

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Mozart

in a Hurry

More music than a lifetime should hold

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 6

The Core Ideas 8

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 36

Terms 40

Go Deeper 46

Notes and Sources 48

Bibliography 55

The Whole Thing in One Page

Mozart arrives in popular memory already finished: a powdered child at a keyboard, hearing complete works in his head and taking dictation from heaven. It is an image built from true astonishment and false explanation. Wolfgang Amadé Mozart could perform, improvise and compose at an age when most children are still learning to read. He also spent his childhood inside an unusually concentrated family system of musical training. His father taught him, his sister practised beside him, courts supplied audiences, travel supplied styles, and repeated public tests turned fluency into survival.

He was born in Salzburg in 1756 and spent more than ten years of his short life travelling. London brought Johann Christian Bach and the public concert. Italy immersed him in opera, counterpoint and the professional habits of theatre. Mannheim exposed him to an unusually disciplined orchestra. Paris brought fashion, professional failure and bereavement. No place owned a sealed national style. Courts, churches, theatres and players gave him overlapping practices to compare. By adulthood he could move among musical languages and combine them without flattening their differences. The range matters more than the headline total. A dance, a church movement, a quartet and a stage finale ask different questions, and Mozart learnt to answer each without making them sound like the same work in different clothes. The abundance came from conversion: each new setting became material, each demand a test of what music could carry.

That freedom did not remove labour. Mozart sketched, rejected openings, altered arias for particular singers, revised scenes during rehearsal and distinguished between music being composed and being written down. His speed came from preparation so deep that choice could happen quickly. Ease was the sound of command, not evidence that no work had occurred.

His most revealing strength was dramatic: he made formal expectation register as agency. This is a listening model, not a claim that Mozart invented musical character or that instruments possess minds. In a

concerto, the piano can sound like an individual negotiating with a crowd. In a quartet, four players can acquire distinct initiative. In opera, social rank, desire and self-deception can remain audible at once. The music does not merely decorate people. It organises their conflicting actions. That is why the mature operas sit at the centre of the life even though the catalogue ranges from dances and teaching pieces to masses, symphonies, concertos and chamber music.

Vienna offered him a dangerous bargain. He left secure court service and built a mixed career from performance, subscriptions, pupils, publication, commissions and patronage. For several years it worked strongly. Then fashions shifted, war tightened the market, his concert income fell and borrowing became visible. He was neither a neglected pauper nor a prudent man ruined by one villain. He was an independent musician in a market that could reward brilliance without guaranteeing stability.

He died in 1791 at thirty-five, leaving the Requiem unfinished. His widow, publishers, performers, biographers and later cataloguers helped turn a working composer into a timeless miracle. That afterlife selected the child, the death and the numbered masterpieces, then pushed daily professional calculation out of view. The miracle is real. The explanation is training, travel, judgement, craft and a rare ability to make formal design register as human action.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

In the finale of *The Marriage of Figaro*, one character enters a room, then another, then another. Each arrival changes what everybody knows. Accusations are made, doors open, disguises fail and social rank begins to wobble. The music expands with the confusion, yet the people do not dissolve into noise. Each retains a private rhythm, motive and emotional temperature. By the time the scene has gathered a crowd, Mozart is managing several simultaneous minds with the control of a mathematician and the appetite of a dramatist.

You do not need to read music to hear the achievement. Follow who starts a phrase, who interrupts it, who agrees too quickly, who repeats somebody else's idea and who cannot stop the cadence from moving towards an exposure. The score lets action, reaction and social pressure remain audible at once, even when the words overlap. Listen once for plot and again for alignment. On the second hearing, notice when two characters sing together while meaning opposite things. Mozart can make agreement of notes expose disagreement of minds.

That is the first reason Mozart matters. His music offers a particularly clear way to hear form as agency. The metaphor is disciplined by audible events: entries, interruptions, imitation, harmonic delay and orchestral response let a listener track actions through time. A melody can sound insistent. An orchestra can answer, resist or absorb it. A key can register as home, distance or postponed return. Mozart did not invent these possibilities, but in his mature work they become unusually legible. The pleasure is immediate, while the relations reward attention for decades.

The second reason is that his life corrects a damaging idea about talent. Mozart's fluency was extreme, and no account of training can explain it away. Yet the familiar story treats preparation as an insult to genius. It deletes Nannerl, reduces Leopold to a bullying manager, turns years of travel into sightseeing and mistakes a clean final score for a work that arrived without revision. That model flatters spectators because it makes

mastery mysterious. It is also useless. Mozart becomes more impressive when the labour is restored, because then the achievement has a shape. You can distinguish the rare capacity from the repeatable conditions around it: close teaching, hard public tests, wide exposure, frequent performance and work adjusted to particular performers and audiences.

The third reason is economic. His move to Vienna was an experiment in artistic independence before a stable modern concert profession existed. He sold performances, lessons, subscriptions, manuscripts, publication rights, commissions and access to himself. The model could produce a remarkable income and still fail to create security. The distinction remains current: demand, revenue and protection are separate achievements. Mozart often had demand and revenue. He never secured enough permanent income or institutional protection to make his position reliably secure.

The fourth reason is pleasure with increasing returns. A first hearing can give melody, pace and colour. Later hearings reveal whose material has been borrowed, which return has been altered and how a comic detail prepares a serious consequence. The music rewards knowledge without requiring it as an entrance fee. That combination explains why Mozart can accompany childhood, formal study, theatre and old age without becoming the same experience each time.

There are limits. Mozart was formed by eighteenth-century courts, servants, hierarchies and assumptions that are not ours. His surviving letters can be coarse, vain, affectionate, frightened and manipulative. The music does not prove that beauty makes its maker wise. Nor does loving the work require pretending that every page is equally inspired.

What it offers is better than sainthood. Listen closely and you hear intelligence under pressure: a trained mind taking inherited forms, practical constraints and other people's abilities, then finding room for conflicting action inside conventions that initially seem complete.

The Core Ideas

The Prodigy Was a Training System

The first surviving image of Mozart's education is not Wolfgang alone. It is a notebook begun for his sister. Leopold Mozart started the volume in 1759 to teach eight-year-old Maria Anna, known as Nannerl, keyboard pieces of increasing difficulty. Wolfgang, five years younger, listened, copied and began using the same book. His earliest preserved compositions appear among the exercises. The origin story therefore contains three people before it contains a genius: a skilled teacher, an advanced older child and a younger child learning inside their shared practice.

Leopold was unusually well equipped for the role. A violinist in the Salzburg court orchestra, he composed, copied, taught and published a respected violin treatise in Wolfgang's birth year. He understood staged instruction and presentation. When the children's ability became undeniable, he turned family travel into demonstrations before courts, musicians and paying audiences.

The demonstrations were theatrical. Reports include sight-reading, improvisation, transposition, note identification, accompaniment and playing with the keyboard covered. Such tests made good publicity, but they also imposed a severe curriculum. Repeated response to unfamiliar material develops more than finger speed. He learns to hear structures before playing them, to recover from surprise, to read a room and to convert knowledge into performance under scrutiny.

Wolfgang's aptitude was exceptional. Training explains the environment in which it grew, not the difference between him and every other well-taught child. Nannerl was an admired keyboard performer and may have composed, though no securely attributed work by her survives. She did not receive the same adult career. Gender, marriage and the available social roles narrowed her path while Wolfgang's widened. The comparison stops the family story becoming a laboratory in which talent alone determines the outcome.

There was a cost. Childhood became public work. Long journeys brought illness, discomfort and dependence on hosts. Letters show Leopold calculating routes, gifts, fees, introductions and reputational effects. The family enterprise mixed affection, education, ambition and income so closely that they cannot be separated cleanly. It would be too neat to call Leopold either a selfless teacher or an exploiter. He was both father and manager, roles that remained inseparable in the family enterprise.

The lasting consequence was an identity. Mozart learnt early that music was something one made for people in a particular room, under conditions one did not control. The prodigy was not merely a small composer. He was a performer-composer trained to turn constraints into evidence of command. Later, when singers demanded changes, patrons delayed payment or an audience needed winning, he was using the same capacity in adult form.

The system treated composition as one demonstration among several. A new piece proved invention, while transposition proved grasp, accompaniment proved attention and improvisation proved control of time. That broad definition of musicianship survived into Vienna. Mozart did not divide the composer from the player as later concert culture often does. He wrote with the memory of hands, breath, rehearsal and audience response inside the page.

Europe Was His Conservatoire

Salzburg gave Mozart foundations. Travel supplied alternatives. He did not attend a conservatoire. His classrooms were courts, churches, theatres, concert rooms, publishers' shops and the homes of musicians. Leopold moved his children through that network. Mozart spent more than ten years of his thirty-five-year life on journeys, an amount of travel that made comparison a daily habit rather than a later theory.

In London, the boy met Johann Christian Bach, the youngest surviving son of Johann Sebastian. J. C. Bach wrote in a clear, singing, cosmopolitan style and built a career around public concerts rather than one permanent court. He performed with Wolfgang and became an important model for both

musical grace and professional possibility. The lesson was not that one city possessed the answer. It was that style could travel.

Italy supplied a different education. Between 1769 and 1773 Mozart made three Italian journeys with Leopold. He heard opera in the culture that treated it as a central public art, studied counterpoint, met leading musicians and wrote operas for Milan. At fourteen he underwent examination at Bologna's Accademia Filarmonica. Stories later made the test another feat of effortless wonder. The examination tested a codified tradition he had been studying, and passing did not end his work with Martini. Fluency did not end apprenticeship.

Mannheim, reached during the job-seeking journey of 1777, exposed Mozart to an orchestra famous for disciplined attack, dynamic contrast and ambitious wind writing. It showed what coordinated players could do when the ensemble carried expressive action rather than supplying background. Mozart formed close ties with its musicians and wrote for their strengths. Paris, by contrast, taught the hard edge of the market. He tried to secure work, adapted to local taste, struggled with patrons and lost his mother to illness in July 1778. Travel was exposure in both senses: access to practices and vulnerability to circumstances.

The result was not a bag of national effects. Italian, German and French practices overlapped, and Mannheim was an institution rather than a sealed style. What Mozart gained were practical alternatives: vocal line shaped by Italian theatre, contrapuntal independence from learned and church traditions, orchestral colour sharpened in Parisian public music, and ensemble discipline heard at Mannheim. Dance, comic theatre, serious opera, sacred writing and instrumental argument remained distinct enough to be recognisable, yet available for combination.

This matters because the mature music often depends on a clash of social and musical codes. A noble character may sing with comic impatience. A servant may receive music of unusual dignity. Learned counterpoint may enter a scene of popular theatre. A concerto can alternate public brilliance with private hesitation. The movement between styles is not indecision. It is

the means by which the music reveals that one person or situation contains more than its assigned category.

Europe did not hand Mozart a universal style. It taught him that no style was universal. He became difficult to contain because he could hear conventions from inside and outside at once. This was more than cosmopolitan taste. It gave him alternatives under pressure. When one convention could not carry the dramatic or formal problem, another tradition supplied a route without requiring him to abandon the first.

Travel also trained judgement about difference within excellence. One city rewarded learned writing, another vocal brilliance, orchestral discipline or fashionable clarity. Mozart could admire without surrendering his ear. His letters contain sharp, sometimes unfair assessments because he was constantly comparing craft under live conditions. The habit could make him arrogant, but it also stopped reputation becoming proof.

Fluency Is Not Effortlessness

The clean manuscript page has caused trouble. Mozart's surviving fair copies often look remarkably assured, with long passages written in a steady hand and fewer visible alterations than one expects from a composer producing such intricate music. Add his documented ability to improvise and his speed under deadline, and the legend becomes tempting: complete works appeared in his mind and he merely copied them down.

The evidence gives a more interesting picture. Sketches, drafts, abandoned beginnings and revised passages survive. Some works have little preparatory material, others a great deal. The finale of the Piano Concerto in A major, K. 488, was approached through several discarded starts before Mozart found the movement he wanted. Operas changed while being prepared because singers, staging and dramatic pace changed the problem. In a letter during *Idomeneo*, he distinguished music being composed from music not yet written down. That does not mean a finished score existed in perfect inner sound. It means planning could precede inscription, sometimes in detail, while the final written object still required

decisions.

His methods varied with the task. A short dance for immediate use did not demand the same process as a quartet, a concerto or an opera finale. He could sketch a principal line, a bass, a transition or a contrapuntal problem, then complete the inner parts later. At other times he wrote directly into full score. The exceptional cases are informative because they are exceptional. A feat of memory or direct part-writing tells us what he could do when circumstances required it, not how every work came into being.

Improvisation was central. As a keyboard performer, Mozart could invent preludes, variations, cadenzas and extended fantasies in public. That skill encouraged rapid local invention and a strong sense of large-scale movement. It also means the written work preserves only part of the musician. In some concertos the solo part leaves space for embellishment or does not record every detail Mozart supplied in performance. The score is a design for an event, not always a transcript of everything heard.

From 1784 he kept a thematic catalogue, entering dates, descriptions and opening musical phrases. The book is a working professional's record, though it does not include every composition or every stage of composition. It shows a man managing output, identity and chronology while performing, teaching and arranging concerts. The pages reveal deliberate self-recording rather than passive inspiration.

Part of Mozart's speed came from compression of earlier labour. Years of ear training helped him hold relationships in mind. Travel had stocked him with patterns. Performance had taught him what could be heard in real time. Counterpoint had trained independent lines. Opera had trained consequence: if a singer must breathe, move, reveal or interrupt, the music has a practical decision to make.

Calling this effort does not domesticate the talent. Most trained musicians cannot do what Mozart did. The correction is narrower. Genius did not abolish process. It made a demanding process unusually fast, flexible and fertile.

Nor did process always end in completion. The catalogue contains fragments, abandoned movements and works whose intended use is uncertain. That residue matters. A mind supposedly taking perfect dictation should not need false starts. A working composer does. The failures show selection: Mozart generated possibilities, judged them against a purpose and sometimes left the problem unsolved. The unfinished material is evidence of standards as much as interruption.

Form Made Agency Audible

A newcomer often hears classical form as architecture: exposition, development, recapitulation; theme, contrast, return. In Mozart, a listener can also hear it as action. A musical idea enters with a claim, another answers, and a return can register as reconciliation, disguise or defeat because of what has happened in between. This is a listening model rather than a claim that notes possess minds. The forms remain intelligible, but their events carry consequences.

Take the piano concerto. The eighteenth-century genre places a soloist against and within an orchestra. The arrangement poses a social question: is the individual rival, leader, guest, ornament or member of the group? Mozart's mature concertos refuse one answer. The orchestra may announce material before the piano enters, as though the public world has established the terms. The piano can then agree, decorate, redirect, withhold or introduce something private. Later the two exchange roles. The soloist needs the orchestra to define the field, while the orchestra begins to sound different after the soloist has spoken.

The cadenzas make the relationship explicit. Near the end of a movement, the orchestra pauses and the soloist occupies the space alone, traditionally improvising from the material heard so far. The display is brilliant, but it must return to the shared cadence. Freedom is granted inside a form that requires re-entry. Mozart's concertos make that bargain audible.

Orchestration changes the negotiation. Winds form smaller alliances, answer the piano and carry colours the strings cannot absorb. The soloist

may seem to persuade one group before confronting the full ensemble. A concerto contains several publics, not one block called orchestra.

Chamber music turns the same problem inward. In a string quartet, four players share a room and no conductor is needed to make hierarchy audible. Many eighteenth-century quartets placed the main melodic burden on the first violin while lower parts supported. Mozart's mature quartets give the other instruments more initiative. A viola can alter the argument. A cello can begin rather than confirm. Counterpoint allows independence, but the pleasure comes from hearing independence become relation.

His six quartets dedicated to Joseph Haydn show the labour behind that equality. Mozart studied Haydn's recent works, confronted their compression and wit, then answered over several years. Leopold reported Haydn praising Wolfgang's knowledge and taste. The value lies less in the trophy than in recognition by the composer whose work had set the problem.

Harmonic motion gives those actions consequence. Mozart can make a familiar key feel secure, then admit one foreign note that changes the light. Chromatic movement does not always announce tragedy. It can suggest doubt, curiosity, seduction or an option that the established route did not seem to allow. In the slow introduction to the quartet later nicknamed the *Dissonance*, the lines seem to search for the key that the listener expects to be obvious. When clarity arrives, it carries memory of the uncertainty.

This is why the music can sound balanced without being emotionally simple. Surface proportion creates a world in which deviation can register. The cleaner the expectation, the more expressive a small refusal becomes. Mozart's art is often described as perfect form. The stronger description is responsive form: design that changes because its musical inhabitants have acted inside it.

The same principle explains his humour. A joke often depends on a phrase arriving too early, staying too long, turning grand at the wrong moment or being answered by an instrument that should have remained subordinate.

The listener laughs because the convention is understood before it is bent. Wit is therefore structural knowledge shared between composer, performer and audience, not a comic label pasted onto a tune.

The familiar works show how many emotional worlds that method could sustain. *Eine kleine Nachtmusik* turns serenade clarity into concentrated pleasure, though its original occasion is unknown. The G minor Symphony, K. 550, makes agitation and elegance coexist without one cancelling the other. The finale of the C major Symphony, K. 551, later called the *Jupiter*, brings several themes into contrapuntal combination without reducing the movement to an exercise. Accessibility and density are not opposite achievements here. The surface invites the listener in, then the relations keep multiplying.

Opera Was the Centre of Gravity

Mozart wrote across the major genres of his time, and many listeners meet him first through a symphony, serenade or concerto. Yet opera is where the life draws together. It uses his command of voice, orchestra, timing, social convention, instrumental form and human contradiction in one place. He repeatedly sought theatrical opportunities even when they were difficult to secure, because the stage gave his musical intelligence its widest field.

The early operas show apprenticeship inside existing systems. Italian serious opera often organised noble characters through recitative and display arias. Comic opera generally moved faster and admitted lower social types. German Singspiel combined songs with spoken dialogue. Mozart did not invent these forms. He learnt their rules, wrote for available singers and discovered where the rules could be stretched.

An opera did not emerge from one desk. A librettist shaped action and verse; singers brought range, breath, timbre and bargaining power; an impresario, censor, orchestra, copyists and stage staff helped determine what could be rehearsed and performed. Mozart could press these collaborators hard, but their capacities entered the score. His theatrical authority worked through a production network, not outside it.

Idomeneo, premiered in Munich in 1781, marks one decisive expansion. Its story of a king trapped by a vow allows public ceremony, private terror, storms, choruses and orchestral colour to press on one another. Mozart worked closely on the text, cut and revised according to performance needs, and worried about singers' dramatic capacities. The opera reveals him as a practical theatre composer, not an isolated supplier of notes.

In Vienna, *The Abduction from the Seraglio* placed German dialogue, comic character and serious emotional tests inside an imperial theatre culture fascinated by an imagined Ottoman world. The work brought success, but Mozart then spent several years concentrating on performance and instrumental composition. His return to large comic opera with Lorenzo Da Ponte produced the three works through which his dramatic method is easiest to see: *The Marriage of Figaro*, *Don Giovanni* and *Così fan tutte*.

Da Ponte supplied supple Italian texts and theatrical architecture. Mozart made time itself dramatic. An aria can suspend the action while a person examines one feeling. An ensemble forces several people to react at once. A finale can accumulate entrances, misunderstandings and reversals while the music holds the whole social machine together. In *Figaro*, servants and aristocrats compete over sex, marriage, privacy and power. The music does not issue a political programme, but it repeatedly gives interior weight to people whom rank should keep secondary.

Don Giovanni crosses comedy, seduction, violence and supernatural judgement without settling into one moral temperature. The title character remains musically compelling while his conduct is predatory. The others are neither mere victims nor simple judges. Their attempts to understand and stop him create a drama about charisma, self-invention and consequence.

Così fan tutte is harder because its plot turns emotional experiment into cruelty. The score keeps finding sincerity inside deception, which prevents the audience from dismissing the characters as puppets. The discomfort is part of the work's intelligence.

In 1791 *The Magic Flute* joined popular theatre, Masonic imagery, domestic

comedy, virtuoso display and moral ceremony. It could speak to a broad popular audience without giving up compositional ambition. Across these works, opera is not the genre in which Mozart added music to stories. It is where he showed that music can reveal several incompatible truths before language has chosen one.

That dramatic clarity depends on specific performers rather than abstract character types. Mozart asked what a singer could do, revised around strengths and weaknesses, and used vocal timbre as dramatic evidence. A high virtuoso line can signify command, fear or brittleness according to context. A plain phrase can carry more authority than ornament. The body producing the sound remains part of the character's argument.

Opera also exposes the force of simultaneity. A novelist can describe one mind after another. Theatre places bodies together, and music can let several responses continue without waiting their turn. Mozart controls the accumulation so distinct positions remain legible. As the ensemble grows, the listener hears a social situation exceed any one person's account of it.

Freedom Had a Business Model

Mozart did not leave Salzburg because society had failed to recognise him. He left a real post under the Prince-Archbishop because the terms felt humiliating and the opportunities too narrow. The break became physical in 1781 when Count Arco dismissed him from the archbishop's service and, according to Mozart's furious letter, kicked him out. Remaining in Vienna converted an employment dispute into a professional experiment.

There was no single job called freelance composer waiting for him. He assembled income from connected activities. He performed in aristocratic houses and public academies. He organised subscription concerts and wrote piano concertos that displayed the performer while creating new material for future use. He taught pupils, sold manuscripts, negotiated publication, accepted commissions, cultivated patrons and sought court recognition. Each stream depended on reputation, timing and relationships.

For several years the system worked. Vienna's elite wanted keyboard

instruction and private performance. Mozart could charge substantial fees, attract subscribers and present himself as both composer and virtuoso. Leopold reported that the concert of 10 March 1785 made 559 florins. The letter does not define net profit, and one evening cannot be annualised; the figure still shows the scale a successful season could reach. His father, visiting at the time, saw a crowded schedule and heard Haydn's praise. This was not the life of an ignored artist. It was the peak of a high-demand creative business.

Income, however, is not security. Concert receipts arrive irregularly. A pupil can leave. Publication rights are sold once. A commission may be delayed, cancelled or less lucrative than expected. Expenses continue. Mozart and Constanze maintained a household suited to professional standing, moved through expensive accommodation and faced medical and family costs. Their six children included only two who survived infancy. Exact financial reconstruction is difficult because records are incomplete, but the pattern is clear enough: strong earnings in good years, obligations that continued between receipts and increasing reliance on borrowing when the market weakened.

From 1788 Mozart's letters to the fellow Freemason Michael Puchberg document repeated borrowing. They are painful because Mozart mixes practical explanation, urgency, shame and promises of future improvement. Editorial reconstruction records 1,415 florins in documented loans from Puchberg and warns that several letters are probably missing. The figure is neither a final debt balance nor a total of Mozart's requests. Borrowing does not prove permanent destitution. It proves a cash-flow crisis inside a volatile career.

Wider conditions mattered. War with the Ottoman Empire disrupted Viennese aristocratic life and spending. Mozart's public concert activity declined. Fashion and competition changed. In 1787 he did receive a modest imperial appointment as chamber composer, mainly to provide dances, which supplied status and regular income but not full security. Opera commissions, Prague connections and private patrons continued.

The business contracted rather than vanished.

Freedom therefore had two meanings. It allowed Mozart to choose projects, perform his own concertos and pursue theatrical work beyond Salzburg's limits. It also transferred risk from an employer to the household. His independence was neither romantic liberation nor reckless failure. It was a functioning mixed model whose receipts and obligations could fall out of step, with no durable institution behind it.

The model shaped the music. Concertos could be written for Mozart to perform before subscribers, making composition, product and advertisement the same event. Teaching pieces arose from pupils. Dances answered an official duty. Operas required theatre companies and librettists. Independence did not mean freedom from function. It meant choosing among functions, combining them and carrying more of the commercial consequence when the choice failed.

The Catalogue Made the Miracle

Mozart's final year resists the shape later biography gave it. The familiar story moves steadily towards death: mysterious commission, black-clad messenger, composer writing his own funeral music, poverty, abandonment. The record is less tidy and more productive. In 1791 he completed or advanced works for different publics and purposes, including the Piano Concerto in B-flat, the String Quintet in E-flat, *La clemenza di Tito*, *The Magic Flute*, the Clarinet Concerto, Masonic music and the unfinished Requiem. The variety does not sound like one long farewell.

He became seriously ill in November and died on 5 December, aged thirty-five. The surviving reports do not permit a secure modern diagnosis. The official terminology was broad, later recollections conflict, and retrospective certainty outruns the evidence. Poisoning by Antonio Salieri has no credible basis. Mozart received a third-class funeral and was buried at St Marx. The precise site was later lost. The surviving evidence does not turn that burial into proof of destitution or public contempt.

The Requiem remained a contractual and artistic problem. The commission

had been placed anonymously on behalf of Count Franz von Walsegg as a memorial for his wife. Constanze had financial reasons to secure a completed, performable score. Joseph Eybler and then Franz Xaver Süssmayr worked on the material after Mozart's death, with Süssmayr producing the completion through which the work became widely known. The familiar Requiem is therefore both Mozart and after-Mozart: completed writing and drafts carried into a finished performance object by other hands.

Constanze played a major part in the survival and shaping of the legacy. She arranged memorial concerts, managed manuscripts, dealt with publishers, supplied information to biographers and worked with her second husband, Georg Nikolaus Nissen, on a life of Mozart. These activities supported her family and protected the reputation, but they also helped select the story. Preservation involved judgement about what to circulate and how to explain it.

Then cataloguing imposed order. Ludwig von Köchel's 1862 catalogue gave its main chronological sequence numbers from K. 1 to K. 626, making Mozart's output look like a single ascent that ended with the Requiem. The sequence became so familiar that K. 626 seems almost predestined as a final number. Later scholarship moved dates, rejected attributions, recovered fragments and complicated the line. A new edition presented in 2024 added ninety-five individual main entries from K. 627 onwards, chiefly fragments and lost compositions that had lacked their own previous entry, and rebuilt the catalogue around current research.

Numbers are useful because the output is large and transmitted through manuscripts, copies, publications, arrangements and doubtful attributions. They also create a visual myth. Six hundred and twenty-six looks like a count of complete masterpieces when it was the endpoint of one nineteenth-century main sequence. The 1,392-page revised catalogue documents entries, fragments, arrangements, losses and attribution problems rather than offering a neat total.

The child at the keyboard and the final Requiem became matching bookends. Between them, biography compressed a working life into

inevitability. The correction is not to make Mozart ordinary. It is to see where the astonishment belongs. A child was trained into a European musical polyglot; the adult turned that fluency into forms that feel inhabited; an insecure market demanded constant adaptation; and the works survived their maker well enough to make the process disappear.

The catalogue repays the childhood system. Leopold had turned ability into public evidence by recording journeys, contacts and feats in letters. Köchel and later editors turned the adult works into another legible sequence. Both systems helped Mozart travel beyond the room in which the music was made. Both also reduced a network of labour, performers and circumstances to one name. The same machinery that preserved the achievement purified it into miracle.

How It Actually Works

Salzburg: the family workshop, 1756-1762

Wolfgang Amadé Mozart was born on 27 January 1756 in Salzburg, a small ecclesiastical principality within the Holy Roman Empire. His father served the court of the prince-archbishop. The household was educated, musical and more secure than later poverty stories suggest, though it remained dependent on patronage. Salzburg itself joined church, court and civic music within a compact city. Wolfgang grew up hearing liturgy, serenades, dances, visiting performers and the daily work of an orchestra. His first musical world was therefore institutional before it was personal: bells, services, ceremonies and employers gave sound a schedule.

Five of Leopold and Anna Maria Mozart's seven children died in infancy. Nannerl and Wolfgang survived. The fact belongs in the life because the family that later crossed Europe had already endured repeated infant death. Music education took place within that household, beside domestic work, religious observance, illness and court duty.

Leopold began teaching Nannerl at the keyboard. Wolfgang watched, imitated and soon demanded instruction. His early progress was fast

enough to alter the family's plans. By 1762 the children were performing in Munich and Vienna. The appearances mixed serious musicianship with the courtly appetite for wonders. A gifted child could be heard as an artist, a curiosity and evidence of a father's skill at once. Nannerl shared the early billing and received admiration in her own right. The later focus on Wolfgang makes the tour look like a solo ascent, but audiences first encountered a sibling act managed by two parents. That arrangement distributed performance, care, correspondence and risk across the family.

The grand tour: Europe examines the children, 1763-1766

In June 1763 the family left Salzburg on a journey that lasted more than three years. They travelled through German cities to Brussels, Paris and London, then returned through the Low Countries, France, Switzerland and southern Germany. Roads were slow, borders frequent and illness recurrent. The tour was a commercial and educational campaign conducted by letter, introduction and personal recommendation.

The children performed before Louis XV's court at Versailles and George III's court in London. Leopold arranged subscriptions and publications, reported successes to Salzburg and sought expert witnesses who could confirm that Wolfgang's ability was genuine. In London, the naturalist and lawyer Daines Barrington examined the boy and later submitted an account to the Royal Society. Barrington tested sight-reading, accompaniment, improvisation and response to unfamiliar music. The report matters because it records ability observed by someone outside the family publicity machine.

London also brought contact with Johann Christian Bach. Wolfgang absorbed his melodic ease and concerto style. The child published keyboard sonatas with violin accompaniment, useful both as income and proof that composition had joined performance. When Leopold became ill, the family stayed in Chelsea and the children had an enforced pause from public appearances. Even interruption became study.

The tour achieved fame but no permanent position. That pattern would

return. Recognition opened doors without creating a home. It also created a problem of ageing. A six-year-old performing difficult music was a marvel by definition. An adolescent needed to be judged against professional adults. Each tour therefore raised the standard for the next. Composition became increasingly important because it could prove development rather than the repetition of a childhood trick.

Vienna and Italy: apprenticeship in public, 1767-1773

The next phase involved opera. The family spent extended periods in Vienna, where court politics, rivalries and an outbreak of smallpox disrupted plans. Mozart wrote *La finta semplice*, but the intended production became entangled in resistance and suspicion. The work was eventually performed in Salzburg. The episode taught that composing an opera was only one part of getting it heard.

Italy offered a more systematic route. Mozart and Leopold made three journeys between 1769 and 1773. They attended performances, met patrons and musicians, and moved through Verona, Milan, Bologna, Florence, Rome and Naples. Mozart heard Gregorio Allegri's *Miserere* in the Sistine Chapel and produced a version from memory, a feat whose later retelling became larger than the surviving evidence. More consequentially, he studied counterpoint with Giovanni Battista Martini and wrote for Milan's theatre.

Mitridate, re di Ponto premiered in 1770, followed by *Ascanio in Alba* and *Lucio Silla*. These were not the mature operas, but they gave a teenager repeated experience of singers, rehearsals, cuts, deadlines and audience expectation. He learnt that an aria belonged to a particular voice and body. A theoretically fine passage could fail if it did not let a singer breathe, enter or display the quality for which the audience had come.

The Italian journeys ended without the appointment Leopold wanted. Mozart returned to Salzburg famous, skilled and still employed within a court that could not supply enough theatre. Italy had nevertheless changed the scale

of his ambition. He had watched opera emerge from negotiations among impresario, librettist, singers, orchestra, censor and patron. The composer occupied a central position, but never a sovereign one. That lesson would make his later theatre both collaborative and combative.

Salzburg and the failed escape, 1773-1780

A change of ruler altered the conditions. Prince-Archbishop Hieronymus Colloredo expected efficient service and tighter discipline than his predecessor. Mozart composed masses, serenades, symphonies, concertos and occasional works for court and civic use. The period was not artistic imprisonment. It produced music of increasing range, including the five violin concertos, the Piano Concerto in E-flat, K. 271, and the *Sinfonia Concertante* for violin and viola. The court required useful music on time, and such service strengthened Mozart's command of scoring, proportion and occasion. The frustration came from scale and status. Salzburg offered work, but little opera and limited advancement. He was being trained by duties he increasingly resented.

In 1777 Mozart resigned and travelled with his mother in search of a better post. Leopold remained in Salzburg and managed by letter, producing a painful triangle of advice, obedience and resistance. Munich offered no appointment. Augsburg brought family connections and a lively relationship with Mozart's cousin Maria Anna Thekla. Mannheim brought first-rate musicians, an unusually disciplined orchestral culture and the Weber family. Mozart fell in love with the singer Aloysia Weber and imagined a touring plan with her, to Leopold's alarm.

The professional search remained unsuccessful. Mozart and his mother continued to Paris. He wrote, taught, sought patronage and complained about the city's music and manners. Anna Maria became ill and died on 3 July 1778. Mozart delayed telling his father the full truth, first informing a family friend and asking him to prepare Leopold. The loss did not produce one easily traceable change in the music, but it ended the journey's illusion of escape. It also exposed the cost of Leopold's absence. Mozart had wanted adult independence while relying on his mother to make the travel

socially possible. Her death left him responsible for practical decisions under grief and for explaining failure to the father who had opposed the plan. The letters become a record of emotional and professional damage entangled.

Mozart returned reluctantly to Salzburg in 1779 as court organist. The post carried better pay and duties, yet the underlying conflict remained. The commission for *Idomeneo* in Munich gave him the theatrical project Salzburg could not. During preparation in 1780 and early 1781 he negotiated text, rewrote for singers, managed rehearsals and shaped large scenes. The premiere on 29 January 1781 showed what sustained institutional resources could draw from him.

The break and the first Vienna success, 1781-1783

Soon after *Idomeneo*, Colloredo summoned Mozart to Vienna as part of the archbishop's travelling household. Mozart found himself in the city where he wanted to build a career while being treated as a servant whose independent appearances could be restricted. He demanded release. Mozart reported that Count Arco dismissed him with a kick. Mozart stayed.

The decision meant defying Leopold's preference for security. It also meant entering a city crowded with musicians, patrons and imperial institutions. Mozart gave lessons, performed, published and competed. His ability to improvise at the keyboard created immediate attention. In December 1781 Joseph II arranged a keyboard contest between Mozart and Muzio Clementi. The event did not crown one permanent victor, but it placed Mozart inside imperial entertainment and public comparison. The emperor also encouraged German-language theatre, and Mozart received a commission that became *The Abduction from the Seraglio*. Premiered in July 1782, it was a major public success and travelled to other German-speaking theatres.

That August he married Constanze Weber, Aloysia's younger sister, before Leopold had given the approval Mozart wanted. The marriage has been pulled into rival myths: Constanze as frivolous burden, or ideal guardian of genius. The evidence shows a working household under strain, affection

mixed with practical difficulty, repeated pregnancies and the later importance of Constanze's management.

Mozart and Constanze visited Salzburg in 1783. The unfinished Mass in C minor was associated with a promise made around their marriage and performed there in part. The visit did not restore the old family arrangement. Mozart's professional centre was Vienna now.

The performer-composer at full demand, 1784-1785

The strongest commercial phase came through piano concertos and self-promoted concerts. Mozart wrote works for his own performance, secured venues, gathered subscribers and placed new concertos within programmes that displayed improvisation and social connection. The model aligned composition with cash flow: a new work could attract an audience, advertise the teacher and strengthen the reputation that produced private invitations.

From February 1784 he kept the thematic catalogue of his works. The first entry is the Piano Concerto in E-flat, K. 449. The record follows an extraordinary run of concertos, chamber works and other compositions. Mozart acquired a fortepiano by Anton Walter that became central to his Vienna work. He joined a Masonic lodge in December 1784, entering a network that combined sociability, charity, ritual and Enlightenment ideals. Through Baron Gottfried van Swieten he also encountered manuscript copies and performances of Bach and Handel. This was not an archaeological hobby. Learned counterpoint entered his current language, especially in chamber, sacred and later theatrical music, because old techniques supplied new ways of making several lines act at once.

Leopold visited Vienna in early 1785 and saw the machine operating at speed. Mozart moved between teaching, performance, rehearsals, composition and social obligations. At a private gathering, Haydn heard quartets Mozart had dedicated to him and praised Wolfgang's knowledge and taste to Leopold. The dedication recognised a debt: Mozart had studied

Haydn's quartet writing and answered it through sustained effort.

The workload was productive because the roles reinforced one another. Performance tested the concertos. Teaching supplied income and close knowledge of players. Chamber music built prestige among connoisseurs. Publication extended reach. Social contact created commissions. The weakness was the same interdependence. A fall in demand could affect several streams at once.

The concert itself was a flexible product. Programmes mixed symphonies, arias, improvisations and concerto movements rather than presenting one continuous work to a silent modern audience. Venues ranged from theatres to large rooms in private or commercial buildings. Mozart had to judge acoustics, available players, aristocratic calendars and the appetite for novelty. The piano concertos belong to that event culture. Their formal poise was built for evenings whose logistics were less poised.

Opera maturity and a changing market, 1786-1790

Mozart's collaboration with the imperial poet Lorenzo Da Ponte shifted the centre of his work back towards opera. *The Marriage of Figaro*, based on Beaumarchais's politically troublesome comedy, required adaptation to pass Viennese censorship. Da Ponte removed the direct political speech and built an Italian libretto around action, disguise and ensemble. Mozart's music restored pressure through relations among servants, nobles, spouses and would-be lovers.

The Vienna premiere in May 1786 received a respectable run rather than uninterrupted triumph. Prague responded with greater excitement. Mozart visited, conducted, performed and enjoyed the city's enthusiasm. The success led to *Don Giovanni*, premiered in Prague in October 1787. Vienna received a revised version the following year, with changes for a different cast and audience. Those alternatives show that the opera was not one sacred text descending intact. Mozart treated production as part of composition, preserving the dramatic system while altering its local machinery. The work's unstable mix of comic convention and mortal

consequence has resisted a single category ever since.

Leopold died in May 1787. The relationship had remained close, argumentative and controlling. Mozart's letters around the illness show concern, but the emotional meaning cannot be read directly into any one composition. That year Mozart received his imperial chamber appointment after the death of Christoph Willibald Gluck. The salary was useful, the principal duty supplying dances for court balls. Prestige did not bring the operatic authority or institutional leadership he might have wanted.

The late 1780s were financially difficult. Mozart moved to cheaper accommodation, borrowed from Puchberg and produced fewer subscription concerts. The Austrian war against the Ottoman Empire altered aristocratic routines and spending. It would be false to explain every difficulty by war, or every loan by declining taste. Household choices, irregular receipts, health costs and incomplete records complicate the picture.

Composition continued. The last three symphonies date from the summer of 1788, though the circumstances of their intended performance remain uncertain. Their survival beside thin performance evidence is a warning against reverse logic. Modern fame does not prove a grand original occasion. Mozart may have planned concerts, publication, travel or several uses. The works tell us what he wrote, not automatically why he wrote it. *Così fan tutte* premiered in January 1790. Its run was interrupted by the death of Joseph II and the closure of theatres during court mourning. Mozart travelled to Frankfurt for Leopold II's coronation later that year, financing the trip in hope of performance and patronage. The returns did not solve his position.

1791: several futures at once

The final year brought renewed activity rather than a single descent. Mozart completed his last piano concerto, wrote dances for court and pursued appointments. The actor-manager Emanuel Schikaneder commissioned *The Magic Flute* for his popular theatre outside the court system. Its spoken dialogue, comedy and visual effects belonged to commercial Singspiel; its trials, brotherhood and symbolism drew on Masonic culture. Mozart directed the first performance on 30 September. The work's growing success offered one possible future in public German theatre. Its company depended on box-office appeal rather than court prestige alone, and Schikaneder performed Papageno himself. Mozart wrote virtuosity for the Queen of the Night, plain song for Papageno, ceremony for Sarastro and ensembles that let these worlds occupy the same evening. The mixture was commercial breadth converted into dramatic structure.

Another commission took him to Prague. *La clemenza di Tito* was written rapidly for Leopold II's coronation as king of Bohemia and premiered in September. The opera has often been treated as a backward official task beside *The Magic Flute*, but it shows Mozart adapting serious opera to a compressed deadline and a new political occasion.

He wrote the Clarinet Concerto for Anton Stadler, whose low-register instrument and playing encouraged music shaped around breath, colour and long continuity. He also accepted the anonymous Requiem commission. The commissioner was acting for Count Franz von Walsegg, who wanted a memorial work for his wife; Mozart was not told the patron's name. Secrecy later became supernatural menace. In the surviving business context it was a patron's device, unusual enough to invite speculation but not evidence of a death prophecy. Illness interrupted the score. By late November Mozart was confined to bed. He died shortly after midnight on 5 December.

Constanze arranged completion of the Requiem and worked to secure income from memorial performances, publication and the manuscript legacy. Mozart's music did not enter an empty canon immediately. Its

survival depended on performers, copies, publishers, family management, changing taste and institutions that gradually made a dead independent musician into a permanent classic. The process favoured some works before others. Operas needed viable theatres, instrumental music needed players and editions, and private manuscripts needed owners willing to release them. Reputation was assembled through use, not awarded in one posthumous verdict.

How we know

Mozart left an unusually rich but uneven record. Family letters document travel, work, money and conflict, yet they were written to persuade particular recipients. Leopold reports the children's success as father, manager and witness. Wolfgang's letters can exaggerate, conceal or ask for something. Nannerl and Constanze later supplied memories after the outcome was known.

Autograph scores, sketches, abandoned openings and the thematic catalogue provide firmer evidence of compositional activity. Payments, concert announcements, theatre records, estate documents and correspondence help reconstruct the business, though they do not produce a complete set of accounts. For some works, purpose and first performance remain uncertain.

The posthumous record is shaped by need. Süßmayr's completion made the Requiem performable. Constanze promoted and organised the inheritance. Early biographers selected anecdotes, and later writers turned them into character. Köchel's catalogue imposed chronological order, then successive editions revised it as manuscripts, attributions and dates changed.

Musical evidence also requires caution. A key change can be described; its biographical meaning cannot be read off the page. Similar gestures perform different jobs in different works, and no melody is a medical record or confession unless independent evidence makes it one. The evidence is abundant enough to reject the easy myths and incomplete enough to make

total confidence another one.

What People Get Wrong

“He wrote everything in his head and copied it down without effort”

Mozart could improvise at extraordinary length, retain complex music and write some scores with striking speed. The leap from those abilities to a universal method is unsupported. Sketches, drafts, revisions, rejected openings and unfinished works survive. The finale of the Piano Concerto in A major, K. 488, grew through several abandoned starts. Operatic correspondence shows him changing music for singers and performance conditions.

The myth became persuasive because fair copies often look clean and because a nineteenth-century text published as a Mozart letter described composition arriving as a complete inner vision. Scholars do not accept that text as authentic evidence. Its description suited the Romantic appetite for inspiration without labour. Mozart's real advantage was more demanding: he had internalised styles, forms and practical habits so deeply that much planning could occur before or between acts of writing. Rapid completion was a method built over years, not freedom from method. It also depended on genre and deadline. A court dance, a concerto for his own hands and a stage work being adjusted around rehearsals called for different kinds of preparation. One legend cannot describe them all.

Why the correction matters is practical. If the work came whole from nowhere, there is nothing to study except the finished object and nothing to learn except admiration. Once different methods are visible, the catalogue becomes a record of problem-solving. Some pieces demanded trial, some revision in rehearsal, some rapid assembly from a fluent vocabulary and some abandonment. Genius appears as discriminating action rather than a supernatural delivery service.

“His father manufactured his talent”

Leopold created the training, travel and publicity system. He did not create Wolfgang's capacity. Nannerl received excellent instruction and became a formidable performer, yet the siblings did not produce identical careers or music. Other pupils of skilled teachers did not become Mozart.

The opposite myth, that Leopold merely recognised a gift and stood aside, is no better. He taught, corrected, arranged performances, chose routes, secured introductions, copied music and shaped the public story. He could be loving, perceptive, controlling and financially interested at once. The useful correction is to stop making nature and nurture compete for sole ownership. Talent changed what the system could produce; the system changed what talent had the chance to become.

The Nannerl comparison adds the missing social variable. She shared instruction, travel and early acclaim, then moved towards the life available to a woman of her rank. Wolfgang's continued mobility and public authorship were treated as investments in a male career. The family did not run a neutral contest between two capacities. It assigned different futures, as its society expected, and later memory made the difference look musical.

“He remained a child in adult clothes”

Mozart's letters contain scatological jokes, teasing, vanity and emotional volatility. Biographers used them to build an eternal child who somehow produced adult masterpieces by instinct. The portrait confuses one kind of humour with incapacity.

The adult negotiated contracts, evaluated singers, organised concerts, taught pupils, married against his father's wishes, managed publication and wrote theatre with exceptional knowledge of social motive. He could also be impulsive, imprudent and dependent on others. Those traits do not cancel professional judgement. The infantilising story has a convenient effect: it lets the listener treat the music as an unexplained gift while assigning every practical achievement to Leopold, Constanze or chance.

It also confuses manners across time. Bodily jokes and playful spelling were common in parts of Mozart's family correspondence, though his intensity was his own. Formal adult competence did not require Victorian solemnity. The sharper test is whether he could sustain decisions with consequences. Leaving Salzburg, mounting concerts, revising operas and managing professional alliances show that he could, even when some decisions were poor.

“Vienna ignored him and left him poor”

Mozart enjoyed periods of fame, high demand and strong income in Vienna. His concerts attracted aristocratic subscribers, his teaching was sought, *The Abduction from the Seraglio* succeeded, Prague celebrated *Figaro* and *Don Giovanni*, and he gained an imperial appointment. The claim that society never recognised him is false.

So is the picture of continuous wealth. Income was irregular and expenses substantial. By the late 1780s he was asking Puchberg for repeated loans. War, changes in concert demand, household costs and Mozart's own financial choices all mattered. The modern categories of rich and poor flatten a project-based career. He could earn more than many salaried musicians and still face an urgent shortage of cash.

The distinction between income and wealth is central. A successful season could support expensive housing, a fine instrument and the display expected of a fashionable teacher. Those commitments continued while concert receipts varied. Surviving records cannot settle every balance, and moralising about luxury substitutes hindsight for accounts. The defensible claim is volatility: Mozart built a high-earning career whose receipts could fail to meet continuing obligations during a downturn.

“Salieri poisoned him”

Antonio Salieri was a successful composer and court musician, sometimes a competitor within the same institutions. Rivalry, gossip and later drama turned him into Mozart's murderer. No credible evidence supports poisoning. Mozart's final illness was acute, and the surviving descriptions do not permit a secure diagnosis, but they offer no sound basis for homicide.

The story lasts because it supplies an agent for an intolerable fact: a great composer died at thirty-five during an acute illness that the available medicine could not control and the surviving evidence cannot now diagnose securely. It also converts institutions, markets and mortality into a duel between genius and mediocrity. Salieri becomes useful fiction because random loss feels narratively insufficient.

It has also distorted Salieri. He was a major figure in Viennese musical life, taught later composers and worked inside the institutions Mozart sought to influence. Competition could be real without becoming murder. Turning him into jealous mediocrity creates a comforting rule that greatness is opposed only by lesser talent. The harder reality is that accomplished people compete for posts, singers, audiences and imperial favour within systems that no one controls.

“He died forgotten and was thrown into a pauper's grave”

Mozart received a third-class funeral and was buried at St Marx cemetery. The precise location was later lost. Modern accounts describe the burial category with inconsistent terms. The secure conclusion is narrower: the arrangements do not, by themselves, establish a pauper's funeral or a public verdict on his worth.

The precise location was later lost, which gave the image emotional force. Yet performances, memorial activity, publication and management of his manuscripts followed his death. The legacy grew unevenly, but it did not begin from total oblivion. An unmarked, lost burial site is a fact. The conclusion that Vienna therefore despised him is an inference the fact

cannot carry.

The myth grew because permanent individual graves later became an expected sign of honour. Viewers looked backwards from nineteenth-century memorial culture and read an eighteenth-century administrative practice as a personal verdict. The missing location then invited symbolic repair through monuments and pilgrimage. Burial evidence can illuminate Vienna's management of death. It cannot, by itself, measure the city's knowledge of the music or settle the household's finances.

“The Requiem is Mozart’s completed farewell to the world”

The Requiem was commissioned anonymously, but the mystery concerned the patron's identity, not a supernatural summons. Mozart died before finishing it. Substantial portions are his, other parts survive in draft or indications, and Franz Xaver Süssmayr supplied the completion most audiences know. Calling the familiar score wholly Mozart's hides the work required after his death.

The farewell reading became attractive because K. 626 sat at the end of Köchel's catalogue and because later stories made the ill composer believe he was writing for himself. Some recollections may preserve fragments of genuine anxiety, but the complete legend cannot be treated as contemporary fact. In 1791 Mozart was also writing comic theatre, court opera, a clarinet concerto and Masonic music. The Requiem belongs to his death. It does not explain the whole year.

Nor does incompleteness make the familiar performing version fraudulent. Constanze faced a contract, a fee and a musical manuscript that needed finishing. Süssmayr's work preserved access to Mozart's material while adding decisions of his own. The honest listener holds both facts: much of the work's force is Mozart's, and the object performed in concert halls is partly a posthumous collaboration whose boundaries scholars continue to examine.

Use It

Hear the cast, not the tune

Begin with a recording of an ensemble from *Figaro*, *Don Giovanni* or *Così fan tutte*. Do not try to identify every musical term. Track people. Who initiates the pace? Who echoes another person without sharing the motive? Who enters with new information? Who forces everybody else to change speed, key or volume?

This turns polyphony into social observation. Several lines can be present without carrying equal power. Agreement in rhythm may conceal disagreement in intention. A character who cannot finish a phrase has lost more than melody. Once you hear the score as organised agency, instrumental music becomes easier too. The first violin, oboe, bassoon or piano is no longer decoration placed over harmony. It is a participant with a history in the conversation.

Try marking each change of alliance. A duet may begin as seduction, become bargaining and end as temporary agreement. An orchestral colour can support one character before shifting to another. The exercise prevents melody from monopolising attention and trains you to hear coordination as something achieved, threatened and renegotiated. On a third hearing, ignore the singers for a minute and follow the orchestra. It can prepare a turn before the characters recognise it or preserve tension beneath their verbal reassurance.

Follow the cadence that refuses to arrive

Much of Mozart's tension comes from a destination the listener can sense before reaching it. A cadence promises arrival in a key. The music may delay it, divert it, weaken it or allow it only after an unexpected admission. You do not need to name the chords. Listen for the bodily feeling of a sentence preparing to end and then being denied its full stop.

The delay creates meaning because the convention is clear. In comedy it can become impatience or embarrassment. In a slow movement it can feel

like reluctance to accept an answer. In a concerto it may prolong the soloist's freedom before the orchestra closes the argument. In the introduction to the *Dissonance* Quartet, the expected home key is withheld while separate lines enter from uncertain harmonic directions. The eventual clarity matters because it remembers that search.

Do not assume every delay means anxiety. Mozart may postpone closure to create appetite, elegance, teasing or space for another participant. Context decides. The training is to feel the promised endpoint, then ask what enters during the delay and whether the eventual arrival now means something different.

Ask who the music was for

A score has a social address. Mozart wrote for named singers, specific instrumentalists, court ceremonies, private rooms, public subscribers, church services, dances and theatres with practical limitations. Knowing the destination changes what you hear.

The Clarinet Concerto is connected to Anton Stadler's playing and an instrument capable of reaching below the standard clarinet. The piano concertos are tied to Mozart's own public identity as performer. A concert aria may be shaped around one singer's range, breath and reputation. The music can outlive the commission, but it began as an answer to a human and institutional problem.

Then separate adaptation from surrender. Mozart changed arias for singers and revised operas for new casts without losing the work's identity. The relevant skill was deciding which features carried the dramatic purpose and which could move. A constraint becomes productive when it forces that hierarchy into the open.

Separate fluency from ease

Mozart is a severe test of how we explain expertise. When a hard act looks smooth, observers infer that it felt easy or required little preparation. The cleaner the result, the more the training disappears.

A better question is what had to become automatic so that attention could move to the next problem. Mozart did not need to rediscover how separate musical lines fit together under every deadline. That freed him to think about pace, character, balance and surprise. Automatic skill is not the enemy of creativity. It is stored attention.

This lens improves practice. Repetition should not aim to produce a rigid answer in every situation. It should make low-level decisions reliable enough that higher-level judgement remains available when the situation changes. The prodigy's advantage was less a stock of perfect solutions than speed in recognising what kind of problem had arrived.

A clean result therefore deserves two questions. Which decisions are still visible, and which have been buried by fluency? Praise often attaches to the flourish while the hidden value lies in reliable transitions, breath, balance and error recovery.

Read the catalogue as an economy

Put the works in date order and output begins to show opportunity. Clusters of piano concertos align with Mozart's Viennese performance seasons. Operas appear when theatres, librettists and commissions become available. Dances connect to his imperial appointment. Chamber music may answer publishers, patrons, friends or private study. The catalogue is not a stream emitted at a constant rate. It is a record of changing demand and chosen response.

This prevents two errors. One is to treat every gap as psychological collapse. The other is to treat every burst as inspiration detached from circumstances. Creative output has an ecology: time, money, collaborators, tools, venues, audiences and unfinished alternatives. Counting works without reconstructing that ecology mistakes a ledger for a mind.

Plotting output beside commissions, employment, collaborators, health and distribution does not prove motive. It does reveal where a biography has made inner inspiration explain changes that opportunity can explain more directly.

Take a five-work route

Use five works to test the model rather than beginning with a giant best-of playlist. Start with the first movement of the Piano Concerto in E-flat, K. 271, where a young Mozart lets the soloist enter before the orchestra has completed its expected opening. Move to the introduction and first movement of the String Quartet in C major, K. 465, and hear clarity arrive only after uncertainty. Then take the Act II finale of *The Marriage of Figaro*, where each entrance changes the social equation.

Next hear the first movement of the Symphony in G minor, K. 550, for agitation carried inside exact proportion. End with the Clarinet Concerto, K. 622, listening for breath, register and the character of Anton Stadler's instrument. This is not a ranking. It is a route through soloist, ensemble, theatre, symphony and performer-specific writing. On each hearing ask three questions: what does the convention promise, who changes the course, and what has the return absorbed?

The limits

Mozart cannot supply a universal theory of creativity. His childhood training was unusually intense, his family had specialist knowledge and his capacities were rare. Copying the timetable would not reproduce the result. Nor should eighteenth-century court culture be repackaged as a model of healthy childhood development.

The surviving works are also filtered. Concert practice included improvisation that scores preserve imperfectly. Some music was lost, some remains unfinished and some attributions changed. Many modern performances use larger halls and later instruments, while even historically informed performance is a reconstruction. There is no neutral recording that gives direct access to 1786.

Most importantly, formal intelligence does not settle moral judgement. *Don Giovanni* can make destructive energy compelling. *Così fan tutte* can create beauty around manipulation. Music's ability to hold opposed feelings is a strength, but it can also make listeners enjoy what they would reject as

conduct.

The transfer from music to life must therefore remain a lens, not a command. A delayed cadence may clarify negotiation, but it does not tell you which contract to sign. An ensemble can teach attention to several agents, but beauty does not certify the justice of their arrangement. Mozart sharpens perception. He does not relieve the listener of judgement.

The one thing to keep

Keep the people inside the form.

Mozart inherited conventions so familiar that an audience could anticipate where a phrase, movement or scene ought to go. He then let musical agents want different things inside those expectations. A soloist could bargain with an orchestra. A servant could acquire more inner weight than a count. A cadence could know its destination and still hesitate.

That is the permanent change in listening. Form is no longer the rule that life must obey. It is the shared world within which choices become audible. Mozart's mastery lies in making order spacious enough for surprise, conflict, forgiveness and refusal without allowing the whole structure to collapse.

The music sounds inevitable after it has happened. While it is happening, somebody is always trying to make it turn another way.

On the next hearing, keep both truths at once: the form holds, and nobody inside it is passive. Freedom becomes audible because the shared structure can resist, remember and require return.

Terms

Köchel number

A catalogue identifier written as K. or KV followed by a number. Ludwig von Köchel's first catalogue appeared in 1862. Later editions revised chronology, authenticity and numbering, so the code is a reference system rather than a flawless count of completed works.

Thematic catalogue

Mozart's own record of works he entered from 1784 to shortly before his death. He entered dates, descriptions and opening musical phrases. It gives direct evidence of output during the Vienna years.

Patronage

Support given by a court, aristocrat, institution or wealthy individual in return for service, prestige, access or commissioned work. Mozart moved between salary and market without escaping dependence on patrons.

Kapellmeister

A senior director responsible for music at a court, church or theatre. Duties could include composing, rehearsing, conducting and managing musicians. Leopold became vice-kapellmeister in Salzburg; Wolfgang never secured an equivalent permanent leadership post.

Konzertmeister

The leading violinist or a senior instrumental officer in a court ensemble. Mozart held a Salzburg position translated as concertmaster, though titles and duties varied across institutions.

Academy

In Mozart's Vienna, a concert organised for a particular occasion or promoter, often mixing orchestral, vocal and solo items. Mozart's own academies were central to his performer-composer business.

Fortepiano

The eighteenth-century piano Mozart knew, lighter in frame and action than a modern concert grand. Its quick response, clear registers and capacity for dynamic shading shaped his keyboard writing.

Sonata form

A flexible movement design built around presenting contrasting material, creating instability and returning to the home key. It is a pattern of expectation and transformation, not a fixed template followed identically in every work.

Exposition

The opening region of a sonata-form movement, where principal themes and key relations are established. In a concerto, orchestra and soloist may provide overlapping or differently shaped expositions.

Development

The region in which earlier material is fragmented, recombined, moved through keys or placed under pressure. The term describes function, not constant turbulence.

Recapitulation

The return of principal material with the home key restored or reasserted. Mozart often changes the route, orchestration or balance, so return carries consequences rather than operating as literal repetition.

Tonic

The home note and chord of a key. Music can depart from it, obscure it and return. Its force comes from relationships established over time, not from one sound in isolation.

Dominant

The fifth degree of a key and the harmony built on it. It creates a strong pull towards the tonic, making it one of the main engines of expectation in tonal music.

Cadence

A harmonic and melodic gesture that produces degrees of pause or closure. Mozart uses cadences to finish, delay, interrupt or expose a character's attempt to control the flow.

Chromaticism

Use of notes outside the immediate scale. Chromatic notes can colour a phrase, intensify desire, destabilise a key or connect distant harmonies without destroying the tonal system.

Counterpoint

The organisation of independent musical lines that remain intelligible together. Mozart studied strict counterpoint and used it for discipline, drama, wit and the audible equality of several participants.

Fugue

A contrapuntal design in which a subject enters successively in different voices and is developed through imitation. Mozart absorbed older fugal practice and placed it inside modern instrumental, sacred and theatrical styles.

Concerto

A work that sets one or more soloists in relation to an ensemble. Mozart's piano concertos turn contrast into negotiation, allowing the soloist to join, challenge and redirect the orchestra.

Cadenza

A solo passage near a structural cadence, traditionally improvised or prepared by the performer. It suspends the orchestra and concentrates freedom before the shared close returns.

Symphony

A substantial orchestral work, usually in several movements. In Mozart's period it could serve concerts, courts and ceremonial occasions rather than occupying the later role of a composer's public philosophical statement.

Serenade

An extended work associated with evening entertainment, celebration or outdoor and social performance. Mozart's serenades range from practical occasional music to pieces whose ambition exceeds their original setting.

Divertimento

Music intended for entertainment, often in several movements and for varied ensembles. The label does not imply low quality; function and scoring mattered more than modern prestige.

Chamber music

Music for a small group, normally one player to a part and suited to a room rather than a large public hall. Interaction among players is central to its effect.

String quartet

An ensemble of two violins, viola and cello, and the repertory written for it. Haydn and Mozart helped make the quartet a demanding conversation among four parts.

Aria

A self-contained operatic or vocal number in which a character dwells on an emotional position. The action may pause, but musical development can reveal a mind changing within the pause.

Recitative

Speech-like vocal writing used to carry dialogue and action. In Italian opera it often links arias and ensembles, with accompaniment ranging from keyboard and bass to full orchestra.

Opera seria

Eighteenth-century Italian serious opera, usually centred on rulers, heroes, moral conflict and elaborate solo arias. Mozart learnt its conventions early and later reshaped them in *Idomeneo* and *La clemenza di Tito*.

Opera buffa

Italian comic opera featuring domestic plots, social friction and ensemble action. Its comedy can carry serious pressure because characters of different ranks must share the stage and musical time.

Singspiel

German-language theatre combining spoken dialogue with songs and ensembles. *The Abduction from the Seraglio* and *The Magic Flute* use the form for different audiences and dramatic purposes.

Ensemble finale

A large operatic ending in which several characters enter, react and combine over an extended span. Mozart uses the accumulating group to make changing knowledge and power audible in real time.

Go Deeper

John Rosselli, *The Life of Mozart* (Cambridge University Press, 1998)

Start here. Rosselli gives the life in under two hundred pages without turning compression into legend. He places Mozart inside the transition from court service towards a musical market, keeps the family relationships proportionate and explains selected works without assuming technical knowledge. The restraint is useful after centuries of biographical decoration. It will not provide a listening guide to every masterpiece, but it supplies a clear compact account of the working life. Keep a recording nearby: Rosselli's concise musical examples are strongest when the sound can answer the prose.

Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart, *Mozart: A Life in Letters*, edited by Cliff Eisen and translated by Stewart Spencer (Penguin Classics, 2006)

Read the letters to recover the unmonumental Mozart: negotiating, joking, complaining, reporting, persuading and asking for money. The editors connect the selections with chronology and notes, which matters because a private letter is an intervention in one relationship, not a transparent diary entry. Read Leopold's and other family letters alongside Wolfgang's where the edition supplies them. The voices disagree, and the disagreement is part of the evidence. Notice how tone changes with the recipient. Mozart writing to Leopold, Constanze or a lender is not one unfiltered self, but a skilled correspondent acting inside a relationship.

Maynard Solomon, Mozart: A Life (HarperCollins, 1995)

Solomon offers the major large-scale modern interpretation: psychologically ambitious, richly documented and determined to make Mozart an adult rather than a porcelain genius. It is absorbing and often illuminating on family conflict, independence and self-fashioning. The warning is necessary. Some psychoanalytic conclusions and reconstructions of motive are more confident than the record permits. Read it as a powerful argument, not the final court of appeal. Pair its strongest claims about motive with the letters or a documentary biography, and separate what the documents establish from what psychological interpretation makes plausible.

David Cairns, Mozart and His Operas (University of California Press, 2006)

Use Cairns when the stage has become the question. He moves through the life by way of the operas while connecting them to the instrumental works and practical conditions of theatre. The book is written for listeners and gives dramatic judgement priority over technical display. Its organising choice means the non-operatic catalogue receives less space, but that imbalance is productive if you want to understand why opera is the place where Mozart's forms most clearly become people. Listen to each discussed scene before and after reading; the second hearing should reveal dramatic action you previously heard as musical beauty alone. Cairns also helps connect early stage works to the mature operas, so development replaces the false impression that the Da Ponte masterpieces appeared without theatrical apprenticeship.

Notes and Sources

Scope, names and dates

The book treats Mozart as performer, composer and working musician rather than attempting a compact history of the Classical style. The canonical queue boundary leaves the wider tradition to *Classical Music in a Hurry* and the full development of opera to *Opera in a Hurry*. The form Wolfgang Amadé Mozart reflects the spelling he often used in adulthood; Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart remains the standard English form. Birth and death dates, the Mozart family structure, Leopold's posts, Nannerl's dates and the outline of the first grand tour follow the documentary biographies and International Mozarteum Foundation material, checked on 2 September 2026.

The Whole Thing in One Page and Why You Should Care

The central model draws on John Rosselli's account of Mozart within the changing musical economy, Ruth Halliwell's family reconstruction and Simon P. Keefe's treatment of the Vienna decade as the work of a performer-composer. The discussion of ensemble drama follows analysis of Mozart's operatic finales in David Cairns and the Cambridge reference literature. Agency is used as a listening model grounded in entries, interruptions, imitation, harmonic response and orchestration. Mozart did not invent musical character, and the model is not a claim that notes possess literal intention. It identifies why changing knowledge, rank and initiative are unusually legible in the mature works.

The phrase more than ten years travelling is supported by the Council of Europe's European Mozart Ways documentation, checked on 2 September 2026. The exact total depends on how journeys and stops are counted, so the narrative uses the rounded, well-supported form rather than a day-level figure.

The prodigy and the family system

The Nannerl Notebook began as Leopold's teaching book for Maria Anna and later included Wolfgang's first pieces. The family dates, five infant deaths and the children's shared early tuition follow the Mozarteum's family records and Halliwell. Leopold's qualifications are documented by his Salzburg court career and his *Versuch einer gründlichen Violinschule*, published in 1756.

Daines Barrington's examination of Mozart was published in *Philosophical Transactions* in 1770 as "Account of a Very Remarkable Young Musician". It is valuable because it records tests of performance and invention from an external observer, though Barrington also participated in the period's appetite for prodigy reports. The discussion of Nannerl avoids claiming a surviving compositional output that the evidence cannot establish. Her later limits are treated as social and documentary, not as proof that her talent equalled or failed to equal Wolfgang's.

Travel as education

The grand tour itinerary and Italian journeys follow the Mozart family correspondence, Otto Erich Deutsch's documentary chronology, Halliwell and Hermann Abert. Johann Christian Bach's importance in London, Martini's teaching in Bologna and the experience of Italian opera are standard in this literature. The book does not repeat the strongest version of the Sistine Chapel *Miserere* story. A remarkable act of memory is supported by early family testimony, but the precise number of hearings, the completeness of the transcription and later embellishments require caution.

The account of Mannheim emphasises an institutional encounter with a disciplined orchestra rather than treating dynamic contrast, wind writing or ensemble precision as properties invented or owned by one city. The national labels used for eighteenth-century music overlap; the narrative therefore describes practices and institutions rather than sealed styles. Paris is presented as a mixed professional failure and personal disaster. Anna Maria Mozart died there on 3 July 1778. The letters show Wolfgang

managing the communication of her death to Leopold through the family friend Abbé Bullinger.

Compositional method

Ulrich Konrad's study of Mozart's autographs, sketches and drafts is the main modern authority behind the rejection of effortless dictation. The concise English account in *The Cambridge Mozart Encyclopedia* and the critical reports of the *Neue Mozart-Ausgabe* were also used. The abandoned beginnings associated with the finale of the Piano Concerto in A major, K. 488, are listed in the critical edition's fragments material. They establish trial and rejection without implying that every composition required the same quantity of sketching.

Mozart's letter of 30 December 1780 during work on *Idomeneo* distinguishes music being composed from music not yet written down. The sentence supports prior mental planning, but does not establish the later legend of a complete work heard inwardly in final form. The supposed letter describing whole compositions arriving at once is associated with Friedrich Rochlitz and is not accepted as authentic evidence.

Mozart's own thematic catalogue is British Library Zweig MS 63. It runs from 9 February 1784 to 15 November 1791, recording dates and descriptions opposite musical openings. The first entry is the Piano Concerto in E-flat, K. 449, and the final dated entry is the Masonic cantata K. 623. The manuscript is used here as evidence of professional record-keeping, not as a complete account of every work or every stage of composition. The British Library record was rechecked on 2 September 2026.

Form, concertos and chamber music

The account of sonata procedure, concerto dialogue and musical agency follows the Cambridge Mozart reference works, Keefe's studies of the Viennese piano concertos and analysis of eighteenth-century form. These descriptions are listening models, not claims that an instrument possesses literal intention or that Mozart alone discovered musical character. The language is retained where entries, interruptions, imitation, harmonic response and orchestration let a listener track action through time. Haydn, J. C. Bach, inherited genres, performers and other contemporaries remain part of the explanation.

The Haydn quartets were composed over several years and dedicated to Joseph Haydn. Leopold's report of Haydn's praise comes from his letter to Nannerl of 16 February 1785. The praise is preserved through Leopold and should be read as reported speech, though the meeting and dedication are secure. The discussion of the *Dissonance* Quartet describes the audible uncertainty of the introduction without assigning a private autobiographical meaning.

Opera

The chronology and production history of *Idomeneo*, *The Abduction from the Seraglio*, the Da Ponte operas and *The Magic Flute* follow Abert, Cairns, Keefe, the *Neue Mozart-Ausgabe* and Mozarteum work documentation. Mozart's correspondence around *Idomeneo* records negotiation over cuts, text and singers. Librettists, singers, impresarios, censors, orchestras, copyists and stage staff are retained as causal participants because opera reached the public through their work. This does not diminish Mozart's compositional authority; it specifies the system through which he exercised it.

The Marriage of Figaro premiered in Vienna on 1 May 1786. Its Prague reception helped produce the commission for *Don Giovanni*, premiered there on 29 October 1787. *Così fan tutte* premiered in Vienna on 26 January 1790. *The Magic Flute* premiered at the Theater auf der Wieden on 30

September 1791, with Schikaneder as Papageno and Mozart directing the first performance. The narrower wording avoids making the precise conducting arrangement carry more certainty than the evidence requires. The book also avoids treating these works as coded statements with one settled political or moral answer.

Salzburg, Vienna and money

Mozart's break with the Salzburg court is documented in his letters of June 1781. The report that Count Arco threw him out and kicked him comes from Mozart himself. Its emotional force is clear; the exact scene has no independent witness in the surviving record.

The account of the Vienna business combines Keefe's performer-composer model, Rosselli's social history, Steptoe's re-examination of poverty and the surviving letters and concert records. Mozart's income was sometimes high, especially during the concert seasons of the mid-1780s. In a letter of 18 March 1785, Leopold reported that Wolfgang "made 559 florins" at the concert of 10 March. The source does not define this as profit after expenses, so the manuscript does not do so. One event is setting-specific and cannot be treated as Mozart's normal weekly or annual income.

The Puchberg letters document repeated borrowing from 1788. The Digital Mozart Edition notes that documented loans by Puchberg amounted to 1,415 florins and warns that several letters are probably missing. The figure therefore measures documented lending, not the sum of Mozart's requests, a verified final outstanding balance or all borrowing in the relationship. War, market contraction, household expenditure and Mozart's financial decisions are retained as contributing factors. No single factor is presented as a complete causal explanation.

Mozart received the imperial appointment of Kammermusicus in December 1787, with duties that included composing dances for court balls. Christoph Wolff argues for a stronger late-career renewal around this appointment than some other scholars accept. The manuscript uses the appointment as evidence of status and regular income while stopping short of claiming that it

had already secured Mozart's future.

Illness, burial and the Requiem

Mozart fell seriously ill in November 1791 and died on 5 December. Later medical diagnoses are retrospective and conflict. The official description, “hitziges Frieselfieber”, is not precise enough to identify one modern disease. The poisoning story lacks credible evidence.

The burial literature supports a third-class funeral, burial at St Marx and the later loss of the precise site. Modern institutional accounts use common, shared, mass and pauper grave inconsistently, so the manuscript does not convert one translated label into a precise grave architecture or a verdict on Mozart's finances. The retained claim is limited: the burial category and lost site do not prove public contempt or destitution. Current Vienna and Mozarteum summaries were rechecked on 2 September 2026, but the wording follows the narrower documentary conclusion rather than their inconsistent labels.

Simon P. Keefe's study of the Requiem is the main source for the commission, the autograph, the completion and the growth of the farewell legend. Count Franz von Walsegg was the patron behind the anonymous intermediary. The familiar score includes Mozart's completed writing and drafts together with posthumous work, chiefly the completion associated with Franz Xaver Süssmayr. Exact attribution within the completed version is a specialist question and is not compressed into a falsely clean percentage.

The posthumous catalogue

Ludwig von Köchel's first catalogue appeared in 1862 and gave its main chronological sequence numbers from K. 1 to K. 626, alongside appendices and qualifications. The new *Köchel-Verzeichnis*, edited by Neal Zaslaw and presented in Salzburg on 19 September 2024, restores the original main number where possible, adds ninety-five individual entries from K. 627 onwards and documents fragments, lost works, arrangements and doubtful attributions. The International Mozarteum Foundation states that the added entries are mainly fragments and lost compositions that had lacked their own previous entry. The printed edition contains 1,392 pages. None of these figures means Mozart wrote 721 accepted complete works. The current digital presentation was rechecked on 2 September 2026.

Constanze's activity in memorial concerts, publication and biographical collection follows Halliwell, Deutsch and the Requiem literature. Her role is treated as both preservation and selection. Economic interest does not make the work dishonest, and devotion does not make it neutral.

Terms and recommendations

Definitions were checked against *The Cambridge Mozart Encyclopedia*, the *Neue Mozart-Ausgabe* and Neal Zaslaw and William Cowdery's *The Compleat Mozart*. The terminology reflects Mozart's period while acknowledging that titles such as Kapellmeister, Konzertmeister, academy and divertimento varied by institution and context. The five-work listening route is selective rather than a claim to a definitive canon; each example was chosen because an audible feature directly tests the book's model. The four Go Deeper editions were rechecked against publisher or library records on 2 September 2026.

Bibliography

Primary sources and critical editions

Barrington, Daines. "Account of a Very Remarkable Young Musician." *Philosophical Transactions* 60 (1770).

British Library. Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart, thematic catalogue, 1784-1791. Zweig MS 63.

International Mozarteum Foundation and Packard Humanities Institute. *Mozart Letters and Documents: Online Edition*. Digital Mozart Edition.

Mozart, Leopold. *A Treatise on the Fundamental Principles of Violin Playing*. Translated by Editha Knocker. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1948. First published in German in 1756.

Mozart, Wolfgang Amadeus. *Mozart: A Life in Letters*. Edited by Cliff Eisen. Translated by Stewart Spencer. London: Penguin Classics, 2006.

Neue Mozart-Ausgabe. *Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart: Neue Ausgabe sämtlicher Werke*. Kassel: Bärenreiter, 1955-2007. Digital edition maintained by the International Mozarteum Foundation and Packard Humanities Institute.

Institutional and digital reference sources

City of Vienna. "St. (Sankt) Marx Cemetery Park with Mozart's grave." Updated 27 March 2026. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Council of Europe. "European Mozart Ways." Cultural Routes of the Council of Europe. Accessed 2 September 2026.

International Mozarteum Foundation. "Presentation of the New Köchel Catalogue." 19 September 2024. Accessed 2 September 2026.

International Mozarteum Foundation. "Wolfgang Amadé Mozart." Accessed 2 September 2026.

Modern works

Abert, Hermann. *W. A. Mozart*. Edited by Cliff Eisen. Translated by Stewart Spencer. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007.

Cairns, David. *Mozart and His Operas*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006.

Deutsch, Otto Erich. *Mozart: A Documentary Biography*. Translated by Eric Blom, Peter Branscombe and Jeremy Noble. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1965.

Eisen, Cliff, and Simon P. Keefe, eds. *The Cambridge Mozart Encyclopedia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Halliwell, Ruth. *The Mozart Family: Four Lives in a Social Context*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998.

Keefe, Simon P. *Mozart in Vienna: The Final Decade*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017.

Keefe, Simon P. *Mozart's Requiem: Reception, Work, Completion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.

Konrad, Ulrich. *Mozarts Schaffensweise: Studien zu den Werkautographen, Skizzen und Entwürfen*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1992.

Rosselli, John. *The Life of Mozart*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Solomon, Maynard. *Mozart: A Life*. New York: HarperCollins, 1995.

Step toe, Andrew. "Mozart and Poverty: A Re-Examination of the Evidence." *The Musical Times* 125, no. 1694 (1984): 196-201.

Wolff, Christoph. *Mozart at the Gateway to His Fortune: Serving the Emperor, 1788-1791*. New York: W. W. Norton, 2012.

Zaslaw, Neal, ed. *Köchel-Verzeichnis: Thematisches Verzeichnis der musikalischen Werke von Wolfgang Amadé Mozart*. Wiesbaden: Breitkopf &

Härtel, 2024.

Zaslaw, Neal, with William Cowdery, eds. *The Compleat Mozart: A Guide to the Musical Works of Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart*. New York: W. W. Norton, 1990.