

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Mummies

in a Hurry

Preserving the dead, from Egypt to the bog

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 35

Terms 40

Go Deeper 44

Notes and Sources 45

Bibliography 53

The Whole Thing in One Page

A mummy is usually imagined as an Egyptian body under tidy linen, lying in a coffin while centuries pass without touching it. The famous bandages are optional; Egypt is one case among many, and nothing organic escapes change. A mummy is a dead body in which soft tissue has lasted because decomposition was slowed, redirected or made selective. Specialists may have planned that result. A desert, glacier, salt mine or bog may have produced it. Many enduring bodies owe something to both human action and place. The boundary between intention and accident is often porous.

Begin with decay. After death, cells lose control of their chemistry and begin digesting themselves. Microbes already inside the body spread into new tissues. Insects, scavengers, water and warmth can accelerate the work. Preservation changes their environment. Drying removes the water organisms need. Cold slows reactions and growth. Smoke dries tissue and deposits inhibitory compounds. Salt lowers available moisture. Peat can combine cold, acidity, low oxygen and plant chemistry so that skin and hair survive while bone mineral can dissolve.

No method preserves everything. Natron shrinks tissue. Heat alters proteins and bone. Acid can erase a skeleton while tanning the skin around it. Ice can retain organs, stomach contents and tattoos, yet crush, move or contaminate a body. A recognisable surface can survive while its internal chemistry changes beyond recognition. The mummy is no transparent copy of the person at death. It is the remainder produced by one route through decomposition.

Egypt turned this material problem into a long-lived institution. Embalmers removed, dried, anointed, packed and wrapped bodies through procedures that changed by period, place, wealth and age. A 26th Dynasty workshop at Saqqara used labelled vessels containing specialised mixtures of oils, tars and resins, some dependent on long-distance trade. This was skilled labour, ritual service and a supply chain, not one timeless recipe.

Egypt did not begin the attempt. The Chinchorro of the Atacama coast

dismantled and rebuilt men, women and children thousands of years before the great pyramids. In 2025, researchers proposed still older smoke-drying in southern China and Southeast Asia from heat-altered bones and tightly flexed burials more than ten thousand years old. That evidence is serious and indirect, which is why the safest history has no uncomplicated first.

Intention does not divide neatly from nature. High-altitude Andean bodies were placed where cold and dryness continued the mortuary act. A bog body exists because someone entered peat and because water, season, depth and chemistry cooperated. Discovery starts another phase. Excavation changes temperature and humidity. Sampling consumes tissue. Display adds light, air and audiences. Refrigeration need not make a museum mummy microbially inert.

The common thread is not one belief about death. It is that a preserved body remains available to the living. Families, priests, states, scientists, collectors and museums have asked it to carry identity, receive care, prove ancestry, legitimise power, yield evidence or attract visitors. Preservation keeps a body available. It does not decide what the living are entitled to do with it.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

Tollund Man looks asleep. His eyelids are closed, the lines beside his mouth remain visible and the leather cap on his head still fits. He died in Denmark more than two thousand years ago and was found in a peat bog in 1950. The face produces an immediate mistake. A skeleton announces distance. Preserved skin invites recognition, and recognition feels like knowledge. You can look at him for seconds and think you have met him.

You have not. His name is gone. The circumstances of his death remain disputed. The bog preserved one human surface with startling intimacy while removing almost everything that would let him answer back. That combination, physical nearness and historical silence, explains why mummies matter. They show how much evidence a body can carry and how

strongly a surviving face can tempt us to invent.

Soft tissue opens questions that bone alone cannot. Skin can retain tattoos, scars and signs of disease. Hair grows in sequence and may record changing diet or exposure over months. The digestive tract can preserve a final meal. Organs can reveal parasites, vascular disease and surgery. Wrappings, packing, resins and incision patterns identify craft choices and access to materials. Computed tomography can map much of this without unwrapping the body, while microscopy, isotope analysis, ancient DNA, proteins and chemical residues ask narrower questions from tiny samples.

The gain is limited. Every test has a cost and a failure mode. A needle removes material. Opening a package destroys relationships among layers. DNA can be overwhelmed by modern contamination or survive unevenly among tissues. A final meal is one meal, not a lifetime's diet. A wound near death does not identify a motive. A substance in hair establishes exposure more readily than compulsion, ceremony or state of mind. Better technology increases the need to match the claim to what the measurement can carry. A body can preserve a sequence of preparation or injury with remarkable precision while remaining silent about intention, identity and consent.

Mummies also correct the easy story of progress. Prehistoric fishing communities on the Pacific coast reconstructed dead bodies without kings, writing or monumental tombs. Hunter-gatherers in humid southeastern Asia may have used smoke over long periods to make bodies durable. Egyptian embalmers combined close observation, imported materials, specialist work and religious purpose across millennia. Iron Age bodies entered northern European bogs under circumstances ranging from accident and ordinary disposal to execution or ritual killing. There is no ladder from primitive burial to sophisticated preservation. There are different answers to different environments and social needs.

Then comes the question a scanner cannot answer. Is this person evidence, ancestor, patient, sacred presence, museum object or some combination? European trade and collecting often treated possession as the answer. Bodies were bought, exported, unwrapped before crowds, consumed as

supposed medicine, sampled repeatedly and displayed under names assigned by excavators. Many modern institutions now use ethical review, consultation, restricted access, repatriation and non-destructive methods, but no universal formula exists. A named recent ancestor claimed by a connected community differs from an unidentified body from an ancient cemetery, though neither becomes raw material through age alone.

This subject changes what preservation means. To preserve is to choose conditions, and every choice favours some features over others. It also creates custody. Someone must decide what may be exposed, sampled, repaired, stored, returned or left alone. Those decisions do not come after the science. They determine which science can happen and what remains for the next generation.

The dead in this book have survived smoke, salt, desert, frost, resin and peat. Their harder journey begins when the living find them.

The Core Ideas

A Corpse Becomes an Environment

Death ends circulation, breathing and repair. It does not end chemistry. Cells deprived of energy can no longer maintain their membranes and internal balances. Enzymes escape the compartments that controlled them and start dismantling the cells that made them. This self-digestion is autolysis. Bacteria already living in the gut, airways and on the skin gain access to tissues no immune system now protects. Putrefaction begins partly from within.

The loss of circulation changes the body from a regulated organism into a set of local habitats. Oxygen disappears at different rates. Acidity shifts. Fluids settle. Gut contents, fat, muscle, skin and enclosed organs offer unlike resources. A dry hand and a moist abdomen can enter different post-mortem histories while remaining attached to one person. The corpse itself has become an environment before the surrounding soil, air or water has finished joining it.

The outside world then arrives. Flies may reach exposed tissue within minutes or be excluded for centuries. Beetles follow different resources. Scavengers open tissue and move bones. Soil microbes, fungi, roots and groundwater alter what remains. Temperature affects chemical reactions and microbial growth. Water allows organisms and molecules to move. Oxygen supports some decomposers while other microbes work without it. Clothing can hold moisture or shield skin. A sealed coffin may block insects and trap humidity. Body size, fat, disease, wounds, burial depth, season and the delay before burial all change the course.

This is why no universal decomposition clock exists. An observational study of 102 indoor forensic cases found fully desiccated skin across post-mortem intervals from 18 to 217 days. The range does not make the tissue useless for estimating time. It shows that a dry surface must be read with the room, ventilation, clothing and body. Outdoor experiments show the same dependence on local heat and sunlight. A rate measured in one climate cannot be carried intact into another.

Mummification occurs when water loss, cold, chemistry or restricted access slows enough of the processes that would consume soft tissue. It need not involve the whole body. A hand can dry while the abdomen putrefies. Skin may harden while deeper fat remains moist. Insects can remove one area and leave another. Fat in a wet, low-oxygen pocket may turn into adipocere while an exposed limb desiccates. The familiar stages of decomposition overlap rather than proceeding like stations on a timetable.

Preservation can also reverse. A desert body kept dry for centuries can deteriorate after a flood or a move into humid storage. A frozen body changes when it thaws. A bog body removed from acidic, oxygen-poor water loses the conditions that maintained it. The mummy does not carry permanence inside itself.

The useful unit is corpse plus environment over time. That environment includes the body's own microbes, the wrapping around it, the chamber built for it, the crack admitting air, the glacier moving overhead and the curator adjusting humidity. Preservation and decay are not opposites. A mummy is

one history of decay in which enough surface, tissue or structure remained legible.

Preservation Is Selective Loss

Preservation sounds additive. Embalmers apply salt, smoke, oils, resins and linen, so the surviving body appears to be the original plus protection. The deeper operation is subtraction. Water is removed. Oxygen or insects are denied access. Wet organs are taken out. Acidity strips mineral. Cold takes energy from chemical reactions. Tissue lasts because some requirement of decomposition has been removed or the tissue has been altered into a less accessible form.

Desiccation is the broadest route. Microbes need available water, not merely water bound inside molecules. Hot sand, dry air, wind, ash, absorbent packing and salt can lower that availability. The body loses mass. Skin tightens over bone. Organs shrink and collapse. A sharply outlined face may therefore record structural survival and major distortion together.

Preserving shape is not the same as preserving molecules. A mummy can look complete while heat and oxidation have fragmented DNA or changed proteins. A collapsed tooth can contain better genetic material than a beautiful cheek. The tissue most impressive to a visitor may be a poor analytical sample. Researchers must choose the material that fits the question rather than assuming visible preservation extends to every scale.

Salt makes the bargain obvious. Egyptian natron drew out water and created alkaline conditions hostile to many decomposers. It also left tissue reduced and brittle. Smoke combines drying, airflow, low heat and compounds deposited from combustion. It can create a durable body while altering proteins and bone mineral in ways that later researchers must distinguish from cooking or cremation. Oils and resins can seal, scent, bind and inhibit some organisms, yet they penetrate tissue and complicate chemical analysis.

Cold slows reactions rather than cancelling them. Ice may keep skin, organs, food and equipment together. It can also form crystals, rupture cells,

move a body within a glacier and channel contaminants through meltwater. The force that saves anatomy can damage microscopic structure.

Peat creates the strangest exchange. In suitable raised bogs, cold, acidity, low oxygen and plant chemistry can stabilise skin proteins. The skin darkens and toughens. The same acidic water dissolves calcium-rich mineral in bone and teeth. A person can emerge with fingerprints, beard stubble and gut contents while the skeleton beneath has softened or partly vanished.

Artificial and natural mummification therefore form a continuum. People can wrap a corpse and let desert air finish the work. An Andean state can place a child where summit cold becomes part of the treatment. A person can be deliberately put into a bog without anyone seeking preservation. Human choices select environments; environments supply chemistry.

No single ranking captures the result. One body may preserve skin and lose bone. Another may retain a skeleton while yielding little usable DNA. A third may carry a strong genetic signal inside a tooth although its face has collapsed. Better preserved means better preserved for a particular question: appearance, anatomy, proteins, genomes, textiles and burial relationships can rank the same bodies differently.

A mummy is evidence of the original body, the preservation route and every later intervention at once. A missing organ may have been removed, decayed or gone undetected. Dark skin may record peat chemistry rather than complexion. A flattened nose may come from pressure after death. Preservation is an editor with a method. Its deletions belong in every interpretation of what remains.

Egypt Built a Preservation Economy

Egypt did not discover that dry ground preserves bodies. Predynastic communities burying their dead in shallow desert pits could see skin, hair and form survive when sand drew out moisture. The difficulty grew as burial became more elaborate. Coffins, mats and chambers protected the dead from disturbance while separating them from the sand that had dried them. A cultural improvement created a technical problem: how could a tomb replace the desert?

The answer developed across centuries. Chemical analysis of funerary wrappings from Mostagedda, directly dated to roughly 4500 to 3350 BCE, found a complex balm with a fatty base, conifer products, aromatic extracts, plant gum or sugar and petroleum-derived material. Deliberate biochemical treatment of funerary linen therefore predates the familiar form of dynastic embalming. Early experiments included wrapping, resinous coatings, plaster modelling and internal packing. No surviving body marks a single moment of completion.

Later embalmers learned to manage the wet interior. A common high-status process could include washing, removing several organs through an abdominal incision, treating or packing the cavity, drying the corpse with natron, anointing the skin and wrapping it in many layers of linen. Brain tissue might be removed through the nose in some periods. Many mummies retain it. Hearts were commonly left because of their religious importance, but exceptions, accidents and losses remain. Viscera could enter canopic jars, be wrapped separately, return to the body as packages or remain in place.

Every verb in the standard sequence needs the word often. Procedures differed by date, place, age, wealth, workshop and condition. CT research on 21 child mummies found varied combinations of excerebration, evisceration, packing and soft-tissue survival. The sample is small and shaped by European collecting, but it is incompatible with treating all children as recipients of one reduced adult recipe. External splendour also fails as a guarantee. Fine coffins can contain poorly preserved bodies, while

modest burials sometimes survive well.

The work required an economy as well as a technique. Embalmers needed natron, linen, oils, waxes, gums, tars, resins, tools, space and practical knowledge of sequence. A 26th Dynasty workshop at Saqqara makes that organisation visible. Archaeologists recovered vessels labelled with substances, applications or body regions. Chemical analysis of 31 containers identified differentiated mixtures for the head, wrappings and other tasks. Some ingredients came through Mediterranean exchange; others point towards tropical sources much farther away, although exact plants and routes are less secure than the molecular evidence for non-local materials.

This workshop is late and local. It cannot stand for three thousand years. Its importance is institutional: labelled stock, specialised applications, treatment rooms, administrators, reusable knowledge and clients. Someone judged incision, drying time, packing and bandage tension. A mistake could trap moisture, tear skin or break a limb. Anonymous manual intelligence remains visible in cuts, plugs and layers even when the embalmers' names do not.

The same capacity extended to animals. Egyptians preserved selected sacred animals, companions, food offerings and large numbers of votive creatures linked to particular gods. CT has revealed packages containing complete animals, selected bones, feathers or little identifiable tissue. An incomplete bundle does not prove ancient fraud by itself. It may reflect supply, ritual convention or a category in which the package represented more than anatomical completeness. The scale still implies breeding, procurement, killing, treatment, wrapping and temple distribution.

Egyptian mummification was ritual and material at once. The body had to become durable, whole and recognisable enough to support continuing identity. Wrapping built a new surface rather than hiding an untouched one. Masks, cases and written names supplied a social face when skin could no longer do the work alone. Egypt's achievement was not invention. It was an unusually sustained, specialised and richly documented embalming system.

The Chinchorro Reconstructed Personhood

On the Pacific coast of what is now northern Chile and southern Peru, the Chinchorro lived from the sea beside one of the driest landscapes on Earth. They were marine hunter-gatherers, not subjects of a king. Thousands of years before mature Egyptian embalming, their specialists were taking human bodies apart and constructing them again.

The details changed. In procedures archaeologists call the black style, the body could be dismembered and stripped of soft tissue. The skeleton was cleaned, reassembled and reinforced with sticks, cords or reeds. Cavities were packed with plant fibre, clay or other material. Skin was refitted where possible and replaced where necessary. A wig and modelled face supplied a finished human surface, coated with dark manganese pigment. The result was corpse, framework and sculpture together.

Later bodies grouped under the red style commonly kept more of their original anatomy. Makers opened the torso to clear soft tissue, dried the interior and restored its volume around a support. They could remove the head for separate treatment before fixing it back in place. Red ochre coated most of the exterior, with darker treatment reserved for the face or hair. Other forms used mud coatings or fewer interventions. Colour labels help sort recurring choices; they do not turn thousands of years into two rigid workshop manuals.

The makers had to solve engineering problems. A cleaned skeleton will not hold a human outline by itself. Supports must carry weight without splitting dry bone; packing must fill space without trapping moisture; skin must be stretched, patched and joined; pigments must adhere. The finished surface turned an unstable corpse into a figure that could be moved and recognised. Technical choices survive more clearly than the names of those who made them.

The strongest correction is social. Known Chinchorro artificial mummification includes adult men and women, children and infants, rather than a visibly restricted royal class. The pattern does not resemble a royal

monopoly. Infant bodies with little structural strength could receive elaborate and demanding treatment. One plausible interpretation places kinship, grief and continuing relations with the dead near the centre, especially where infants received elaborate treatment. The distribution supports that reading better than royal monopoly, but materials cannot recover one motive for every body.

Some mummies were repaired, recoated or built robustly enough for handling. That evidence has encouraged the proposal that bodies remained among the living before final burial. It is plausible and cannot support an invented household scene. Duration, movement and setting varied. A stick inside a spine, a refitted skin patch and a remodelled face prove skilled reconstruction. They do not tell us what mourners said while doing it.

The Atacama environment worked beside the makers. Extreme dryness preserved bodies naturally as well as artificially, perhaps revealing the possibilities and supplying a reliable final setting. Human treatment and landscape cannot be cleanly separated. The Chinchorro learned from a coast where corpses could endure, then extended and reshaped that endurance through labour.

A 2025 study complicates their old claim to priority. Researchers examining 54 pre-Neolithic burials from 11 sites in southern China and Southeast Asia argued that tightly flexed bodies had been smoke-dried, with some examples more than ten thousand years old. They used heat alteration in bone, posture and comparison because soft tissue and smoking structures have not survived. The proposal would place intentional treatment earlier than Chinchorro practice, but its apparatus, duration and cultural continuity remain inferred.

The records are unlike. Southeast Asia may hold the oldest analytical evidence consistent with prolonged smoke-drying. Chinchorro cemeteries preserve the oldest extensive tradition of elaborate artificial bodies whose construction can be examined directly. History becomes stronger when the ranking becomes less tidy. The first known method depends on which evidence survives, and preservation has been selecting the competitors

from the beginning.

Landscapes Do Part of the Work

A landscape can perform an embalmer's work without producing one standard result. Deserts, glaciers, salt deposits, caves and peatlands create narrow routes through decay. A body lasts when it enters the route quickly enough and remains there with little interruption.

Ötzi entered Alpine ice more than five thousand years ago. Hikers found him in 1991 with skin, internal tissue, stomach contents, clothing, equipment and 61 tattoos preserved. An arrowhead was later identified in his left shoulder. The arrow passed into the shoulder and may have damaged major vessels, but a 2025 clinical reassessment argued that large external blood loss was unlikely and that he may have survived for hours. The injury remains serious; the exact lethal sequence is unresolved. The evidence came from an unusual package of body, objects, place, imaging and repeated re-examination. Remove the context and he becomes a well-preserved man with far fewer answers.

Arid ground creates a different archive. The Atacama, Egyptian desert and Tarim Basin have all yielded skin, hair and clothing because water loss outran decomposition. These bodies are grouped by mechanism, not culture. Salt can produce related effects by drawing out moisture and limiting microbial activity. At Chehrabad in Iran, miners killed by collapses across different periods survived with clothing, hair and tools. The material being extracted became the preserving environment of an industrial disaster.

Peat reverses the visual expectation. The best-preserved bog bodies usually come from raised bogs fed mainly by rain. Their water can become acidic, nutrient-poor and low in oxygen. Sphagnum helps create the chemistry. Cold-season deposition and rapid submersion can restrict insects and slow microbes. Dissolved plant compounds stabilise and darken skin proteins. Acid may remove bone mineral and alter hair colour. The body is tanned, not frozen.

Not every wetland does this. Mineral-rich fens, aerated margins and disturbed peat can destroy tissue rapidly. Even within one bog, depth, water flow and season can separate a preserved face from a skeleton. Commercial peat cutting may slice through a body at the moment the archive is exposed.

Tollund Man shows the exchange. The bog preserved closed eyelids, beard stubble and intestinal contents, yet much of the skeleton was demineralised. Lindow Man retained enough skin and tissue to reveal violent injuries, while pressure, peat cutting and chemical change complicated their sequence. A landscape does not preserve a complete witness. It creates a source with its own distortions.

The word natural can therefore mislead. It describes the dominant preserving agent, not the reason the body reached it. Ötzi probably sought a mountain route, not a freezer. Inca children were placed where summit cold formed part of the ritual setting. Bog bodies may represent accident, burial, execution, punishment or sacrifice. Chemistry can be natural while deposition remains intensely social.

Landscapes also select whose lives become knowable. Dry elites in tombs, travellers caught in snow, miners trapped in salt and people consigned to wetlands are not a representative sample of past populations. The environments that preserve bodies are rare, and the acts that place people inside them are uneven. A mummy can yield extraordinary detail about one individual while reminding us how many others left no soft tissue at all.

Surviving Bodies Keep Social Power

The easiest way to misunderstand a mummy is to treat it as a failed burial. Many preserved bodies were meant to remain active in relationships with the living. Durability mattered because the dead still had social work to do.

Egyptian mummies supported a continuing personal existence, but Egypt was not alone in keeping a body available. Colonial accounts describe deceased Inca rulers as retaining households, estates and political presence. Their preserved bodies could be dressed, supplied, represented

through attendants and brought into ceremonies. These sources were written after conquest through Spanish assumptions, so details require caution. The broader structure is credible: royal descent groups maintained claims through rulers whose social personhood had not ended with death.

Colonial authorities treated those bodies as political institutions. In 1559, Juan Polo de Ondegardo gathered surviving royal mummies around Cusco and sent them to Lima. Their later fate is uncertain. Their removal formed part of a wider campaign to suppress ceremonies and loyalties outside Christian and Spanish control. The episode shows the reverse of preservation: displace the bodily focus and the relationship becomes harder to maintain.

High on Andean mountains, other bodies performed a different role. Inca capacocha ceremonies included selected children taken to sacred peaks, where cold and dryness preserved them. Hair from the Llullaillaco children records chemical exposure as it grew. Analysis found changes in diet and increased coca and alcohol exposure before death. The sequence reveals preparation over months. It does not tell us what each child understood, whether every substance was voluntary or how one ceremony should stand for an empire.

The Chinchorro bodies point towards kinship rather than state power. Treatment across ages and repairable surfaces is consistent with bodily presence mattering beyond elite commemoration. In other traditions, preserved saints or ancestors became sites of petition, protection, identity and dispute. The mechanisms and beliefs differ, but accessible bodies can be visited, dressed, moved, fed symbolically and used to organise memory.

The body cannot perform any of this alone. Living people supply names, stories, rules and repeated attention. Tollund Man is a modern name from the place of discovery. The Lady of Cao, the Llullaillaco Maiden and many museum labels are titles or scholarly identities, not necessarily names spoken in life. Preservation can keep a face while history removes nearly every social coordinate around it.

A preserved body can also outlive the meanings once attached to it. The family that knew a name disappears; a later state adopts the body as heritage; a museum supplies a label; visitors supply emotion. Biological continuity can coexist with social discontinuity. The face remains while the person represented by it is reconstructed from fragments and, at times, from national desire.

Discovery assigns new jobs. A mummy can become national heritage, scientific specimen, tourist attraction, evidence in an ancestry claim or a focus for repatriation. These roles conflict. A scan that reveals disease can increase public fascination. A display that supports education or conservation can expose a person whom a connected community believes should be covered or returned. Calling the body an object makes management easier and the moral description poorer.

Tissue persists through chemistry. A person persists through recognised relationships. Mummification can support those relationships, but it cannot recreate them after names, context and community have gone. A body may keep working for five thousand years while doing work its original mourners never imagined.

Discovery Makes Us the Next Embalmers

Burial preservation depends on stability. Excavation breaks it. A body that survived because a tomb was dry, a glacier cold or a bog oxygen-poor is suddenly exposed to new air, temperature, light, microbes and handling. Finding a mummy ends one successful environment and creates a conservation emergency.

Earlier collectors often treated that emergency as opportunity. Egyptian bodies were bought and exported in large numbers. Some were publicly unwrapped as scholarship and spectacle. Physicians, antiquarians and audiences cut through layers whose sequence could never be rebuilt. Substances sold in Europe as mumia linked embalming material and human remains to supposed medicine. Later commerce turned bodies, hands and wrappings into curiosities. A severed hand may still look impressive and

answer almost nothing.

Modern investigation can be less destructive. Radiography reveals skeletons and dense objects beneath wrappings. CT can distinguish bone, packing, amulets and many soft tissues in three dimensions. Endoscopy enters cavities through small openings. Chemical imaging identifies pigments and resins. Ancient DNA, proteins, isotopes and microbiomes address kinship, pathogens, mobility and treatment. Each method has limits. A digital reconstruction depends on thresholds, segmentation and decisions about uncertain forms. Non-destructive does not mean assumption-free. A tiny sample is still gone from the body.

Contamination may have accumulated during excavation, handling, previous conservation and display. A result can describe that later history instead of the ancient person unless the chain of custody is known. The better the instrument becomes, the more important provenance becomes. Sensitivity without context can make a precise mistake.

Conservation replaces one environment with another. Curators manage temperature, relative humidity, light, dust, insects, support and vibration. They decide whether old adhesives should be removed, whether loose linen should be secured and whether a body can travel. Too much moisture encourages biological activity; air that is too dry can make brittle tissue crack. A display case protects and exposes at once.

Ötzi provides the clearest modern correction. He is kept cold in a purpose-built chamber, yet a 2026 study found a mixed microbial world across and within the mummy: ancient post-mortem organisms, glacier-associated communities and modern introductions, with cold-adapted yeasts prominent on external surfaces. The study identified signs consistent with recent or ongoing activity among some cold-adapted organisms. It does not predict visible rapid decay. It shows that refrigeration has not turned him into inert matter. The second environment is still an ecosystem.

Custody adds another set of conditions. Ethical guidance asks for respect

towards human remains, attention to known or reasonably inferred wishes, engagement with connected communities and recognition of scientific value. These principles can collide. There may be several claimant groups, no recoverable descendants, incompatible religious views and research that cannot be repeated if access is refused. Age does not settle the disagreement, and scientific interest does not outrank every other claim by definition.

No universal ethical formula can remove judgement. A named ancestor claimed by living relatives differs from an unidentified body excavated from a cemetery thousands of years old. Acquisition through colonial seizure differs from excavation under documented legal and community authority, yet lawful possession does not answer every question about display. Replicas, covered displays, restricted access and digital models widen the options without deciding among them.

A responsible second afterlife begins with restraint. Keep context attached. Ask the smallest question that matters. Use non-destructive methods when they can answer it. Record every intervention. Leave enough material and information for methods not yet invented. Decide display and sampling through transparent, revisable processes rather than habit.

The first idea began with corpse plus environment over time. The loop closes in the museum. Glass, refrigeration, scanners, policies and visitors become the new environment, and preservation remains an active choice rather than a completed victory. The mummy survived its first burial. It now has to survive our interest.

How It Actually Works

Before the pyramid: smoke, sand and uncertain firsts

More than ten thousand years ago, people in southern China and Southeast Asia buried some of their dead in tightly flexed or squatting positions. The joints appear too compressed for an untreated fresh body to have been placed that way easily. Many bones also carry signs of prolonged, low-level heating rather than a brief funeral pyre. In 2025, an international team combined those clues and argued that bodies had been dried over smoky fires, then bound into the compact forms found by archaeologists.

The researchers examined 54 burials from 11 sites using X-ray diffraction and infrared spectroscopy. Heat changes the mineral structure of bone, and different temperature histories leave different patterns. The results fitted extended smoke exposure better than high-temperature cremation in many cases. Articulation suggested that bodies remained intact enough to be handled or positioned after treatment.

No soft tissue survives. The fire arrangement, duration, appearance of the body and social purpose remain reconstructed. Binding can shape a corpse without full mummification, and several processes can heat bone. The study changes the opening of the story without closing the argument. It provides serious evidence for ancient smoke-drying in humid regions where an untreated corpse would normally decay quickly.

In northeast Africa, another route was visible in the ground. A corpse placed in shallow desert sand could lose water before microbes and insects consumed it. Skin tightened, hair remained and the outline endured. Mourners could encounter preservation before specialist embalming existed. They also began wrapping and treating bodies before dynastic Egypt. The oldest history of mummification is therefore a set of experiments with smoke, sand, covering and chemistry, not a procession of named inventors.

The Chinchorro workshop

By the fifth millennium BCE, the Chinchorro of the Atacama coast were doing far more than assisting natural drying. A specialist receiving a body could begin by taking it apart. The head and limbs might be removed. Skin and internal tissue were stripped away. The skeleton was cleaned, lashed together and reinforced with sticks or reeds. Cavities were packed with fibre, clay and other material. Skin was replaced where possible, missing areas were patched, and the head was remodelled with a wig and mask.

Bodies grouped as black mummies received a dark coating containing manganese. The finished form was rigid, sculpted and capable of holding together after most of the soft tissue that once gave it shape had gone. This was not preservation through minimal disturbance. It was preservation through reconstruction.

Later red mummies often followed a less complete disassembly. Incisions allowed organs and muscle to be removed. The cavity was dried, packed and supported. The head could be detached for treatment and then replaced. Red ochre covered much of the exterior while the face or hair remained dark. Mud-coated and simpler forms show that methods continued to change. Modern colour names are sorting tools, not ancient guild labels.

The bodies included newborns, older children, women and men.

Reconstructing a small infant required no less skill than treating an adult and cannot be explained as a reward for political office. Some forms show repair or renewal, and their frameworks would have permitted handling.

Archaeologists have therefore proposed that they remained accessible before final interment. The evidence supports durability and repeated attention more strongly than one standard household ritual.

The coast supplied both a model and a preservative. Extreme aridity produced natural mummies. It may have shown mourners that bodies could persist and allowed constructed forms to survive after burial. The Chinchorro learned from an environment that kept some corpses visible, then extended its effect with anatomical knowledge, craft and paint. Thousands of years

later, the practice ended without a written explanation. The practice later ended without a written explanation. Environmental, demographic and social changes remain possible causes rather than a settled answer.

Egypt learns to intervene

Egyptian burial architecture gradually separated bodies from the sand that had first preserved them. Mats, coffins and chambers protected the dead and displayed status, yet they could trap moisture. Early enclosed burials sometimes preserved an outer form while the interior decayed. The technical history begins with a contradiction: a better tomb could make a worse mummy.

Wrappings from Mostagedda show that treatment began early. Directly dated linen from roughly 4500 to 3350 BCE carried a complex balm based on oil or animal fat, conifer resin, aromatic plant material, gum or sugar and petroleum. Resin could scent, seal and inhibit some organisms. It could not remove every source of moisture inside the torso. Surface treatment had to become internal management.

The wet interior posed a major practical risk. An incision, commonly on the left abdomen in later high-status practice, gave access to the liver, lungs, stomach and intestines. These could be removed, washed, dried and wrapped. Their destination changed. Some entered canopic jars. Some returned to the body as packages. In some periods jars remained as ritual objects while holding nothing. Other mummies were not eviscerated.

Brain removal was equally variable. In some later bodies, an instrument passed through the nostril and broke the thin bone between nose and cranial cavity. Tissue was extracted or drained through the opening. Many mummies retain the brain, either because a different procedure was chosen or none was attempted. A skull empty on CT is evidence of a method only after post-mortem loss and later damage are considered.

In later Egyptian embalming, the main drying agent was natron, a naturally occurring mixture of sodium salts collected from dry lake beds. Embalmers packed it around the body and may have placed it within cavities. Water

moved out of the tissue without the high heat of a fire. A funerary programme could last about seventy days, though the division between drying, wrapping and ritual differed and the famous schedule comes from late evidence.

Once dry, the body had to be made whole enough to handle. Cavities received linen, resinous material, sawdust, mud, aromatic plants or other fillers. Pads could be placed beneath skin. False eyes and plugs repaired features. Oils, fats and waxes altered flexibility and appearance. Resin fixed linen and helped build a protective surface. Fingers and toes might be wrapped separately before limbs and torso disappeared beneath broader layers.

Amulets and written spells entered selected positions. A mask, cartonnage case or coffin supplied idealised features, titles and a name. The result did not restore the appearance of life. It produced a new ritual body through removal, drying, filling, binding and representation. Technique and ceremony addressed different consequences of death and were performed as one service.

Inside an embalming place

A workshop beside a burial shaft at Saqqara offers a rare view from the workbench. It dates to the 26th Dynasty, roughly 664 to 525 BCE. The complex contained areas interpreted for preparation and evisceration, access to communal burial spaces and a cache of pottery linked to embalming.

Some vessels named substances. Others carried instructions such as treatment for the head or use on the wrappings. Researchers analysed residues from 31 containers with gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. They found mixtures based on conifer products, plant oils, animal fats, beeswax, bitumen and resins. Ingredients associated with Pistacia trees came from the Mediterranean. Elemi-like and dammar materials indicate exchange reaching tropical sources, although exact botanical origins remain less secure than the broad evidence of non-local

supply.

The labels corrected translation as well as chemistry. An Egyptian term long equated with one famous aromatic could refer to a preparation made from several ingredients and designed for a task. Practical categories do not have to match modern species names. A stable workshop word may mean the head mixture even when suppliers and proportions change.

The pots also preserve administration. Some carried names or titles connected with officials. Traces of heating and drips show substances being prepared rather than deposited unused. Expensive materials had to be stored, assigned and kept distinct. The work required incision, organ treatment, mixture preparation, linen cutting, wrapping, ritual speech, payment and burial management. The surviving workshop does not preserve the exact division of labour. The material task carried predictable risks: trapped moisture, broken limbs, distorted joints and inadequate support. A successful mummy records countless small judgements that no surviving text names.

The workshop was connected to a burial economy. Shafts and chambers offered places at different costs, while vessels, linen and imported aromatics embodied further choices. Families were purchasing access to labour and materials as well as a tomb. Payment could change the procedure without reducing every variation to quality. A local convention or religious preference might matter as much as price.

Herodotus, writing in the fifth century BCE as a Greek visitor, describes embalmers showing model bodies and offering graded services. The account is useful for price and choice, but it belongs to one late setting and an outsider. The text names a procedure, the vessel contains a mixture and the mummy records what hands did. None can serve as a national manual alone.

Ice, height and salt

In September 1991, hikers saw a body emerging from ice in the Ötztal Alps. Early rescuers assumed they had found a recently dead mountaineer, so recovery disturbed part of the surrounding material. Radiocarbon dating and the equipment beside him placed Ötzi in the Copper Age, more than five thousand years ago. Cold had preserved skin, internal tissue, clothing, tools, food and the place of death as one association.

For a decade, his death could still be described as a mountain accident. In 2001, an X-ray revealed a flint arrowhead in the left shoulder. Later investigation established a serious arrow wound, but the precise sequence is unsettled. Clinicians revisiting the injuries in 2025 judged heavy bleeding outside the body improbable and considered survival for several hours possible. Sixty-one tattoos formed from small dark lines cluster near joints and parts of the spine showing wear. They may have had therapeutic purposes, although location does not prove intention. His stomach contents, teeth, isotopes and genome added evidence about meals, health, movement and ancestry.

Each result depended on custody. Thawing, early handling, sampling and conservation became part of later interpretation. A mark can be ancient, glacial or modern. Ötzi is now kept in a cold, humid chamber and sprayed with sterile water to limit surface loss. Conservators are not freezing a moment. They are maintaining a new environment designed around a body whose original one cannot be restored.

High Andean mummies began with deliberate placement. In Inca capacocha ceremonies, children travelled through imperial and ritual systems to sacred peaks. Burial chambers, clothing and offerings organised the deposition; altitude, cold and dryness supplied long preservation. Hair from the Llullaillaco children grew during the final months and retained a chemical sequence. Analyses found changes in food and increased exposure to coca and alcohol. The sequence demonstrates preparation. It does not reveal private experience or prove that every ceremony followed the same pattern.

Salt mines preserve a less ceremonial history. The Iranian Salt Men died in collapses across different periods at Chehrabad. Salt drew out moisture and protected hair, skin, clothing and tools. Their remains preserve labour, equipment and danger from people caught at work. The mine became a tomb because the substance being extracted also inhibited decay.

The bog

In May 1950, peat cutters near Tollund in Denmark uncovered a head so fresh-looking that police were called. The man wore a pointed leather cap. A cord remained around his neck. Closed eyes and compressed skin gave the face a calm that the manner of death did not. Radiocarbon dating placed him in the early Iron Age.

The peat had created a narrow chemical shelter. Raised bogs are fed largely by rain, allowing their water to become oxygen-poor, acidic and short of nutrients. Sphagnum contributes to acidity and releases compounds that interact with proteins. If a body enters cold water, is submerged quickly and remains undisturbed, insects are excluded and decay slows. Skin becomes dark and leathery. Hair survives but may change colour. Bone mineral dissolves. A face can remain around a weakened skeleton.

Tollund Man's gut preserved traces of a porridge eaten about 12 to 24 hours before death. New analysis identified barley, pale persicaria and flax, with probable fish. Some ingredients included threshing waste. That may reflect food processing, scarcity, custom or ritual. The intestine establishes plants and timing more securely than symbolic purpose. The cord establishes hanging or strangulation more securely than motive.

Lindow Man, found in Cheshire in 1984, carried several injuries and died sometime between roughly 2 BCE and 119 CE. A blow to the head, pressure to the neck and a cut throat have been arranged into the famous idea of a formal triple death. Peat pressure, post-mortem change and recovery damage complicate sequence and force. Violent death is secure. A staged Celtic sacrifice remains an interpretation.

Bog bodies are unusually informative and unusually vulnerable to

storytelling. Wetlands could be boundaries, places of offering or zones outside ordinary settlement, which makes ritual explanations attractive. Some cases support them. Others may represent execution, punishment, accident, ordinary disposal or people denied conventional burial. The category spans regions and centuries rather than one northern European institution.

Peat cutting creates a final problem. A spade or mechanical cutter often reaches the remains before an archaeologist. Exposure removes the waterlogged environment at the same moment it reveals the body. Tollund Man's head was conserved successfully by the standards of the 1950s, while much of the displayed body is a reconstruction after less successful treatment. Discovery can preserve knowledge and destroy material within the same day.

From unwrapping to virtual autopsy

European demand gave Egyptian mummies a destructive modern career. For centuries, material sold as mumia was consumed as medicine as mineral pitch, embalming substance and powdered human remains became confused. Travellers and dealers later exported complete bodies and fragments as antiquities. Provenance could vanish before a museum opened the crate.

In nineteenth-century Britain, surgeon and antiquarian Thomas Pettigrew became famous for public mummy unrollings. Hospitals, theatres, homes and learned societies hosted events that combined anatomy, antiquarian argument, sociability and performance. Calling them parties hides the serious questions some participants asked. Calling them scholarship hides the spectacle and irreversible loss. Removing linen exposed amulets and bodies while destroying layer order, residue patterns and repairs that no later method could reconstruct.

Opening Tutankhamun's tomb in 1922 produced another form of consumption: the mummy's curse. Lord Carnarvon died in 1923, and newspapers connected his death to a supernatural script already prepared

by decades of mummy fiction. A later historical cohort study found no significant survival penalty among people judged exposed to the tomb opening. It was small and retrospective, but stronger evidence than a list assembled after deaths were known. The result does not make excavation harmless. It separates ordinary hazards from a supernatural mortality pattern.

Radiography changed the bargain. X-rays could reveal a skeleton and dense objects beneath wrappings. CT later produced cross-sections and three-dimensional models. Researchers can follow an excerebration route, locate organ packages, inspect teeth, detect trauma, identify amulets and guide a small biopsy without cutting through every layer.

The phrase virtual autopsy can overpromise. CT records how materials attenuate X-rays, and ancient dried tissues often have similar densities. Segmentation requires judgement. Disease can be obscured by shrinkage or confused with embalming damage. Facial reconstruction adds assumptions about tissue depth, expression, hair and colour. A scan protects the body from one form of harm while leaving interpretation fully human.

Sampling adds power and cost. Isotopes indicate aspects of diet and movement. Residue chemistry identifies molecular families in embalming mixtures. Proteins and DNA may recover kinship, pathogens or population history when preservation and contamination permit. Hair provides sequence. Microbiome research distinguishes ancient, environmental and modern organisms imperfectly. A sample described as tiny is still absent afterwards.

Conservation therefore belongs inside research, not after it. Temperature, humidity, light, pests, supports, old adhesives and travel determine which questions a body can answer later. Ötzi's 2026 microbiome study found cold-adapted yeasts on external surfaces beside signatures linked to his body, glacier and modern museum. This is not a forecast of rapid visible deterioration. It is evidence that his cold storage has not made the mummy microbiologically inert.

The better aim is controlled access. Begin with records and imaging. Sample only for a defined question. Record location, amount and treatment. Share data so the same tissue is not taken again through institutional forgetfulness. Leave material for methods not yet invented. Conservation cannot finish preservation. It can make loss slower, visible and deliberate.

How we know

Mummies are an evidence base selected by climate, burial, wealth, discovery and later treatment. Dry and cold regions are overrepresented. Egypt supplies an unusually large record, but much of it comes from elite burials, later periods and bodies altered by robbers, priests, collectors and early anatomists. Chinchorro makers left no writing. Inca royal mummies are described through colonial observers engaged in suppressing them.

Modern methods recover different parts of the record. CT shows structure without identifying every dried tissue. Chemical analysis establishes compounds more securely than ritual meaning. Stable isotopes and hair reveal patterned exposure, not private intention. Ancient DNA is powerful when authentication and contamination controls succeed; failed recovery does not establish absent ancestry or disease.

The newest claim needs the clearest boundary. The 2025 smoke-drying study identifies heat alteration and burial patterns consistent with prolonged treatment. It does not preserve the bodies or prove one practice at every site. Bog injuries establish trauma more readily than sacrifice. The safest account combines body, setting, objects, documents and comparison, then stops where their joint evidence stops.

What People Get Wrong

"Mummies are an Egyptian invention"

Egypt owns the image because its dead arrived in large numbers, wrapped, named and enclosed in spectacular equipment. Its writing explained them, its climate preserved them and European collecting made them the museum standard. The best-known tradition became the presumed first.

The record now runs in several directions. Chinchorro communities were constructing mummies by the fifth millennium BCE, long before mature Egyptian embalming. A 2025 study argued that hunter-gatherers in southern China and Southeast Asia smoke-dried some bodies more than ten thousand years ago. Natural mummies occur wherever rapid drying, cold, salt or peat creates suitable conditions, and people in many regions learned to use those environments.

The evidence is unlike. The Southeast Asian case is inferred from heated bone and burial posture because soft tissue did not survive. Chinchorro provides intact constructed bodies. Egypt created the longest and most documented specialist system. Asking who invented mummification forces different records into one race. The present evidence does not require one lost civilisation carrying a secret recipe between continents. Similar material levers could be discovered independently in different environments. The correction therefore changes the question from ownership to mechanism: who learned which route, in which environment, for which social purpose, and what evidence survives to show it?

"The Egyptians followed one standard recipe"

Museum diagrams favour a clean sequence: remove the brain, take out the organs, dry the body in natron, wrap it and place it in a coffin. It is an excellent teaching model and a poor description of three thousand years.

Bodies differ by date, place, age, status and workshop. Some retain the brain or viscera. Incisions vary. Organs can enter jars, return as packages or remain in place. Cavities contain linen, resin, sawdust, mud or other fillers

in changing combinations. Fine coffins can conceal indifferent preservation. CT studies of children alone reveal several technical patterns rather than one reduced adult procedure.

The standard account leans on late evidence, including Herodotus and first-millennium BCE workshops. It can describe a common high-status method without governing every mummy. The correction matters because variation is evidence. A departure from the diagram may identify a period, local tradition, budget, failure or deliberate choice. A method lasting centuries survives by being revised and taught again, not by refusing change. Failure belongs to the history as well. Expensive materials could not rescue a damp tomb, and a ritual body could meet ancient expectations even when modern conservators judge its tissue poorly preserved.

"The organs always went into canopic jars"

Four decorated jars beside a coffin make an unforgettable set, and they are often taught as the destination of the liver, lungs, stomach and intestines. The image is accurate for many burials and universal for none.

Canopic practice changed. Organs might be dried, wrapped and stored in separate containers. In other periods they returned to the body as packages, sometimes beside dummy jars that retained ritual form without holding tissue. Some bodies were never eviscerated, and some jars were robbed, reused or separated from their tombs. Even when a vessel survives, identifying its contents requires examination rather than reading the lid as a label.

The heart was commonly left because of its role in identity and judgement, yet bodies contain exceptions and embalming errors. The brain was often removed in later elite practice but frequently remains. Empty jars could still protect organs symbolically after their storage function changed. The correction replaces a checklist with a sequence of decisions in which wet tissue, ritual need and inherited equipment changed together. A jar could cease to store an organ without becoming meaningless. Its protective symbolism outlived one material arrangement, which is why an empty set

should not be dismissed as useless decoration.

"A natural mummy required no human decision"

Natural sounds accidental: a body enters sand or ice, chemistry takes over and culture disappears. Artificial sounds purposeful: specialists apply a treatment. Real burials cross that boundary repeatedly.

A corpse wrapped and placed in dry sand is preserved mainly by its environment, but people chose the grave, posture and covering. An Inca child placed in a summit chamber was preserved by cold, yet the mountain, clothing and sealed deposition belonged to a planned ceremony. A bog may preserve someone whom nobody intended to keep, although another person may have executed, buried or deposited that body there. Chinchorro makers worked in a desert that completed their intervention.

The terms remain useful when they identify the dominant mechanism. They become misleading when natural is taken to mean culturally empty. Human action can select the preserving environment, and later excavators decide whether it stays stable. Chemistry does not settle motive. The better question is who controlled each condition in the sequence, from death to burial to discovery. This also prevents a false hierarchy in which hands count as culture while skilled use of a summit, cave, desert or bog is dismissed as luck.

"Bog bodies were all human sacrifices"

Bog bodies often died violently. Ropes, cut throats, broken bones and unusual deposition make sacrifice attractive. Classical writers described northern European ritual killings, and peatlands can be associated with boundaries and offerings. A dramatic explanation seems ready.

The evidence is less obedient. Trauma can establish violence without establishing ceremony. Pressure in peat, ancient decomposition, recovery damage and incomplete remains can confuse the order of injuries. Some bodies may have been executed criminals, enemies, accident victims or people denied conventional burial. Others fit ritual interpretations more

strongly. The category covers many centuries and regions rather than one institution.

Lindow Man shows the danger. His injuries support an unusual killing, but the famous formal triple death assembles damaged evidence into a liturgy the body cannot prove. Tollund Man's cord establishes hanging more securely than motive. Outsider texts can assist interpretation but cannot be pasted onto every corpse recovered centuries apart. Violence is a finding. Sacrifice is an explanation that must earn its place case by case. The myth persists because spectacular bodies reward a unified story, while peat has preserved a mixed archive. A category created by chemistry should not be mistaken for one ancient institution.

"A mummy is a body with decay stopped"

The mummy looks stable because human time is short. A visitor sees the same face on two museum trips and assumes nothing happened between them. Conservation language can reinforce the illusion by speaking of preservation as a completed act.

Organic material keeps reacting. Dried tissue oxidises and becomes brittle. Salts move as humidity changes. Light fades pigments. Insects and fungi exploit local failures. Old waxes, adhesives and consolidants age. Frozen bodies host cold-adapted organisms, and thawing or water loss changes tissue. A 2026 study of Ötzi's microbiome found ancient, glacier-derived and modern components despite purpose-built cold storage.

Good conservation slows and records these processes. It controls the environment, supports fragile parts, restricts handling and intervenes when expected benefit exceeds damage. It cannot return a body to burial condition or hold every molecule fixed. Weight, cracks, salt movement and microbial change may matter before the face looks different. A mummy is durable beside an untreated corpse, not exempt from the material world. Recording a new crack or microbial shift does not prove failure. It may show that slow change has become visible early enough to manage.

"Science settles whether the dead should be displayed"

A scan can reveal what display might teach. It cannot decide whether teaching justifies exposure. DNA may establish biological affinity or kinship. It cannot convert a contested body into the property of whoever paid for analysis.

The confusion grew from museum practice in which possession and expertise were treated as authority. Human remains became specimens expected to be available for research and public instruction. Scientific benefit is real: mummies have transformed knowledge of disease, diet, migration, embalming and violence. Claims based on dignity, religion, ancestry, acquisition history and the known wishes of the dead are real too.

Ethical guidance therefore uses principles and processes rather than one laboratory answer. Respect the remains. Consider recoverable wishes. Consult connected communities. State the research value. Keep decisions transparent and revisable. Cases can lead to display, restricted access, reburial, repatriation or continued study. Replicas, covered bodies and digital models may carry some educational work with less exposure. Evidence informs the choice. It does not own it. Great age can make individual consent unrecoverable and living kinship difficult to establish, but uncertainty does not become permission. It increases the need to explain who decides, which alternatives were considered and why this form of access is necessary.

Use It

Start with the environment

A preserved body invites a cultural story first: Egyptian priest, sacrificed bog victim, frozen traveller, mountain offering. Begin one step earlier. Ask what happened to water, temperature, oxygen, acidity, insects and microbial access. The answer establishes what kind of preservation was possible before it says why the body entered that place.

This habit corrects more than mummy stories. A dry hand does not date a death without the room around it. Dark bog skin does not report living complexion. A body from a summit cannot be understood from cold alone if people selected the height, chamber, clothing and season. Environment is not background. It is part of the mechanism and often part of the human decision.

Then widen the word. The relevant environment includes the corpse itself, its gut, wounds, clothing and coffin; the burial setting; the moment of excavation; and the museum store. Each stage changes what can happen next. A strong explanation therefore follows conditions through time rather than assigning the result to one dramatic cause. This also prevents the method from becoming a label. Two bodies called desiccated may have reached dryness through sun, airflow, smoke, salt or heated burial, and those routes leave different traces and uncertainties.

Ask what preservation removed

Survival attracts the eye. Absence carries the warning. When skin remains, ask what happened to bone, fat, water, colour, microbes and tissue position. When CT reveals a dense object, ask what materials the scan cannot separate. When hair records a chemical sequence, ask what it cannot say about motive, feeling or the rest of the life.

This is a general discipline for reading archives. What survives is rarely a miniature version of the whole. It is a selection produced by durability, power, storage, chance and later interest. Egyptian elite bodies outnumber poor bodies in museum discussion because resources affected preparation and collection. Dry regions preserve forms that humid regions may once have made and lost. Early unwrappings preserved drawings of amulets while destroying layer relationships. The record carries the process that selected it.

Do not turn absence into a free space for imagination. An absent organ may reflect embalming, decomposition, robbery or failure to detect it. The correct response is a set of bounded possibilities, not a story chosen for vividness.

Separate body from person

A corpse is material. A person is also a name, relation, status, memory, legal interest and, for many communities, continuing spiritual presence. Mummification joins these categories without making them identical. Embalmers can reconstruct a human outline after removing tissue. A mask can preserve identity when the face has changed. A museum can conserve skin while severing provenance and relationship.

This distinction clarifies ethical disputes. Destructive sampling may consume little material yet carry great meaning. Reburial may protect a person's social status while ending some forms of research. Display may educate one public and violate another community's duties. None of these outcomes is visible in a CT image because the image measures the body, not the full set of relationships around it.

The practical question is therefore double. What physical evidence is present, and what kind of personhood is being claimed or maintained? Refusing either half creates error. Treating the body only as sacred can block legitimate inquiry. Treating it only as tissue can repeat the old museum habit of turning possession into permission.

Keep context attached

A mummy without context is a powerful object and a weakened source. Burial position, clothing, offerings, wrappings, labels, sediment, insects, pollen and the sequence of layers may answer questions the body cannot. Separate them carelessly and the most photogenic evidence survives while the explanation disappears.

The nineteenth-century unrolling is an extreme case, but the same failure occurs in smaller forms. A sample tube detached from its exact location loses interpretive value. A photograph cropped around a face can hide modern repairs. A final-meal headline can omit uncertainty about contamination or how representative one meal is. A national label can erase the particular cemetery, period and treatment that make comparison valid.

Good custody preserves associations in records as well as matter in storage. Use stable identifiers. Record every intervention. Keep old photographs and conservation reports even when methods look crude. Link scans, samples and publications back to the same body. Provenance is not administrative decoration. It is the structure that lets later researchers distinguish ancient action from excavation damage and modern treatment. Context also protects against the famous-body effect: one face can dominate attention while the less complete people, tools, plants and sediments around it explain the community far better.

Prefer questions that consume less evidence

The history of mummy research moved from opening to imaging because a better question is often one that leaves the body available. Begin with existing records, photographs and scans. Use external examination before penetration. Let imaging locate a biopsy rather than using a biopsy to discover where to look. Match the amount removed to a defined claim and record enough for another team to evaluate it.

Non-destructive does not mean consequence-free. CT may require handling or transport, and repeated scanning may add little. Digital models can circulate beyond the conditions under which access was granted. Facial reconstructions can turn assumptions into a persuasive face. A method earns preference because its likely information exceeds its material and social cost, not because its name sounds harmless.

The strongest reason to conserve is methodological humility. Future techniques may answer questions that current laboratories cannot frame. Every destructive test spends part of a finite archive. Leaving tissue is therefore an active research decision, provided institutions also document and care for it rather than using the future as an excuse never to decide.

The limits

Mummies are exceptional survivors, and exceptional survivors distort a field. Dry, cold, salty and acidic environments dominate because soft tissue persists there. Wealthier or politically important burials often received more treatment and attracted more collection. A preserved body can reveal disease, craft, food, movement and injury with rare intimacy, but it cannot stand for everyone who left no comparable tissue.

The evidence also encourages false precision. A last meal is a narrow interval. Hair offers sequence only while growth, contamination and individual biology are handled carefully. Trauma can identify force more readily than intention. Molecules can identify a resin family more securely than a named species or trade route. Kinship is not ethnicity, and ancestry is not culture. More measurements do not remove the need to define the claim.

Ethics has no universal technical solution. Consultation can be incomplete. Communities may disagree. The identity of ancient remains may be uncertain, while colonial acquisition can be clear. Reburial, retention, display and research each preserve some values and close others. The honest aim is a revisable decision with visible reasons, proportionate methods and responsibility for consequences. Uncertainty should slow irreversible action more readily than it silences discussion.

The one thing to keep

Keep the controlled loss.

A mummy looks like a victory over disappearance. It is better understood as disappearance given a route. Water leaves. Enzymes fail. Microbes slow or change. Organs are removed, bone dissolves, skin tans, tissue shrinks, pigments fade and context is disturbed. Enough survives for the dead to remain recognisable, useful or socially present, but never because nothing happened.

That model changes every encounter. The Egyptian body is not an

untouched person under linen. It is a crafted form made through removal, drying, packing, resin, wrapping, naming and continuing care. The bog body is not a photograph supplied by nature. It is skin and story selected by peat. The ice mummy is not sealed outside time. It has moved through thaw, recovery, sampling and refrigerated custody. The museum is not the place where preservation ends. It is the latest environment in the chain.

Once that is visible, preservation stops meaning possession. To keep a body is to keep choosing what may be lost, what may be known and who may decide. The living do not inherit a silent object. They inherit a person altered into evidence, and responsibility for the next alteration.

Terms

Mummy. A dead human or animal body retaining soft tissue through natural conditions, deliberate treatment or both. The term describes an outcome, not one culture, wrapping style or motive. Preservation can be complete, partial or limited to one region.

Mummification. The processes by which soft tissue survives after death. These may include drying, cooling, smoking, salting, chemical tanning, embalming, sealed deposition and later conservation.

Embalming. Deliberate treatment of a corpse to delay decomposition, prepare it for burial or change its social and ritual condition. Preservation may be one aim among several. Washing, anointing, dressing and reconstruction can matter even when they add little biological durability.

Desiccation. Loss of water from tissue. Drying restricts microbial growth and molecular movement, but also shrinks, hardens and distorts the body rather than leaving it unchanged.

Autolysis. Self-digestion after cells lose energy and membrane control. Enzymes escape their normal compartments and begin breaking down tissue before outside decomposers have done most of their work.

Putrefaction. Microbial decomposition that produces tissue breakdown,

gas, odour and chemical change. Gut organisms are major participants, although temperature, moisture, oxygen and access alter the sequence.

Taphonomy. The study of what happens to remains from death through burial, discovery and analysis. It separates injuries and human treatment from decay, pressure, scavenging and excavation damage. The museum store belongs to that history too.

Diagenesis. Physical and chemical alteration after burial, including mineral exchange, dissolution and contamination. It can preserve some signals while changing the original composition that a test seeks to measure.

Adipocere. A waxy material formed when body fat changes in moist, often low-oxygen conditions. It can preserve shape and injuries while appearing in bodies that are otherwise decomposing.

Natron. Naturally deposited sodium salts gathered and used by Egyptian embalmers. It removed moisture and altered the body chemically; it was more than ordinary table salt.

Excerebration. Removal or disruption of brain tissue during embalming, often through the nasal passage in some Egyptian periods. Many mummies retain the brain, so it was never universal.

Evisceration. Removal of internal organs. Egyptian practice varied: organs might be treated separately, returned as packages, placed in containers or left inside the body.

Canopic jar. A container associated with treated viscera in Egyptian burial. Four jars and protective deities became conventional, but organ handling and container use changed across periods.

Resin. A plant exudate or related organic material used in coatings, balms and adhesives. Chemical ageing can make exact botanical identification harder than recognising a broad molecular family. Mixtures and contamination add further uncertainty.

Bitumen. A naturally occurring petroleum material sometimes used in later

embalming and once confused with medicinal mumia. Its presence, frequency and purpose varied rather than defining Egyptian practice.

Cartonnage. A mouldable material made from layers of linen or papyrus with plaster or adhesive. It formed masks, cases and body coverings that supplied a new durable surface.

Mumia. A historical medicinal substance whose meaning shifted among mineral pitch, embalming materials and powdered mummy tissue. The confusion helped turn ancient bodies into European commercial ingredients.

Anthropogenic mummy. A mummy whose preservation involved deliberate human intervention. The label does not exclude environmental help and does not prove that biological preservation was the makers' sole aim.

Natural mummy. A body preserved mainly through environmental conditions such as desert dryness, freezing, salt or peat. Deliberate placement may still be central to how it entered that environment.

Chinchorro. Prehistoric communities of the Atacama coast who developed several forms of artificial mummification, including dismantling, reinforcement, packing, remodelling, wigs and coloured surface treatments.

Atacama Desert. The hyper-arid region along northern Chile and southern Peru where extreme dryness favoured natural preservation and supported the long survival of Chinchorro bodies and cemeteries.

Capacocha. An Inca state ceremony involving selected children, journeys, offerings and deposition at sacred places, including high peaks. Cold preserved some bodies but did not create the ceremony.

Bog body. Human remains preserved in peatland, often with skin and hair surviving while bone mineral weakens. The category describes a depositional environment, not one cause of death. Violence, ritual, execution, accident and disposal require separate evidence.

Raised bog. A peatland fed mainly by rainfall rather than mineral-rich

groundwater. Its water can be acidic, nutrient-poor and low in oxygen, creating restrictive conditions for decay.

Sphagnum. A moss central to many raised bogs. It holds water, contributes to acidity and helps create chemical conditions that can tan skin and inhibit some decomposers.

Humic substances. Complex organic compounds formed as plant material decays in soil and peat. They can darken and chemically alter tissue, complicating attempts to infer original appearance.

Palynology. The study of pollen and spores. In mummy research it can reconstruct environment, season, food ingredients or burial context, though movement and contamination must be considered.

Palaeopathology. The study of disease and injury in ancient remains. Diagnosis combines anatomy, imaging, molecules and context while accounting for drying, embalming and post-mortem damage.

Radiocarbon dating. A method estimating when once-living material stopped exchanging carbon with the environment. Results require calibration and careful sample choice, especially where contamination or conservation materials are present.

Palaeoradiology. The use of X-rays, CT and related imaging to examine ancient remains. It can preserve wrappings and guide sampling, but image interpretation still depends on altered tissue and human judgement.

Go Deeper

The wide tour. Heather Pringle, *The Mummy Congress: Science, Obsession, and the Everlasting Dead* (Hyperion, 2001). Pringle moves among laboratories, museum stores, crypts, deserts and frozen bodies, showing how mummy research became a field and why preserved people attract scientists, nationalists, collectors and the merely curious. It is the most inviting next step after this book because it keeps the comparative range and the human oddity. Its scientific frontier is now twenty-five years old, so use it for people, institutions and the history of fascination rather than as the final word on DNA, imaging or conservation. Its reporting also shows how scientific priorities are made by institutions, personalities and available bodies.

Egypt in depth. Salima Ikram and Aidan Dodson, *The Mummy in Ancient Egypt: Equipping the Dead for Eternity* (Thames & Hudson, 1998). This is the large illustrated survey of Egyptian bodies, tombs, coffins, masks, canopic equipment, animal mummies and funerary practice. It is strongest when you want the variation that a standard embalming diagram suppresses and when an object needs to be restored to its ritual setting. The book predates the Saqqara workshop chemistry and much recent CT work, but its scale and command of material culture remain useful. Read it beside newer research rather than expecting every technical judgement to be current.

The constructed dead. Bernardo T. Arriaza, *Beyond Death: The Chinchorro Mummies of Ancient Chile* (Smithsonian Institution Press, 1995). Arriaza gives the clearest sustained account of Chinchorro black, red, mud-coated and simpler forms, with close attention to disassembly, reinforcement, modelling, pigments, age and cemetery context. The reward is a tradition that stops looking like a footnote to Egypt and becomes an engineering and social achievement in its own right. Terminology and chronology have continued to develop, and later work has tested some claims about mobility, arsenic and social meaning, so treat it as the foundational synthesis rather than a closed verdict.

The peat archive. Miranda Aldhouse-Green, *Bog Bodies Uncovered: Solving Europe's Ancient Mystery* (Thames & Hudson, 2015). This accessible, heavily illustrated study compares Tollund Man, Lindow Man and many less famous finds across northern Europe. It is good on deposition, violence, clothing, status, landscape and the attraction of sacrificial explanations. Aldhouse-Green takes ritual possibilities seriously, sometimes more readily than a sceptical forensic reader will. That makes the book useful twice: first for the evidence assembled around each body, then for practising the distinction this book has stressed between trauma, context and the motive inferred from them. Keep a map nearby because geography does much of the explanatory work.

Notes and Sources

Scope, definition and decomposition

This book uses mummy for a dead human or animal body in which soft tissue has survived through environmental conditions, deliberate treatment or both. The definition is outcome-based and does not require bandages, a complete body or Egyptian origin. Arthur C. Aufderheide's *The Scientific Study of Mummies* provides the main comparative framework for decomposition, desiccation, freezing, peat preservation, adipocere, palaeopathology and the effects of later investigation. Kirsty Squires, David Errickson and Nicholas Marquez-Grant's edited work on ethical approaches to human remains informed the custody discussion, alongside the institutional guidance listed below.

Autolysis and putrefaction are described as overlapping processes whose rate depends on tissue and environment rather than as a fixed universal sequence. Ann-Sofie Ceciliason, Bjorn Kall and Hakan Sandler assessed 102 indoor forensic cases with post-mortem intervals from 3 to 217 days. The manuscript uses the narrower observation that substantial or complete external desiccation can occur across a wide interval and must be interpreted with setting, clothing and body condition. It does not transfer the Swedish indoor sample to outdoor, archaeological or other climatic settings.

Preservation is described as selective loss because every route alters tissue. Drying removes water and distorts form; peat can preserve skin while demineralising bone; freezing retains some internal material while permitting pressure, movement, thaw damage and contamination. These statements concern mechanisms, not a ranking of methods by cultural sophistication.

Earliest evidence, smoke and the problem of firsts

Hsiao-Chun Hung and colleagues analysed 54 pre-Neolithic burials from 11 sites in southern China and Southeast Asia. Their 2025 PNAS article combines burial posture, X-ray diffraction, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and comparison with known smoke-drying traditions. The authors interpret low-temperature heat alteration in selected bones, together with tightly flexed postures, as evidence for prolonged smoke-drying in a humid region. Some burials are more than ten thousand years old.

The manuscript keeps the inference at the level the evidence supports. Soft tissue, smoking racks and preserved installations do not survive. Heat alteration does not by itself identify one duration, apparatus or ritual, and the sampled sites cover a large area and long period. The study supplies the earliest published analytical evidence consistent with smoke-dried mummification; it does not establish one continuous regional institution or render every flexed burial a mummy.

The distinction among earliest naturally preserved body, earliest evidence consistent with deliberate drying and earliest extensive surviving tradition is maintained throughout. That prevents the dating of one form from displacing a different claim about another.

The Chinchorro

The UNESCO World Heritage property *Settlement and Artificial Mummification of the Chinchorro Culture in the Arica and Parinacota Region* supplies the broad chronology and landscape context. UNESCO dates the relevant Chinchorro tradition approximately from 5450 BCE to 890 BCE and recognises the sites for early complex mummification among marine hunter-gatherers on the Atacama coast.

Bernardo Arriaza's work is the principal guide to black, red and mud-coated forms, anatomical reconstruction, pigments, supports, repairs and changing treatment. His 2026 Cambridge Archaeological Journal article, written with Vivien Standen and Calogero Santoro, develops an artistic and grief-centred interpretation. The body evidence directly establishes disassembly, cleaning, reinforcement, packing, skin replacement, modelling and surface treatment. It supports continuing attention to dead people beyond rapid disposal.

Grief, personhood, household presence and duration of display remain interpretations. The manuscript therefore says that community-wide inclusion, intensive work on infants, repair and portability make continuing relations and grief plausible. It does not invent domestic scenes, treat every mummy as displayed for the same period or claim one motive across several millennia.

The Chinchorro are called marine hunter-gatherers rather than a kingdom or ranked mortuary state. The inclusion of adult men and women, children and infants is used to reject a simple royal or elite monopoly, not to claim complete equality in treatment or one uniform social position.

Egypt before and after the standard diagram

Jana Jones and colleagues analysed directly dated funerary wrappings and associated materials from Badarian and Predynastic burials at Mostagedda. Their 2014 PLOS ONE article identified a complex embalming mixture in materials dated broadly to about 4500 to 3350 BCE. The components included a fat or oil base, conifer resin, aromatic plant material, gum or sugar and a petroleum contribution. The manuscript uses this to establish deliberate biochemical treatment before the familiar dynastic sequence, not to claim that later mummification already existed in complete form.

Salima Ikram's *Death and Burial in Ancient Egypt* and Aufderheide supply the long development of evisceration, desiccation, packing, anointing and wrapping. Herodotus, *Histories* 2.86-90, is retained as an important fifth-century BCE outsider account of embalmers offering graded methods. It

is not treated as a timeless national manual.

Stephanie Zesch and colleagues applied CT to 21 Egyptian child mummies held in European collections. Their 2024 PLOS ONE study found varied age, sex, treatment and preservation patterns. The sample is small, geographically mixed and shaped by collecting, so it is used to demonstrate variation rather than estimate national frequencies. The text does not compare treatment percentages as though each period and museum sample shared one denominator.

Maxime Rageot and colleagues analysed 31 vessels from a 26th Dynasty embalming workshop at Saqqara. Labels linked some vessels to substances, tasks or body regions, while biomolecular analysis identified mixtures involving conifer products, fats or oils, beeswax, bitumen and other plant products. Some molecular signatures indicate materials from beyond Egypt and the Mediterranean. Exact botanical source and route are less secure for several compounds than the conclusion that specialist mixtures and long-distance exchange were involved. The manuscript therefore avoids converting a molecular match into one named plant, port or merchant chain.

The workshop dates to the first millennium BCE. It is used to show organisation, labelled stock and differentiated applications in one place, not to reconstruct all Egyptian embalming over three thousand years. Statements about hearts, brains, viscera, canopic containers and organ packages are expressed as common or variable practices rather than rules.

Salima Ikram's edited *Divine Creatures* and Salima Ikram, Lidija McKnight and colleagues' imaging research inform the short discussion of animal mummies. Complete animals, selected elements and packages with little identifiable tissue are documented. An incomplete bundle is not classified as fraud without contextual evidence because votive meaning, supply, representation and later disturbance can produce the same appearance.

Ice, high altitude and salt

The South Tyrol Archaeology Museum and the Institute for Mummy Studies provide the basic chronology, equipment, tattoos, conservation setting and history of Ötzi's investigation. The manuscript gives 61 tattoos and keeps their therapeutic interpretation tentative. Location near joints and degenerative change is suggestive; it does not preserve intention.

Jochen Weber, Joachim Wahl, Marco Samadelli and Albert Zink reassessed Ötzi's injuries from a clinical perspective in 2025. They accept the shoulder arrow wound while questioning several stronger reconstructions. Their analysis argues that major external blood loss was unlikely and that survival for several hours was possible. The final manuscript removes the inherited claim that imaging established fatal blood loss. It states that the wound was serious and the precise lethal sequence remains unresolved.

Andrew Wilson and colleagues combined archaeology, radiology, stable-isotope work and segmented hair chemistry for the three Llullaillaco children. The 2013 PNAS study found changes in diet and escalating exposure to coca and alcohol before death, especially in the older girl. Hair supplies a sequence of exposure over growth; it does not record willingness, subjective experience or one standard for every capacocha ceremony. Broader Inca political and religious history remains with *The Incas in a Hurry*.

The Iranian Salt Men are included as examples of salt preservation and workplace death across more than one period. No single mine collapse or date is assigned to the whole group. The point is the interaction among mining, accidental deposition, salt, clothing and tool preservation. Lena Maria Öhrström and colleagues' radiological and histological study supplies the direct evidence for preserved soft tissues and occupational context at Chehrabad.

Brian Bauer and Antonio Coello Rodríguez provide the documentary and archaeological history of the Inca royal mummies' removal from Cusco and transfer to Lima. Spanish colonial accounts are treated as interested records

produced during religious and political suppression, not transparent descriptions of pre-conquest practice. The manuscript uses them to establish the broader institution and the campaign against it while keeping individual ceremonies and later fate uncertain.

Mohamed Sarhan, Marco Samadelli, Albert Zink and Frank Maixner published the newest important current source used in the book on 3 June 2026. Their *Microbiome* article distinguishes microbial signals associated with Ötzi's body, the glacier and modern conservation, including cold-adapted yeasts on external surfaces. The manuscript uses the result only to show that refrigerated storage is an active ecology. It does not infer rapid visible decay, clinical infection or failure of the conservation system.

Peat, Tollund Man and Lindow Man

Niels Lynnerup's specialist review, Miranda Aldhouse-Green, Museum Silkeborg and the British Museum provide the comparative, institutional and case material for bog bodies. The mechanism combines rapid submersion in a suitable peat setting with cold, acidity, restricted oxygen and sphagnum-related chemistry. Results vary with bog type, season, depth, water movement and later disturbance. Acidic preservation can darken skin and hair and remove bone mineral, so visible similarity is not treated as shared ancestry, complexion or cause of death.

Nina Helt Nielsen and colleagues reanalysed Tollund Man's gut contents in 2021. They identified a porridge containing barley, pale persicaria and flax, evidence of threshing waste and probable fish. The meal is dated to roughly 12 to 24 hours before death from digestive context. Proposed meanings, including scarcity, custom or ritual preparation, remain alternatives. The ingredients do not by themselves establish a ceremonial last meal.

Tollund Man's face, cap and cord are securely documented. The manner of death is described as hanging or strangulation rather than supplied with one ritual motive. The displayed head contains original preserved tissue; much of the body shown with it is a later reconstruction following the limits of early conservation. Museum treatment is part of the object's history and is made

visible rather than hidden behind a complete-looking figure.

For Lindow Man, the British Museum records the radiocarbon range and multiple injuries. Violent death is secure. The ordered sequence and interpretation as a formal triple death are less secure because peat pressure, tissue change, recovery and competing reconstructions affect the lesions. The manuscript does not deny ritual killing in Iron Age Europe. It rejects using the bog category as proof of one rite. This preserves the boundary with *The Celts in a Hurry*, which owns the broader treatment of Celtic identity and ritual evidence.

Mummy science, unwrapping and the curse

Warren Dawson's historical study of *mumia* supports the distinction among mineral pitch, embalming substances and powdered human tissue as the medicinal term changed. Gabriel Moshenska's study of nineteenth-century British unrollings supplies the account of Thomas Pettigrew, venues, audiences and the mixed status of the events as anatomical inquiry, antiquarian performance and spectacle. The text avoids the easy label mummy party as a complete explanation. It also states the irreversible evidential loss created when linen layers, residues, repairs and object positions were separated without modern recording.

Radiography, CT, microscopy, stable isotopes, residue chemistry, proteins, DNA and microbiome methods answer different questions. CT measures X-ray attenuation and does not automatically identify every dried tissue or disease. Molecular methods require authentication, contamination control and a claim matched to the sampled tissue. A failed result is not evidence that the person lacked the ancestry, pathogen or diet being tested. Palaeoradiology and sampling are described as less destructive routes, not harmless ones.

Mark Nelson's 2002 BMJ historical cohort study supplies the statistical test of Tutankhamun's curse. Nelson identified 44 Westerners in Egypt around the relevant opening period, classified 25 as potentially exposed and found no significant survival difference associated with exposure. The analysis is

small and retrospective, but it directly tests the claimed excess mortality more reliably than lists assembled after deaths were known.

Roger Luckhurst's *The Mummy's Curse* supplies the longer history of curse fiction, press culture and the narrative already available when Lord Carnarvon died in 1923. The manuscript separates ordinary occupational hazards in enclosed archaeological settings from a supernatural mortality pattern. It does not claim that the cohort study proves all tomb environments safe.

Custody, display and human remains

The World Archaeological Congress adopted the Vermillion Accord on Human Remains in 1989. It calls for respect for mortal remains, the wishes of the dead where knowable, local communities, relatives or guardians, scientific value and negotiated disposition. The United Kingdom Department for Culture, Media and Sport's 2005 *Guidance for the Care of Human Remains in Museums* provides a case-based framework for care, claims, consultation, research and display.

These documents are principles and guidance rather than one global rule. Legal ownership, cultural authority and repatriation regimes differ by jurisdiction. The manuscript therefore does not promise that consultation produces agreement or that age cancels personhood. It treats destructive sampling, imaging, open display, restricted access, reburial and return as choices with different evidential and social consequences.

Every apparently factual scene in the manuscript is documented: the 1991 discovery of Ötzi, the 1950 discovery of Tollund Man, the 1984 recovery of Lindow Man, the Saqqara vessels and nineteenth-century unrollings. No composite person, invented dialogue, private thought or unlabelled reconstruction is presented as fact. Suggested motives are marked as interpretations.

Go Deeper verification

Heather Pringle's *The Mummy Congress* was published by Hyperion in 2001. Salima Ikram and Aidan Dodson's *The Mummy in Ancient Egypt: Equipping the Dead for Eternity* was published by Thames & Hudson in 1998. Bernardo T. Arriaza's *Beyond Death: The Chinchorro Mummies of Ancient Chile* was published by Smithsonian Institution Press in 1995. Miranda Aldhouse-Green's *Bog Bodies Uncovered* was published by Thames & Hudson in 2015. All four works were verified as real, correctly attributed and materially relevant.

Current and high-risk claims were rechecked on 4 September 2026. Publication date, observation period and dataset vintage are stated separately where they could be confused. The newest source materially changing the manuscript is Sarhan and colleagues' June 2026 microbiome study; the newest source materially changing a famous historical reconstruction is Weber and colleagues' November 2025 reassessment of Ötzi's injuries.

Bibliography

Primary and original research

Arriaza, Bernardo T., Vivien G. Standen and Calogero M. Santoro. "The Artistic Nature of the Chinchorro Mummies and the Archaeology of Grief." *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 36, no. 1 (2026): 117-134.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S095977432510022X>.

Bauer, Brian S., and Antonio Coello Rodríguez. *The Hospital of San Andrés (Lima, Peru) and the Search for the Royal Mummies of the Incas*. Fieldiana Anthropology, new series 39. Chicago: Field Museum of Natural History, 2007. [https://doi.org/10.3158/0071-4739\(2007\)188\[1:THOSAL\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3158/0071-4739(2007)188[1:THOSAL]2.0.CO;2).

Ceciliason, Ann-Sofie, Bjorn Kall and Hakan Sandler. "Mummification in a Forensic Context: An Observational Study of Taphonomic Changes and the Post-mortem Interval in an Indoor Setting." *International Journal of Legal*

Medicine 137, no. 4 (2023): 1077-1088.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-023-02986-3>.

Dawson, Warren R. "Mummy as a Drug." *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine* 21, no. 1 (1927): 34-39.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/003591572702100102>.

Hung, Hsiao-Chun, Zhenhua Deng, Yiheng Liu, Zhiyu Ran, Yue Zhang, Zhen Li, Yousuke Kaifu, Qiang Huang, Khanh Trung Kien Nguyen, Hai Dang Le, Guangmao Xie, Anh Tuan Nguyen, Mariko Yamagata, Truman Simanjuntak, Sofwan Noerwidi, Mohammad Ruly Fauzi, Marlin Tolla, Alpius Wetipo, Gang He, Junmei Sawada, Chi Zhang, Peter Bellwood and Hirofumi Matsumura. "Earliest Evidence of Smoke-dried Mummification: More than 10,000 Years Ago in Southern China and Southeast Asia." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 122, no. 38 (2025): e2515103122.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2515103122>.

Jones, Jana, Thomas F. G. Higham, Ron Oldfield, Terry P. O'Connor and Stephen A. Buckley. "Evidence for Prehistoric Origins of Egyptian Mummification in Late Neolithic Burials." *PLOS ONE* 9, no. 8 (2014): e103608. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103608>.

Lynnerup, Niels. "Bog Bodies." *The Anatomical Record* 298, no. 6 (2015): 1007-1012. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23138>.

McKnight, Lidija M., Stephanie Atherton-Woolham and Joanne E. Adams. "Imaging of Ancient Egyptian Animal Mummies." *RadioGraphics* 35, no. 7 (2015): 2108-2120. <https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2015140309>.

Nelson, Mark R. "The Mummy's Curse: Historical Cohort Study." *BMJ* 325, no. 7378 (2002): 1482-1484. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7378.1482>.

Nielsen, Nina Helt, Peter Steen Henriksen, Morten Fischer Mortensen, Renee Enevold, Martin N. Mortensen, Carsten Scavenius and Jan J. Enghild. "The Last Meal of Tollund Man: New Analyses of His Gut Content." *Antiquity* 95, no. 383 (2021): 1195-1212. <https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2021.98>.

Öhrström, Lena Maria, Herman Marquez, Roger Seiler, Beata Bode, Abolfazl Aali, Thomas Stöllner and Frank J. Rühli. "Radiological and Histological Findings in Ancient Salt Mummies from the Salt Mine of Douzlākh, Iran." *PLOS ONE* 16, no. 4 (2021): e0250745.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250745>.

Rageot, Maxime, Ramadan B. Hussein, Susanne Beck, Victoria Altmann-Wendling, Mohammed I. M. Ibrahim, Mahmoud M. Bahgat, Ahmed M. Yousef, Katja Mittelstaedt, Jean-Jacques Filippi, Stephen Buckley, Cynthia Spiteri and Philipp W. Stockhammer. "Biomolecular Analyses Enable New Insights into Ancient Egyptian Embalming." *Nature* 614, no. 7947 (2023): 287-293. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05663-4>.

Sarhan, Mohamed S., Marco Samadelli, Albert Zink and Frank Maixner. "The Iceman's Microbiome: Unveiling Millennia of Microbial Diversity and Continuity." *Microbiome* 14 (2026): 135.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-026-02417-6>.

Weber, Jochen, Joachim Wahl, Marco Samadelli and Albert Zink. "New Insights on Ötzi's Injuries from a Clinical Perspective." *Scientific Reports* 15 (2025): 42555. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-27969-9>.

Wilson, Andrew S., Emma L. Brown, Chiara Villa, Niels Lynnerup, Andrew Healey, Maria Constanza Ceruti, Johan Reinhard, Carlos H. Previgliano, Facundo A. Araoz, Josefina Gonzalez Diez and Timothy Taylor. "Archaeological, Radiological, and Biological Evidence Offer Insight into Inca Child Sacrifice." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110, no. 33 (2013): 13322-13327. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305117110>.

Zesch, Stephanie, Stephanie Panzer, Alice Paladin, M. Linda Sutherland, Susanne Lindauer, Ronny Friedrich, Tanja Pommerening, Albert Zink and Wilfried Rosendahl. "The Multifaceted Nature of Egyptian Mummification: Paleoradiological Insights into Child Mummies." *PLOS ONE* 19, no. 12 (2024): e0316018. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0316018>.

Primary text

Herodotus. *The Histories*. Translated by Robin Waterfield. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.

Modern scholarship

Aldhouse-Green, Miranda. *Bog Bodies Uncovered: Solving Europe's Ancient Mystery*. London: Thames & Hudson, 2015.

Arriaza, Bernardo T. *Beyond Death: The Chinchorro Mummies of Ancient Chile*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1995.

Aufderheide, Arthur C. *The Scientific Study of Mummies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Ikram, Salima. *Death and Burial in Ancient Egypt*. Harlow: Longman, 2003.

Ikram, Salima, and Aidan Dodson. *The Mummy in Ancient Egypt: Equipping the Dead for Eternity*. London: Thames & Hudson, 1998.

Ikram, Salima, ed. *Divine Creatures: Animal Mummies in Ancient Egypt*. Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, 2005.

Luckhurst, Roger. *The Mummy's Curse: The True History of a Dark Fantasy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

Moshenska, Gabriel. "Unrolling Egyptian Mummies in Nineteenth-century Britain." *The British Journal for the History of Science* 47, no. 3 (2014): 451-477. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007087413000423>.

Nystrom, Kenneth C. *The Bioarchaeology of Mummies*. New York: Routledge, 2019.

Pringle, Heather. *The Mummy Congress: Science, Obsession, and the Everlasting Dead*. New York: Hyperion, 2001.

Squires, Kirsty, David Errickson and Nicholas Marquez-Grant, eds. *Ethical Approaches to Human Remains: A Global Challenge in Bioarchaeology and Forensic Anthropology*. Cham: Springer, 2019.

Institutional and professional guidance

British Museum. "Lindow Man." Collection and research material. Accessed 4 September 2026.

Department for Culture, Media and Sport. *Guidance for the Care of Human Remains in Museums*. London: DCMS, 2005.

Museum Silkeborg. "Tollund Man." Research, conservation and display material. Accessed 4 September 2026.

South Tyrol Archaeology Museum. "Ötzi the Iceman." Discovery, research and conservation material. Accessed 4 September 2026.

UNESCO World Heritage Centre. "Settlement and Artificial Mummification of the Chinchorro Culture in the Arica and Parinacota Region." World Heritage List, property 1634. Accessed 4 September 2026.

World Archaeological Congress. "The Vermillion Accord on Human Remains." Adopted 1989. Accessed 4 September 2026.