

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Human Origins

in a Hurry

How apes became us

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 30

Use It 36

Terms 41

Go Deeper 44

Notes and Sources 46

Bibliography 51

The Whole Thing in One Page

Human origins is usually shown as a queue. A stooped ape enters from the left, each figure straightens and sheds hair, and a modern man walks out on the right. The picture is wrong in every direction. Humans did not descend from any ape alive now. We are apes, one surviving twig among the African great apes, and chimpanzees and bonobos are our cousins. The shared ancestor is extinct. Nothing in its population knew that one branch would one day excavate the others.

The real history is a bush whose branches sometimes touched. Several hominin species often lived at once. Traits arrived in separate pieces, so early bodies could walk upright while retaining long arms, curved fingers and small brains. Habitual bipedalism became established millions of years before the great expansion of the brain. The first durable change we can recognise clearly happened below the neck.

Walking altered what hands could do, although hands had already been shaped by life in trees. Stone tools appear before any secure claim that their makers belonged to our genus. Cutting, pounding and later cooking changed the food available, the effort of chewing and the energy that bodies could obtain. Technology then changed the environment selecting its makers. The tool was outside the body, but it entered evolution through its consequences.

Brains grew within that altered system. They were expensive tissue, supported by food, metabolism and social provisioning. Human infants arrived dependent and stayed that way for years. A long childhood gave time for learning, while making every child a costly group project. Mothers mattered. So did fathers, siblings, grandparents and other carers, in combinations that varied. One neglected engine of human intelligence was care.

Culture became another inheritance channel. Skills, habits and knowledge could pass between people without waiting for genes, then accumulate across generations. Language greatly increased the speed and precision of

that transfer, though speech leaves no fossil and has no secure birthday. Symbols, complex tools and long-distance contacts appeared unevenly rather than switching on in one mental revolution.

Meanwhile, humanity remained crowded. *Homo erectus* travelled far beyond Africa. Neanderthals occupied much of western Eurasia. Denisovans ranged across parts of Asia. Small-bodied humans survived on islands, and other lineages persisted in Africa. These populations differed, competed, learned and sometimes had children together. Some of their DNA remains in living people.

Homo sapiens emerged in Africa around 300,000 years ago, but probably not at one pinpoint birthplace. Fossils and genomes fit a history of separated populations reconnecting across a vast continent. Later expansions carried some of those people into Eurasia, where they mixed with resident humans. Sapiens survived through some combination of numbers, networks, ecological range, technology, luck and absorption. No single superiority has been proved.

The result is not evolution's intended summit. It is one ape lineage whose bodies, shared labour, borrowed genes and accumulated knowledge formed a feedback system powerful enough to occupy nearly every habitat and alter the planet itself. The tree has one living human branch today. It was not always so, and nothing guaranteed that it would be ours.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

In a Siberian cave, a fragment of long bone less than three centimetres long sat among thousands of pieces too plain to identify by sight. Protein analysis marked it as human. DNA then supplied a family record no skeleton could have revealed. The adolescent girl who carried the bone, around 90,000 years ago, had a Neanderthal mother and a Denisovan father. Her father also carried older Neanderthal ancestry. One small fragment contained a crowded human world.

That girl matters because most of the categories brought to human origins fail around her. The tidy species boxes leak. The line becomes a network. Extinction no longer means complete erasure when a population's genes survive inside another. Even the word human becomes harder to police. Neanderthals and Denisovans were different from *Homo sapiens*, yet close enough to have children. Genetic evidence shows that contact among these populations also left descendants. Whether a taxonomist calls the groups species or populations does not settle what their meetings meant.

The subject changes how you inhabit your own body. Your spine, pelvis, feet and knees carry the compromises of upright walking. Your small teeth and face belong to a lineage that increasingly processed food outside the mouth. Your brain consumes a costly share of the body's energy. Your childhood lasted absurdly long by ape standards because human competence takes years to assemble. The body is no clean design. It is a record of old solutions retained while new demands arrived.

Human origins also changes where intelligence sits. The usual portrait places it inside one heroic skull. Yet no infant invents language, fire, a cutting edge or a social rule alone. Each arrives into a store of practices built by dead people and maintained by living ones. Much of what looks like individual mental power is access to other minds across time. Remove the carers, teachers, imitators, neighbours and trading partners, and the clever brain loses the system that makes it useful.

It changes ancestry too. Human genetic variation is real, geographically patterned and medically relevant in particular cases. The familiar racial boxes remain poor maps of that variation. Populations have repeatedly split, moved and mixed, while most variation changes gradually and African diversity exceeds any scheme built around a few continental labels. Deep ancestry produces overlapping histories, not sealed biological races.

There is also a warning about evidence. Fossils preserve hard tissue, so the history of birth, care, language, trust and teaching must be approached indirectly. Rock outlasts wood. Caves preserve better than open ground. Cold regions preserve DNA better than the tropical settings where much of

the story occurred. A confident family tree can therefore reflect geology as much as genealogy. New finds do not merely add names. They can change which questions the surviving record permits.

The field also shows how origin stories can be weaponised. Fossils were once arranged to confirm racial hierarchies, colonial assumptions and a male-centred account of progress. Better evidence has dismantled much of that architecture, but old pictures survive because they flatter the people placing themselves at the top. Learning to read a branching, mixed history is therefore a defence against claims that ancestry establishes rank, purity or destiny. It does not require pretending that every population is identical. It requires describing difference at the scale and confidence the evidence supports.

The reward is larger than a corrected timeline. Human origins replaces a flattering creation story with something more demanding. We became this kind of ape through dependence as well as competition, through mixing as well as separation, through inherited culture as well as inherited anatomy. The history does not make humanity less unusual. It shows where the unusualness came from, what it cost, and how much of it was made between people.

The Core Ideas

We Never Stopped Being Apes

The subtitle contains a trap. Apes did not become humans and vanish behind us. One ape lineage became human, and humans remain apes. Our nearest living relatives are chimpanzees and bonobos, then gorillas, then orangutans. These are relationships, not rankings. A chimpanzee is no closer to the shared ancestor than you are. Both lineages have been evolving since they separated.

Genetic comparisons place the split between the lineage leading to humans and the lineage leading to chimpanzees and bonobos roughly six to eight million years ago, though there was no single morning when one species

became two. Population separation need not have been instantaneous, and different genomic regions can preserve different ancestral histories.

Molecular clocks therefore give ranges, while fossils near the expected split are difficult to place. *Sahelanthropus*, *Orrorin* and *Ardipithecus* may stand close to the human side of the division. None is a certified grandfather.

The shared ancestor should not be pictured as a modern chimpanzee with a human future. Chimpanzees have specialised too, with knuckle-walking wrists, social systems and bodies shaped by their own history.

Reconstructing the ancestor requires comparison among living apes and fossils, and the result remains a range of plausible anatomies rather than a recovered portrait.

This is where the ladder fails. Evolution produces populations with variation, and lineages branch when parts of a population become separated enough to follow different histories. Most branches end. Some coexist. Occasionally they reconnect. A fossil species name is a working claim that a set of remains represents a distinguishable population or lineage. It is useful, but the name can look more definite than the bones beneath it. A jaw, a few teeth and part of a skull may acquire a Latin label while leaving its exact relationships open.

Human traits also refuse to arrive as a package. Small canine teeth, upright walking, dexterous hands, enlarged brains, long childhood, language and cumulative culture appeared at different times and in different combinations. Early hominins can therefore look human in one part of the skeleton and ape-like in another. This is mosaic evolution. It replaces the question, when did the first human appear, with a better set of questions: which trait, in which population, measured how, and with what confidence?

The answer matters because every candidate definition of human breaks somewhere. Bipedalism includes hominins with brains little larger than those of chimpanzees. Tool use occurs in living apes and seems to predate secure members of *Homo*. Neanderthals made complex tools and mixed with our ancestors. Language cannot be excavated directly. Membership in our genus is a taxonomic judgement, not a magical boundary.

There is still a real pattern. Over millions of years, one branch of African apes became committed to moving upright, altered its teeth, hands and diet, expanded its geographical range, prolonged development, enlarged the brain and built increasingly cumulative cultures. The mistake is to turn that pattern into a procession aimed at us. Natural selection has no destination. It preserves variants that reproduce under local conditions, including compromises that later become costly.

The deepest correction is therefore grammatical. We did not rise out of nature. A population of apes changed, divided and mixed until one surviving branch acquired the name *Homo sapiens*. The story concerns how apes became us because the ape never left.

Walking Came Before Big Brains

Take the human body apart in chronological order and the legs arrive before the celebrated head. Evidence for habitual bipedalism appears millions of years before brains expand far beyond the ape range. That sequence rules out the old idea that intelligence stood up first and dragged the body after it.

The evidence is distributed across bones and traces. The opening at the base of the skull can indicate how the head balanced over the spine. The pelvis records the demands of supporting the trunk on one leg at a time. The angle of the thigh bone brings the knees beneath the body. Feet reveal arches, toe alignment and the stresses of push-off. At Laetoli in Tanzania, footprints around 3.66 million years old preserve upright movement directly. Lucy, an *Australopithecus afarensis* skeleton from about 3.18 million years ago, adds a body: short legs by later standards, a pelvis and knee adapted for bipedal walking, alongside shoulders and arms that retained substantial climbing ability.

Ardipithecus ramidus, around 4.4 million years old, makes the mixture stranger. Its pelvis and foot have been read as evidence of some upright movement, while its grasping big toe and other features show continued life in trees. Details remain disputed because the skeleton was crushed and reconstruction is difficult. The secure lesson is not a perfect halfway

creature. It is that locomotion could be assembled from combinations with no living equivalent.

Why walk? The traditional answer cleared the forest, placed an ape on open savannah and made two legs the efficient solution. Climate and habitat change mattered, but early hominins occupied woodland and mixed environments as well as grassier settings. Wild chimpanzees also use varied mosaics without becoming habitual bipeds. Proposed advantages include reaching for food, moving efficiently between scattered resources, carrying infants or food, feeding from branches, seeing over vegetation and reducing heat exposure. Each may apply in some circumstances. None explains the whole transition alone.

Efficiency helps explain persistence once long-distance terrestrial travel became common. Experiments and anatomical models suggest that human walking uses less energy than chimpanzee bipedal walking, but this comparison describes later bodies rather than the first selective step. The origin and the later refinement of a trait need not have the same cause.

Bipedalism brought costs. A spine balancing a head over an upright trunk can fail at its curves and discs. Feet became rigid enough to act as levers while retaining many small bones inherited from grasping ancestors. The pelvis had to manage locomotion, abdominal support and birth. Running and long-distance walking later imposed further demands. Evolution did not redraw the skeleton from a blank page. It modified an ape body while keeping it viable at every generation.

Walking also changed the field of later possibilities. Hands were available for carrying and manipulation more often during travel, although apes already had capable hands and no fossil proves an instant liberation. Upright posture changed sight lines, ranging and social display. Longer legs and a more human-like body in *Homo erectus* later reduced the energetic cost of covering ground and helped populations enter new habitats.

The order is the anchor. For several million years, hominins walked on two legs with modest brains. Our first durable distinction was a new way of

moving through a variable landscape, built from feet, hips, muscles and balance. Intelligence later grew inside a body whose route had already changed.

Hands, Food and Technology Formed a Feedback Loop

A stone flake is an external tooth. Strike the right rock at the right angle and a cutting edge appears sharper than anything the body can grow. That edge can open hide, strip flesh, sever tendons, work wood or cut fibrous plants. Once a population depends on such edges, anatomy is no longer acting alone. Behaviour changes what the body can eat, where it can live and which skills matter.

The hand did not wait for humanity. Grasping, sensitivity and coordinated fingers are part of the primate inheritance, refined in apes by climbing and manipulation. Early hominin hands combined old and new functions. Some retained curved finger bones associated with climbing while showing proportions or joint surfaces compatible with forceful precision grips. No single bone proves that its owner made a tool. It shows what movements were possible.

The archaeological sequence has broken the clean Homo-first story. At Lomekwi in Kenya, deliberately battered stones date to about 3.3 million years ago, well before the earliest secure fossil assigned to Homo. Their makers are unknown. At Nyayanga, also in Kenya, Oldowan flakes and cores around three million years old occur with cut-marked animal bones and Paranthropus teeth. Paranthropus may have made the tools, may have eaten near somebody else's workshop, or may be an accidental neighbour. The honest conclusion is larger than a name: more than one kind of hominin may have possessed useful technological competence.

Oldowan knappers selected stone, carried it, struck flakes and used the resulting edges. Refitting flakes to cores shows sequences of blows rather than random smashing. Even the least ornate technology therefore required material knowledge, motor control and decisions about where an edge

would be worth the weight of transport. Later Acheulean makers produced large cutting tools, including handaxes, across immense stretches of Africa and Eurasia. The form persisted for more than a million years, which can be read as successful stability rather than mental stagnation. Yet tools were rarely only stone. Digging sticks, wooden spears, containers, cordage and plant-processing equipment preserve badly, so the durable fraction biases the story towards rocks and cutting.

Food and tools altered one another. Slicing meat can reduce the muscular work needed to chew it. Pounding tubers and nuts breaks structure before food reaches the mouth. Animal tissue supplied dense energy and nutrients, while underground storage organs, fruits, seeds and other plants remained central and varied by habitat. The old hero tale in which male hunters delivered meat and made the brain leaves out plant knowledge, sharing, scavenging, processing and the daily problem of obtaining reliable calories.

Fire intensified the loop. Burned material at Wonderwerk Cave suggests fire in an archaeological context around one million years ago, while Gesher Benot Ya'aqov preserves repeated burning and food processing around 790,000 years ago. Possible earlier traces exist, and routine control became clearer later. Fire exposure, deliberate control, repeated hearth use and cooking are different claims. The evidence does not justify assigning one birthday to the hearth.

Cooking and processing can raise digestibility, reduce chewing time, neutralise some toxins and make stored or tough foods more usable. Technology therefore changes the selective environment of teeth, guts, hands, learning and social access to food. Better bodies can support better tool use, while better tools alter which bodies prosper. There is no isolated invention to crown as the cause. The human route ran through a feedback loop in which behaviour repeatedly edited biology's assignment.

A Bigger Brain Made Childhood Longer and Costlier

A large brain looks like an asset in a museum case. In a living body it is an invoice. Neural tissue requires continuous energy. A larger head complicates birth. A brain that develops slowly delays competence, leaving infants and children dependent while adults must feed, carry, protect and teach them. Human intelligence could expand only inside a system able to pay those costs.

Brain size did increase across parts of the hominin record, especially within later Homo, but the trend was neither smooth nor universal. Species with different brain sizes coexisted. Homo floresiensis survived with a small brain long after large-brained humans existed elsewhere. Neanderthal average cranial capacity overlapped with or exceeded that of recent humans, without making them our unfinished replacement. Size is a rough measure of neural investment, not a score for thought.

The energetic problem is broader than swapping gut for brain. Humans appear to have elevated total metabolic throughput compared with other apes, while allocating energy differently among organs, growth and reproduction. Better food, processing, cooking, cooperative foraging and fat storage can all contribute. No one diet item explains the rise. Brains grow within whole organisms, and those organisms must keep moving, reproducing and surviving infection while supporting the tissue in the skull.

Birth exposes the compromise. A baby's head passes through a pelvis adapted for upright locomotion and support, but the familiar obstetric dilemma is too neat when treated as a complete explanation. Human pelvic form reflects several demands, and evidence suggests maternal and fetal energetic limits help shape birth timing. Human babies arrive neurologically immature compared with the competence they will need. Development then continues for years outside the womb, where experience can tune a plastic brain to local language, tools, foods and rules.

Teeth provide one clock for this changing life history. Microscopic growth

lines can estimate developmental pace, while juvenile skeletons reveal that growth patterns in early Homo did not switch straight to the prolonged schedule of living humans. Childhood lengthened through stages, like the rest of the body.

That prolonged childhood is expensive and powerful. It creates time to learn a culture too large for genes to specify. It also creates a queue of dependants. Human mothers can have another child before the previous one is self-sufficient, which makes help consequential. Across living foraging societies, care may come from fathers, older siblings, grandmothers and other relatives or companions, in patterns that differ sharply. The fossil record cannot identify a childcare rota, so claims about one universal family arrangement exceed the evidence. The general dependence does not.

Cooperative care may also have selected for social sensitivity. An infant relying on several carers benefits from reading attention, intentions and willingness. Carers need to coordinate, tolerate and respond. This does not prove that childcare alone created empathy or language. It places cognition in the correct economy: minds developing among other minds, under conditions where access to food and protection depends on relationships.

The hidden pillar of human origins is therefore reproduction. Tools and hunts enter museums. Lactation, carrying, consolation, weaning and patient instruction leave weaker traces, despite determining whether any clever genotype reaches adulthood. A lineage cannot evolve through adults alone.

The brain became powerful because it remained unfinished for so long. Childhood allowed culture to enter anatomy during development. Care kept that vulnerable period viable. What looks like the triumph of individual intelligence was built through years in which the individual could not survive unaided.

Culture Became a Second Inheritance System

Genes cross generations through reproduction. Culture can cross a room. A knapping technique, route, taboo, melody or method of finding water can pass from one mind to another within minutes, then persist after its first inventor dies. This gives evolution a faster channel, although the channel depends on brains, bodies and social relationships that genes helped build.

Social learning is widespread. Young chimpanzees acquire local tool traditions. Whales transmit songs and feeding methods. Birds copy calls and techniques. The human difference lies less in possessing culture than in the scale, fidelity and interdependence of its accumulation. Cumulative culture occurs when later learners preserve earlier gains and add to them, producing tools or institutions no one person could reinvent unaided. A modern human raised without a human community would not spontaneously reconstruct the ordinary competence of a modern adult.

Cumulative culture also distributes cognition across objects. A shaped core carries decisions made by an earlier knapper. A hearth preserves heat and a location for shared work. A container allows food or water to move farther than hands permit. Material culture stores part of a group's problem-solving outside any skull.

Several capacities support this ratchet. Attention must be directed towards the right action. Learners need enough tolerance and access to watch. Imitation can preserve details whose purpose is not obvious. Teaching, whether explicit or embedded in shared work, reduces error. Language allows people to describe absent places, future plans, social obligations and counterfactual possibilities. Norms reward conformity or punish free-riding. None of these works alone, and each exists in degrees.

Language is therefore central and frustrating. Speech does not fossilise. The hyoid, hearing range, breathing control, brain organisation, genes and archaeological complexity can constrain possibilities, but none dates grammar. FOXP2 affects neural development and speech-related motor learning; it is not a language switch. Neanderthals shared relevant

anatomical and genetic features with us, and their social and technological lives were complex, so confident claims that they could not speak are weak. Claims that their language matched ours in every respect outrun the same evidence.

Material culture supplies a partial shadow. Engraved ochre, shell beads, prepared pigments, bone tools and long-distance material transport occur at African sites well before the great flowering of art in later Ice Age Europe. At Blombos Cave in South Africa, repeated finds spanning about 100,000 to 70,000 years ago include pigment processing, beads and engraved designs. Comparable behaviours appear at different times and places, sometimes disappearing from the record. That pattern fits capacities expressed under local demographic and ecological conditions rather than one mutation producing modern thought everywhere at once.

Culture can also be lost. A small or isolated population may fail to maintain a difficult technique if experts die, learners are few or contact breaks. Larger connected networks can draw on more models and recover from local failure, although size alone never guarantees complexity. The relevant variable is access: who meets whom, how often, under what incentives, and whether knowledge moves across group boundaries.

Once culture accumulates, it changes selection. Fire alters diet and safety. Clothing and shelter reduce exposure. Social rules shape mating and cooperation. Technology lets bodies enter cold, dry, high or remote environments faster than genetic adaptation could build a new animal for each one. Genes still matter, and gene-culture feedback can be strong. Yet more of the environment confronting each generation has been constructed by previous generations.

This is the transition that makes later human history possible. A population no longer adapts only by changing its average anatomy. It can inherit solutions made of information, then alter them before biology catches up.

Humanity Was a Crowd

For most of the time that *Homo sapiens* has existed, it was not the only kind of human. The empty family photograph is recent. Across Africa and Eurasia, populations with different anatomies and histories overlapped, replaced one another locally, exchanged genes and left lineages whose names remain unsettled.

Homo erectus gives the crowd its scale. Broadly defined, it appears by around 1.9 million years ago and spreads from Africa into western and eastern Eurasia. Some populations persisted for well over a million years. Its long legs, more human-like body proportions and capacity for sustained travel mark a major shift, but its members did not all look alike and taxonomists disagree about where African *Homo ergaster* ends and Asian *Homo erectus* begins. The label covers more variation, time and geography than most living species names are asked to carry.

Later populations became harder to arrange. Neanderthals developed across western Eurasia. Denisovans are known from genomes, teeth, fragments and a growing set of fossils across Asia, rather than from one complete defining skeleton. Middle Pleistocene African fossils show combinations that do not fit one simple ancestor. On Flores, a small-bodied human lineage survived until roughly 60,000 years ago. *Homo luzonensis* left a few distinctive bones and teeth in the Philippines. *Homo naledi*, with a small brain and mixed anatomy, occupied southern Africa from roughly 335,000 to 236,000 years ago, overlapping the early existence of our species.

Species language is useful here and dangerous. The biological species concept focuses on reproductive isolation, but fossils rarely reveal who could have fertile children with whom. Morphological species sort distinctive anatomy. Genetic lineages track ancestry and gene flow. These criteria need not draw the same borders. Calling Neanderthals *Homo neanderthalensis* or *Homo sapiens neanderthalensis* changes the label without changing the evidence.

Denisovans show how incomplete the visible crowd remains. The group was first recognised from a finger-bone genome, not from a skull that looked distinct. Later teeth, a Tibetan jaw and protein evidence expanded its range. A major human population could therefore exist in the scientific record first as a molecular pattern attached to scraps.

DNA has made the borders porous. Most people with substantial ancestry outside Africa carry roughly one to two per cent Neanderthal ancestry, though the exact amount depends on population and method. Some populations in Oceania and parts of Asia carry additional Denisovan ancestry, unevenly distributed. Denisova 11, whose mother was closely related to Neanderthals and whose father was Denisovan, is direct evidence of one first-generation union. Other genomes establish repeated admixture across populations and periods; one individual does not establish how common such unions were. Such a first-generation child among the small number of archaic individuals sequenced suggests that mixing was not an unimaginable accident whenever populations met.

Contact did not erase difference. Neanderthals, Denisovans and sapiens had long separate histories, local adaptations and population structures. Gene flow could be limited, repeated or selected against. Much introgressed DNA later disappeared; some variants persisted because they were neutral or useful in particular environments. Absorption therefore sat beside competition, demographic swamping and local extinction.

The crowd changes the central question. Instead of asking why our lone ancestors improved while inferior forms fell away, ask how several capable human populations survived under changing climates and small numbers, how often they met, what moved between them, and why only one remains recognisable as a living population. The answer cannot be read from brain size or tool style alone.

We are the last people in a world that once contained several. We are also a genetic archive of some of those meetings. Solitude came after mixture.

Sapiens Was a Network, Then an Ecological Force

A species needs an origin, but the desire for one birthplace can make the evidence tidier than the population. Early *Homo sapiens* fossils are distributed across Africa and combine modern and older features in different proportions. Jebel Irhoud in Morocco preserves faces with many sapiens-like features alongside elongated braincases, dated to about 315,000 years ago. Omo I in Ethiopia is older than about 233,000 years. Herto, also in Ethiopia, dates to around 160,000 years ago. No single specimen looks like a ceremonial first member.

Genetics points towards African origin while complicating the map. Living populations preserve deep structure, and demographic models fit long periods in which groups were partly separated yet continued to exchange genes. Fossils, environments and archaeology support a continent containing shifting refuges and contact zones rather than one small Eden from which a finished species marched. The exact model remains contested. A structured population network is the safer picture than a single isolated birthplace.

That network helps explain mosaic anatomy and culture. Features could arise in one region, move through mating or population movement, and combine elsewhere. Technologies and symbolic practices could spread, vanish and reappear without requiring each population to become a new species. Climatic oscillations repeatedly changed lakes, rivers, deserts and grasslands, opening and closing routes. Connectivity became an evolutionary variable.

Homo sapiens left Africa more than once. Fossils and artefacts record earlier excursions into the Levant and perhaps farther afield. Many left little detectable ancestry in living populations. Most ancestry of present-day people outside Africa derives from a later expansion that began around 70,000 to 60,000 years ago and spread more widely through Eurasia. Around 50,000 to 44,000 years ago, the ancestors of many non-Africans mixed with Neanderthals over an extended period. Other encounters added Denisovan ancestry in Asia. Expansion was a sequence of movements,

stalls, extinctions and mixtures, not one triumphant wave.

People had crossed sea gaps into Sahul by at least 50,000 years ago, even when lower Ice Age sea levels joined Australia and New Guinea. Later movement into the Americas demanded another sequence of ecological and logistical adjustments. These expansions show cultural flexibility, but they do not identify one mental upgrade or one route used by every group.

Why did sapiens remain? Candidates include larger or better-connected populations, wider exchange networks, flexible subsistence, projectile technology, clothing, watercraft, ecological breadth, social alliances and cumulative innovation. Climate harmed some groups and opened routes for others. Pathogens may have mattered. Interbreeding absorbed parts of populations that disappeared as separate lineages. Chance affected who reached a refuge or recovered after a demographic crash. Evidence supports pieces of this list in particular settings, not one global verdict on superiority.

Numbers and connection may have amplified small differences. A network with more teachers, partners and trading contacts can retain rare skills, move resources and recover knowledge after local loss. Once such a network grows, its cultural store improves survival, which supports more people and wider contact. That feedback can produce a large outcome without requiring a sudden new mind.

By roughly 40,000 years ago, Neanderthals had disappeared as a distinct population, though dates vary by region and their ancestry persisted. Denisovan populations and other human lineages also vanished from the visible record at different times. Sapiens spread through Sahul and later the Americas, then altered ecosystems with fire, hunting, transport and eventually agriculture. A local African ape lineage had become a planetary ecological force.

The loop now closes. Human evolution began in this book as a branching process with no destination. It ends with one living human branch, but that branch was made by networks and carries genes from others. Its success

created the illusion that the survivor had always been the answer. Survival is an outcome, not a proof of inevitability.

How It Actually Works

Close to the split

Between about eight and five million years ago, populations of African apes were dividing into the lineages that would later include gorillas, chimpanzees, bonobos and humans. The forest was no uniform canopy. Woodland, gallery forest, seasonal grass and wetter patches shifted as climate and tectonics altered eastern and central Africa. An ape could encounter different foods and travel problems within one lifetime.

Sahelanthropus tchadensis, from Chad at around seven million years ago, sits near the expected human-chimpanzee split. Its small canines and the position of the opening beneath the skull have been read as hominin features. A partial femur and arm bones produced competing interpretations of its locomotion. Some analyses support bipedal ability combined with climbing. Others find the postcranial evidence inadequate for habitual bipedalism. The fossil matters because it exposes the difficulty of the beginning: a few distorted bones near a branching event cannot carry a clean family label. Its location also matters. Chad lies far west of the East African Rift sites that once dominated the story, warning that the familiar map partly records where sediments are exposed and research has been concentrated.

Ororin tugenensis, from Kenya at about six million years, includes thigh-bone fragments that have been interpreted as compatible with upright loading, again beside climbing anatomy. *Ardipithecus kadabba* and then *Ardipithecus ramidus* extend the record towards 4.4 million years. Ardi's partial skeleton came from woodland, had reduced canine dimorphism, a grasping big toe and a pelvis reconstructed as capable of some bipedal movement. The last common ancestor may therefore have looked unlike any living ape, and the first hominins were not chimpanzees beginning to

straighten.

The australopith experiment

By about four million years ago, australopiths make bipedalism much clearer. *Australopithecus anamensis* appears in eastern Africa before *Australopithecus afarensis*, though a 3.8-million-year-old *anamensis* cranium suggests the transition between them may have included overlap rather than a neat handover.

Australopithecus afarensis occupied eastern Africa for hundreds of thousands of years. Lucy, found at Hadar in Ethiopia, died around 3.18 million years ago. Her skeleton is incomplete, yet it joins evidence from the hip, knee and lower limb with long arms and climbing-related features. She was no half-finished person. She belonged to a successful population using a locomotor combination that later vanished.

At Laetoli, volcanic ash preserved footprints around 3.66 million years old. One trail shows a heel-to-toe bipedal gait made by individuals moving across wet ash before another fall sealed the surface. A second footprint site may record a different hominin foot and gait, though interpretation is disputed. Bones reveal capacity; footprints catch behaviour. The tracks also preserve more than direction. Depth, toe-off and pressure can be compared with experimental footprints, although soft ash, body size and speed prevent one exact gait reconstruction. A trail is a moment, not a species diagnosis. Together they show that upright walking had become ordinary while brains remained close to ape size.

Australopith diversity spread across eastern and southern Africa. *Australopithecus africanus*, the Taung child and later forms complicate the branches. From around 2.7 million years ago, *Paranthropus* lineages evolved massive chewing teeth and faces adapted to high bite forces, though dental chemistry shows flexible diets rather than a life of cracking one hard food. They coexisted with early *Homo* for more than a million years. Evolution was running several viable experiments at once.

Tools before certainty

At Lomekwi, on the western side of Lake Turkana, stones about 3.3 million years old bear patterns of deliberate battering and flake removal. They are larger and made with techniques unlike much later Oldowan tools. No hominin fossil identifies the maker. The discovery pushed stone technology beyond secure Homo and made tool use a behaviour before it was a genus badge.

Oldowan technology appears by about three million years ago at Nyayanga in Kenya and is well established by 2.6 million years at sites in Ethiopia. Knappers struck sharp flakes from selected cores, used edges and sometimes transported raw material. At Nyayanga, cut-marked hippopotamus bones and processed plant material occur near Paranthropus teeth. The association cannot name the toolmaker, but it breaks the assumption that only a large-brained Homo could exploit stone edges. Cut marks show access to carcasses, yet they rarely distinguish hunting from scavenging. Percussion damage can reveal marrow extraction. Archaeology records a sequence of actions more securely than the social route by which the food was obtained.

The earliest fossil material confidently placed near Homo is fragmentary. A jaw from Ledi-Geraru in Ethiopia, dated to about 2.8 million years ago, combines older and later traits. Finds published online in 2025 added Homo remains at about 2.78 and 2.59 million years, with Australopithecus at about 2.63 million years in the same region. Together with Paranthropus and other australopiths, East Africa between three and 2.5 million years ago contained several lineages. Drier, more open conditions did not select one inevitable winner.

Homo habilis and Homo rudolfensis are names applied to variable fossils from roughly 2.4 million years onward. Some researchers split them; others question whether all belong inside Homo. Brain size had begun to rise in parts of the group, faces and teeth changed, and tool use became more visible. The taxonomic fog is informative. The genus did not enter the record as a finished body.

A new body leaves Africa

Around 1.9 million years ago, fossils assigned to *Homo erectus* or African *Homo ergaster* reveal a different travelling machine. Legs lengthened, arms shortened relative to the legs, body size increased and walking became more efficient. The Nariokotome youth from Kenya, around 1.5 million years old, preserves much of this anatomy. His growth pattern was not identical to that of living humans, but his tall, long-legged skeleton would look less alien below the neck than Lucy's.

Populations reached Dmanisi in present-day Georgia by about 1.8 million years ago. Five crania from one site combine small brains, varied faces and primitive traits with evidence of life outside Africa. One individual survived for years after losing most teeth, implying access to tolerable food and perhaps help, though the bones cannot identify who provided it. Dmanisi shows that early dispersers did not need modern brain size or a complete Acheulean toolkit. They entered a landscape with unfamiliar seasons, predators and prey using relatively plain flakes and the knowledge carried by a group. Expansion depended on behaviour and tolerance as much as a dramatic new object.

Acheulean large cutting tools appear in Africa after about 1.8 million years ago and later spread widely. Their repeated forms show control over fracture and attention to shape, but variation in raw material and task matters more than aesthetic symmetry. Some populations outside Africa continued using flake-based technologies. A named industry is a pattern in surviving stone, not a passport carried by one species.

Fire enters unevenly. Wonderwerk Cave in South Africa contains burned plant and bone material in sediments about one million years old. At Gesher Benot Ya'aqov in Israel, repeated clusters of burned flint, plants and food remains around 790,000 years ago support controlled use. Later hearths become more common. This was probably a long transition from opportunistic access to reliable maintenance, transport and ignition.

Homo erectus populations persisted across Africa and much of Asia for

immense spans. Their long duration prevents a single portrait. Regional forms changed, and later populations may have contributed to several descendants. The populations behind the earliest known dispersals beyond Africa were not a brief rung. They formed part of a flexible, geographically extensive grade that outlasted many species appearing after it.

A crowded middle

Between about 800,000 and 300,000 years ago, the family tree becomes difficult because fossils preserve mixtures and genetic evidence reaches only selected places. *Homo heidelbergensis* has often been used as a container for large-brained Middle Pleistocene humans across Africa and Europe. The container may hide several lineages. European populations moved towards Neanderthal anatomy. African populations contributed to the ancestry of *Homo sapiens*. Asian populations included descendants of *erectus* and the relatives later recognised as Denisovans.

At Sima de los Huesos in Spain, remains from many individuals around 430,000 years old show early Neanderthal facial and dental traits. Ancient DNA links them more closely to Neanderthals than to Denisovans. Later Neanderthals occupied environments from western Europe to Siberia. They controlled fire, made varied stone tools, hunted large and small animals, used plants, adhesives, pigments and feathers, and cared for people who survived serious injuries. Evidence for burials and symbolic practices varies by site and definition, but the brutish caricature cannot survive the material record. Neanderthal bodies also show healed fractures, severe tooth wear and repeated stress. Care is one plausible explanation for survival after some injuries, though a skeleton cannot reveal affection, obligation or the exact length of assistance.

Denisovans were discovered in reverse. A small finger bone from Denisova Cave yielded a genome in 2010 that belonged to neither sapiens nor Neanderthal. Teeth, bone fragments, a jaw from the Tibetan Plateau and molecular matches from other Asian fossils gradually widened the group. Their ancestry in living people shows contact across Asia, while genetic diversity indicates more than one Denisovan population.

Other bodies escaped the main continental script. On Flores, *Homo floresiensis* combined a body around a metre tall with a small brain and distinctive anatomy. Its skeletal remains date to about 100,000 to 60,000 years ago, with associated stone tools continuing later. Earlier small-bodied fossils at Mata Menge show that island dwarfing or an already small ancestor had deep roots there. *Homo luzonensis* in the Philippines is known from teeth and bones around 67,000 to 50,000 years old. Reaching either island required ancestral sea crossings somewhere in the lineage's history.

In southern Africa, *Homo naledi* dates to roughly 335,000 through 236,000 years ago. Its small brain, human-like feet, climbing-related shoulders and distinctive hand formed another mosaic. Claims that it deliberately buried its dead or made fire deep underground remain disputed and should not define it. Its secure importance is coexistence: a small-brained human lineage lived while early sapiens existed elsewhere in Africa.

Sapiens within Africa

The face at Jebel Irhoud in Morocco looks recognisably sapiens-like, while the braincase remains elongated. The fossils and heated flints around them date to about 315,000 years ago. Far to the east, Omo I in Ethiopia is older than a volcanic ash layer dated to about 233,000 years. Herto fossils, around 160,000 years old, add another combination. The pattern is spread across geography and anatomy.

Middle Stone Age technologies also vary across Africa. Prepared-core methods allowed knappers to control flake shape. Points were hafted to handles. Pigments were collected and processed. At Blombos Cave, people prepared ochre compounds, engraved pieces and used shell beads during episodes dated between 100,000 and 70,000 years ago. Long-distance movement of stone or shells reveals social ranges larger than one camp.

At Olorgesailie in Kenya, sites dating from at least 295,000 to about 320,000 years ago contain smaller tools, pigments and obsidian carried over long distances. No associated fossil names the makers, so the material supports wider social networks without assigning every innovation directly to sapiens.

No object proves language, religion or a modern mind by itself. An engraved line can be deliberate without carrying the same meaning as later art. A bead can mark identity, exchange or attachment while leaving the code unknown. The importance lies in repeated combinations of planning, standardisation, material transport and social display appearing in different African regions, then sometimes vanishing from the excavated record. Fishing, shellfish collection, hunting technology and heat-treated stone widened in some settings. The record resembles local experiments linked by intermittent contact more than one uniform march towards modernity.

Fossils and genomes fit populations that were separated enough to differ yet connected enough to exchange genes over long periods. Wet phases opened corridors across deserts; dry phases divided ranges and concentrated people near water. Sapiens anatomy and behaviour could therefore assemble through contact among populations rather than emerging complete at one site.

Beyond Africa, more than once

Early sapiens moved beyond Africa before the expansion ancestral to most living non-Africans. Fossils at Misliya Cave in Israel may be around 177,000 to 194,000 years old. Skhul and Qafzeh preserve sapiens in the Levant roughly 120,000 to 90,000 years ago. A partial cranium from Apidima in Greece has been interpreted as sapiens at more than 200,000 years, though the identification and context remain debated. These movements show opportunity without proving lasting colonisation.

An expansion starting between roughly 70,000 and 60,000 years ago supplied most ancestry of living people outside Africa. Route, timing and population structure remain active questions. Movement through south-western Asia did not produce one uninterrupted front. Genetic bottlenecks show that only part of African diversity travelled with the populations ancestral to most later non-Africans. That loss of variation was a demographic consequence, not evidence that the emigrants represented a more advanced African subgroup. Groups paused, divided and sometimes vanished. Genetic evidence places the main period of Neanderthal gene

flow into the common ancestors of many non-Africans around 50,500 to 43,500 years ago, probably over several thousand years.

By about 45,000 years ago, sapiens were present from western Eurasia to eastern Asia, with earlier occupation of parts of the route possible. People reached Sahul, the Ice Age landmass joining Australia and New Guinea, no later than about 50,000 years ago. Sea gaps remained, so water crossings were unavoidable. Europe saw overlapping populations and changing technologies around 45,000 to 40,000 years ago. Later movement into the Americas was well under way by about 16,000 years ago, while claims for substantially earlier occupation remain site-specific and contested.

Expansion required no universal package. Coastal food, cold-steppe hunting, tropical forest use and high-altitude living demanded different knowledge. Clothing, shelter, water storage, navigation, alliances and exchange could make one inherited body work across them. Culture increased ecological range faster than anatomy alone could.

The last human plurality

Around 50,000 years ago, a traveller crossing Eurasia could have entered territories used by sapiens, Neanderthals and several Denisovan populations, while small-bodied humans remained on islands to the south-east. They did not form stable nations with sharp borders. Populations expanded, contracted and met at different times.

Some meetings produced children. The roughly 90,000-year-old adolescent known as Denisova 11 was born to a Neanderthal mother and Denisovan father. Genomes show several episodes of mixing among sapiens, Neanderthals and Denisovans. The main Neanderthal contribution survives at modest levels in many people outside Africa, while Denisovan ancestry is highest in some Oceanian populations and appears in different forms across Asia. Back-migration later carried some Neanderthal-derived ancestry into Africa.

Neanderthals disappear as a distinct archaeological and biological population around 40,000 years ago, with regional chronologies and

disputed late claims. Their small, structured populations may have been vulnerable to climate, chance and incoming numbers. A modest difference in average group size or connectivity, repeated across generations, could reduce mates, allies and the retention of rare skills without any decisive battle. Disappearance may have occurred valley by valley while interbreeding blurred the boundary. Competition, disease, absorption and shifting networks may all have contributed. Denisovan disappearance is harder to date because their record is sparse. Flores and Luzon retain their own final uncertainties.

Sapiens became the only living human lineage, then population growth and cultural accumulation magnified its effects. Fire altered landscapes, hunting changed animal communities, and settlement reached most continents. Agriculture came much later and belongs to another history. The decisive result was already present: an ape increasingly adapted through inherited information had become capable of remaking habitats faster than natural selection could remake its body.

How we know

Human origins is reconstructed by making imperfect records disagree productively. Stratigraphy fixes sequence. Radiometric, palaeomagnetic and luminescence methods estimate age under different conditions. Bones and footprints constrain anatomy and movement. Cut marks, residues, wear and refitted flakes test what artefacts did. Isotopes recover aspects of diet, mobility and climate.

Ancient DNA can reveal sex, kinship, population history and admixture, but heat and time destroy it, leaving Africa badly underrepresented. Proteins last longer. In 2026, enamel proteins from six roughly 400,000-year-old *Homo erectus* individuals in China supplied molecular information where DNA did not survive. Such results extend the record without turning a few teeth into a complete population history.

Every method has a selection problem. Caves, cold climates, stone tools and hard tissues dominate because they preserve. Taxa built from

fragments may be split or merged as new material appears. Dates carry ranges, and behaviour rarely maps to one species without associated remains. Confidence comes from independent lines converging, not from one perfect fossil.

What People Get Wrong

"Humans evolved from chimpanzees"

Humans and chimpanzees share an extinct ancestral population. Neither living lineage descended from the other. Since their separation, probably six to eight million years ago, both have changed. Chimpanzees acquired their own specialised bodies and social adaptations. Treating them as preserved ancestors is like using one cousin as a photograph of a shared grandparent.

The mistake survives because comparison wants a visible starting point, and chimpanzees are the nearest living substitute. Museum diagrams then place an ape on the left and a person on the right, turning relationship into transformation. The question, why are there still apes, assumes one species converts wholesale into another. Populations split. Descendant branches can continue together for millions of years.

The correction is larger than family etiquette. Humans remain apes. Our shoulders, grasping hands, forward-facing eyes, social dependence and developmental pattern were inherited from ape ancestors before any recognisably human branch existed. Evolution did not begin with a non-human body and finish by escaping it. It modified an ape while retaining old structures, compromises and needs. Human origins therefore starts with continuity, not with a clean break between animals and us.

"There is one missing link"

A chain has one gap between two fixed ends. Human evolution has neither. Fossils represent populations scattered across time and place, while traits changed at different rates. A specimen may have a pelvis suited to upright walking, climbing-related arms, small teeth and an ape-sized brain. Another may be later yet retain an older feature. There is no single creature whose discovery would connect ape to human and complete the sequence.

The phrase became persuasive when evolution was drawn as a ladder and every fossil was asked to occupy one rung. Headlines still reward a newly found ancestor. Yet a fossil can sit close to later ancestry without being a direct ancestor, and related lineages can coexist. Species names often rest on fragmentary remains, so researchers may split or combine groups as evidence changes.

Transitional fossils are abundant in the proper sense: they contain combinations expected between earlier and later forms. Lucy, Ardipithecus, Dmanisi humans and Middle Pleistocene fossils each constrain parts of the history. None closes it. The useful question is not whether the link has been found. Ask which relationship or transition this specimen tests, which features it preserves, and how securely it is dated. That turns a publicity phrase into a scientific problem.

"Walking upright began when forests became savannah"

The old story has a clean sequence. Forests retreat, an ape is forced onto open grassland, standing gives it a better view, and humanity begins. Climate and habitat change mattered, but the one-way march from trees to savannah does not fit the evidence.

Ardipithecus lived about 4.4 million years ago in a wooded environment and combined evidence of bipedal movement with climbing adaptations. Australopiths also used mixed habitats. Even the earliest candidates for bipedalism are anatomically and environmentally disputed. Modern chimpanzees use woodland, forest edge and ground, so one habitat label

cannot dictate one gait.

Researchers have proposed several benefits for bipedalism: efficient travel, carrying food or infants, feeding from branches, reaching, display, heat management and movement through patchy terrain. These need not be exclusive, and early bipedalism differed from the efficient gait of later Homo. Selection could act on modest advantages in several settings over long periods.

Environment is not a stage direction. Changing habitat alters costs and opportunities, while bodies respond through variation, behaviour and trade-offs. Savannah did not order an ape to stand. Upright walking emerged within a more complicated ecology, then changed what later hominins could carry, reach and do.

"Big brains made us human first"

Brain size supplies an easy scoreboard. Line up skulls by capacity, point to the largest, and intelligence appears to drive the entire story. Chronology gives a different answer. Habitual bipedalism was established millions of years before brains expanded far beyond the ape range. Stone tools may also predate secure evidence for the genus Homo.

Brain volume rose in several later lineages, but size alone cannot reconstruct cognition. Neanderthals often had cranial capacities as large as, or larger than, those of living humans. Small-brained Homo naledi survived late enough to overlap early sapiens. Homo floresiensis made and used stone tools with a brain far smaller than ours. Organisation, development, body size and culture all affect what a brain can do.

Large brains are costly. They require energy, prolong development and make juveniles dependent on provisioning and learning. Their advantages therefore existed within a system of food processing, cooperation and accumulated knowledge. A brain raised without language, tools or carers would not recreate civilisation from its neurons.

Human evolution was not an intelligence contest in which the cleverest skull

automatically won. Brains mattered immensely, but they were one expensive component in a body and social network that had already been changing for millions of years.

"Men hunted while women gathered"

Many documented foraging societies divide labour by sex, and large-game hunting has often been male dominated. That evidence does not justify a universal rule extending unchanged through hundreds of thousands of years. Nor does one female hunter burial prove equal participation everywhere.

The stereotype hardened when researchers projected familiar gender arrangements backwards and assigned stone points and large animal bones to men by default. A well-supported burial from Wilamaya Patjxa in the Andes placed projectile equipment with a young adult female, and ethnographic reviews identify societies in which women hunt deliberately. Critics show that broad estimates depend heavily on how hunting, participation and society are coded. The bounded correction is that women hunted in some settings, while labour divisions varied with ecology, technology, childcare and social rules.

Prehistory rarely preserves daily allocation directly. A tool beside a body may be equipment, offering or symbol. Skeletal stress does not name every task. Plant foods, trapping, fishing, processing, teaching and care often leave less spectacular traces than a butchered large animal.

The correction matters because subsistence was a household and group system. Asking who obtained calories, processed them, carried children, transmitted skills and absorbed risk is better than assigning all innovation to one heroic male provider.

"Modern behaviour appeared in one sudden revolution"

European cave art, ornaments and elaborate tools became highly visible after about 45,000 years ago. Earlier accounts treated that concentration as a late cognitive mutation switching on language, symbolism and modern culture.

African archaeology weakens the switch. Pigment processing, engraved ochre, shell beads, long-distance movement of materials, hafted points and changing subsistence strategies appear at different sites tens of thousands of years earlier. At Blombos Cave, several such practices recur from around 100,000 to 70,000 years ago. At Olorgesailie, smaller tools, pigments and distant obsidian appear around 300,000 years ago. No object proves a modern mind by itself, but the behaviours do not arrive together in one place.

The opposite story, a smooth inevitable rise, is also too neat. Techniques appear, disappear and reappear. Preservation, excavation and population size affect visibility. A capacity may remain unseen when groups are small, contacts sparse or materials unavailable. Neanderthals also used pigments, adhesives, ornaments and complex hunting technologies in some settings.

The correction moves explanation from one mental ignition to interaction among cognition, demography, ecology and social learning. Modern behaviour is a bundle assembled and sustained under particular conditions, not a light that came on once.

"Sapiens replaced every other human without mixing"

The clean replacement model pictured modern humans leaving Africa and displacing archaic populations without descendants. Ancient DNA broke that boundary. Many living people with substantial ancestry outside Africa inherited about one to two per cent of their genomes from Neanderthals. Denisovan ancestry survives most strongly in some Oceanian populations and unevenly across parts of Asia.

This was not one exceptional encounter. Genomes record several episodes of gene flow. Denisova 11 was a first-generation child of a Neanderthal woman and Denisovan man. Genetic estimates place the main shared episode of Neanderthal admixture for many living non-Africans between about 50,500 and 43,500 years ago. Later movement carried some Neanderthal-derived ancestry back into Africa. Much archaic DNA was lost, while some variants persisted through chance or local advantage.

Mixing does not mean all groups were interchangeable or that disappearance was peaceful. Populations had long separate histories, and contact could include competition, cooperation, violence and absorption. Genetics rarely identifies the social circumstances of a conception.

The correction changes extinction. Neanderthals and Denisovans ceased to exist as distinct populations, yet parts of their ancestry continued inside expanding sapiens groups. The last surviving human lineage did not remain genetically sealed while the others vanished. It carries evidence of the crowd it outlasted.

Use It

Read trees, not ladders

When a diagram places fossils in one ascending row, ask what has been removed. A useful picture allows branches to coexist, end and reconnect, distinguishes ancestors from close relatives and marks unresolved relationships.

This matters beyond palaeoanthropology because survivor bias turns outcomes into rankings. *Homo sapiens* is the only living human lineage, so every earlier population is easily recast as a failed attempt to become us. Yet *Paranthropus* persisted for more than a million years, *Homo erectus* for far longer, and Neanderthals across Eurasia. Success does not map onto resemblance to the eventual survivor.

The same discipline applies to traits. A later date does not make every feature more advanced. Large teeth, climbing ability or small stature can be fitted solutions. Replace how close is this fossil to us with what could this population do and what conditions did it face? The tree then becomes history rather than a podium.

Separate a trait from the whole package

Human, modern and intelligent compress many variables into one verdict. Break them apart. Walking, hand anatomy, brain development, tool production, fire use, language, symbolic display, long childhood and extensive cooperation have different records and dates. One fossil can inform one while saying little about another.

This prevents overclaiming from striking evidence. A foot shows how weight moved, not whether its owner spoke. A prepared core shows planning in stone, not a whole mind. Pigment may have been practical, symbolic or both. A large brain does not identify social organisation. One association between bones and tools may not identify the maker.

The rule also improves comparisons with living animals. Chimpanzees use

tools, whales transmit hunting traditions and birds solve technical problems. Those facts preserve human distinctiveness while stopping one capacity from carrying the argument. The human combination is unusual in integration and cumulative reach. Precision strengthens that case. Ask which capacity is being claimed, how it is measured and whether the evidence supports the whole bundle or one component.

Ask what evidence could survive

The archive of human origins is chemically biased. Teeth survive better than skin. Stone survives better than wood. Caves preserve more than exposed ground. Cold sediments can preserve DNA that tropical environments destroy. A hunting wound may mark bone; gathering leaves little. Fire can erase and preserve at once.

Before treating absence as behaviour, imagine the missing materials. Digging sticks, fibre, clothing, containers, shelters, medicines and spoken rules may have been central while leaving weak traces. Survival after injury can suggest care, but affection, coercion and ordinary feeding do not fossilise. The visible record therefore favours durable objects and unusual deaths over routine competence.

Missing evidence cannot prove a preferred story. It changes the confidence of a negative claim. "No preserved evidence has been found" is different from "they did not do it". Strong reconstructions use several independent traces and remain proportionate to preservation. Whenever a confident headline appears, ask whether the proposed behaviour had a fair chance of entering the archive.

Treat every "first" as a threshold claim

The first tool, fire, art or person is usually the oldest example yet recognised under a chosen definition. Change the definition, date or sample and the first can move.

Lomekwi counts as stone technology if deliberate battering and flake removal meet the threshold, even though the artefacts differ from the later

Oldowan. Wonderwerk supplies early cave burning, but controlled fire can mean access, repeated use, maintenance, transport or ignition. An engraved pattern can establish deliberate marking without proving art in the modern institutional sense. Homo sapiens requires a taxonomic decision about which anatomical combination qualifies.

Read a first claim as first what, diagnosed how, dated by which method, and within which surveyed record. The oldest surviving example is also not the invention date. The behaviour may be older than its preservation. A new find often shifts the lower bound rather than locating a birthday.

This keeps discoveries interesting without making each rewrite the species overnight. It also explains why responsible researchers use ranges and labels such as earliest known, secure evidence or probable use. The qualifying words carry the science.

Expect feedback rather than one master cause

Human origins attracts single keys: walking freed the hands, meat enlarged the brain, cooking shrank the gut, language created cooperation, climate forced innovation. Each may describe part of the process; none carries the whole history.

Look instead for loops with costs. Upright travel can free the hands for carrying and tools. Better processing can widen diet and energy return. More dependable food can support expensive brains and slower development. Longer childhood improves learning while increasing dependence on carers. Better social learning can preserve technology, which changes diet and habitat again. Population contact can maintain rare skills, while successful skills support more people and wider networks.

A feedback model asks where evidence fixes direction, processes reinforce one another and bottlenecks limit the loop. Fire is no cause before it is controlled often enough to change eating. A large brain is no advantage if energy and learning cannot support it. Cooperation can raise returns while creating conflict and dependence. The strongest account explains gains and bills together.

Use ancestry without race boxes

Ancestry estimates can answer bounded questions about similarity to reference samples and probable family origins. They do not reveal pure biological races or assign personal worth. Human populations have repeatedly divided, moved and mixed, and genetic variation usually changes across geography rather than falling into a few sealed continental containers.

Read any ancestry percentage as a model output. Its result depends on the company or study's reference samples, algorithms, categories and time depth. A label may describe recent similarity, not an ancient people with fixed borders. Different services can give different percentages without finding a hidden essence. Medical relevance attaches to particular variants, environments and family histories, not a broad racial label.

Deep human history adds another warning. Africa contains the greatest human genetic diversity and cannot be represented by one ancestral type. People outside Africa descend largely from a subset of that diversity, followed by further mixture, including with Neanderthals and Denisovans. No living population is evolutionarily older, closer to apes or less modern than another. Every living person has an equally long history since shared ancestors.

The limits

Human origins cannot supply a moral code. Evolution explains how traits and behaviours could spread under past conditions. It does not tell us what is good, natural or unavoidable now. Cooperation has evolutionary histories, and so do coercion, status competition and violence. Antiquity grants no authority.

The field also cannot recover a complete social world from fragments. Species boundaries remain partly conventional. Fossils sample a minute share of past populations. Ancient DNA privileges cool regions and recent periods. Archaeological categories may join different groups or split one changing tradition. Models of language, childcare and social organisation

depend heavily on comparison and indirect evidence. New discoveries can move dates and relationships without overturning the branching framework.

A one-hour account adds its own distortion. It privileges lineages connected to living humans, compresses African regional diversity and gives named stone industries more space than vanished technologies. Use the model as a map, not a census of every population or cause.

The one thing to keep

Keep the combination.

No ape acquired one human ingredient. Walking came early and left hands available without dictating what they would make. Tools extended bodies but depended on learning. Better food could support larger brains, which prolonged development and raised each child's cost. Care kept slow-growing learners alive. Language and imitation moved information. Contact moved skills and genes. Each change altered the conditions under which the others mattered.

That combination explains human power. Individually, people are vulnerable, slow to mature and unable to invent most of what they need. Collectively, they inherit fire, shelter, navigation, rules and techniques from minds they never met. Culture let adaptation change within a lifetime and accumulate across many.

The permanent change should be in the pronoun. Human origins is often told as the ascent of the individual: one body stands, one hand strikes a flake, one brain grows, one genius speaks. The evidence keeps returning to populations. Bodies evolved in breeding groups. Children developed inside care networks. Technologies survived through teachers and learners. Sapiens formed through African populations reconnecting, then expanded while exchanging ancestry with other humans.

You are an ape whose unusual abilities depend on other apes, living and dead. The species became dangerous and creative by making dependence cumulative.

Terms

Primate. The mammalian order containing lemurs, monkeys, apes and humans. Primates commonly combine grasping hands, forward-facing vision, flexible behaviour and prolonged development, though no single feature defines every member.

Ape. A tailless primate in the group containing gibbons and the great apes. Humans are African great apes, alongside chimpanzees, bonobos and gorillas, rather than descendants that left apes behind.

Hominid. Any member of the great-ape family Hominidae, including orangutans, gorillas, chimpanzees, bonobos and humans. Older writing sometimes used the term more narrowly, so context matters.

Hominin. A member of the lineage more closely related to living humans than to chimpanzees and bonobos after their ancestral populations separated. The category includes us and our extinct evolutionary relatives.

Last common ancestor. The most recent ancestral population shared by two lineages. The human and chimpanzee last common ancestor is extinct and should not be pictured as either living species.

Phylogeny. A hypothesis about evolutionary relationships, usually represented as a branching tree. Fossils, anatomy, proteins and genomes can alter where branches are placed without turning the history into a ladder.

Mosaic evolution. The evolution of traits at different times and rates. A hominin can combine upright walking, climbing shoulders, small teeth and an ape-sized brain without being halfway.

Bipedalism. Habitual movement on two legs. Human bipedalism involves the spine, pelvis, hip, knee, foot and balance system, and developed in stages rather than arriving as one completed gait.

Foramen magnum. The opening beneath the skull through which the spinal cord passes. Its position can help infer head carriage, although it cannot

establish the full locomotor behaviour of a fragmentary fossil.

Australopith. Informal name for *Australopithecus* and closely related early hominins. They were diverse, mostly small-brained bipeds whose anatomy retained climbing abilities and whose branches overlapped with early *Homo* and *Paranthropus*.

Genus *Homo*. The taxonomic group containing living humans and several extinct relatives. Its earliest boundary is disputed because fragmentary fossils combine traits later used to distinguish *Homo* from australopiths.

Encephalisation. Evolutionary increase in brain size relative to expectations for body size. It is more informative than raw volume, but neither measure directly supplies intelligence, language or behaviour.

Life history. The schedule of growth, maturation, reproduction and ageing. Humans mature slowly, remain dependent for years and invest heavily in learning, making development a central part of our evolutionary strategy.

Alloparenting. Care of young by individuals other than the biological mother, including fathers, siblings, grandparents and unrelated helpers. Its extent varies, but shared care can alter the cost and survival of childhood.

Lithic. Made of stone, especially an artefact used by hominins. Stone dominates early archaeology partly because it survives, not because most technology or daily work was necessarily stone based.

Knapping. Shaping stone by controlled fracture. Knappers strike a core to detach flakes or prepare a larger form, leaving sequences that can reveal technique, planning, handedness and material choice.

Oldowan. An early stone-tool tradition centred on cores, flakes and pounding pieces, securely present by about three million years ago. The label describes recurring artefacts, not one species or one fixed behaviour.

Acheulean. A long-lived technological tradition first seen in Africa more than 1.7 million years ago, known for large cutting tools such as handaxes. Its geography and functions varied greatly.

Levallois. A prepared-core method in which a knapper shapes a stone so a later strike removes a flake of planned form. It records control over fracture rather than one universal mental stage.

Hafting. Attaching a stone or bone working edge to a handle or shaft. Hafting joins materials and procedures, often requiring binding or adhesive, and can increase reach, force and repairability.

Controlled fire. Fire repeatedly managed for useful ends. Evidence may distinguish burning, hearth use, maintenance, transport and reliable ignition imperfectly, so claims depend on both definition and archaeological context.

Cumulative culture. Socially learned information that is retained and modified across generations, producing solutions no one learner must reinvent. Human dependence on this process expands adaptation beyond inherited anatomy.

Symbolic behaviour. Use of an object, mark, sound or act to stand for meaning shared within a group. Archaeology can identify deliberate patterning more readily than recover the code or interpretation.

Middle Stone Age. An African archaeological period beginning hundreds of thousands of years ago and containing prepared-core tools, hafted points, pigments, ornaments and varied subsistence. Its timing differs by region.

Pleistocene. The geological epoch from about 2.58 million to 11,700 years ago, marked by repeated glacial cycles. Most evolution of Homo, human dispersal and coexistence among human lineages occurred within it.

Homo erectus. A long-lived and geographically extensive human lineage or grade beginning around 1.9 million years ago. African and Asian fossils vary enough that their naming and exact relationships remain debated.

Neanderthal. A western Eurasian human lineage with distinctive anatomy and deep regional history. Neanderthals made complex technologies, cared for injured people and exchanged genes with expanding Homo sapiens populations.

Denisovan. A human lineage first recognised from ancient DNA in Siberia and later connected to Asian fossils and living ancestry. The name covers genetically diverse populations rather than one well-known skeleton.

Introgression. The movement of genetic variants from one population into another through interbreeding followed by repeated backcrossing. Neanderthal and Denisovan introgression survives unevenly in living human genomes.

Ancient DNA. Genetic material recovered from past remains or sediments. It can reveal kinship and admixture, but degrades rapidly, especially in warm climates; ancient proteins sometimes extend molecular evidence farther back.

Go Deeper

The visual overview

Alice Roberts, *Evolution: The Human Story*, second edition (DK, 2018). Start here when the names and branching relationships need faces, skeletons and maps. Roberts combines accessible explanation with reconstructions and comparative anatomy, moving from primate ancestry through australopiths and the genus *Homo* to living variation. Any illustrated reconstruction contains artistic decisions, and several dates and relationships have shifted since publication. Use it as a clear visual framework, then let newer research revise individual branches. Its strength is breadth: readers can compare proportions, ranges and tool traditions without losing the family-wide chronology or requiring specialist training at the start.

The science of the crowded world

Tom Higham, *The World Before Us: How Science Is Revealing a New Story of Our Human Origins* (Viking, 2021). Higham is an archaeological scientist whose work helped refine the timing of Neanderthal and sapiens overlap. The book is strongest on radiocarbon dating, ancient DNA, Denisovans and the discovery that late human evolution involved several populations meeting. It also shows how a technical improvement can rearrange an apparently settled narrative. Read it for the evidence-making process as much as for the family tree. Because discoveries move quickly, check later dates and names, but keep Higham's account of how laboratories turn fragments into population history.

The Neanderthal correction

Rebecca Wragg Sykes, *Kindred: Neanderthal Life, Love, Death and Art* (Bloomsbury Sigma, 2020). This is the fullest inviting account of Neanderthals as people living through landscapes rather than as a failed comparison with sapiens. Wragg Sykes builds daily life from stone, bone, residues, injuries and spatial patterns, while marking the limits of inference. The accumulation of detail is the purpose, though readers seeking a fast chronological survey may find it dense. Read it after this book to inhabit one vanished branch properly. It also demonstrates how cautious inference can recover movement, work and social life without pretending that every evocative possibility is established fact.

The neglected engine

Sarah Blaffer Hrdy, *Mothers and Others: The Evolutionary Origins of Mutual Understanding* (Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2009). Hrdy argues that unusually dependent human young and care from people beyond the mother helped shape social cognition. The thesis is influential rather than a closed verdict, and the comparative evidence requires caution when projected deep into prehistory. It earns its place because it directs attention towards reproduction, provisioning and childcare, the work most origin stories omit and the archaeological record preserves least well. Pair its strong organising argument with the book's own warnings about variable families and indirect evidence.

Notes and Sources

Framing, relationship and terminology

Humans are classified within the great apes, and chimpanzees and bonobos are our closest living relatives. The divergence date is a range rather than a point because genetic regions carry different histories and population separation need not be instantaneous. The book uses *hominin* for members of the lineage closer to living humans than to chimpanzees after the split, and *hominid* for all great apes. Species names in the fossil record remain hypotheses built from anatomy, chronology and, where available, molecules.

Walking before brains

The treatment of *Ardipithecus ramidus* follows the 2009 Science synthesis and pelvis study while retaining later criticism of reconstruction and locomotor inference. *Sahelanthropus* is described as a disputed early biped rather than the settled first hominin. Dayer and colleagues interpreted the postcranial material as evidence of bipedalism; Cazenave and colleagues rejected habitual bipedalism as unsupported; Williams and colleagues reported new postcranial evidence favouring bipedal adaptation in 2026. The *Australopithecus anamensis* cranium supports at least some overlap with *A. afarensis*. Laetoli dates and gait claims follow the footprint literature; the alternative trail is kept explicitly disputed.

Tools, food and fire

Lomekwi provides the oldest widely accepted deliberately flaked stone assemblage, at about 3.3 million years, without an identified maker. Nyayanga extends the Oldowan to around three million years and associates tools, processed animal tissue and plant use with nearby *Paranthropus* remains without proving authorship. The Ledi-Geraru jaw and new finds place early *Homo* close to 2.8 million years while showing contemporaneous hominin diversity. Cutting and pounding experiments support the claim that processing can reduce chewing demands. Wonderwerk and Gesher Benot Ya'aqov support early controlled fire at different evidential thresholds; the text separates burning, recurrent use, maintenance and ignition.

Bodies, childhood and care

The energetic account follows comparative work showing higher metabolic throughput in humans than in other apes and rejects a one-organ trade as the complete explanation. The discussion of birth uses the metabolic hypothesis alongside pelvic constraint rather than presenting one solved obstetric dilemma. Fossils and dental development indicate that prolonged human life history assembled in stages. Cooperative breeding research supports shared care as a serious evolutionary mechanism, but no fossil identifies one universal childcare arrangement. The text therefore treats alloparenting as variable and causal claims about social cognition as plausible rather than proved by one mechanism.

Culture and language

Cumulative culture is used in the restricted sense of socially transmitted modifications that persist and build across generations. Claims about population size are framed through access and connectivity because demographic effects vary with ecology, mobility and network structure. Blombos and Olorgesailie supply early African evidence for pigments, engravings, ornaments, prepared tools and long-distance material movement. McBrearty and Brooks remain important for rejecting a single late European behavioural revolution. Speech has no direct fossil record. The language section follows comparative and evolutionary syntheses and treats the Neanderthal case as open within broad anatomical, genetic and behavioural constraints. FOXP2 is not treated as a language gene.

The crowded genus

The Dmanisi assemblage establishes early occupation outside Africa by small-brained humans and substantial variation at one site. The toothless individual's survival permits care as an inference, not a recovered social scene. The book follows secure evidence for Neanderthal technology, diet, injury and gene flow while keeping burial and symbolic claims site-specific. Denisovans were first identified genetically, and later fossil and protein evidence has widened their Asian range. The chronologies of *Homo floresiensis*, *Homo luzonensis* and *Homo naledi* follow the primary descriptions and redating studies. Disputed claims for deliberate burial and underground fire by *H. naledi* are excluded.

Sapiens in Africa and dispersal

Jebel Irhoud is dated to roughly 315,000 years and combines sapiens-like facial anatomy with a more elongated braincase. Omo I is older than the 233,000-year volcanic marker, not dated to one exact year. Herto is dated to roughly 160,000 to 154,000 years ago. The pan-African model follows fossil, archaeological and genetic work that fits long-lived population structure and intermittent gene flow across the continent. It does not imply equal contribution from every region or one agreed demographic model. Early movements beyond Africa are separated from the later expansion ancestral to most living non-Africans. Dates for Sahul and the Americas are stated as conservative lower bounds because individual early sites remain debated.

Admixture and disappearance

The Neanderthal and Denisovan genome papers established gene flow with ancestors of living people. Denisova 11 directly documents a first-generation Neanderthal-Denisovan individual. Recent genome studies narrow a major period of Neanderthal gene flow into common ancestors of many non-Africans to roughly 50,500 to 43,500 years ago, with uncertainty around duration and population structure. Percentages of archaic ancestry vary by population, reference panel and method, so the text uses rounded ranges. No single cause is assigned to Neanderthal disappearance.

Demography, connectivity, climate, competition, disease, absorption and chance remain setting-dependent candidates.

Sex, subsistence and race

The Wilamaya Patjxa burial supports a female large-game hunter in the early Americas. Ethnographic work confirms female hunting in some societies, but recent debate exposes sampling and coding problems in claims that it was nearly universal. The text rejects both a rigid prehistoric rule and an unsupported assumption of equal participation everywhere. Its treatment of race and ancestry follows the 2019 American Association of Physical Anthropologists statement, now maintained by the American Association of Biological Anthropologists: biological variation is real and patterned, but social race categories do not divide humanity into discrete, pure evolutionary lineages. Ancestry estimates depend on reference populations and model choices.

Evidence, dating and preservation

Dating methods answer different questions and carry different assumptions. Stratigraphic association can fail if material moves. Radiometric estimates have uncertainty ranges. Luminescence dates sediment exposure rather than a person's death. Ancient DNA preservation is strongly uneven by climate and age. Proteins can survive longer but recover less information. The 2026 enamel-protein study of six approximately 400,000-year-old Chinese *Homo erectus* specimens is the newest material source used. Its stronger claims concern recovered proteins, sex estimates and shared variants; proposed deeper gene-flow relationships remain hypotheses and are not imported into the narrative.

Bibliography

Books and major syntheses

Fitch, W. Tecumseh. *The Evolution of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Higham, Tom. *The World Before Us: How Science Is Revealing a New Story of Our Human Origins*. London: Viking, 2021.

Hrdy, Sarah Blaffer. *Mothers and Others: The Evolutionary Origins of Mutual Understanding*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2009.

Roberts, Alice. *Evolution: The Human Story*. 2nd ed. London: DK, 2018.

Wragg Sykes, Rebecca. *Kindred: Neanderthal Life, Love, Death and Art*. London: Bloomsbury Sigma, 2020.

Original research, reviews and institutional guidance

Alperson-Afil, Nira, et al. "Spatial Organization of Hominin Activities at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel." *Science* 326 (2009): 1677-1680. DOI: 10.1126/science.1180695.

Berger, Lee R., et al. "Homo naledi, a New Species of the Genus Homo from the Dinaledi Chamber, South Africa." *eLife* 4 (2015): e09560. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.09560.

Berna, Francesco, et al. "Microstratigraphic Evidence of In Situ Fire in the Acheulean Strata of Wonderwerk Cave, Northern Cape Province, South Africa." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (2012): E1215-E1220. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1117620109.

Brooks, Alison S., et al. "Long-Distance Stone Transport and Pigment Use in the Earliest Middle Stone Age." *Science* 360 (2018): 90-94. DOI: 10.1126/science.aao2646.

Burkart, Judith M., Sarah Blaffer Hrdy and Carel P. van Schaik. "Cooperative Breeding and Human Cognitive Evolution." *Evolutionary Anthropology* 18 (2009): 175-186. DOI: 10.1002/evan.20222.

Cazenave, Marine, et al. "Postcranial Evidence Does Not Support Habitual Bipedalism in Sahelanthropus tchadensis: A Reply to Daver et al. (2022)." *Journal of Human Evolution* 198 (2025): 103557. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhevol.2024.103557.

Chen, Fahu, et al. "A Late Middle Pleistocene Denisovan Mandible from the Tibetan Plateau." *Nature* 569 (2019): 409-412. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1139-x.

Chen, Lu, et al. "Identifying and Interpreting Apparent Neanderthal Ancestry in African Individuals." *Cell* 180 (2020): 677-687.e16. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.012.

Clarkson, Chris, et al. "Human Occupation of Northern Australia by 65,000 Years Ago." *Nature* 547 (2017): 306-310. DOI: 10.1038/nature22968.

Daver, Guillaume, et al. "Postcranial Evidence of Late Miocene Hominin Bipedalism in Chad." *Nature* 609 (2022): 94-100. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-022-04901-z.

Davis, Loren G., et al. "Late Upper Paleolithic Occupation at Cooper's Ferry,

Idaho, USA, about 16,000 Years Ago." *Science* 365 (2019): 891-897. DOI: 10.1126/science.aax9830.

Dediu, Dan and Stephen C. Levinson. "On the Antiquity of Language: The Reinterpretation of Neandertal Linguistic Capacities and Its Consequences." *Frontiers in Psychology* 4 (2013): 397. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00397.

Détroit, Florent, et al. "A New Species of Homo from the Late Pleistocene of the Philippines." *Nature* 568 (2019): 181-186. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1067-9.

Dirks, Paul H. G. M., et al. "The Age of Homo naledi and Associated Sediments in the Rising Star Cave, South Africa." *eLife* 6 (2017): e24231. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.24231.

Dunsworth, Holly M., et al. "Metabolic Hypothesis for Human Altriciality." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (2012): 15212-15216. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1205282109.

Fu, Qiaomei, et al. "Enamel Proteins from Six Homo erectus Specimens across China." *Nature* 655 (2026): 141-147. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-026-10478-8.

Fuentes, Agustín, et al. "AAPA Statement on Race and Racism." *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 169, no. 3 (2019): 400-402. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.23882.

Green, Richard E., et al. "A Draft Sequence of the Neandertal Genome." *Science* 328 (2010): 710-722. DOI: 10.1126/science.1188021.

Haile-Selassie, Yohannes, et al. "A 3.8-Million-Year-Old Hominin Cranium from Woranso-Mille, Ethiopia." *Nature* 573 (2019): 214-219. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1513-8.

Haas, Randall, et al. "Female Hunters of the Early Americas." *Science Advances* 6 (2020): eabd0310. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abd0310.

Harmand, Sonia, et al. "3.3-Million-Year-Old Stone Tools from Lomekwi 3, West Turkana, Kenya." *Nature* 521 (2015): 310-315. DOI:

10.1038/nature14464.

Harvati, Katerina, et al. "Apidima Cave Fossils Provide Earliest Evidence of Homo sapiens in Eurasia." *Nature* 571 (2019): 500-504. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1376-z.

Hatala, Kevin G., et al. "Laetoli Footprints Reveal Bipedal Gait Biomechanics Different from Those of Modern Humans and Chimpanzees." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 283 (2016): 20160235. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2016.0235.

Henshilwood, Christopher S., et al. "Emergence of Modern Human Behavior: Middle Stone Age Engravings from South Africa." *Science* 295 (2002): 1278-1280. DOI: 10.1126/science.1067575.

Henshilwood, Christopher S., et al. "An Abstract Drawing from the 73,000-Year-Old Levels at Blombos Cave, South Africa." *Nature* 562 (2018): 115-118. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0514-3.

Hershkovitz, Israel, et al. "The Earliest Modern Humans outside Africa." *Science* 359 (2018): 456-459. DOI: 10.1126/science.aap8369.

Hublin, Jean-Jacques, et al. "New Fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco and the Pan-African Origin of Homo sapiens." *Nature* 546 (2017): 289-292. DOI: 10.1038/nature22336.

Iasi, Leonardo N. M., et al. "Neanderthal Ancestry through Time: Insights from Genomes of Ancient and Present-Day Humans." *Science* 386, no. 6727 (2024): eadq3010. DOI: 10.1126/science.adq3010.

Leakey, Mary D. and Richard L. Hay. "Pliocene Footprints in the Laetoli Beds at Laetoli, Northern Tanzania." *Nature* 278 (1979): 317-323. DOI: 10.1038/278317a0.

Lordkipanidze, David, et al. "A Complete Skull from Dmanisi, Georgia, and the Evolutionary Biology of Early Homo." *Science* 342 (2013): 326-331. DOI: 10.1126/science.1238484.

Lovejoy, C. Owen, et al. "The Pelvis and Femur of Ardipithecus ramidus:

The Emergence of Upright Walking." *Science* 326 (2009): 71e1-71e6. DOI: 10.1126/science.1175831.

McBrearty, Sally and Alison S. Brooks. "The Revolution That Wasn't: A New Interpretation of the Origin of Modern Human Behavior." *Journal of Human Evolution* 39 (2000): 453-563. DOI: 10.1006/jhev.2000.0435.

McNutt, Ellison J., et al. "Footprint Evidence of Early Hominin Locomotor Diversity at Laetoli, Tanzania." *Nature* 600 (2021): 468-471. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-04187-7.

Mesoudi, Alex and Alex Thornton. "What Is Cumulative Cultural Evolution?" *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 285 (2018): 20180712. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2018.0712.

Meyer, Matthias, et al. "A High-Coverage Genome Sequence from an Archaic Denisovan Individual." *Science* 338 (2012): 222-226. DOI: 10.1126/science.1224344.

Meyer, Matthias, et al. "Nuclear DNA Sequences from the Middle Pleistocene Sima de los Huesos Hominins." *Nature* 531 (2016): 504-507. DOI: 10.1038/nature17405.

Plummer, Thomas W., et al. "Expanded Geographic Distribution and Dietary Strategies of the Earliest Oldowan Hominins and Paranthropus." *Science* 379 (2023): 561-566. DOI: 10.1126/science.abo7452.

Pontzer, Herman, et al. "Metabolic Acceleration and the Evolution of Human Brain Size and Life History." *Nature* 533 (2016): 390-392. DOI: 10.1038/nature17654.

Ragsdale, Aaron P., et al. "A Weakly Structured Stem for Human Origins in Africa." *Nature* 617 (2023): 755-763. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-06055-y.

Richter, Daniel, et al. "The Age of the Hominin Fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco, and the Origins of the Middle Stone Age." *Nature* 546 (2017): 293-296. DOI: 10.1038/nature22335.

Scerri, Eleanor M. L., et al. "Did Our Species Evolve in Subdivided

Populations across Africa, and Why Does It Matter?" *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 33 (2018): 582-594. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2018.05.005.

Slon, Viviane, et al. "The Genome of the Offspring of a Neanderthal Mother and a Denisovan Father." *Nature* 561 (2018): 113-116. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0455-x.

Sümer, Arev P., et al. "Earliest Modern Human Genomes Constrain Timing of Neanderthal Admixture." *Nature* 638 (2025): 711-717. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-024-08420-x.

Sutikna, Thomas, et al. "Revised Stratigraphy and Chronology for Homo floresiensis at Liang Bua in Indonesia." *Nature* 532 (2016): 366-369. DOI: 10.1038/nature17179.

Venkataraman, Vivek V., et al. "Female Foragers Sometimes Hunt, Yet Gendered Divisions of Labor Are Real: A Comment on Anderson et al. (2023) The Myth of Man the Hunter." *Evolution and Human Behavior* 45 (2024): 106586. DOI: 10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2024.04.014.

Vidal, Céline M., et al. "Age of the Oldest Known Homo sapiens from Eastern Africa." *Nature* 601 (2022): 579-583. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-04275-8.

Villmoare, Brian, et al. "Early Homo at 2.8 Ma from Ledi-Geraru, Afar, Ethiopia." *Science* 347 (2015): 1352-1355. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaa1343.

Villmoare, Brian, et al. "New Discoveries of Australopithecus and Homo from Ledi-Geraru, Ethiopia." *Nature* 650 (2026): 374-380. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-025-09390-4.

White, Tim D., et al. "Ardipithecus ramidus and the Paleobiology of Early Hominids." *Science* 326 (2009): 75-86. DOI: 10.1126/science.1175802.

White, Tim D., et al. "Pleistocene Homo sapiens from Middle Awash, Ethiopia." *Nature* 423 (2003): 742-747. DOI: 10.1038/nature01669.

Williams, Scott A., et al. "Earliest Evidence of Hominin Bipedalism in Sahelanthropus tchadensis." *Science Advances* 12, no. 1 (2026): eadv0130.

DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adv0130.

Zink, Katherine D. and Daniel E. Lieberman. "Impact of Meat and Lower Palaeolithic Food Processing Techniques on Chewing in Humans." *Nature* 531 (2016): 500-503. DOI: 10.1038/nature16990.