

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Maps *in a Hurry*

Projections, pitfalls, and seeing the world right

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 20

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 36

Terms 40

Go Deeper 45

Notes and Sources 47

Bibliography 54

The Whole Thing in One Page

A map looks like a smaller piece of the world. That is its most persuasive illusion. The world is continuous, crowded and changing. A map is finite, selective and built for a task. It measures some relationships, suppresses most others, then arranges what survives so that a route, boundary, pattern or decision can be seen and used.

The loss is not a defect added by careless mapmakers. It is the mechanism. Harry Beck's Underground diagram works because it abandons most of London. Streets vanish. Distances bend. Curves become tidy angles. What remains is the order of stations and the places where a passenger can change. A geographically faithful map would answer the traveller's main question less clearly.

Every map makes a bargain of that kind. Scale decides which facts can exist on the page. Generalisation turns a winding coast into a line and a city into a dot. Projection transfers a curved Earth to a flat surface, preserving some properties while damaging others. Mercator keeps local angles and makes a steady compass course straight, which served navigation. It also enlarges high latitudes so severely that Greenland can look comparable with Africa, although Africa has about fourteen times the land area.

Measurement disciplines the bargain but does not end it. Latitude, longitude, triangulation, datums and satellite positioning provide repeatable ways to locate things. Coordinates still refer to a chosen model and reference frame. A receiver estimates its position from timed radio signals; atmosphere, obstruction, satellite geometry, receiver quality and map matching affect the displayed answer. The convenient blue dot rests on an estimate whose uncertainty has been made unobtrusive.

Thematic maps make other choices. They turn disease, income, votes or rainfall into colour and shape. Their apparent patterns depend on the denominator, geographic units, class breaks, data date and treatment of missing values. A map of raw case counts may mostly show population. Change the boundaries and a cluster can weaken, move or appear. Data do

not arrive already arranged as a picture.

Maps also make selected relationships actionable. Surveys let institutions tax land, build roads and coordinate services. Cadastral maps represent land claims as parcels. Risk maps direct money and attention. Communities map customary land, local names or missing roads to challenge official absence. A map gains power when law, administration, markets or machines act on its categories.

GIS and web mapping have made the chain faster and harder to see. Layers can be linked, queried and updated. Search ranks possible places. Routing assigns costs to roads and returns one path. What appears can differ by location, language, travel mode, query, settings and moment. The cartographer has not disappeared. Cartographic judgement has moved into data models, code, standards and defaults.

The right response is neither automatic trust nor fashionable cynicism. Ask what the map was built to do, which relationship it preserves, what it sacrifices, how its evidence was produced, what uncertainty remains and who can act on the result. Seeing the world right does not mean finding a view from nowhere. It means seeing the terms on which each useful view was made.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

In January 1933, London passengers received a pocket map that was geographically distorted and operationally excellent. Harry Beck had redrawn the Underground as a circuit diagram. Lines ran horizontally, vertically or at forty-five degrees. Stations were spaced for legibility rather than distance. The sprawling network became a system a passenger could grasp at a glance.

The design did more than make travel easier. It changed which London the traveller could see. Places far apart on the ground became neighbours on paper. The centre expanded because it contained many stations; the

outskirts contracted because they contained few. Connections took priority over distance. Beck had not failed to copy the city. He had preserved the relationship that mattered underground.

Comparable choices now reach you many times a day. A weather map turns observations and forecasts into moving colour. A property search draws an area and makes every home outside it vanish. A route planner weighs road classes, estimated delays, turn restrictions, user settings and platform rules, then presents one line as though the road itself recommended it. An election map fills whole districts with the colour of the winner, hiding everyone who voted otherwise. An accessibility map may reveal steps, kerbs and entrances that a standard street map treats as secondary or omits.

Maps have become more intimate as their construction has become less visible. The paper sheet announced itself as an artefact. It had edges, a legend, a date and often a named maker. The phone screen feels like a window. It follows you, changes with you and places you at the centre. That convenience encourages a category error: the belief that the app is retrieving the world rather than producing one useful version of it.

Learning to read maps therefore teaches a wider discipline of model reading. What has been selected? At what scale? Which measurement became a symbol? What was inferred rather than observed? Which uncertainty has been hidden? What alternative view would change the pattern? Dashboards, diagrams and ranked feeds deserve similar questions because they also compress more reality than a person can inspect at once.

The distinction matters when action is urgent. A wildfire evacuation map cannot carry every landscape detail, but it must show roads, closures, shelters, hazard zones and the time attached to each layer. Decorative accuracy can obstruct operational clarity. Yet simplification becomes unsafe when an old fire perimeter looks current or a modelled edge looks observed. The standard is not maximum detail. It is preserving what changes the decision and revealing uncertainty large enough to change it.

There is pleasure in the craft as well. Projection is impossible geometry

managed with intelligence. Surveying joins small angle and distance measurements into national frameworks. Contours make height readable without a model mountain. Thematic maps expose patterns no traveller could see from the ground. GIS can ask which homes lie within a flood zone, which clinics are reachable within thirty minutes, or where several weak signals coincide. A good map lets the mind work beyond the range of direct sight.

No technique guarantees a good question. Better measurement can support a bad category. Finer resolution can create false confidence. Open data can reproduce old omissions. A map can be technically excellent and socially destructive, or politically admirable and unfit for its stated calculation.

The aim is to judge maps without demanding the impossible. They are neither neutral mirrors nor automatic deceptions. They are instruments built from measurement and choice. Once you can see the job and the chain beneath the display, maps become more useful rather than less trustworthy. You can accept the help without handing over the judgement.

The Core Ideas

The Necessary Omission

A map is smaller than what it represents, but paper size is the least important reduction. The deeper act is deciding which relationships can survive.

Imagine drawing a road at 1:50,000. One centimetre on the page represents 500 metres on the ground. A road five metres wide would occupy one tenth of a millimetre if drawn in proportion. It would nearly disappear. The cartographer exaggerates its width. A town becomes a dot. Two close bends merge. Minor lanes vanish. A line that looks exact is already a disciplined departure from physical proportion.

Scale controls what can be shown and concluded. At 1:1,250, a property plan can show walls, sheds and parcel edges. At 1:25,000, it can show

footpaths and contours. At 1:1,000,000, those details would form noise. A river may be a boundary on one map, a transport corridor on another and a moving habitat on a third. A point labelled London might mean an authority, a built-up area or a labour market.

Cartographers call the necessary work generalisation. They select, simplify, smooth, aggregate, displace and exaggerate. A jagged coast loses bends. Several buildings become one built-up patch. Symbols shift so that they do not collide. A small island may be enlarged to remain visible. A display containing every recorded feature at every scale would hide the structure it was meant to expose.

The coastline reveals why the problem is deeper than drawing. Its measured length increases as the measuring unit becomes shorter because smaller units follow more indentations. There is no scale-free coastline waiting to be copied. The mapmaker chooses a measurement suited to a question.

Purpose governs the loss. Beck's Underground diagram discards distance to preserve sequence and interchange. A topographic map preserves terrain and route detail. A geological map makes rock central and buildings secondary. A tactile map may thicken paths and remove visual clutter so that relationships can be read by touch. A pilot's chart, school atlas and ambulance dispatch map can cover the same ground while sharing little hierarchy.

A map can fail through omission, but it can also fail by refusing to choose. Good selection determines which user, body and decision the map can serve. Steps omitted from a wheelchair-access map are a serious absence. Street widths omitted from a Tube diagram are not.

The danger begins when designed absence is mistaken for absence in the world. A blank region may lack observations rather than people. An unnamed path may be used every day. Silence on a map rarely announces whether the missing feature was irrelevant, unknown, suppressed, inaccessible or too small to draw.

Accuracy is therefore plural. Positional accuracy asks whether a feature is in

the right place. Attribute accuracy asks whether its description is correct. Temporal accuracy asks whether it is current. Completeness asks what is missing. A map can perform well on one and fail on another.

The first question is not whether the map is accurate in the abstract. Ask what it has been made accurate for. Trust is earned when scale, selection and symbols fit the job, and when the losses are not large enough to reverse the action a reasonable user will take.

The Impossible Flat World

A globe respects the Earth's curvature but creates practical limits. Only half can be seen at once, local detail disappears quickly, and the object is awkward to print or carry. Flat maps can be folded, copied, overlaid and placed side by side. The price is geometric impossibility.

A curved surface cannot be spread onto a plane without stretching, compressing or cutting it. Peel an orange and try to press the skin flat. The tears and overlaps are the physical version of projection distortion. Mathematics can control where the damage goes, but cannot remove it from a world map.

A projection is a rule for converting locations on a globe or ellipsoid into positions on a plane. Different rules preserve different properties. A conformal projection preserves local angles. An equal-area projection preserves proportional area. An equidistant projection preserves selected distances, not every distance between every pair of places. An azimuthal projection can preserve directions from a chosen centre. A compromise projection aims for a balanced appearance without preserving one property exactly.

Mercator's projection, published in 1569, is conformal. Lines of constant compass bearing, called rhumb lines, appear straight. A navigator could plot a line, read its bearing against the meridians and hold that compass course, subject to winds, currents and the chart's limits. The projection made a real maritime plotting task easier.

Its cost is scale inflation away from the equator. Shapes remain locally recognisable, but area grows rapidly towards the poles. Greenland, at roughly 2.2 million square kilometres, can appear similar in size to Africa, at roughly 30.4 million. Africa is about fourteen times larger. Antarctica expands into a broad strip. The poles cannot be shown because the scale tends towards infinity.

This does not make Mercator a bad map. It makes it a bad general-purpose world map for comparing area. A specialised instrument is not dishonest because it performs another task poorly. The failure comes when a projection built for one property is presented as though it preserves the others.

Equal-area maps reverse the priority: land size and mapped totals become comparable, while shapes stretch. The Gall-Peters controversy made this trade public. Arno Peters promoted a cylindrical equal-area map in the 1970s as a corrective to Mercator's northern inflation. The area correction was real. Claims of novelty and freedom from distortion were not. James Gall had described the projection in the nineteenth century, and equal area does not preserve shape.

The intelligent choice begins with use. Conformal maps help where local angles matter. Equal area helps when comparing land size or quantities. A polar azimuthal map may clarify Arctic routes. Precise city-scale work often uses a local projection that controls distortion over a small region. Many global web maps use a spherical Mercator form because its square geometry fits tiled, continuously zoomable screens, despite severe high-latitude area distortion and the exclusion of the poles.

Tissot's indicatrix exposes the bargain. Imagine identical tiny circles spread over the globe and carried through the projection. Changes in their size and shape show where area, scale, angle and form have changed.

There is no correct rectangular world map waiting to replace all the others. Choose the property needed for the question, inspect where distortion grows, and stop judging projections by familiarity alone.

Coordinates Are Agreements

A map becomes more than a picture when another person can recover the same location from a measurement. That requires a coordinate, a model of the Earth and a method connecting observations to both.

Latitude expresses position north or south of the equator. Mariners could estimate it from the altitude of the Sun or known stars. Longitude expresses position east or west of a chosen prime meridian. It was harder because it is a problem of time. The Earth turns through 360 degrees in about twenty-four hours, so one hour between local solar time and reference time corresponds to fifteen degrees of longitude. The principle was understood long before clocks could preserve reference time through a long voyage.

The grid looks natural once printed across a globe, but only latitude has a physically privileged zero at the equator. Longitude needs a selected meridian. Greenwich became the international reference through nineteenth-century coordination, established chart use and British maritime power. The planet did not mark the line for the delegates in 1884.

A coordinate is incomplete without a reference system. The Earth is not a perfect sphere. Rotation makes it wider at the equator, while gravity and terrain make the physical surface irregular. Geodesists use an ellipsoid, a smooth mathematical model, and a datum or reference frame that fixes coordinates to the Earth. Heights may refer to a geoid, a gravity-based surface close to mean sea level, rather than to the ellipsoid used for satellite positioning.

This is why two precise systems can place the same feature differently. Britain's OSGB36 National Grid was built around national triangulation and a model fitted to Great Britain. Modern GNSS surveying in Britain uses ETRS89, while GPS operates in WGS 84, a global Earth-centred frame. Ordnance Survey supplies transformations connecting satellite-derived positions to OSGB36 and national heights. Relabelling a pair of numbers does not perform that transformation.

Before satellites, national frameworks were built through survey networks.

Triangulation starts from a baseline measured with exceptional care. Surveyors observe angles from its ends to a distant visible point, creating a triangle whose other sides can be calculated. Those sides support further triangles. The framework spreads across the country, tied to marks on the ground and adjusted as measurements accumulate.

Satellite positioning moves the known points into orbit but preserves the logic of measured relationships. A GPS receiver estimates ranges from signal travel times. Observations from at least four satellites normally solve three position coordinates and the receiver's clock error. More satellites, multiple frequencies and correction services can improve the result.

The blue dot is an estimate, not a direct report from space. Quality depends on satellite geometry, atmospheric delay, blocked or reflected signals and receiver design. A phone may combine several satellite constellations with Wi-Fi, mobile networks, motion sensors and map matching. Software may move the estimate onto a road because the road is more plausible than the raw position.

Official GPS performance commitments concern the signal in space, not a promise that every phone will locate every user to the same number of metres. Open-sky consumer positions are often accurate within a few metres. Indoors, among tall buildings, under trees or beside reflective surfaces, errors can be far larger. Surveyors seeking centimetres use different equipment, corrections and procedures.

Coordinates make location repeatable, not context-free. A coordinate is a claim inside a reference frame, with units and uncertainty; in high-precision or moving frames, its reference epoch matters too. For finding a café, most of that can stay hidden. For a property corner, aircraft approach, buried cable or moving tectonic plate, it separates usable precision from confidence theatre.

The Map as Statistical Argument

A reference map asks where selected things are. A thematic map asks how a measured phenomenon varies across space. The moment data become colour, size or texture, cartography becomes visual statistics.

The ingredients may be counts, rates, categories, estimates or model outputs. The maker chooses geographic units, joins records to them, handles missing values, classifies the result and assigns symbols. By the time the reader sees coloured regions, the apparent pattern has passed through an analytical pipeline.

Consider disease. Suppose one district records 500 cases and another 300. A map of counts makes the first look worse. If the first contains a million people and the second 100,000, the rates reverse the impression. Totals suit a question about workload, such as hospital beds. Rates suit a question about individual risk. Each answers something different.

Choropleth maps shade areas by value. They are familiar because administrative statistics arrive by district, county or country. Should values be split into equal intervals, quantiles containing the same number of areas, or clusters based on the distribution? How many classes should there be? Change the rule and a middle value can move from a pale class to a dark one without the data changing.

Area itself can mislead. A large rural district dominates the page even if few people live there. An election choropleth gives empty land more ink than dense cities. Dot density, proportional symbols or a cartogram may reveal the human distribution better. A cartogram changes area so that regions grow or shrink with population or another variable. Geographic shape suffers, but visual weight moves towards the quantity under discussion.

Boundaries create another trap. Aggregate the same observations into different districts and the pattern, average or correlation can change. This is the modifiable areal unit problem. A cluster visible by postcode may dissolve by ward; a relationship found across counties may weaken across smaller tracts. The map shows data after a partition has been imposed, not one

natural geography.

The ecological fallacy follows when group patterns are assigned to individuals. A district with a high average income still contains poor residents. An area with a high vote share for one party contains many voters for another. The map colours the unit, then the mind fills it with uniform people.

Colour adds rhetoric. Darker usually reads as more. A diverging scheme can show movement above and below a meaningful midpoint; a sequential scheme can show low to high values. Rainbow scales can create false visual breaks and be difficult for readers with common forms of colour-vision deficiency. Direct labels, texture and tested palettes can carry meaning that colour alone cannot.

Uncertainty is often the first casualty of clean design. Survey estimates have margins of error. Flood extents depend on assumptions. Satellite classifications confuse some land covers. Yet the final map may give each boundary a crisp edge and each cell a definite colour. Ranges, texture, transparency, multiple views or an accompanying explanation can keep uncertainty visible.

A thematic map can reveal a pattern no table makes legible. It can also build one through denominator, unit, classification and omission. Read the title, legend, geography and date as premises of an argument. The colours are the conclusion.

When Lines Acquire Authority

Maps have long helped people move, remember and explain. Their political force appears when an institution can use a mapped category to tax, grant, insure, police, exclude or build.

A cadastral map represents land as parcels and links each parcel to an owner, tenant, value or legal record. That sounds descriptive until the closed shape conflicts with seasonal grazing, common rights, customary use or a boundary understood through landmarks. The map favours claims that can

be stabilised as polygons. Once a parcel has an identifier, it can be registered, valued, sold, mortgaged or seized. The line matters because offices and courts agree to act through it.

National surveys extend the logic. A triangulated framework lets local plans, military positions, roads and property records fit together. Standard names and symbols make distant territory legible to central institutions. The result can support drainage, engineering, taxation, enclosure or artillery. Geometry does not reveal which use is morally preferable. It supplies a common surface on which action becomes possible.

Navigation charts show why institutional authority can be necessary. A hydrographic office decides which soundings are reliable, where a wreck is dangerous, how a channel is named and when an old survey is unsafe. The chart is a compact operational judgement backed by continuing survey and correction. A mariner who ignores it may run aground; one who treats it as timeless may do the same.

Thematic maps add populations and conditions to measured territory. John Snow's 1854 cholera map placed deaths around the Broad Street pump within an argument already built from interviews, case locations and water-supply evidence. The map made concentration visible. It strengthened causal reasoning but did not perform the whole investigation by itself, and the pump-handle story often compresses a longer inquiry into one image.

Maps can formalise prejudice. During the 1930s, the Home Owners' Loan Corporation created residential security maps for more than 200 American cities. Appraisals incorporated racial and ethnic composition, and many neighbourhoods with Black residents received the lowest grade, coloured red. The documents converted discriminatory judgement into a portable administrative form.

The causal history needs precision. Redlining and federal discrimination predated the HOLC maps. Later studies disagree about how much the maps themselves changed credit and neighbourhood outcomes. One found

long-run effects around grade boundaries; another found that the Federal Housing Administration had developed its own exclusionary practices and that HOLC maps had limited influence on the geography of federal mortgage activity. The secure conclusion is not that one set of coloured sheets created American housing segregation. Mapping participated in a wider system that classified neighbourhoods through race and made the classifications easier to circulate.

Power is not held by states or banks alone. Indigenous communities and local groups map customary land, place names, resources and environmental damage omitted from official records. Humanitarian mappers add roads and buildings after disasters. Counter-mapping can matter because institutions recognise mapped evidence as a language of claim, while local knowledge can expose what official categories miss.

Mapped borders expose the distinction. A border has a political history and lived geography beyond its linework. The concern here is representation: a disputed, porous or seasonal boundary can appear thin, crisp and settled. The symbol may be needed for administration while hiding checkpoints, mixed communities, movement and disagreement on the ground.

A map does not command by itself. Law, money, habit and force give it effect. Its contribution is to make selected features stable enough for institutions to coordinate around them. Once a category enters a register, planning rule or database, later users may inherit an earlier decision as a fact.

The Database Beneath the Picture

A paper map presents one composed answer. A geographic information system stores geometry, attributes and rules separately, then lets the user assemble many possible answers.

GIS links location to attributes. A point can carry a clinic's address and capacity. A line can carry a road's speed limit and direction. A polygon can carry a parcel's owner or a district's population. A raster divides space into cells, each holding a value such as elevation, temperature or reflected light.

The visual map is one output from the underlying data and operations.

Vector data use points, lines and polygons. They suit features conceived as discrete objects: wells, roads, buildings, parcels. Raster data use a grid. They suit continuous fields and imagery: height, rainfall, land-surface temperature, satellite bands. Neither form is closer to reality in every case. A river can be a centreline for routing, a polygon for land management or a raster surface in a flood model.

Layers can be overlaid reliably only after their spatial references are reconciled. Put terrain, roads and settlements in the same coordinate reference system. Add a proposed route. Ask which homes fall inside a noise zone, which slopes exceed a safe gradient, or which hospitals can be reached within a travel-time threshold. A spatial join attaches information by location. Overlay creates areas where conditions intersect. Network analysis finds paths through connected lines with costs assigned to distance, time or restrictions.

Topology records relationships such as connection, containment and shared boundary. A road network must know which lines meet. A parcel dataset should not contain unintended gaps or overlaps. Two lines that appear to touch may fail to connect if their coordinates differ slightly. The error can break a route or leave a sliver of land with no owner. GIS makes spatial reasoning programmable, which repeats small modelling choices at scale.

Remote sensing supplies many modern layers. Aircraft and satellite sensors measure reflected or emitted energy in selected wavelength bands. The resulting image is not yet a map. Pixels need location, geometric correction and sometimes atmospheric correction. Different bands may show vegetation, moisture or heat that human eyes cannot see. Classification algorithms then assign categories such as forest, water or urban land. Each stage adds information and an opportunity for error.

Resolution has several meanings. Spatial resolution concerns ground area per pixel. Spectral resolution concerns wavelength bands. Temporal resolution concerns how often a place is observed. Radiometric resolution

concerns sensitivity to differences in energy. A sharper image may be older, cover fewer bands or contain cloud. Higher spatial resolution can reveal buildings while making a global analysis costly and inconsistent.

A flood map might combine elevation, river flow, rainfall assumptions, defences and building data. Its crisp outline is the final display of many inputs. The correct question extends beyond whether the line was drawn well. It includes whether the layers share compatible dates, scales, datums, resolutions, populations and definitions. Metadata is part of the evidence, even when the design hides it.

Location lets datasets meet. Records collected for different purposes can be linked because they refer to the same place or person. That enables emergency planning and scientific discovery. It also enables surveillance, discriminatory targeting and false inference when an address match is mistaken for causation.

GIS does not replace cartography. It separates collection, data modelling, analysis and display so each can change. Standards let systems exchange coordinates and services, but interoperability does not guarantee compatible meaning. A poor dataset can support a beautiful map. A responsible workflow preserves provenance well enough that users can tell what was measured, joined, inferred and designed.

The Map That Chooses Back

The modern map appears to arrive from nowhere. Open an app and a clean world assembles around your position. Roads, labels and businesses change as you zoom. Traffic colours pulse. A route bends around delay. The display looks less authored than a paper atlas and is more heavily constructed.

Web maps commonly divide the world into square tiles arranged in zoom levels. Each higher level contains more tiles and permits finer detail. A widespread scheme uses a spherical Mercator variant because it fits a square, regular tile pyramid and behaves smoothly during local interaction. It inherits severe area inflation towards the poles and cannot display the poles

themselves. Its dominance reflects interaction and software convenience, not a judgement that it is the best world map.

Zooming does not reveal one fixed map at increasing magnification. It moves among representations. A city may be a label at one level, a boundary at another and a road network at another. Minor streets appear after major roads. Labels move or disappear to avoid collisions. The database may contain a feature while the style decides that the user should not see it yet.

Search adds selection before anything is drawn. Type a place name and the system must resolve ambiguity, relate names in different languages, match categories and order possible results. A blank result can mean absent, closed, unverified, misspelt, poorly categorised or ranked below alternatives. Silence is produced by a database, query and relevance model.

Routing changes the map from description into instruction. A network stores permitted directions, turn restrictions and estimated costs. The algorithm compares possible paths and optimises an objective, often predicted travel time. An avoid setting may bias the search against tolls, motorways or ferries rather than guarantee total exclusion. The highlighted route is an answer to a formalised question. It cannot count a dark footpath, difficult crossing, scenic preference or temporary obstruction unless somebody has represented that fact.

Displays and results can differ by language, travel mode, location, query, selected filters, provider data, jurisdictional labelling rules and moment. The old public sheet could be laid on a table and compared. A changing screen may leave no stable object showing what another user saw.

The labour has not vanished. Survey agencies maintain reference frameworks. Governments and companies collect addresses, roads, imagery, traffic and business records. Contractors verify listings. Local contributors correct names and paths. Standards bodies define ways for systems to exchange coordinates and tiles. The seamless screen is the

surface of maintained infrastructure.

OpenStreetMap makes part of the labour visible because contributors edit a shared geographic database used by many maps and routers. Following Haiti's earthquake on 12 January 2010, about 600 remote volunteers spent three weeks building a base-layer map for Haiti nearly from scratch, using newly released imagery and other sources. Humanitarian organisations used the data. Later field work and Haitian mapping groups exposed the limit: rapid remote tracing is powerful, but it does not replace local knowledge of names, access, use and change.

Digital maps decay unevenly. Roads open, shops close and access rules change. A display may place current traffic on old road geometry, a recent image beside a stale address register and a live marker over a modelled boundary. The visual join conceals the different vintages.

The causal loop now closes. A map becomes useful by reducing the world to selected relationships. Computation makes that reduction dynamic, personalised and capable of action. The map filters what can be searched, ranks what deserves attention and recommends what to do next. Its classifications and costs help create the set of choices the user perceives.

Reading such a map well does not require escaping the machine. It requires recovering the purpose, data, objective and uncertainty from an interface that usually keeps them out of view.

How It Actually Works

Before paper

A map begins before drawing. A traveller must carry a relationship beyond direct sight: which ridge comes after the river, how a swell bends near an island, how long water lasts after the rains, where a route divides. Such knowledge can live in memory, story, song, gesture and repeated practice. It is not an incomplete paper map. It is a spatial system built around what the traveller needs to notice and remember.

Marshallese stick charts make the difference visible. Curved and straight sticks represented relationships among ocean swells and islands; shells could mark land. Uses varied by chart and community, but navigators often studied them as teaching and memory devices rather than consulting them at sea like European paper charts. The represented world was organised by moving water, not by a coastline viewed from above.

Worlds in clay and manuscript

A late Babylonian clay tablet, commonly dated to the sixth century BCE, contains one of the oldest surviving world maps. It places Babylon within a circular landmass surrounded by the Bitter River and marks distant regions beyond. Geography, cosmology and political order share the same small object. Babylon's centrality is not a failed survey. It tells the reader which place orders this world.

Greek mathematical geography added a different ambition. In the third century BCE, Eratosthenes estimated the Earth's circumference from a difference in solar angle and an estimated distance between places. The surviving account is later and leaves parts of the procedure uncertain, but the result showed that a planetary measurement could be built from local observations. Ptolemy, writing in the second century CE, organised places by latitude and longitude and described ways to project a spherical world. His coordinates contained large errors, especially in longitude, yet the framework allowed a map to be reconstructed from numbers rather than copied from one drawing.

No single civilisation carried this work forward in a straight line. Scholars writing in Arabic translated, criticised and extended Greek geography, combined it with astronomical calculation and travel reports, and produced regional and world maps for their own courts and readers. Al-Idrisi's twelfth-century geography for Roger II of Sicily divided the inhabited world into climatic bands and regional sections. The world maps in surviving manuscripts place south at the top. They are only upside down if north-up has already been mistaken for nature.

Chinese cartography followed its own administrative and mathematical traditions. The *Yu Ji Tu*, engraved on stone in 1136, maps China's coasts and river systems across a regular grid of more than five thousand squares. Its exact source materials are not recoverable, but the grid made proportional control explicit. Measurement, government and cosmology were being combined far beyond the Mediterranean.

In medieval Europe, some world maps placed east at the top, where Paradise and the rising Sun could sit. Their purpose was moral and sacred as well as locational. Meanwhile, practical route knowledge appeared in other forms. Portolan charts from the Mediterranean world, emerging around the late thirteenth century, drew coasts and harbours with dense networks of direction lines. They were strikingly effective for sailing between known ports even though their construction history remains debated.

The ocean becomes repeatable

Long-distance maritime expansion demanded charts that could be corrected by repeated voyages. Compass bearings, estimated speed, elapsed time and observed latitude fed dead reckoning. Coastal outlines accumulated from many journeys. The map became part of a feedback system: voyages improved charts, and improved charts made routes easier to repeat.

Mercator entered this world in 1569. His wall map offered a projection on which a constant compass bearing formed a straight line. The mathematics did not eliminate the sailor's problems. A rhumb-line route is usually longer than the shortest great-circle path, currents and magnetic variation matter, and longitude remained difficult. Yet the projection joined a curved Earth to a practical plotting method with unusual elegance.

Printing widened the audience and stabilised designs. Abraham Ortelius's *Theatrum Orbis Terrarum*, first published in 1570, gathered maps from different makers into a coordinated atlas. Standardisation made maps easier to compare and also allowed errors, names and claims to circulate together. An atlas did not merely collect knowledge. It gave the world a repeatable visual order.

The sea gets a clock

Latitude could be checked from the sky. Longitude remained slippery because dead reckoning accumulated error and astronomical methods were demanding at sea. A major practical advance came when portable timekeeping became reliable enough to preserve the time at a reference meridian through a long voyage. Compare that time with local noon and the time difference gives longitude.

John Harrison's marine timekeepers became the famous British part of the solution, although reliable longitude at sea emerged through decades of trials, lunar-distance methods, improved chronometers, astronomical tables, skilled observation and institutional argument. By the nineteenth century, marine chronometers, sextants, almanacs and increasingly standard charts worked together. No single instrument located a ship. Position came from a chain of observation, time, calculation and plotted judgement.

The chart carried its own uncertainty. Soundings were sparse, coastlines came from surveys of different dates, and reefs could lie between measured tracks. Hydrographic offices issued corrections because a navigational map is a maintained safety system. The small printed note about survey date could matter more than the beauty of the coastline.

Chronometers did not abolish judgement. A clock could gain or lose time, and a four-second error corresponds to about one nautical mile of longitude at the equator. Mariners compared instruments, recorded their rates and checked them against astronomical observations when possible. Longitude became manageable by tracking error, not by pretending the clock was perfect. A precise reading still depended on knowing which meridian the chart treated as zero.

A common meridian, an uncommon decision

Coordinates became easier to exchange as states agreed on standards. Longitude needs a zero line, but the Earth does not mark one. Many observatories and national charts once used their own prime meridians. In 1884 delegates at an international conference recommended Greenwich as the common prime meridian for longitude and the basis of a universal day. Widespread use of British nautical charts made continuity attractive; British maritime power helped create that starting position. The vote coordinated existing systems. It did not discover the Earth's natural zero.

Standardisation reduced friction. A ship, survey and atlas could refer to the same longitude. It also privileged institutions able to set and maintain the reference. Modern coordinate systems repeat the pattern at a higher technical level. WGS 84 supplies a global Earth-centred frame; national systems can provide a better local fit or preserve continuity with older records. Shared standards make data interoperable, but conversion errors occur when users forget that a familiar pair of numbers is attached to one system among several.

The state measures its ground

Early modern estate and cadastral maps converted local land into records of tenure and value. Military engineers mapped fortifications and routes. States increasingly wanted continuous coverage rather than isolated plans.

The Ordnance Survey's origins show how national mapping grew from war, science and administration together. After the Jacobite rising of 1745, a military survey of Scotland supported control and road building. Decades later, William Roy led a geodetic survey to connect the observatories at Greenwich and Paris. In 1784 he measured a baseline of about 8.35 kilometres across Hounslow Heath. Angles observed with Jesse Ramsden's Great Theodolite extended the framework, and the work fed into the national survey formally begun by the Board of Ordnance in 1791.

Triangulation turned the landscape into a network of interdependent measurements. Errors could not be corrected by moving one point alone

because each triangle connected to others. Surveyors carried instruments to hills, cleared sight lines, erected signals and repeated observations. The clean printed grid compressed years of weather, labour and calculation.

The twentieth-century retriangulation replaced the older patchwork with a more consistent national framework. Concrete trig pillars gave survey instruments stable stations. A rectangular National Grid made coordinates convenient within Britain. Later electronic distance measurement, aerial photography and satellite geodesy reduced reliance on intervisible hilltops, but the old pillars remain as monuments to a time when national location was built one angle at a time.

Maps enter ordinary life

National surveys also created a mass public object. Standard sheet series let walkers, engineers, teachers and businesses use the same reference framework. Railways and motor travel produced route maps for people who did not own land, command troops or conduct science. Cheap printing put cartographic conventions into pockets and glove compartments.

The growth of public mapping changed design. A survey sheet could assume patient reading; a road map had to reveal a route quickly; a tourist map had to select attractions without losing orientation. Beck's Underground diagram was one response to a network too dense for the old street-map logic. Its success showed that ordinary users would accept large geographic distortions when the operational structure became clearer.

Mass use also hardened conventions. North-up, familiar colours, road hierarchies and standard symbols began to feel natural because millions learned them together. Shared conventions speed reading, but they can exclude users who cannot distinguish the chosen colours, read small labels or infer a visual hierarchy. They also make alternative representations look wrong before their purpose has been considered.

Height becomes a line

Relief posed a persistent design problem. Hills can be drawn pictorially, shaded as if lit from one direction or represented through hachures showing slope. Contour lines offered a more measurable solution. Each contour joins points of equal elevation. Close spacing indicates a steep slope; wide spacing indicates a gentler one. The reader reconstructs a three-dimensional surface from nested lines on a flat sheet.

Contours show how conventions become perceptual skills. At first they look like loops. With practice, valleys, ridges, saddles and summits emerge. The map has taught the eye a code. The terrain did not contain lines at every ten metres. The lines are an analytical slice, chosen at an interval that fits the scale and purpose.

Mapping what cannot be seen from one place

During the nineteenth century, thematic mapping expanded rapidly as maps showed social and physical distributions assembled from many observations. Alexander von Humboldt used isothermal lines to compare temperature across regions. Charles Dupin shaded French departments by education. Charles Joseph Minard combined geography, troop numbers, temperature and time in his famous graphic of Napoleon's 1812 campaign. Snow mapped cholera deaths around Broad Street.

These works helped establish thematic cartography as a way to reason about processes. The map could show a gradient, concentration or relation that no observer could witness directly. It also inherited the statistical weaknesses of its data. Census categories reflected administrative priorities. Disease records missed cases. Boundaries changed. A persuasive pattern could outrun the evidence beneath it.

The view from above

Photography altered surveying by recording many details at once. Aerial photographs could be viewed stereoscopically to estimate height and used to update topographic maps. They also required interpretation and correction because camera tilt, terrain and lens geometry displaced features.

Satellites extended observation across the planet. Landsat 1 launched on 23 July 1972 and began a programme that now provides the longest continuous space-based record of the Earth's land surface. Remote sensing made forests, crops, water, heat and urban growth measurable through repeated images. The result was never a direct global photograph. Sensors sampled selected wavelengths at finite resolution; clouds, atmosphere, orbit and processing shaped what could be inferred.

Paper layers before digital layers

Long before computers, planners and scientists combined mapped information by placing transparent sheets over one another. A base map might receive separate overlays for geology, slope, soils, water and settlement. The stack made coincidence visible. Areas that looked suitable in one layer could become unsuitable when another was added.

This method influenced landscape planning, including the work collected by Ian McHarg in *Design with Nature* in 1969. The idea was attractive because it turned competing considerations into a spatial comparison. Yet an overlay does not decide how much each factor matters. A rare habitat, steep slope and cheap parcel cannot be added as though they share one natural unit. Weighting and thresholds introduce values even when the final suitability map appears technical.

Computers made the operation faster, repeatable and easier to vary. The Canada Geographic Information System, developed in the 1960s under Roger Tomlinson for the Canada Land Inventory, is conventionally described as the first operational GIS, although computer mapping and spatial analysis had several precursors. It was built to analyse land

capability across enormous areas, where manual overlay and area calculation were too slow. At Harvard, Howard Fisher's SYMAP and the Laboratory for Computer Graphics and Spatial Analysis developed computer mapping for social and urban data. These projects joined cartography to databases and algorithms.

The early output could look crude. Line printers and limited displays offered little graphic elegance. Their importance lay beneath the appearance. A computer could store a feature once, associate it with attributes and run the same operation across many regions. Cartography gained an analytical engine while inheriting the classifications and omissions in the source data.

The database takes over

Once early GIS proved that layers could be stored and analysed, the fixed sheet ceased to be the centre of the system. Geographic features became records. A road could have geometry, name, surface, access rule and speed. A district could link to census values. A satellite scene could be stored as a grid with a date, resolution and sensor.

The change separated collection from display. The same data could support a planning map, a route network or a public atlas. Updating one feature no longer required engraving a new plate. Operations such as buffering, overlay, geocoding and network analysis could be repeated across thousands of records.

Desktop GIS spread through government, utilities, science and business during the late twentieth century. National mapping agencies and census bureaux converted paper archives into digital frameworks. The gain was speed and recombination. The new weakness was inherited error at scale: a mistaken code, shifted datum or incomplete address could pass through many products before a human saw it.

Addresses brought another conversion. A name and number had to become a coordinate, often through imperfect matching against street ranges or address registers. Misspellings, renamed streets and informal settlements could fail silently. Geocoding made millions of records spatially analysable,

while giving unmatched places a new form of invisibility. The database could map only what its reference files recognised.

The map became the visible surface of a database. Its apparent clarity now depended on hidden schemas, joins and defaults.

From sheet edges to continuous screens

Paper map series divide territory into sheets. The division creates practical annoyances: a village may fall in a corner, a route may cross several maps, and detail is fixed at publication. Digital databases removed the sheet as the basic storage unit. The user could pan across a continuous world and request a new display at each scale.

That change depended on several systems maturing together. Satellite positioning supplied location. Digital road networks supplied connectivity. Aerial and satellite imagery supplied repeated coverage. Faster computers stored and rendered large datasets. The public web supplied distribution.

Early online maps often reproduced paper conventions on a screen. Interactive mapping then adopted the slippery map: drag to pan, scroll or pinch to zoom, search for a place, place the user near the centre. Tiles allowed servers to deliver small pieces of a larger map rather than redraw the whole world for every request. Vector tiles later let the client restyle features and labels dynamically.

OpenStreetMap, founded in Britain in 2004, separated a shared geographic database from any single finished map. Contributors record roads, paths, buildings, amenities and tags; different renderers and routing systems can use the same records. The model makes a central fact explicit: the database is not the map. Each displayed map is one selection, style and set of rules applied to it.

The separation also exposes uneven knowledge. Places with active contributors may gain exquisite detail. Other areas remain sparse. Roads attractive to remote tracing are easier to add than local access rules, informal names or seasonal conditions. Openness permits correction, but it

does not distribute attention automatically.

Maps begin to move

A paper map freezes a date. A digital map can represent time as a variable. Traffic speed changes by minute. Weather radar animates recent observations. A disease dashboard adds new reports. Satellite archives allow comparison across decades. The map becomes a sequence, sometimes a forecast.

Movement creates new design obligations. An animation can make a slow change look dramatic if time is compressed. A cumulative map can appear to worsen even when the current rate falls. A live label may disguise reporting delay. Forecast cones can be read as the size of a storm rather than the uncertainty in its future centre. Time requires a legend as much as colour does.

It also changes authority. The newest display feels best, though a hurried update may contain weaker validation than a slower official release. A map marked live can mix current measurements, old baselines and modelled gaps. Recency is one property of evidence, not a guarantee of fitness for the decision.

How we know

The history of maps survives unevenly. Clay, monumental stone and prestigious manuscripts are over-represented. Working charts were worn out, corrected, lost at sea or kept secret. Traditions carried through performance, memory and perishable materials left fewer museum objects. Claims about one first map or a clean march from symbolic to scientific mapping are therefore unsafe.

Projection properties and modern geodesy are mathematically testable. Historical uses and political effects are harder to isolate. A map may record a policy, help administer it, persuade later users or merely survive as its most visible artefact. The HOLC maps, John Snow's dot map and imperial surveys all require evidence beyond the image itself before causation is

assigned.

Digital maps create a different gap. Their data, styles and rankings change continuously, while commercial systems disclose only part of their methods. The interface can be inspected; the full decision chain often cannot. Today's mapping record may be abundant in volume and poor in stability. A screen can change without leaving the durable edition that allowed historians to compare one paper map with the next.

What People Get Wrong

“A good map shows everything”

A map that tries to show everything makes the important relationships harder to find. The world contains more detail than any display can carry, and many features cannot be drawn at their true size. Selection, generalisation and exaggeration are conditions of legibility.

The mistaken model comes from treating omission as carelessness. Sometimes it is. A missing settlement, footpath or hazard can matter. Yet adding every available feature creates symbol collisions, hides hierarchy and slows the reader. A road atlas excludes geology because geology would obstruct its job; a geological map may reduce roads to reference lines.

The better test is consequence. What has been removed, why was it removed, and could the omission reverse the decision a likely user will make? A map of wheelchair access that omits steps has failed even if the streets are beautifully surveyed. A Tube diagram that omits street widths has not. Completeness is one accuracy measure, not the whole standard. Fitness for purpose, disclosure and proportion matter as well.

Cartographic hierarchy is the practical answer. Features needed for the task receive stronger symbols, clearer labels or earlier appearance as the user zooms. Secondary information is reduced so that it can support orientation without competing with the main message. This hierarchy can favour the

wrong users, but a map without hierarchy merely transfers the selection problem to a reader who has less time and less knowledge of the data.

“Mercator was designed to make Europe look important”

Gerardus Mercator published his projection in 1569 for navigation. Its mathematical property makes a constant compass bearing appear as a straight line. That made a real plotting task at sea easier. Web mapping later adopted a related form for different reasons, including regular square tiling and smooth zoom.

The political criticism points to a real visual effect. Mercator enlarges high latitudes, so Europe, northern Asia, Canada and Greenland occupy more area than their land size warrants. Repeated use as a classroom world map can encourage false intuitions about continental size. Those effects deserve correction.

They do not prove the projection was invented as a colonial sizing trick. Intent, property and later use are different questions. Mercator can be technically appropriate for navigation, misleading for area comparison and politically consequential when presented as the normal world. Replacing it with an equal-area projection helps one task, but does not produce a distortion-free or politically innocent map. The responsible response is comparison: place Mercator beside equal-area, compromise and polar views, then state which property each preserves. The correction is cartographic literacy, not the coronation of another rectangle.

“North belongs at the top”

North-up feels natural because it is familiar. The Earth provides no top edge. In space, up depends on the observer and the task.

Map traditions have used several orientations. East occupied the upper edge of some medieval European world maps, placing the rising Sun and Paradise in that honoured direction. Surviving world maps from al-Idrisi's work put south along the upper edge. Route maps may align with the

traveller's direction. Polar maps place a pole at the centre. A phone can rotate the display so the direction of travel faces upward.

North-up became widespread through convention, printing, navigation, European imperial reach and later global standardisation. A shared orientation lowers cognitive effort because users know where to look. The mistake is converting convenience into hierarchy. Turning a world map upside down changes no latitude, area or distance, but it can expose how quickly visual position acquires meanings such as superior, central or dominant.

“Satellites show the world directly”

A satellite image looks like the least mediated map because a sensor has recorded the surface from above. The impression hides the chain between energy and display.

Sensors measure radiation in selected wavelength bands. Pixels represent finite ground areas. Atmosphere, cloud, viewing angle, terrain and instrument characteristics affect the signal. Images are corrected, georeferenced, resampled and often combined from different dates. Colours may reproduce approximate human vision or assign visible colours to infrared and other bands so that vegetation, moisture or heat becomes easier to interpret.

The result can contain evidence unavailable to human vision or any observer on the ground. It remains a constructed observation rather than an unprocessed window. A seamless basemap may stitch imagery from many flights, seasons and suppliers. A sharp roof beside a blurred field may reflect different source dates rather than a real boundary. The correct question is not whether an image is real. It is what was sensed, when, at what resolution, and through which processing.

Even an image labelled natural colour may contain adjustments for haze, contrast and display. Orthorectification can move pixels so that roads and roofs align with a coordinate grid. Those corrections usually improve geographic use. They also show why the opposition between a truthful

photograph and an interpreted map is false: measurement becomes useful through calibration, geometry and choice.

“GPS knows exactly where you are”

GPS does not find a labelled point called your location and send it to your phone. A receiver estimates position from the travel time of radio signals broadcast by satellites whose orbits and clocks are modelled with high precision.

Several measurements solve the receiver's three-dimensional position and clock error. Satellite geometry, atmospheric delay, blockage, reflected signals and receiver quality all shape the estimate. Phones may combine GPS with other satellite systems, Wi-Fi, mobile networks and motion sensors. Software may then match the result to a road or path.

In open conditions, consumer positions are often within a few metres. That is remarkable and not exact. Official GPS commitments describe signal performance in space; user accuracy also depends on geometry, atmosphere, obstruction, multipath and receiver design. Surveyors seeking centimetres use better antennas, multiple frequencies, correction services and controlled procedures. The uncertainty circle shown by many mapping apps is not decorative. It is the interface admitting uncertainty.

“A coloured map lets the data speak”

Data have no preferred colour, boundary or class break. A thematic map gives them a visual grammar.

A choropleth of raw totals may mainly show where more people live. Rates may answer risk better, but unstable rates in small populations can swing wildly. Equal intervals, quantiles and statistically defined classes can place the same area in different colours. Large regions dominate visual attention. Administrative boundaries may split one neighbourhood and merge several unlike ones.

None of this makes thematic mapping arbitrary. Good practice matches denominator, unit, classification and symbol to the question, tests

alternatives and shows uncertainty where it matters. The warning is against passive reading. When a map seems to reveal an obvious cluster, inspect the legend and ask whether the pattern survives another reasonable classification or geography. The picture is an analysis, not the raw voice of the dataset. A second defensible map, using another denominator, classification or geography, is often the quickest test of whether the first pattern is robust or designed into view.

“Digital maps removed the cartographer”

Digital maps removed the single visible sheet and named draughtsman. They did not remove selection.

Someone chooses the data model, place names, zoom thresholds, colours and label priorities. Someone defines a road's speed, access and direction. Search systems rank results. Route planners assign costs. Imagery is selected by date and cloud cover. Automated processes make millions of such decisions, but automation does not remove selection.

The authorship is distributed among survey agencies, platform companies, local authorities, contractors, contributors and software libraries. That can improve a map because many people correct it. It can also make accountability difficult when a place is missing or an instruction causes harm.

A paper map asked the reader to trust an institution printed in the margin. A digital map asks the reader to trust a pipeline. The need for cartographic judgement has grown because the display can now change by user, scale, query and moment. It also matters that different organisations can render the same geographic database differently. OpenStreetMap data can support a road map, a cycling map, an accessibility map or a humanitarian map. The underlying features do not dictate one visual truth. Styling and filtering remain acts of authorship even when the data are shared.

Use It

Ask what job the map was built to do

Begin with purpose before judging appearance. A map can be excellent for one task and dangerous for another because different jobs require different relationships to survive.

Mercator is useful for plotting steady compass bearings and poor for comparing continental area. A Tube diagram is useful for choosing where to change and poor for judging whether two stations are easier to walk between. A property plan can settle which parcel a document refers to while saying little about drainage, access or lived use. A flood model can guide emergency planning without predicting the precise waterline around one future house.

State the question in a sentence. Am I locating, navigating, comparing, explaining, allocating or persuading? Then name the relationship the map must preserve. Direction, area, travel time, legal identity and statistical rate are different requirements. Many map arguments disappear once the task is named. Many serious errors appear because nobody named it. Also name the user. A child learning continents, a sailor plotting a bearing, a planner comparing service access and a resident contesting a development need different evidence and different visual emphasis. A map can fit the stated topic while failing the person expected to act on it.

Change the scale and projection

A pattern that exists at one view may disappear at another. Zoom out and local variation is aggregated. Zoom in and the regional context vanishes. A cluster may be one street, one district or one artefact of the units chosen.

When the conclusion matters, inspect at least one alternative scale. Look at the area around the apparent hotspot. Ask whether the boundary follows a real process or an administrative convenience. For a world map, compare a conformal and an equal-area view. For polar questions, use a polar projection. For distance from one centre, use an appropriate azimuthal map.

Do not demand that every projection look familiar. Familiarity often means that the distortion has become invisible. The useful comparison is between the properties needed for the decision, not between one map that looks normal and another that looks strange.

Read the legend as an argument

The legend contains premises that the image makes easy to overlook. Check the unit, denominator, date, source, geographic unit and classification.

A map labelled unemployment may show people, households, claimants, survey estimates or a percentage of the labour force. A crime map may show incidents, victims, calls or police records. A housing map may use sale price, asking price, median, mean or modelled value. Similar titles can conceal incompatible measurements.

Then inspect the classes. How many are there? Are breaks evenly spaced, based on quantiles or chosen around a policy threshold? Is the midpoint meaningful? Does the darkest colour represent twice the value of the next class or a tiny increase across a break? A continuous gradient can show nuance; classes can make decisions clearer. Neither should be accepted without reading the rule.

Look for missingness and uncertainty

Blank space is ambiguous. It may mean zero, no observation, suppressed data, failed geocoding, cloud, inaccessible terrain or a design choice. Those meanings should not share the same colour.

Check the data date against the decision date. A road layer, census layer and satellite image may come from different years. Ask what was measured directly and what was modelled. Look for confidence intervals, accuracy statements, resolution and known gaps. When uncertainty is absent from the display, find the metadata and reduce your confidence rather than treating the clean boundary as physical fact.

This matters most near thresholds. If a property sits just outside a mapped

risk zone, the line may reflect model resolution rather than a physical cliff. If a GPS point falls near a boundary, the position error may cross it. Decisions should not gain false precision merely because the map can draw a thin line. Compare the uncertainty with the size of the decision. A ten-metre position error is irrelevant to choosing a motorway and decisive when locating a buried utility. A district-level health estimate may guide regional staffing and say little about one street. Precision should be judged against use, not admired in isolation.

Ask who can act on the map

Power enters when a classification triggers consequences. A planning zone affects permission. A credit map affects investment. A conservation map can protect habitat or restrict local use. Predictive-policing systems can create a feedback risk: recorded incidents guide patrols, and concentrated patrols can generate more recorded incidents in the same places.

Ask who supplied the data, who chose the categories, who is represented and who can contest an error. A participatory map can add knowledge excluded from official records, but participation has its own boundaries: who attended, who had time, who controlled the final database?

The useful question is not whether a map used for allocation has political effects. It will. Ask whether the representation is accountable to the people who bear its consequences, whether an error can be contested and whether contrary evidence can change the record.

Separate an optimised route from a good decision

A route planner solves a defined problem. It may minimise estimated travel time, distance, fuel or turns while respecting known restrictions. The answer can be mathematically sound and practically poor because the model lacks what you value.

A walking route may ignore lighting, crowding, pavement quality or fear. A driving route may shift traffic through a residential street because the cost function counts seconds rather than disturbance. An emergency route may

fail when floodwater or debris has not reached the database. A scenic journey and a fastest journey are different optimisation problems.

Treat the recommended line as a proposal. Check alternatives when the stakes are high. Use signs, current conditions and local knowledge. The system can compare more paths than you can. It cannot decide which costs deserve to count unless those costs have entered its data and objective.

The limits

Cartographic literacy cannot make every map transparent. Commercial systems may hide ranking methods, data licences and update rules. Government maps may depend on restricted records. Historical maps may lack production notes. Some uncertainty cannot be quantified because the missing observations are unknown rather than random.

Scepticism does not replace expertise. Projection choice, geodetic transformation and spatial statistics can require specialist judgement. A reader who has learned that every map distorts may become insufferable without becoming useful. Distortion matters only after its type, magnitude and consequence are understood.

Responsive maps can alter the patterns they later display. A traffic app diverts drivers and changes traffic. A hotspot map can direct enforcement, which can alter where incidents are recorded. There may be no untouched pattern behind the representation once institutions respond to it.

The final limit is ethical. Better mapping can improve rescue, public health and access. It can also improve targeting, extraction and surveillance. Accuracy is a capability, not a moral direction, and greater precision can increase either benefit or harm.

The one thing to keep

Keep the chain visible.

Every map carries reality through a chain of purpose, measurement, reference, selection, symbol and use. A trustworthy map makes that chain

intelligible through its design, legend, metadata or limits. A dangerous map hides the steps while inviting the reader to believe that the display arrived without consequential choices.

This changes how the blue dot, coloured district and highlighted route appear. Instead of asking whether the map is true, ask what kind of truth it is fit to carry. Look for the job, scale, projection, data, date, uncertainty and authority. Compare another defensible view when the answer matters.

You cannot stand outside representation. No person can navigate a city, compare national disease rates or manage a water network from the full, uncompressed world. Models are the price of thought at scale. The task is to choose reductions that fit the question and to keep their costs available for inspection.

The mature reader avoids two errors. The first is naive realism: mistaking the map for the territory. The second is empty cynicism: treating every selection as manipulation and losing the ability to judge whether one map is better than another. Some maps are measured more carefully, designed more honestly and fitted to their purpose more closely.

A map helps you see by refusing to show almost everything. Seeing the world right means seeing what its refusal made possible, what it hid and what the resulting picture is now asking you to do.

Terms

Scale

The relationship between distance on a map and distance on the ground. A representative fraction such as 1:25,000 means one map unit equals 25,000 ground units. Scale also means level of detail and analytical extent, so changing it can alter both appearance and conclusion.

Generalisation

The selection, simplification, aggregation, displacement and exaggeration needed to make geographic information legible at a chosen scale. It is a designed reduction that changes with purpose and scale.

Projection

A mathematical rule that converts locations on a curved Earth model to a flat surface. Every world projection distorts some combination of area, shape, distance or direction.

Conformal projection

A projection that preserves local angles and therefore the shapes of minute features. Scale still changes across the map, so large regions can have severely distorted area.

Equal-area projection

A projection that preserves proportional area. If one region is twice the land area of another, it occupies twice the map area, while shape and angle may distort.

Equidistant projection

A projection that preserves distance along specified lines or from selected points. No flat map preserves every distance between every pair of locations on the globe.

Azimuthal projection

A projection arranged around a central point, often preserving direction or distance from that centre. Polar maps and maps of radio range commonly use azimuthal forms.

Tissot's indicatrix

A pattern of tiny equal circles imagined on the globe and shown after projection. Their changes in size and shape reveal local area, scale, angle and shape distortion.

Graticule

The network of latitude and longitude lines drawn on a map. It shows how the projection has transformed the geographic coordinate grid and helps locate positions.

Latitude

Angular position north or south of the equator. It can be related to the height of celestial bodies and was historically easier to estimate at sea than longitude.

Longitude

Angular position east or west of a prime meridian. Determining it at sea required comparing local time with accurate reference time or using astronomical methods.

Prime meridian

The chosen zero line for longitude. Greenwich became the widely adopted international reference in the late nineteenth century, but the location is a convention rather than a natural feature.

Ellipsoid

A smooth mathematical model of the Earth's shape, slightly flattened at the poles. It is easier to calculate with than the irregular physical planet and underlies many coordinate systems.

Geoid

An irregular gravity-based reference surface approximating global mean sea level. It helps define physical height, which is not identical to height above a mathematical ellipsoid.

Datum

A geodetic reference defining how coordinates and an ellipsoid or reference frame relate to Earth. With the wrong datum, precise numbers can point to the wrong place. Survey-grade coordinates may also need a reference epoch because the crust moves.

Coordinate reference system

The complete specification that tells software how coordinates relate to the Earth, including datum, axes, units and often projection. Mismatched systems can shift or distort layers even when the numbers look precise.

Triangulation

A surveying method that calculates positions through a network of measured angles based on at least one accurately measured side. It built many national geodetic frameworks before satellites.

Trilateration

Locating a point from measured distances to known points. Satellite positioning uses timed signals to estimate ranges, then solves position together with receiver clock error.

Contour

A line joining points of equal elevation, one member of the wider family of isolines. Contour spacing communicates slope: close lines indicate steep ground, while wide spacing indicates a gentler gradient.

Choropleth

A thematic map that shades areas according to a value. Rates and proportions usually suit it better than raw totals when the mapped areas contain different populations.

Cartogram

A map that deliberately resizes or reshapes areas according to a variable such as population. Geographic familiarity decreases so that the mapped quantity gains visual weight.

Modifiable areal unit problem

The tendency for mapped patterns and statistical results to change when observations are aggregated into differently sized or shaped zones. Administrative boundaries are analytical choices, not neutral containers.

Ecological fallacy

The error of assigning a group-level pattern to individuals within the group. A wealthy district contains poorer residents, and an electoral majority does not describe every voter.

Raster

A spatial data model made of cells in a grid. Each cell stores a value, making raster suitable for imagery and continuous surfaces such as elevation or temperature.

Vector

A spatial data model using coordinates to represent points, lines and polygons. It suits discrete features such as addresses, roads, buildings and administrative areas.

Topology

The stored relationships among spatial features, including connection, adjacency and containment. Correct topology lets software know that roads meet, parcels share edges and polygons do not overlap improperly.

Georeferencing

Assigning real-world coordinates to an image, drawing or dataset so that it aligns with other geographic information. Poor control points or transformations produce misplaced features.

Geocoding

Converting a place description, usually an address or name, into coordinates. Misspellings, renamed streets, informal settlements and incomplete reference files can cause false matches or leave real places unmatched.

Remote sensing

Measuring reflected or emitted energy from a distance, commonly with aircraft or satellites. Images require correction and interpretation before they become reliable geographic evidence.

GIS

A geographic information system: software, data, methods and people used to store, analyse and display information linked to location. The displayed map is one output from the system; joins, overlays and network calculations may be more important.

Go Deeper

These four works move from practical reading to history, geometry and institutional power, the routes most likely to deepen this book.

Practical map reading

Mark Monmonier, *How to Lie with Maps*, third edition (2018). This is the best next step for a reader who wants sharper instincts rather than a chronological history. Monmonier explains how scale, symbols, classification, omission and persuasive design shape what a map appears to prove. The title sounds accusatory; the argument is more useful. Every effective map must select and distort, so the task is to distinguish necessary design from error or manipulation. The third edition extends the discussion to imagery and online mapping. Keep it beside an atlas or mapping app and test each chapter against maps you already trust. Some examples are American, but the reading method travels widely.

Interpretive history

Jerry Brotton, *A History of the World in Twelve Maps* (2012). Brotton uses twelve maps and systems, from Ptolemy and al-Idrisi to Mercator, Peters and Google Earth, to show how maps answer the purposes and assumptions of their makers. It is broad, accessible and strong on orientation, world pictures and political context. Read it as a sequence of forceful interpretations rather than a complete global history; twelve examples cannot represent every cartographic tradition. Its main reward is learning to ask what kind of world each map allows its reader to imagine and organise.

Projection technique

John P. Snyder, *Map Projections: A Working Manual* (1987). Published as US Geological Survey Professional Paper 1395, this is the technical reference behind the projection material in this book. It explains the properties, histories and equations of a large range of projections. The formulas make it unsuitable as a first casual read, but its descriptions and diagrams reward selective use. Consult it when a map's exact claim about area, shape, distance or direction matters. The official USGS edition is freely available and remains a reliable check against vague online projection claims.

Institutional power

Denis Wood, with John Fels and John Krygier, *Rethinking the Power of Maps* (2010). Wood examines how maps make propositions, create categories and acquire force through institutions. The book is strongest on everyday authority, counter-mapping and the idea that maps help produce the organised world on which governments, firms and communities act. Its theoretical style is denser and more combative than Monmonier's. Read it after gaining the technical basics, especially if planning, property, policing or political representation is your main interest. It widens the questions asked of official maps without removing the need to judge measurement, method and fitness for purpose.

Notes and Sources

The Whole Thing in One Page and Why You Should Care

Harry Beck's diagram. The London Transport Museum dates Beck's first diagrammatic design to 1931 and the first pocket edition to January 1933. The design used a restricted set of angles and regularised station spacing, while geographical maps continued alongside it. The argument here is therefore about task-specific improvement, not the replacement of geography in every use.

Africa and Greenland. Approximate land areas used are 30.4 million square kilometres for Africa and 2.2 million for Greenland. The ratio is close to fourteen to one. The comparison is used only to demonstrate Mercator area inflation; coast and ice-area definitions can alter precise figures slightly without changing the conclusion.

Digital maps. The account of tiles, zoom-dependent selection, Web Mercator and route costs draws on Open Geospatial Consortium standards and Google Maps Platform documentation, rechecked on 2 September 2026. Published route options support the bounded claim that avoidance settings can bias results without guaranteeing total exclusion. Display

differences are limited to disclosed or directly observable inputs such as location, language, travel mode, query, settings, data and time. Commercial ranking methods remain only partly disclosed, so the manuscript does not infer one universal implementation or hidden personal profile.

Core Ideas: evidence and limits

Scale and generalisation. Robinson et al., Monmonier and Thrower provide the main technical basis. Mandelbrot's 1967 paper supplies the classic formal account of how measured coastline length changes with the measuring unit. The discussion distinguishes numerical scale from analytical scale and avoids the false idea that a more detailed map is automatically better. Coastline length is described as scale-dependent rather than assigned one definitive value.

Projection. Snyder's USGS manual is the controlling technical source for conformal, equal-area, equidistant and azimuthal properties. Mercator's 1569 projection makes rhumb lines straight and is conformal; it does not preserve area. No flat world map preserves all directions and distances between all points. Tissot's indicatrix is used as a conceptual diagnostic rather than a complete mathematical treatment.

Mercator and Peters. Snyder, Brotton, Monmonier and the historical cartography literature support the account. Arno Peters promoted an equal-area cylindrical projection in the 1970s; James Gall had described the same basic projection in the nineteenth century. The text rejects both the claim that Mercator was designed as political propaganda and the claim that an equal-area replacement is free from distortion. The political effects of repeated use are kept separate from Mercator's documented navigational purpose.

Latitude, longitude and reference systems. NOAA and Royal Museums Greenwich support the account of longitude, the 1884 International Meridian Conference and the conventional nature of the prime meridian. The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency's current WGS 84 material supports the description of the global Earth-centred, Earth-fixed frame. Ordnance Survey

material supports the OSGB36, ETRS89 and OSTN15 discussion. The historical Greenwich line and the modern geodetic zero meridian are not identical; that detail is left to the notes because it does not change the beginner's model.

Ordnance Survey. Official OS histories support Roy's 1784 Hounslow Heath baseline, measured at 27,404.01 feet or 8.352 kilometres, the Great Theodolite, the Board of Ordnance survey begun in 1791 and the later retriangulation. The body uses the survey to explain how a national coordinate framework was built from measured lines and angles, without turning one instrument or pillar into the origin of surveying.

GPS. GPS.gov and NGA material support the account. The receiver estimates ranges from signal travel time. Four satellite observations are normally required to solve three position coordinates and receiver clock bias, although additional satellites and corrections are used in practice. The official GPS performance commitment concerns signal-in-space error; user accuracy also depends on atmosphere, geometry, obstruction, multipath and equipment. The GPS.gov accuracy page, accessed on 2 September 2026, states that enabled smartphones are typically accurate within a 4.9-metre radius under open sky and perform worse near obstructions. The body rounds this to "a few metres" and presents no guarantee for every device or setting.

Thematic mapping. Monmonier, Robinson et al. and Openshaw support the discussion of counts, rates, choropleths, classification and aggregation. The claim that rates often suit choropleths better than totals is bounded by purpose: totals remain appropriate for workload and resource volume. The modifiable areal unit problem concerns changes caused by the scale or configuration of aggregation zones. The ecological fallacy is related but distinct: it arises when group-level patterns are assigned to individuals.

Political and administrative power. Wood and Harley provide the conceptual basis. The manuscript avoids treating every map as a single type of ideological text and states that maps gain force through institutions, law, money and practice rather than commanding by themselves.

John Snow. Snow's second edition of *On the Mode of Communication of Cholera* shows that he had a waterborne theory before the Broad Street outbreak and combined mapped deaths with interviews and evidence about water supply. Later spatial analyses support the local association. Historians have challenged the compressed story in which one dot map created the discovery. The manuscript treats the map as a persuasive analytical component, not a solitary cause.

HOLC maps and redlining. The University of Richmond's *Mapping Inequality* project supplies the digitised maps and area descriptions. Aaronson, Hartley and Mazumder find long-run effects around HOLC grade boundaries. Fishback, Rose, Snowden and Storrs find that the FHA's exclusionary lending pattern predated the HOLC maps and that those maps had little effect on the geographic distribution of HOLC or FHA mortgage activity. The studies answer different causal questions and do not erase the maps' racialised content. The text therefore says that the maps formalised and circulated discriminatory assessments while leaving their precise institutional effects qualified.

GIS. UCGIS Body of Knowledge sources support the definitions of vector, raster, topology, overlay and spatial joins. The Canada Geographic Information System under Roger Tomlinson and Harvard's SYMAP work are supported by Harvard Graduate School of Design and GIS history materials. Calling the Canadian system the first operational GIS follows the standard history but does not imply that no earlier computer mapping or spatial analysis existed.

Remote sensing. USGS material supports the distinction between sensed radiation, imagery and map-ready orthophotography. Images require geometric correction and georeferencing; many applications also require atmospheric correction, classification and accuracy assessment. Spatial, spectral, temporal and radiometric resolution are separate properties.

Web mapping and Haiti. OGC and Google documentation support the tile-pyramid and Web Mercator account. Soden and Palen report that over a three-week period about 600 remotely located volunteers built a base-layer

map for Haiti nearly from scratch, drawing on newly available post-event imagery and other sources. Their account also documents use by humanitarian organisations and the later work needed to localise and maintain the map in Haiti. Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team project history provides organisational context. This is a documented case of rapid distributed mapping, not evidence that remote volunteers can replace local knowledge.

Operating history and evidence

Marshallese stick charts. Smithsonian sources describe charts representing swell patterns and island interactions. Evidence indicates that many were used for teaching or memorisation and were not consulted during voyages in the same manner as European paper charts. Practices varied, so the text avoids one rigid rule for every chart and navigator.

Babylonian world map. The British Museum object record supports the description of Babylon within a circular world surrounded by the Bitter River and accompanied by outlying regions. Its exact date and interpretation remain subjects of scholarship; the text uses only the broad features visible in the tablet and inscription.

Ptolemy, Islamic geography and orientation. Brotton, Thrower and Bodleian manuscript records support this sequence. Ptolemy's coordinate framework allowed maps to be constructed from tabulated positions, although surviving versions and coordinates contain errors. Al-Idrisi's work was composed in the twelfth century; the cited Bodleian manuscripts are later copies. Their world maps place south at the top. Medieval European world maps varied, so east-up is presented as an important practice rather than a universal rule.

Chinese grid mapping. The Library of Congress record for the *Yu Ji Tu* supports the date 1136, the stone-map form, the river and coastline content and the grid of 5,110 squares. The text says more than five thousand because the exact visual count is less important than the method. It does not call the object the first Chinese map or treat its surviving engraving as the beginning of the tradition.

Portolan charts. The account follows the mainstream description of their emergence around the late thirteenth century, detailed Mediterranean and Black Sea coasts, place names and networks of direction lines. Their precise construction methods and antecedents remain debated, which is stated rather than resolved.

Ortelius and Mercator. Library of Congress and cartographic histories support the dates 1569 for Mercator's world map and 1570 for the first edition of Ortelius's *Theatrum Orbis Terrarum*. The book calls the latter a coordinated atlas without claiming it was the first bound collection of maps of any kind.

Contours and thematic mapping. Thrower and Robinson et al. support the development and function of contours, isolines and nineteenth-century thematic mapping. Humboldt, Dupin, Minard and Snow are used as landmarks, not as exclusive inventors of every technique associated with them.

Aerial photography and Landsat. USGS material supports photogrammetric correction and the distinction between an aerial image and an orthophoto. Landsat began in 1972 and provides a long multispectral record; the text does not claim continuous cloud-free coverage of every place or one unchanged sensor across the programme.

Computer GIS and overlays. Tomlinson's Canadian work, Fisher's SYMAP laboratory and McHarg's transparent overlay method illustrate related paths into spatial computation. McHarg did not invent all overlay mapping, and computer GIS did not descend from one source. The narrative uses them as visible examples of a broader convergence among databases, cartography, planning and computing.

Marine timekeeping and the prime meridian. Four seconds of time corresponds to one arcminute of longitude, or about one nautical mile at the equator. Royal Museums Greenwich and NOAA material support the time-longitude relation and the treatment of chronometer error as something mariners had to monitor. The 1884 conference recommended Greenwich

because its charts and time reference were already widely used. The decision was a standard-setting act shaped by existing maritime reach. The text avoids the stronger claim that Britain forced a wholly unwilling world to accept it, since the conference involved debate and subsequent adoption varied.

What People Get Wrong and Use It

The seven corrections follow the evidence above. They are framed as mistaken models rather than accusations of bad faith. The practical lenses draw on established cartographic practice: define purpose and user, compare scale and projection, inspect metadata and legends, distinguish missing data from zero, show uncertainty in relation to the decision, and treat route optimisation as a bounded model.

The warning about maps changing behaviour is bounded to responsive systems. Traffic routing changes flows by redirecting drivers. Lum and Isaac's 2016 analysis demonstrates one predictive-policing feedback mechanism in which recorded incidents direct patrols and concentrated patrols generate more recorded incidents. These are setting-specific mechanisms, not evidence that every map materially changes what it depicts.

Terms and Go Deeper

Definitions are consistent with Snyder, Robinson et al., UCGIS, NGA, GPS.gov and USGS. The four recommended works were rechecked against publisher or official publication records on 2 September 2026. Each has a distinct purpose: practical literacy, interpretive history, projection technique and political analysis.

Bibliography

Primary and original evidence

Mandelbrot, Benoit B. "How Long Is the Coast of Britain? Statistical Self-Similarity and Fractional Dimension." *Science* 156, no. 3775 (1967): 636-638. doi:10.1126/science.156.3775.636.

McHarg, Ian L. *Design with Nature*. Garden City, NY: Natural History Press, published for the American Museum of Natural History, 1969.

Snow, John. *On the Mode of Communication of Cholera*. 2nd ed. London: John Churchill, 1855.

Modern works

Aaronson, Daniel, Daniel Hartley, and Bhashkar Mazumder. "The Effects of the 1930s HOLC 'Redlining' Maps." *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 13, no. 4 (2021): 355-392. doi:10.1257/pol.20190414.

Brotton, Jerry. *A History of the World in Twelve Maps*. London: Allen Lane, 2012.

Fishback, Price, Jonathan Rose, Kenneth A. Snowden, and Thomas Storrs. "New Evidence on Redlining by Federal Housing Programs in the 1930s." *Journal of Urban Economics* 141 (2024): 103462. doi:10.1016/j.jue.2022.103462.

Harley, J. B. "Deconstructing the Map." *Cartographica* 26, no. 2 (1989): 1-20. doi:10.3138/E635-7827-1757-9T53.

Lum, Kristian, and William Isaac. "To Predict and Serve?" *Significance* 13, no. 5 (2016): 14-19. doi:10.1111/j.1740-9713.2016.00960.x.

Monmonier, Mark. *How to Lie with Maps*. 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2018.

Openshaw, Stan. *The Modifiable Areal Unit Problem*. Concepts and Techniques in Modern Geography 38. Norwich: Geo Books, 1983.

Robinson, Arthur H., Joel L. Morrison, Phillip C. Muehrcke, A. Jon Kimerling, and Stephen C. Guptill. *Elements of Cartography*. 6th ed. New York: Wiley, 1995.

Snyder, John P. *Flattening the Earth: Two Thousand Years of Map Projections*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993.

Snyder, John P. *Map Projections: A Working Manual*. US Geological Survey Professional Paper 1395. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office, 1987.

Soden, Robert, and Leysia Palen. "From Crowdsourced Mapping to Community Mapping: The Post-Earthquake Work of OpenStreetMap Haiti." In *COOP 2014: Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on the Design of Cooperative Systems*, 311-326. London: Springer, 2014.

Thrower, Norman J. W. *Maps & Civilization: Cartography in Culture and Society*. 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008.

Wood, Denis, with John Fels and John Krygier. *Rethinking the Power of Maps*. New York: Guilford Press, 2010.

Institutional, technical and collection sources

Bodleian Libraries. Al-Idrisi manuscript records and *Talking Maps* exhibition materials. Accessed 2 September 2026.

British Museum. "Tablet: Map of the World." Collection object W_1882-0714-509. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Google Maps Platform. "Map and Tile Coordinates" and route-modifier documentation. Technical documentation. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Harvard University Graduate School of Design. Historical material on SYMAP and the Laboratory for Computer Graphics and Spatial Analysis. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team. "Haiti Post-Earthquake Response and Recovery 2010-11." Organisational history. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Library of Congress, Geography and Map Division. Collection essays and records on the *Yu Ji Tu*, Ptolemy, Ortelius, Mercator and historical atlases. Accessed 2 September 2026.

London Transport Museum. “Transforming the Tube Map: Harry Beck’s Iconic Design.” Collection and history material. Accessed 2 September 2026.

NASA Science. “Landsat” and “Landsat 1.” Official mission histories. Accessed 2 September 2026.

National Coordination Office for Space-Based Positioning, Navigation, and Timing. GPS.gov pages on trilateration, the space segment, performance and accuracy. Accessed 2 September 2026.

National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency. “World Geodetic System 1984.” Official technical material. Accessed 2 September 2026.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service. “What Is Longitude?” Accessed 2 September 2026.

Open Geospatial Consortium. *Two Dimensional Tile Matrix Set and Tile Set Metadata 2.0* and *Web Map Tile Service*. Standards material. Accessed 2 September 2026.

Ordnance Survey. “William Roy’s Surveying Baseline: The 240th Anniversary”; “A History of the Trig Pillar”; and “Coordinate Transformations.” Accessed 2 September 2026.

Royal Museums Greenwich. “What Is the Prime Meridian, and Why Is It in Greenwich?” Accessed 2 September 2026.

Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History. “Stick Navigation Chart.” Collection record and educational material. Accessed 2 September 2026.

United States Geological Survey. “Aerial Photographs and Satellite Images”; “What Is Remote Sensing and What Is It Used For?”; Landsat histories; and official map projection publications. Accessed 2 September 2026.

University Consortium for Geographic Information Science. *Geographic Information Science and Technology Body of Knowledge*. Topics on data models, topology, spatial joins, overlay and web mapping. Accessed 2 September 2026.

University of Richmond Digital Scholarship Lab. *Mapping Inequality: Redlining in New Deal America*. Accessed 2 September 2026.