. States can operate factories. Contract farming can connect many plots to one plant. Yet the mill still holds leverage because cane cannot wait indefinitely for another buyer. When growers have sunk a season into a crop and one nearby factory controls crushing, price disputes become asymmetric.

Cane's biology therefore survives inside modern politics. Arguments over delivery schedules, administered cane prices, mill arrears, transport, harvest burning, water and ethanol allocation are contemporary versions of the same constraint. The clock begins when the stalk is cut. Everyone in the chain negotiates under it.

The Plantation Joined Field, Factory and Force

A plantation is more than a large farm. In sugar it became a machine for combining land, capital, processing and command. Fields supplied cane to a mill that could not move. Buildings housed rollers, boilers, curing rooms, stores and workshops. Animals, carts, fuel and water had to arrive on schedule. Skilled workers repaired machinery and judged boiling. Large gangs cut and carried cane. Managers recorded output, absence, punishment and loss.

That organisation existed before the Atlantic plantation and did not depend on one legal labour status. Mediterranean sugar used mixtures of enslaved, coerced and free workers. Asian sugar economies often linked peasants and specialist processors rather than reproducing the Caribbean estate. Even in the Americas, farms and mills varied by region and period. The claim that sugar automatically creates slavery is false.

The stronger claim is grim enough. Sugar became exceptionally compatible with plantation slavery when several conditions arrived together: conquered land, access to Atlantic captive-labour markets, racial law that made people saleable property, metropolitan credit, fixed mills, protected outlets and naval shipping. Cane's labour peaks and processing clock then rewarded close coordination and scale. Enslaved workers could be forced through the harvest, shifted between field and factory and denied the ability to leave when schedules tightened. Agronomy created pressure. Law, finance and empire converted that pressure into coercion.

The work was varied and ranked. Cutting cane demanded speed, strength and endurance among sharp leaves, insects and heat. Carrying and carting were timed to the mill. Feeding stalks into rollers risked crushing injuries. Furnace work meant smoke and heat. Boiling required judgement because the state of the syrup had to be read before instruments became reliable. Coopers made casks. Carpenters, masons, smiths, drivers, domestic workers and provision growers sustained the estate. Enslaved people brought knowledge, learned skilled trades, cultivated food, built families and resisted in forms ranging from slowed work and theft to flight and revolt. A

description that reduces them to labour inputs repeats the plantation's own accounting.

The plantation's violence was structural and personal. People were bought, sold, mortgaged, inherited and separated. Discipline used whips, confinement, mutilation, deprivation and terror. Sexual exploitation joined economic command. On many Caribbean estates, harsh conditions, disease, poor nutrition, dangerous work and unequal sex ratios meant deaths exceeded births for long periods, so planters depended on further imports. The sugar economy consumed people as well as land.

It also created a small ruling class with enormous local power. Planters controlled assemblies, courts, militias and trade policy while often borrowing heavily against future crops and the assessed value of enslaved people. Their apparent independence rested on metropolitan credit, naval protection, merchants, refiners and consumers. The plantation was remote from Europe and deeply connected to it.

Why did free labour not replace slavery earlier if slavery was costly to police? Because the relevant comparison was not between an abstract free worker and an abstract enslaved worker. Planters operated where abundant land could let free labourers leave estates, farm independently or bargain for higher wages. Colonial governments helped close those alternatives through land rules, taxation, policing and slave law. Owners could also externalise part of the human cost through continued purchase when mortality exceeded births. Slavery solved the planter's control problem by suppressing exit and bargaining, not by making labour intrinsically more productive.

This is the central moral fact of sugar, but it must be stated without turning the commodity into the whole Atlantic world. Sugar did not cause European racial ideology by itself, did not carry every captive and was not the only plantation crop. It did, however, give racial slavery one of its most powerful engines. The polished crystal was produced by an institution designed to keep the labour visible to the overseer and invisible to the buyer.

Empire Made Demand as Carefully as Supply

Producing more sugar was useless unless someone consumed it. The rise of sugar therefore required a second construction project: changing what sweetness meant, who could buy it and where it belonged in daily life.

For much of European history, sugar was rare and expensive. Apothecaries used it in medicines. Cooks treated it as a spice. Elite households displayed wealth through confectionery, preserved fruit and sculptures made for banquets. A sugar loaf was hard, costly and kept under control; purpose-built sugar boxes could be locked. Sweetness marked rank because most people encountered little of it.

Atlantic production lowered prices and expanded supply, but demand did not rise mechanically. Sugar found companions. Tea, coffee and chocolate arrived through other imperial trades with bitter, roasted or astringent flavours that many European consumers softened with milk and sugar. The three drinks did not need sweetening in every culture, yet the pairing became habitual in Britain and elsewhere. One colonial commodity helped sell another.

Refining and retail changed access. Raw sugar shipped from producing regions could be refined in European ports, where merchants, manufacturers and customs systems captured value. Grocers sold smaller quantities. Confectioners created new products. Port refineries also concentrated political influence and industrial employment far from the plantations. A city could profit from sugar through finance, shipping, refining, warehousing and retail without growing a single stalk. Cookbooks normalised sweet recipes. Jam used sugar to bind fruit, acidity, heat and storage into a reliable preserve. Biscuits, cakes and puddings carried sweetness into domestic routines. The household, rather than the plantation, became the final production site for much early consumption, and much of that unpaid work fell to women.

States did more than skim the trade. Customs duties raised revenue, navigation laws channelled shipping through favoured merchants, colonial

preferences altered which sugar could compete, and naval power protected routes. Credit and insurance connected planters to metropolitan balance sheets. Wars repeatedly changed possession of sugar islands because export value, strategic ports and taxable commerce could make a small colony unusually important to an imperial state. The price a consumer met was therefore political before the packet reached a shop.

By the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, sugar crossed class boundaries. It remained a pleasure and status good, but it also became a cheap source of energy in crowded urban and industrial lives. Sweetened tea could be prepared quickly, made poor-quality food more palatable and provided warmth, caffeine and calories in one cup. Jam and treacle accompanied bread. The interpretation associated with Sidney Mintz, that sugar helped provision an industrial workforce, is illuminating when treated as part of the story rather than a complete explanation. People were not passive vessels filled by capital. They adopted, adapted and desired new foods for reasons that included pleasure, fashion, sociability, convenience and imitation.

Empire made this ordinary by separating ends of the chain. Consumers saw price and taste. Producers experienced land seizure, labour discipline and volatile markets. The clean commodity allowed each end to function without much knowledge of the other.

That arrangement shaped moral politics too. Abolitionists used sugar boycotts to connect household purchase with enslaved labour. Some consumers sought sugar made without slavery; planters and merchants disputed the link or defended imperial preference. The boycott never gave consumers complete control over the system, but it exposed a fact modern labels often hide: demand is political when production conditions differ and the product looks the same.

Beet Proved Sugar Was Chemistry, Not Geography

Cane tied sugar to warm climates. Beet loosened the knot.

In 1747, the Prussian chemist Andreas Sigismund Marggraf showed that

crystals recovered from beet contained the same sugar as crystals from cane. His result was chemically important and commercially weak. Beets then held little sucrose, extraction was inefficient and imported cane sugar remained more attractive. A laboratory finding does not become an industry until crops, machines, capital and policy are rebuilt around it.

Franz Karl Achard did that work. He selected beets for higher sugar content, developed cultivation and processing methods, and opened an early factory in Silesia at the start of the nineteenth century. The venture struggled, but it established the operating possibility. The Napoleonic Wars then supplied the political pressure. British sea power and the Continental System disrupted cane-sugar access, so France promoted beet cultivation, technical schools and factories. Many early efforts failed when seed, skill, transport or timing did not match official ambition. Once peace restored cane imports, much of the first boom collapsed.

The idea survived because it solved a strategic problem. European states could make sugar on domestic land rather than depend entirely on tropical colonies and vulnerable shipping. Plant breeders raised sucrose content. Engineers improved slicing, diffusion, purification, evaporation and crystallisation. Railways connected farms to factories. Tariffs and subsidies protected producers while the technology matured. By the later nineteenth century, beet had become a major rival to cane.

Beet also produced its own crop clock. Roots are bulky, expensive to transport far and liable to lose quality in storage. Factories therefore drew supply from surrounding farms and operated intense seasonal campaigns. The arrangement created rural regions in which one processor could shape planting contracts, delivery queues and local employment. Cane and beet differed in climate and labour regime, yet both tied many growers to a capital-heavy factory whose economics depended on keeping equipment fed.

The result looks like substitution but was a reorganisation. A beet factory receives roots, washes and slices them into thin strips, then extracts sucrose into water. The juice is purified, concentrated and crystallised. The

fibrous pulp can feed animals, and molasses supplies further industrial uses. Beet deteriorates after harvest too, so factories still coordinate a campaign with surrounding farms, but the geography and labour calendar differ from cane.

The crystal settles the chemical question. Properly refined cane sugar and beet sugar are sucrose. Claims that one has a distinct inherent taste usually concern residual compounds in less-refined products, processing, storage or expectation. Once purity is high, the plant source cannot carry a moral or nutritional essence into the molecule.

Yet beet did not make sugar politically neutral. European production depended on protected markets, factory ownership, seasonal labour and bargaining between growers and processors. In tropical colonies after emancipation, planters sought new forms of controlled labour, including indentured workers from India and elsewhere. Beet competition could intensify pressure on cane workers and colonial states rather than liberate them. Later trade regimes divided the market through quotas, guaranteed prices and preferences that supported some producers while excluding others.

Beet therefore supplies a useful correction to technological optimism. A new feedstock can remove one geographic dependency while leaving the underlying questions of land, labour, capital and state support intact. Chemistry made emancipation from cane possible. It could not decide who gained from the new system.

Industry Uses Sugar to Build Food

Sweetness is the obvious function of sugar and often not the one that makes a recipe difficult to change.

Dissolved sugar binds water and lowers water activity, the measure of how available water is for microbial growth and chemical change. This helps preserve jams, syrups and condensed products when concentration, acidity, heat and packaging work together. Sugar does not sterilise food, and different microorganisms tolerate different conditions, but removing it can

shorten shelf life unless another control replaces its effect.

Sugar also changes boiling and freezing. Dissolved molecules lower the freezing point of water, so ice cream can remain scoopable rather than becoming one rigid block. The concentration of sugar in the unfrozen phase affects how much ice forms, how crystals grow and how sweetness is perceived at low temperature. In confectionery, controlling water content and cooling determines whether sucrose forms large gritty crystals, tiny smooth ones or an amorphous glass.

Heat adds further roles. Sucrose can break down under acidic or enzymatic conditions into glucose and fructose, producing invert sugar, which resists crystallisation differently and attracts water more strongly. Caramelisation creates colour and flavour through heat-driven sugar chemistry. In baked foods, sugars and amino compounds participate in Maillard reactions that build crust colour and roasted aromas. These are related families of reactions, not one event called browning.

Fermentation consumes sugar. Yeast converts available sugars into carbon dioxide and ethanol, which makes bread rise and produces alcoholic drinks. Sugar can therefore sweeten the finished food, feed a process and disappear partly before consumption. It can also affect protein and starch behaviour through competition for water, concentration and temperature. In cakes and biscuits, changing sugar alters spread, aeration, tenderness, crispness, colour and moisture retention. The replacement is a structural redesign.

Bulk matters. High-intensity sweeteners can supply sweetness at tiny doses, but they do not fill the space occupied by grams of sucrose or reproduce its effects on viscosity, freezing, browning and preservation. Manufacturers may combine sweeteners, fibres, starches, polyols, acids and flavourings to rebuild the missing functions. A lower-sugar product may be a useful reformulation and is still a different material system.

This is why ingredient labels need to be read functionally. Several names can supply sugars: sucrose, glucose syrup, invert syrup, honey, fruit-juice

concentrate and others. Their compositions and properties differ, but the body does not treat an added sugar as harmless because its name sounds natural. Conversely, sugar appearing in a recipe does not prove that sweetness is its only purpose.

Industrial food made sugar more visible in statistics and less visible in experience. A person can recognise a spoonful added to tea. It is harder to estimate free sugars spread across drinks, sauces, cereals, yoghurts, breads and snacks, especially where portion size and marketing shape consumption. The old crystal hid the field. Modern formulation can hide the crystal.

The correct response is neither suspicion of every chemical name nor faith in reformulation claims. Ask what job the sugar performs, what replaces it, how much remains and how the product is eaten. The molecule is simple. The food built around it may not be.

The Political Problem Moved from Scarcity to Surplus

For most of sugar's history, the governing problem was access. States wanted supply, merchants wanted secure routes, planters wanted labour and consumers wanted lower prices. Success produced a different problem: concentrated sweetness became cheap enough to enter daily life repeatedly, often in forms that are quick to consume and weakly filling.

Health claims need separation. Dental caries has the cleanest mechanism. Oral bacteria metabolise fermentable carbohydrates and produce acids that lower plaque pH. Repeated exposures favour demineralisation of tooth enamel, especially when fluoride, saliva, oral hygiene and access to dental care offer limited protection. Frequency matters because each exposure creates another acid episode. A sweet drink sipped across an afternoon can therefore differ from the same amount consumed with a meal.

Body weight involves energy balance rather than a unique sucrose pathway. When people increase sugar intake without reducing other energy, weight tends to rise; when sugars are reduced and energy intake falls, weight tends

to fall. Liquid sugars deserve attention because drinks can add substantial energy with less compensation from later eating than many solid foods. That does not mean every gram has the same effect in every diet or that sugar alone explains obesity. Food environment, income, sleep, activity, portion size, marketing, genetics and many other factors matter.

The useful policy category is usually free sugars: sugars added by manufacturers, cooks or consumers, plus those in honey, syrups, fruit juices and concentrates. It separates concentrated or released sugars from those still contained within the cellular structure of whole fruit and vegetables, while leaving lactose naturally present in milk outside the definition. The distinction concerns form and exposure, not a belief that molecules acquire different identities according to moral origin.

Public policy then faces a design choice. Education tells people what to do after products, prices and advertising have been set. Labelling can expose quantity but demands attention and numeracy. Marketing restrictions address how preferences are cultivated. Procurement rules change default environments. Taxes can raise prices, reduce purchases and, when thresholds depend on sugar concentration, encourage manufacturers to reformulate before the consumer reaches the shelf.

The United Kingdom's Soft Drinks Industry Levy illustrates the mechanism. Announced in 2016 and introduced in 2018, it charges producers and importers according to sugar concentration bands. Many companies reformulated to avoid or reduce the charge. Government data report that the sales-weighted average sugar content of drinks in scope fell by 47.4 per cent between 2015 and 2024. As of August 2026, the lower threshold remains 5 g per 100 ml; the government has confirmed a reduction to 4.5 g and the removal of some milk-drink exemptions from January 2028. That is evidence of an incentive acting on formulation, not proof that one levy has settled every long-term health outcome.

Taxes also raise fair objections. They can take a larger share of a low income, affect workers and growers, invite substitution, and create political conflict over what counts as a taxed product. Those costs must be weighed

against who bears dental and metabolic disease, who is most exposed to marketing, how revenue is used and whether safe water and affordable alternatives exist. A good tax is part of a package, not a ritual punishment for liking sweetness.

Globally, the old supply project continues. Cane remains the dominant sugar crop and Brazil remains the central exporter, while India is a major producer and a growing ethanol user. Mills in major cane economies can shift part of the crop between sugar and fuel as prices and policy change. The OECD-FAO outlook published in June 2026 expects exports to remain highly concentrated, with Brazil and Thailand together accounting for roughly two-thirds of world trade by 2035. That is a projection, not a fact about the future, but it captures the present structure: a widely consumed commodity still depends heavily on decisions made in a small number of producing systems.

The loop closes here. Crystallisation made sweetness portable by separating sucrose from water, fibre and place. Cane then created a coordination problem around the mill. Empire answered that problem with a particular distribution of power: land seizure, racial slavery, credit and protected demand. Industrial food widened the separation again by spreading sugar through products in which the spoonful is no longer visible. Modern regulation is an attempt to reconnect quantity and consequence to the decisions that shape formulation and price.

Sugar began as a problem of separation and coordination. The politics began with who controlled them.

How It Actually Works

The grass before the commodity

Sugar began as a plant, not a crystal. The high-sugar cane later classified as *Saccharum officinarum* was domesticated from wild cane in the New Guinea region, then moved through Island Southeast Asia and beyond, mixing with other canes as people carried it. Early users chewed the stalk or pressed it for juice. That gives sweetness without creating a long-distance trade: a cane stalk is mostly water and fibre, and juice ferments or spoils quickly.

The knowledge that changed the scale developed in South Asia. Producers learned to clarify cane juice, boil it into concentrated masses and, eventually, separate crystalline sugar from syrup. The chronology is less tidy than older stories suggest. Different forms of boiled juice, jaggery, semi-refined sugar and crystals coexisted, and the methods improved over centuries rather than appearing in one inventor's workshop. By the first millennium CE, Indian sugar-making knowledge was travelling east towards China and west through Persia.

The language records some of the route. Words associated with sugar passed from Sanskrit and related Indian languages into Persian and Arabic, then into European languages. The commodity carried its vocabulary with it because Europe imported both product and technique.

Across the Islamic world

From the seventh century onward, Muslim-ruled states and merchants extended cane cultivation and sugar manufacture across a wide zone that included Mesopotamia, Egypt, parts of North Africa, al-Andalus, Sicily, Cyprus and the eastern Mediterranean. They did not move one fixed package. Irrigation methods, mills, fuels, landholding and labour differed by place. Sugar joined an already connected world of ports, caravan routes, technical writing and high-value food trades.

Cultivation demanded water and warmth. Processing demanded fuel,

containers and skilled judgement. These needs could strain dry landscapes. Irrigation works redirected water; furnaces consumed wood; cane competed with food crops. The environmental cost was present before Atlantic expansion, though later plantation scale enlarged it.

Medieval European consumers encountered sugar as an imported rarity. Physicians prescribed it. Elite kitchens used it in dishes that did not respect the modern border between sweet and savoury. Apothecaries and confectioners handled a substance expensive enough to be sold in small quantities and valuable enough to counterfeit. Crusaders saw sugar production in the eastern Mediterranean, but conquest did not transfer the whole industry at once. Skills moved through merchants, migrants, captives and specialists over generations.

Mediterranean production also supplied an institutional rehearsal. Estates joined irrigation, mills and concentrated labour. Enslaved people worked in some regions alongside tenants, wage workers and other dependants. Venice, Genoa and other commercial centres financed and distributed sugar. Europeans learned that the profit did not lie in growing cane alone. It came from controlling processing, shipping, credit and access to wealthy markets.

The Atlantic islands

The Portuguese and Spanish Atlantic islands turned that experience into a more aggressive colonial form. Madeira became a major producer in the fifteenth century. Its steep landscape was cut with irrigation channels, woodland fed furnaces, mills crushed cane and merchants linked the island to European refiners. The boom enriched landowners and traders, then faltered as soil, fuel, pests, competition and changing markets altered the calculation.

The industry moved rather than ended. The Canary Islands developed cane under Castilian rule. São Tomé, close to the equator and African trading networks, became a decisive step in the formation of Atlantic plantation slavery. Portuguese settlers took land, built mills and imported enslaved

Africans in growing numbers. The island joined tropical cane, European capital, an export market and racialised forced labour before the American sugar complex reached full scale.

Cane crossed the Atlantic with colonisation. Columbus carried it to Hispaniola on his second voyage in 1493, and Spanish producers established mills in the Caribbean. The greatest early American expansion came in Portuguese Brazil. By the late sixteenth century, the north-eastern coast held numerous sugar estates, or *engenhos*. The word could mean the mill and, by extension, the whole productive complex: cane fields, works, dwellings, chapels, workshops, animals and people.

Brazilian sugar depended first on Indigenous labour in several forms and increasingly on enslaved Africans. Disease, war, flight, legal change, missionary conflict and planter preference altered that balance. African captives brought farming, metallurgical and social knowledge of their own, but the plantation treated their knowledge as an asset owned by someone else.

Brazil, the Caribbean and the sugar revolution

The Dutch attack on Portuguese Brazil in the seventeenth century is often told as a clean transfer of sugar expertise to the English and French Caribbean. The truth is more distributed. Dutch merchants and financiers already handled Brazilian sugar, shipping and refining. Their occupation of Pernambuco from 1630 to 1654 deepened that knowledge. When Portuguese forces recovered the region, people, credit and commercial practice moved across the Atlantic. Yet Barbadian planters were not empty vessels waiting for a Dutch lesson. English colonial institutions, land markets, merchants and existing experiments mattered too.

What happened in Barbados from the 1640s was still extraordinary. Tobacco and mixed small farming gave way rapidly to cane. Mills and boiling houses required capital, which favoured people able to borrow or combine resources. Estates expanded and smallholders were displaced or bought out. Imports of enslaved Africans rose sharply. The island's

population and law were remade around racial slavery, with the 1661 slave code giving planters broad disciplinary power and helping create a model copied elsewhere.

Historians call this the Sugar Revolution, though they argue over how sudden it was and what caused it. The phrase is useful if it describes several linked changes: monocrop expansion, larger estates, industrial processing, Atlantic finance, an enslaved African majority, harsher racial law and export dependence. It misleads if it imagines one technology arriving in a single year and producing everything else by itself.

English conquest of Jamaica in 1655 opened a much larger island to plantation expansion. French colonies, especially Saint-Domingue in the western part of Hispaniola, later exceeded the British islands in output. By the late eighteenth century Saint-Domingue was a prodigious exporter of sugar and coffee, achieved through ferocious exploitation of a population in which enslaved Africans greatly outnumbered free people. The Haitian Revolution beginning in 1791 destroyed the colony's slave regime and created an independent state, while sending shockwaves through every slave society and sugar market.

Cuba and Brazil then expanded further. New land, steam power, railways, imported captives and access to large markets sustained sugar slavery deep into the nineteenth century. British emancipation did not end the connection between sugar and bondage. It changed which empires and producers held the advantage.

Inside the mill

The plantation's working year alternated between cultivation and an intense harvest and processing season. Fields had to be weeded, drained, replanted and guarded against pests, fire and theft. Cane was cut close to the ground, stripped and carried to the mill. On many estates, gangs were arranged by strength, age and task under drivers and overseers. This was presented in ledgers as efficient allocation. For the people subjected to it, the categories governed exhaustion, punishment and survival.

At the rollers, stalks were crushed and juice ran towards the boiling house. Early mills used animal, water or wind power according to location; steam later loosened some geographic constraints and increased capacity. The remaining bagasse fed furnaces, though wet fibre burned poorly and estates could consume additional wood. Smoke, ash, heat and noise made the works dangerous even before machinery injuries.

Clarification removed dirt and plant material. Juice then moved through a train of kettles, each more concentrated than the last. Skilled sugar boilers judged temperature, colour, viscosity and the moment when syrup was ready to crystallise. Their knowledge was indispensable and often held by enslaved specialists whom the legal system denied authority over their own labour.

The concentrated mass cooled and crystallised. In older systems, sugar was packed into conical moulds or casks and allowed to drain. Dark syrup ran away as molasses, leaving a lighter mass behind. Repeated refining in Europe could produce whiter, purer sugar. The whitening was costly and became a quality hierarchy: refined white crystals for the wealthier market, darker products and syrups for other uses. Molasses fermented into rum, creating another saleable commodity and another source of tax.

Nothing about this sequence required cruelty as a technical input. The cruelty came from ownership and control. Yet the sequence made interruption expensive. Cane arriving late lost value. A cooling pan could not negotiate with a harvest gang. Planters used the schedule to justify discipline that served their profit. Technology described the bottleneck; law decided who absorbed its cost.

A society built around the crop

Sugar reorganised life beyond working hours. Estates occupied the best land and often pushed food production onto smaller provision grounds, marginal soils or imports. Enslaved people cultivated yams, plantains, cassava and other foods where rules and time allowed, traded in local markets and used those spaces to build a degree of economic autonomy. Planters depended on that work while refusing to recognise it as freedom.

Housing, family formation and movement were shaped by estate command. People from different African regions were forced together, created new languages and religious practices, and maintained connections across plantations through markets, ceremonies, kinship and flight. Children inherited enslaved status under colonial law. Free Black and mixed-race populations occupied shifting legal positions, sometimes holding property and even enslaving others, yet faced racial restrictions designed to protect white rule.

The landscape carried the same hierarchy. Cane monoculture could exhaust soils and increase erosion. Mills demanded water or wind sites, roads and fuel. Forest loss around furnaces made bagasse more valuable. Animals hauled cane and powered rollers where water or wind was unavailable. Hurricanes, drought and pests could ruin a crop, but losses were distributed unevenly: merchants diversified, planters borrowed, and workers faced reduced rations, harder labour or sale.

Resistance remained constant. Enslaved people broke tools, negotiated tasks, kept knowledge from overseers, cultivated independent plots, fled to maroon communities and organised rebellions. Some actions sought immediate survival; others attacked the system itself. Plantation records called these behaviours indiscipline or theft because the record assumed the owner's claim was legitimate. Reading against that language turns a production system back into a society under occupation.

Making an everyday appetite

Europe's appetite expanded alongside production. Seventeenth-century sugar remained a luxury for many, but by the eighteenth century British consumption rose several times over. Tea was central. The drink arrived through the East India trade, sugar through Atlantic plantations, and milk from domestic agriculture. Combined in one cup, they made empire domestic.

The uses multiplied. Sugar preserved seasonal fruit, softened bitter medicines, sweetened porridge, decorated cakes and gave workers portable calories. Refiners supplied loaves that households broke with special tools. Treacle and cheaper grades reached people who could not afford the finest white sugar. Shops, advertising and printed recipes taught consumers what to do with increasing supply.

This was a cultural change as well as a price response. People learned to expect sweetness at breakfast, during work breaks, at celebrations and in childhood. Customs became needs in the ordinary sense: not biological requirements, but habits around which households and industries organised. Once sugar entered tea, jam, baking and confectionery, demand became resilient because it was attached to repeated practices.

The connection encouraged moral campaigns. In Britain, abolitionists in the 1790s promoted boycotts of West Indian sugar and alternatives advertised as produced without enslaved labour. Women who lacked the vote could treat household purchase as political action. The campaign exposed plantation violence, but verification was difficult and markets could relabel origin. Consumer choice applied pressure; it could not by itself dismantle property law, imperial finance or planter power.

Britain abolished its transatlantic slave trade in 1807 and slavery in most of its colonies in the 1830s. Other jurisdictions followed on different schedules, with slavery continuing in Cuba until 1886 and Brazil until 1888. Emancipated people sought land, family autonomy, better wages and freedom over time. Planters sought a dependable workforce at the lowest

possible cost.

Indenture became one answer. Workers from India and other regions signed contracts for plantations in Mauritius, the Caribbean, Fiji, South Africa and elsewhere. Indenture was not chattel slavery: contracts had terms, workers retained legal personhood and descendants were not automatically property. It could still involve deceptive recruitment, penal sanctions, restricted movement, withheld wages, harsh discipline and a return journey that proved difficult. Legal category changed more decisively than planter desire for controlled labour.

Beet, machinery and protected markets

While abolition changed the Atlantic labour system, beet changed the production map. Marggraf's 1747 demonstration that beet contained sucrose became industrial through Achard's breeding and factory experiments. Napoleonic disruption encouraged France to promote beet, but the first state-driven campaign overreached. Factories lacked trained workers, farmers lacked suitable seed and roots spoiled before processing. Peace brought cane sugar back and closed many plants.

Later producers solved enough of those problems. Better beets yielded more sugar. Diffusion extracted sucrose from thin slices. Lime and carbon dioxide helped purify juice. Vacuum pans reduced boiling temperatures. Centrifuges separated crystals from syrup quickly. Railways widened the supply radius while keeping delivery practical.

Norbert Rillieux supplied one of the most important improvements in cane processing. Born in New Orleans to a free woman of colour and a white father, trained as an engineer in Paris, he patented a multiple-effect vacuum evaporation system in the 1840s. Vapour from one vessel heated the next at lower pressure, reducing fuel use and exposing juice to less damaging heat. The invention made sugar manufacture safer and more efficient, although adoption and credit were shaped by the racial order in which he worked.

Mechanisation raised output without ending labour conflict. Cane still had to be planted and cut; beet still had to be lifted and delivered. Factories

concentrated bargaining power. States continued to intervene. European beet industries grew behind tariffs. Colonial preferences protected favoured cane producers. The United States used quotas and price supports. Sugar became a textbook case of a commodity called global while governed through national exceptions.

In the twentieth century, food manufacturing widened demand. Bottling, canning, branded confectionery and mass baking placed consistent sweeteners into standard recipes. High-fructose corn syrup expanded in the United States from the 1970s, helped by enzyme technology, maize supply and the protected price of sugar. It did not replace sucrose everywhere and never became one global substance. Its rise showed that sweetener markets respond to policy and processing economics as much as taste.

The system now

Modern mills are larger, cleaner and more instrumented than a plantation boiling house, but the core sequence remains recognisable. Cane is harvested, crushed and clarified; juice is evaporated; sucrose is crystallised; molasses and bagasse enter other products. Beet is washed, sliced, diffused and purified before the same final crystallisation. Raw sugar often crosses borders for refining near consumers.

Cane supplies more than four-fifths of total sugar-crop output. Brazil dominates exports and can shift part of its cane between sugar and ethanol. India is a major producer with administered cane prices and ethanol policy. Thailand is a major exporter. The European Union and United States maintain beet and cane sectors through layered policy. Many countries import sugar while protecting some domestic production.

Labour has changed unevenly. Mechanical harvesters have reduced hand cutting in parts of Brazil, Australia and other large industries, while manual harvesting remains important where terrain, farm size, capital or employment patterns limit machinery. Pre-harvest burning, once used widely to clear leaves and ease cutting, has been restricted in some regions because of smoke, worker exposure and environmental harm.

Mechanisation can remove dangerous work and also displace workers faster than local economies create alternatives. The old argument over who absorbs technical change has not disappeared.

The industry is therefore both ancient and adaptive. The crystal is still sucrose. Around it sit biofuel mandates, electricity from bagasse, futures markets, port terminals, farm debt, public-health taxes and corporate reformulation. The system survived the end of slavery by changing its labour rules, survived beet by changing its geography and now meets health regulation by changing recipes.

How we know

Sugar leaves unusually rich records because states taxed it and estates counted it. Customs books, shipping lists, merchant correspondence, plantation ledgers, maps, mill plans, court cases and parliamentary inquiries reveal quantities, prices, ownership and policy. Archaeology shows irrigation, mills, ceramics, housing and diet. Botany, genetics and linguistics help reconstruct cane's movement. Industrial patents and technical manuals show how processing changed.

The record is strongest where authorities wanted control and weakest where workers protected their lives from it. Plantation accounts count days, punishments, births and deaths in categories designed for owners. Diaries such as Thomas Thistlewood's expose routine violence but filter enslaved people through an abuser's gaze. Testimony from formerly enslaved and indentured workers corrects that view, though far less survives than the system destroyed.

Consumption estimates also require care. Imports do not equal ingestion; sugar can be re-exported, wasted, brewed, preserved or used industrially. Current market statistics are better but still separate raw value, refined value, crop mass and sweetener consumption in ways that invite false comparisons. The broad transformations are secure. Their cleanest numbers are often the least human parts of the story.

What People Get Wrong

“People have always eaten sugar like this”

Humans have always sought sweetness, which is not the same as consuming refined sugar every day. Fruit, honey, milk and chewed cane deliver sugars within different structures, seasons and meals. Crystalline sucrose was once scarce enough in Europe to function as medicine, spice and display. Mass access required plantations, shipping, refineries, falling prices and habits built around tea, coffee, jam, baking and confectionery.

The myth is persuasive because sweetness feels instinctive. Biology supplies attraction, so the modern quantity can look like a timeless expression of appetite. Yet appetite does not decide whether a shop sells a drink containing forty grams of sugar, whether breakfast cereal is sweetened, or whether products are advertised to children. Those are historical arrangements. The physical form also changes exposure: a whole orange requires chewing and retains cellular structure, while juice or a sweetened drink can deliver sugars rapidly and repeatedly. History altered form as well as amount.

The correction matters because it moves the question from personal weakness to exposure. People can like sweetness without having chosen the commercial environment in which concentrated sugars are cheap, portable and repeatedly offered.

“Sugar required slavery”

Cane was grown and processed through many labour systems before and outside Atlantic chattel slavery. Smallholders, tenants, wage workers, tributary labourers and enslaved people all appear in sugar's history. Beet later produced the same sucrose without tropical plantations. No chemical property writes a slave code.

The stronger relationship is institutional. Cane must be processed quickly, mills require capital and harvests create labour peaks. Colonial states gave planters land, protected markets and legal ownership of people. Under

those conditions, slavery let owners compel work, prevent exit and shift the human cost of mortality onto further purchases. Sugar became one of slavery's greatest profit engines without being its sole cause. Cotton, coffee, tobacco, rice, mining and domestic service also relied on enslaved labour; sugar's distinctive contribution was the scale and intensity of its field-factory regime.

The distinction prevents two errors. Crop determinism excuses lawmakers and owners by blaming nature. Denying the connection because it was not inevitable erases the choices that made plantation sugar possible. Technology created pressure. Empire decided who would be crushed by it.

“Abolition made sugar free”

Legal emancipation destroyed ownership of people, which was a fundamental change. It did not make the post-slavery labour market equal. Formerly enslaved people often faced restricted access to land, punitive contracts, taxes designed to force wage work and planter control of housing, credit and local government. In several colonies, employers imported indentured workers whose contracts could include penal sanctions and limits on movement.

Calling indenture slavery ignores legal and lived differences. Calling it free labour because a contract existed ignores unequal recruitment, debt, deception and coercive enforcement. Similar problems persisted through migrant labour, company stores, tied housing and growers' dependence on a single mill. Beet competition could worsen the pressure by lowering cane prices while protected European producers retained state support. Freedom arrived inside a market already structured against many workers.

The myth survives because emancipation supplies a clean moral ending. Sugar's history offers no clean ending. The relevant question after abolition is not whether labour had a new name. It is what power workers had to refuse, leave, organise, own land and keep the value they produced.

“Brown sugar is meaningfully healthier”

Brown sugar looks less processed and therefore healthier. In most retail forms it is refined or partly refined sucrose with molasses present or added back. The molasses contributes flavour, colour, moisture and trace minerals. At ordinary serving sizes, those micronutrients are too small to turn brown sugar into a useful source of iron, calcium or potassium.

Raw, turbinado, demerara, muscovado and soft brown sugars are not identical products. Their crystal size, moisture and molasses content affect baking and taste. Nutritionally, however, they remain concentrated sugars. A teaspoon of dark muscovado does not become equivalent to a whole food because it carries a little more ash and aroma.

The correction matters because visual cues are powerful. Brown, local, organic and unrefined can describe production choices worth considering, including labour and environmental standards. They do not cancel dose, frequency or dental exposure. Colour answers a processing question. It does not answer a health question. The same applies to honey, maple syrup, coconut sugar and agave: each has a distinct flavour and production story, yet all can contribute free sugars when used as sweeteners.

“High-fructose corn syrup is uniquely toxic”

High-fructose corn syrup became a symbol of industrial food, particularly in the United States. Its common beverage form contains glucose and fructose in proportions broadly similar to the glucose and fructose released when sucrose is digested. Sucrose joins the two molecules; the syrup carries them mainly free. Both can deliver substantial free sugars and energy.

This does not make every formulation metabolically identical under every condition. Fructose and glucose follow different pathways, doses can be high, and liquid delivery can encourage excess intake. The specific claim that ordinary high-fructose corn syrup is uniquely harmful compared with an equal amount of sucrose is much weaker than the case against consuming too much of either.

The myth became persuasive because the syrup's rise coincided with obesity and because its name suggests an extreme fructose load. Policy and maize economics explain much of its adoption. Replacing it gram for gram with sucrose can improve an ingredient list's image without materially changing the product. Reformulation helps when it lowers total free sugars or energy, not when it swaps one caloric sweetener for another and preserves the dose.

“Sugar is addictive in the same way as cocaine”

Sweet foods engage reward and learning systems. People can experience cravings, loss of control and repeated consumption despite intentions. Animal experiments under restricted feeding schedules can produce binge-like behaviour around sugar. None of that establishes that sucrose ordinarily creates a substance-use disorder equivalent to cocaine dependence in humans.

The strongest reviews find limited evidence for sugar addiction as a distinct chemical addiction. Much of the behaviour labelled addictive concerns highly palatable foods combining sugar with fat, texture, flavour and learned cues. Other researchers argue that highly processed foods can meet established addiction criteria. That broader claim concerns engineered products and patterns of compulsive use; it does not establish that sucrose acts on humans like cocaine. Restriction and intermittent access can intensify preoccupation. The environment matters alongside the ingredient.

The correction is not a defence of unlimited consumption or a dismissal of distress. It directs help towards the real pattern: cues, availability, emotion, habit, food combinations and, in some cases, clinically significant binge eating. A dramatic drug analogy can make change feel biologically hopeless while obscuring interventions that alter the setting and behaviour. It can also turn ordinary enjoyment into pathology, making a precise discussion of compulsive eating harder rather than easier.

“Sugar taxes only punish consumers”

A tax can raise retail prices, and lower-income households can feel that cost more sharply. That objection is real. It is incomplete when the tax is designed around manufacturers rather than a flat charge at the till.

Tiered soft-drink levies create thresholds. A company can pay, raise the price, shrink the package or reduce sugar. The United Kingdom’s levy produced extensive reformulation before and after implementation, so many consumers encountered less sugar without making a new decision. Evidence from several countries also shows that higher prices can reduce purchases, though effects vary with design, enforcement and substitutes.

Fairness depends on the whole policy. Who bears dental disease and diet-related illness? Are revenues used for health, schools or clean water? Are untaxed alternatives affordable? Are small producers protected without creating loopholes? Does reformulation replace sugar with another problem? The serious debate concerns incidence and design. Saying a tax punishes choice assumes the existing price already includes the health costs borne by families and public services. It does not. A levy can still be badly drawn, too low to change behaviour or broad enough to create avoidable burdens. The correction is that consumer cost is one outcome to measure, not the whole mechanism.

Use It

Follow the bottleneck

The most revealing fact about cane is not that it grows in the tropics. It is that value starts leaking after the stalk is cut. That bottleneck explains why fields cluster around mills, why harvest schedules become strict, why processors gain leverage over growers and why delayed transport matters more than a small difference in farm yield.

Carry the lens into any system. Find the stage at which waiting destroys value, options or quality. Fresh fish needs cold storage. A transplant organ

has a biological deadline. Concrete must be placed before it sets. An empty airline seat expires at departure. The bottleneck does not determine who owns the system, but it identifies where coordination power gathers.

Then ask who absorbs delay. The mill can reject stale cane. A small grower may have no alternative buyer. A plantation once answered the problem by removing workers' freedom. A modern contract may answer it by transferring penalties downstream. Efficiency language often describes a real constraint while concealing a choice about who carries the risk. The strongest bargaining position often belongs to whoever can wait, store or switch counterparties. Perishability makes patience a form of power.

Separate the molecule from the system

Sucrose from cane and beet is the same molecule. That chemical identity is valuable because it prevents superstition. It is also incomplete because products with the same molecule can come from different land rules, labour contracts, energy systems, subsidies and environmental costs.

Use both levels. When a claim concerns metabolism, baking or crystallisation, ask what the molecule and dose do. When it concerns fairness, sustainability or price, follow the institutions around it. "Natural" and "chemical" are poor substitutes for either analysis. Honey can be culturally meaningful and still supply free sugars. Refined beet sugar can avoid tropical cane and still depend on protected prices and seasonal labour.

This lens also blocks moral contamination. A terrible production history does not make a carbon atom biologically cursed. Chemical equivalence does not absolve the history. The questions are different, and a serious account can answer both without forcing one to impersonate the other. Certification schemes work at the system level; nutrition panels work mainly at the product level. Neither can replace the other.

Look for hidden functions

Sugar survives reformulation debates because it does more than sweeten. It controls water activity, feeds fermentation, affects freezing, supports browning, adds bulk and changes texture. Removing it from a soft drink may be comparatively direct. Removing it from jam, ice cream or cake can change the physical object.

Whenever a familiar ingredient, component or institution appears resistant to replacement, list its jobs before judging the substitute. A plastic package may provide barrier, strength, visibility, sealing and low weight. A bank branch may supply cash, identity checks, advice and trust. A road can move people, drain water, carry utilities and define land value. Replacing the most visible function can leave the others unsolved.

The practical question is therefore not “Can sugar be removed?” It is “Which functions must the new formulation reproduce, and at what cost?” That turns a moral slogan into an engineering brief. It also exposes cosmetic changes, such as replacing sucrose with another caloric syrup while leaving sweetness, portion and exposure untouched. A replacement can succeed on one metric and fail on shelf life, cost, waste or consumer use, so the trade-off must be named.

Trace who captures the margin

A bag of sugar has a retail price, but value is divided across growers, millers, refiners, traders, brands, shops, workers, lenders and the state. The largest physical contribution does not guarantee the largest share. Cane farmers supply tonnes of crop and may still depend on one mill. A brand may add little mass and capture a large premium through distribution and consumer recognition.

Trace the chain in both directions. Upstream, ask who owns land, machinery, patents, storage and access to credit. Downstream, ask who controls packaging, shelf space, advertising and customer data. Around both, inspect tariffs, quotas, guaranteed prices, taxes and standards. A market price is the result of these rules, not a pure reading from nature.

This makes historical arguments clearer. Plantation owners did not become rich because cane alone was valuable. They controlled land, forced labour, processing and political institutions, then connected them to metropolitan finance and protected demand. Modern systems differ legally and morally, but control points still shape the margin. Current sugar trade remains concentrated among a few exporters while import demand is spread across many countries, which gives shocks in one producer an influence far beyond its fields.

Distinguish dose, form and frequency

The category sugar is too broad for many health questions. A gram of sucrose supplies the same energy wherever it appears, but the experience and consequence of consuming it can differ with form, frequency and context. Sugars inside intact fruit arrive with water, fibre, cellular structure and chewing. Free sugars in a drink can be consumed rapidly. Repeated sipping creates repeated acid challenges for teeth. A sweet dessert eaten occasionally does not create the same pattern as several sweetened products spread across the day.

Use three separate questions. How much is present? In what physical form is it consumed? How often does exposure occur? Then add what it displaces. A sweetened drink replacing water has a different effect from sugar used in a small portion that replaces another energy-dense dessert.

This lens resists both panic and complacency. “Sugar is sugar” is chemically tidy but behaviourally thin. “Natural sugar does not count” confuses origin with form. The useful judgement comes from the whole pattern, with dental health and total energy treated as mechanisms rather than moral verdicts. Labels help only when serving size and frequency are realistic; a low number per portion can conceal a product commonly consumed in several portions.

The limits

Sugar is an unusually revealing commodity and a poor universal explanation. Plantation slavery cannot be reduced to crop perishability. European empires sought territory, labour and revenue for many reasons. Capitalism existed outside sugar, and industrialisation cannot be credited to sweetened tea. Obesity is not a one-ingredient disease. A history organised too tightly around sugar can make people appear as responses to a commodity rather than political actors with aims of their own.

The evidence also changes by period. Estate accounts record what owners wanted counted. Consumption estimates often confuse imports, availability and ingestion. Modern observational health studies can detect associations without settling every causal route. Policy evaluations may show purchases or reformulation before long-term disease outcomes become measurable. Confidence should match the question.

Finally, the lens of hidden systems can become an excuse to deny agency. Products are designed and markets are structured, but people still choose, adapt, refuse, organise and create new practices. Constraint is real. It is not total. Enslaved and indentured workers resisted, consumers changed habits, growers formed cooperatives and governments rewrote incentives. A system explains the terrain of action, not the outcome in advance.

The one thing to keep

Keep the separation.

The field and the crystal are connected, yet the product is designed to make them look unrelated. Water, fibre, place and labour are removed until what remains can pass as pure substance. That physical separation made sugar transportable. Commercial and political institutions then extended it: production moved far from consumption, workers disappeared into accounts, and sweetness entered foods without the visible spoon.

When an ordinary product looks neutral, ask what had to be stripped away for it to look that way. Follow it back to the bottleneck, the worker, the land,

the machine and the rule that set the price. Then return to the object and judge its chemical function on its own evidence.

That double movement is the inheritance of sugar. Do not blame the molecule for the empire. Do not use the molecule to pretend the empire has nothing to do with the packet.

Terms

Sucrose. The disaccharide that makes up ordinary table sugar, formed from glucose and fructose. Cane and beet supply the same molecule once the product is refined to comparable purity.

Glucose. A monosaccharide used widely by living cells and present in blood. It is less sweet than sucrose and participates readily in fermentation, respiration and browning reactions.

Fructose. A monosaccharide found in fruit, honey, sucrose and many syrups. It tastes strongly sweet and follows metabolic routes that partly differ from glucose, especially in the liver.

Disaccharide. A carbohydrate made by joining two monosaccharides. Sucrose joins glucose and fructose; digestion breaks the bond before the components are absorbed through the intestine.

Free sugars. Sugars added during manufacture, cooking or eating, plus sugars in honey, syrups, fruit juice and concentrates. The policy category excludes sugars contained within intact fruit and vegetables.

Added sugars. Sugars introduced to a food or drink rather than occurring naturally in its original ingredients. Definitions differ between labelling systems, so free sugars is the broader public-health category.

Reducing sugar. A sugar able to participate in certain electron-transfer reactions, including routes important to Maillard browning. Glucose and fructose qualify; intact sucrose generally does not until it is split.

Invert sugar. A mixture of glucose and fructose produced when sucrose is

split by acid or enzymes. It resists crystallisation and retains moisture differently from crystalline sucrose in many foods.

Brix. A practical measure related to dissolved solids, commonly used to estimate sugar concentration in juice or syrup. In complex liquids it is an approximation, not a direct assay of pure sucrose content.

Sugarcane. A group of tall perennial grasses cultivated for sucrose-rich stalks. Cane thrives in warm regions and is usually propagated from stem sections rather than botanical seed in commercial fields.

Sugar beet. A selected form of beet that stores sucrose in its root. It allowed large-scale sugar production in temperate climates and weakened cane's geographic and strategic monopoly.

Ratoon. A new cane crop grown from the surviving stool after harvest. Ratooning avoids replanting but yields often decline as plants age, soils change and damage accumulates.

Bagasse. The fibrous residue left after cane is crushed. Mills burn it for steam and electricity, and may also use it for feedstock, paper, board, chemicals or other materials.

Clarification. Treatment that removes suspended material and selected impurities from extracted juice before concentration. Lime, heat, settling, filtration and carbonation may form part of the process in different factories.

Multiple-effect evaporation. A system that reuses vapour from one vessel to heat another at lower pressure. It reduces fuel demand and heat damage during juice concentration, improving both efficiency and control.

Crystallisation. The organised formation and growth of sucrose crystals from a supersaturated syrup. Temperature, concentration, impurities, agitation and seed crystals affect crystal size, purity and recovery.

Massequite. The dense mixture of sugar crystals and mother liquor leaving a vacuum pan. Centrifuges separate its crystals from the remaining syrup during a rapid spin.

Centrifuge. A rapidly rotating machine that separates crystals from syrup by force. Its nineteenth-century adoption accelerated a task previously performed through slow draining and curing in moulds or casks.

Molasses. The dark syrup remaining after crystals are removed. Its composition varies by stage and feedstock; it can enter rum, fermentation, animal feed and several industrial products.

Raw sugar. Partly purified crystalline sugar intended for further refining or, in some forms, direct consumption. It retains more colour and non-sucrose material than highly refined white sugar and may absorb moisture.

Refining. Further purification of raw sugar through melting, clarification, decolourisation, crystallisation and separation. The process standardises appearance and behaviour while making agricultural origin and processing history harder to see.

Plantation complex. A linked system of estate agriculture, fixed processing, coerced or tightly controlled labour, credit, shipping and protected markets rather than describing a large field alone.

Gang labour. Organisation of plantation workers into supervised groups assigned by task, strength or age. The system increased managerial control and made punishment part of daily production discipline and social control.

Sugar Revolution. The rapid seventeenth-century transformation of islands such as Barbados through cane, larger estates, mills, Atlantic finance, racial slavery and export dependence. Historians still debate its exact speed and main causes.

Indenture. Contract labour for a fixed term, often involving migration. It differed from chattel slavery but could include deceptive recruitment, penal enforcement, restricted movement, family disruption and withheld pay.

Preferential tariff. A lower import duty granted to favoured countries or colonies. Sugar preferences created protected markets, redirected trade and tied producers to imperial, regional or diplomatic relationships over long periods.

Quota. A legal limit or guaranteed allocation governing production or imports. Sugar quotas can support domestic prices while raising consumer costs and restricting more competitive foreign suppliers and encouraging lobbying.

High-fructose corn syrup. A maize-derived sweetener in which enzymes convert part of the glucose into fructose. Common formulations have compositions broadly comparable with sucrose after digestion, though formulations vary.

Sugar-sweetened beverage. A drink containing added caloric sugars, including many soft drinks, energy drinks and sweetened juices. Liquid delivery makes this category a frequent public-health and tax-policy target.

Non-sugar sweetener. A substance that supplies sweetness with little or no sugar, such as aspartame, sucralose or steviol glycosides. It does not reproduce sucrose's bulk or structural functions in baking, freezing or preservation.

Go Deeper

Ulbe Bosma, *The World of Sugar: How the Sweet Stuff Transformed Our Politics, Health, and Environment over 2,000 Years* (Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2023). Start here for the broadest global continuation. Bosma follows sugar across Asia, the Mediterranean, the Atlantic, beet factories, labour migration, modern diets and biofuel. The scale is the attraction: Caribbean slavery sits inside a much longer and wider history rather than consuming it. The warning is proportionality. A global synthesis must move quickly across regions and sometimes makes the commodity carry more explanatory weight than a regional specialist would allow.

Sidney W. Mintz, *Sweetness and Power: The Place of Sugar in Modern History* (Penguin Books, 1986). Read this for the major interpretation. Mintz asks how a colonial luxury became an ordinary necessity and connects plantation production to changing work, class and diet in Britain.

The book's power comes from putting consumption and labour in one argument. Some later historians dispute the degree to which industrial capital deliberately shaped popular demand, so treat it as a brilliant model to test rather than the settled final word. It remains the book that made sugar intellectually unavoidable.

Richard S. Dunn, *Sugar and Slaves: The Rise of the Planter Class in the English West Indies, 1624-1713* (University of North Carolina Press, 2000). Use Dunn for the seventeenth-century English Caribbean. He reconstructs the formation of planter rule, the turn to sugar, demographic catastrophe and the institutions that made colonies rich to owners and lethal to many inhabitants. Tables and social detail make the transformation concrete. The geographical focus is narrow by design, and the book reflects questions shaped by the scholarship of its original 1972 publication, but the revised edition remains a disciplined account of the plantation class.

Trevor Burnard, *Mastery, Tyranny, and Desire: Thomas Thistlewood and His Slaves in the Anglo-Jamaican World* (University of North Carolina Press, 2004). Read this when system-level language has become too clean. Burnard uses the forty-year diary of Thomas Thistlewood to examine daily management, terror, sex, status and dependence in eighteenth-century Jamaica. The source is unusually rich and morally revolting. Its limitation is also its purpose: one white man's record cannot recover enslaved lives on their own terms. Burnard shows what plantation power looked like close enough to remove every abstraction.

Together, the four move from world system, to interpretation, to planter class, to one estate's intimate violence. Read Bosma for range, Mintz for argument, Dunn for institutional formation and Burnard for the human reality that production totals cannot contain.

Notes and Sources

The Whole Thing in One Page and Why You Should Care

Scope and organising model. The field-to-mill clock and the portable crystal are the book's editorial synthesis. J. H. Galloway supplies the historical geography of cane, processing and movement; Ulbe Bosma's *The World of Sugar* supplies the widest global comparison; Sidney Mintz supplies the production-consumption connection; and modern food chemistry supplies the separation between the sucrose molecule and the systems built around it. The argument does not claim that crop biology caused slavery. It identifies a perishability and coordination problem, then asks how particular states and owners chose to solve it.

Cane, beet and the modern market. The current statement that cane supplies more than four-fifths of total sugar-crop output is deliberately rounded. The OECD-FAO *Agricultural Outlook 2026-2035* states that cane is expected to remain above 85 per cent of total sugar-crop output. It also identifies Brazil as the dominant exporter, describes the continuing allocation of Brazilian cane between sugar and ethanol, and projects a highly concentrated export market. Projections are conditional scenarios, not facts about 2035.

Evidence for the seven ideas

Sucrose and sweet taste. The chemistry of sucrose, glucose, fructose, inversion, supersaturation and crystallisation follows Damodaran and Parkin's *Fennema's Food Chemistry*. Refined cane and beet sugar are chemically the same sucrose at comparable purity. Colour, aroma and moisture differences among less-refined sugars come from residual or added molasses compounds and processing history. The sweet-taste account follows John D. Fernstrom and colleagues. The body deliberately avoids claiming that sweetness guarantees safety or that one evolutionary story explains modern appetite.

The cane clock. Galloway and Bosma support the account of cane as a bulky crop whose recoverable sucrose and juice quality deteriorate after cutting. The rate varies with variety, temperature, damage, delay and mill practice, so no universal hour limit is given. Ratooning, bagasse use, field-to-mill coordination and the leverage of a fixed processor are standard features of cane systems. Modern ownership forms vary: estates, smallholders, cooperatives, private mills and state enterprises all occur.

Plantation slavery. Richard Dunn, Stuart Schwartz, B. W. Higman, David Eltis and David Richardson, and Trevor Burnard support the treatment of the Atlantic plantation. The manuscript separates four propositions that are often blurred. Sugar existed before Atlantic chattel slavery. Atlantic slavery served many sectors besides sugar. Cane's harvest and milling constraints rewarded coordinated field and factory control. European empires then supplied land seizure, protected markets, racial law and legal ownership of people. The causal claim therefore concerns an institutional fit, not a command written by the crop.

Labour, mortality and resistance. Dunn and Burnard support the account of gang labour, skilled boiling, punishment, sexual violence and high mortality in the English Caribbean and Jamaica. The statement that deaths exceeded births on many Caribbean estates for long periods is intentionally regional and qualified. Plantation ledgers are used against their own categories: enslaved people appear as workers, parents, traders, craftspeople, growers and political actors, rather than as inputs. Maroon communities, everyday resistance and rebellion are part of the production story because coercion was never complete.

Demand, empire and class. Mintz is the main source for the connection among plantation production, British consumption, tea, working life and class. The manuscript adopts his insight without making industrial capitalism a single planner of appetite. Bosma and later scholarship give consumers, retailers, recipes, fashion, pleasure and household practice more independent weight. Customs duties, navigation laws, colonial preferences and port refining explain why the customer's price was political before the

first purchase.

Beet sugar. Andreas Sigismund Marggraf demonstrated in 1747 that beet contained crystallisable sucrose. Franz Karl Achard selected beets, developed processing and opened an industrial factory in Silesia in 1801. Napoleonic disruption accelerated French support, but early factories often failed through poor seed, limited skill, unsuitable equipment and transport problems. The later industry depended on breeding, diffusion, purification, vacuum evaporation, centrifuges, railways, tariffs and subsidy. Beet removed cane's tropical monopoly but did not remove factory power or state protection.

Norbert Rillieux. Smithsonian and American Chemical Society records support the account of Rillieux, a free man of colour from New Orleans trained in Paris, and his multiple-effect vacuum evaporator patents in the 1840s. The system reused vapour across vessels at lower pressures, reducing fuel use and heat damage while improving safety and control. The narrative avoids claiming that he invented vacuum evaporation itself or that adoption occurred outside the racial order of the United States.

Sugar in food. Water activity, freezing-point depression, crystallisation, invert sugar, fermentation and browning follow *Fennema's Food Chemistry*. Sucrose is not a reducing sugar and does not participate directly in ordinary Maillard chemistry until hydrolysis or other routes produce reactive sugars. The narrative therefore refers to sugars and amino compounds rather than assigning every browned crust directly to intact sucrose. Preservation is always described as a hurdle system involving concentration, acidity, heat, packaging and storage, not as sterilisation by sugar.

Free sugars, teeth and body weight. The policy definition and intake recommendations follow the World Health Organization's *Guideline: Sugars Intake for Adults and Children*. Free sugars include those added during manufacture, cooking or eating and those in honey, syrups, fruit juices and concentrates; sugars within intact fruit and vegetables and lactose naturally present in milk are outside that definition. Paula Moynihan and Sarah Kelly support the dental-carries account. Lisa Te Morenga, Simonette Mallard and

Jim Mann support the conclusion that changes in dietary sugars affect body weight mainly through changes in energy intake. The manuscript does not treat the 10 per cent or 5 per cent WHO figures as toxicity thresholds.

The Soft Drinks Industry Levy. The United Kingdom levy was announced in 2016 and took effect in April 2018. The government's 2025 response on strengthening the levy reports a 47.4 per cent reduction in sales-weighted average sugar content among drinks within scope between 2015 and 2024. As verified on 11 August 2026, the current lower threshold remains 5 g total sugar per 100 ml. The government has confirmed a future lower threshold of 4.5 g per 100 ml, removal of specified milk-based and milk-substitute exemptions, and implementation on 1 January 2028. The reformulation figure is evidence about product composition, not proof that the levy has settled every long-term health outcome.

Evidence for the operating history

Origins and movement. Galloway and Bosma support the movement from domesticated canes in New Guinea and Island Southeast Asia through South Asian sugar-making, Persian and Arab networks, the Mediterranean and the Atlantic. Olivier Garsmeur and colleagues provide current genomic support for the domestication of *Saccharum officinarum* from *S. robustum* in the New Guinea region and for later diversification through hybridisation. The early chronology is presented as cumulative because cane chewing, boiled juice, jaggery, semi-refined masses and crystalline products coexisted. Linguistic transmission is used as corroboration, not as a complete map of technology.

Mediterranean and Atlantic islands. The treatment of irrigation, fuel, mills, mixed labour systems, Madeira, the Canaries and São Tomé follows Galloway and Bosma. These islands supplied institutions and techniques later intensified in the Americas, but the book does not describe them as a finished Caribbean plantation copied intact.

Brazil and the Caribbean. Schwartz supplies the Bahian engenho and the changing relationship between Indigenous and African labour. Dunn and

Higman support the rapid Barbadian transformation from the 1640s, the 1661 slave code and the linked meaning of the Sugar Revolution. The Dutch role is retained without the older single-transfer story. Finance, shipping, land markets, colonial law and local experimentation mattered alongside knowledge connected to Brazil. Saint-Domingue, Jamaica, Cuba and Brazil appear only to the depth required for sugar's system; the full Atlantic crossing remains with *The Slave Trade in a Hurry*.

Abolition and indenture. The dates retained are 1807 for Britain's abolition of its transatlantic slave trade, the 1830s for emancipation in most British colonies, 1886 for Cuba and 1888 for Brazil. Legal emancipation is treated as a decisive break, while Bosma's work on India and Indonesia and the wider labour literature support the account of indenture, penal contract enforcement, migration and mill power. Indenture is not labelled slavery, and the existence of a contract is not treated as proof of equal bargaining power.

High-fructose corn syrup. The sweetener's rise in the United States is linked to enzyme technology, maize supply and the protected price of sugar. Xiang Li and colleagues provide a direct systematic comparison with sucrose. The evidence does not support the sweeping claim that ordinary HFCS is uniquely toxic at comparable exposure, although the literature is limited and does not prove identity across every dose, formulation and outcome.

How we know. Customs and tax records are unusually strong because sugar was a taxable, countable commodity. Estate ledgers, mill plans, patents and trade records reveal production but encode the priorities of owners and states. Burnard's use of Thomas Thistlewood's diary shows both the evidential power and moral distortion of an abuser's record. Archaeology, oral history and testimony from formerly enslaved and indentured people are needed to recover what managerial accounts suppressed.

What People Get Wrong and Use It

Sugar addiction. Margaret Westwater, Paul Fletcher and Hisham Ziauddeen conclude that evidence for sugar as a distinct addictive substance in humans is limited. Ashley Gearhardt and Alexandra DiFeliceantonio argue that highly processed foods can meet established addiction criteria. The manuscript presents that live disagreement accurately: animal binge-like behaviour appears especially under intermittent-access conditions, and evidence about complex foods does not prove that sucrose alone is pharmacologically equivalent to cocaine. The book preserves cravings, compulsive eating and clinically significant loss of control without turning every preference for sweetness into addiction.

Brown sugar and alternative sweeteners. The nutritional correction follows standard composition data and the WHO free-sugar definition. Molasses changes flavour, colour, moisture and trace-mineral content, but ordinary servings of brown or raw sugar do not become meaningful micronutrient sources. Honey, maple syrup, agave and similar products retain distinct cultural and sensory value while contributing free sugars when used as sweeteners.

Tax design. The tax lens draws on the UK levy and the World Health Organization's *Global Report on the Use of Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Taxes, 2025*. The manuscript does not claim that every tax is fair or effective. It asks whether the design changes price, concentration, package size or reformulation; who bears the cost; how revenue is used; and which substitutes remain available.

The five lenses. Follow the bottleneck, separate molecule from system, identify hidden functions, trace the margin, and distinguish dose, form and frequency are editorial syntheses of the book's mechanisms. They are tools for questioning systems, not universal laws or personalised medical advice.

Go Deeper verification

Publication details for the four recommendations were checked against publisher records. Bosma's *The World of Sugar* was published by the Belknap Press of Harvard University Press in 2023. The Penguin Books edition of Mintz used here was published in 1986. The University of North Carolina Press edition of Dunn was published in 2000 and identifies the original 1972 publication. Burnard's book was published by the University of North Carolina Press in 2004.

Current market, health and policy material was rechecked on 11 August 2026.

Bibliography

Major works

Bosma, Ulbe. *The Sugar Plantation in India and Indonesia: Industrial Production, 1770-2010*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

Bosma, Ulbe. *The World of Sugar: How the Sweet Stuff Transformed Our Politics, Health, and Environment over 2,000 Years*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2023.

Burnard, Trevor. *Mastery, Tyranny, and Desire: Thomas Thistlewood and His Slaves in the Anglo-Jamaican World*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2004.

Damodaran, Srinivasan, and Kirk L. Parkin, eds. *Fennema's Food Chemistry*. 5th edition. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2017.

Dunn, Richard S. *Sugar and Slaves: The Rise of the Planter Class in the English West Indies, 1624-1713*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2000. First published 1972.

Eltis, David, and David Richardson. *Atlas of the Transatlantic Slave Trade*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2010.

Galloway, J. H. *The Sugar Cane Industry: An Historical Geography from Its*

Origins to 1914. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Mintz, Sidney W. *Sweetness and Power: The Place of Sugar in Modern History*. New York: Penguin Books, 1986.

Schwartz, Stuart B. *Sugar Plantations in the Formation of Brazilian Society: Bahia, 1550-1835*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Articles and reviews

Fernstrom, John D., et al. "Mechanisms for Sweetness." *Journal of Nutrition* 142, no. 6 (2012): 1134S-1141S. DOI 10.3945/jn.111.149567.

Garsmeur, Olivier, et al. "The Genomic Footprints of Wild *Saccharum* Species Trace Domestication, Diversification, and Modern Breeding of Sugarcane." *Cell* 188, no. 25 (2025): 7252-7266. DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2025.09.017.

Gearhardt, Ashley N., and Alexandra G. DiFeliceantonio. "Highly Processed Foods Can Be Considered Addictive Substances Based on Established Scientific Criteria." *Addiction* 118, no. 4 (2023): 589-598. DOI 10.1111/add.16065.

Higman, B. W. "The Sugar Revolution." *Economic History Review* 53, no. 2 (2000): 213-236. DOI 10.1111/1468-0289.00158.

Li, Xiang, et al. "The Effect of High-Fructose Corn Syrup vs. Sucrose on Anthropometric and Metabolic Parameters: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Frontiers in Nutrition* 9 (2022): 1013310. DOI 10.3389/fnut.2022.1013310.

Moynihan, Paula J., and Sarah A. M. Kelly. "Effect on Caries of Restricting Sugars Intake: Systematic Review to Inform WHO Guidelines." *Journal of Dental Research* 93, no. 1 (2014): 8-18. DOI 10.1177/0022034513508954.

Te Morenga, Lisa, Simonette Mallard, and Jim Mann. "Dietary Sugars and Body Weight: Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses of Randomised Controlled Trials and Cohort Studies." *BMJ* 346 (2013): e7492. DOI 10.1136/bmj.e7492.

Westwater, Margaret L., Paul C. Fletcher, and Hisham Ziauddeen. "Sugar Addiction: The State of the Science." *European Journal of Nutrition* 55, supplement 2 (2016): 55-69. DOI 10.1007/s00394-016-1229-6.

Institutional and technical sources

American Chemical Society. "Norbert Rillieux and a Revolution in Sugar Processing." National Historic Chemical Landmark. Accessed 11 August 2026.

HM Treasury. *Strengthening the Soft Drinks Industry Levy: Summary of Responses*. London, 2025.

OECD and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2026-2035*. Paris and Rome: OECD Publishing and FAO, 2026. DOI 10.1787/47874669-en.

Smithsonian Institution. "Patent Model, Multiple Effect Vacuum Evaporator." National Museum of American History. Accessed 11 August 2026.

World Health Organization. *Global Report on the Use of Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Taxes, 2025*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2026.

World Health Organization. *Guideline: Sugars Intake for Adults and Children*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2015.