

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Heaven and Hell

in a Hurry

The afterlife, and who invented it

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 36

Terms 41

Go Deeper 44

Notes and Sources 45

Bibliography 50

The Whole Thing in One Page

Heaven and hell feel older than history: two destinations waiting beyond death, one above and one below, assigned after a moral reckoning. That picture is so familiar that it can look like something humanity always knew. It is not. There is no single inventor to name. Its components developed at different times for different reasons, then fitted together so well that the joins disappeared.

Many early afterlives were not moral sorting machines. In Mesopotamian poetry and much early Greek tradition, death meant a diminished existence below. The good and bad did not automatically receive opposite eternities. Early Hebrew texts usually speak of Sheol in similarly shadowed terms. Egypt is an important counterexample: funerary religion developed elaborate hopes for continued life and moral judgement long before Christianity, but its weighing of the heart still does not map neatly onto modern heaven and hell.

The first millennium BCE brought several answers into sharper focus. South Asian traditions developed karma, rebirth and liberation, turning the problem from where the dead go into why lives keep returning. Greek philosophers argued over whether a soul could survive the body and whether it might be reborn. Iranian texts contain early moral judgement; later Zoroastrian writings elaborate the final restoration of creation. Jewish apocalyptic writers, under foreign rule and persecution, increasingly placed divine justice beyond ordinary history. Daniel imagines the dead awakening so that some receive enduring life and others disgrace. Resurrection was becoming an answer to a brutal problem: if faithful people can be tortured and killed, justice needs a future in which death does not get the last vote.

Christianity inherited that Jewish expectation of resurrection and final judgement, then developed inside a Greek-speaking world already rich in ideas about souls and the underworld. The New Testament does not present one tidy postmortem atlas. It gives resurrection, paradise, Hades, Gehenna, exclusion, fire, a renewed creation and apocalyptic judgement.

Later Christians argued over how these fit together. Vivid tours of punishment, philosophical accounts of the soul, prayer for the dead, Augustine's defence of everlasting punishment, medieval purgation and scholastic system-building supplied more joins.

Islam arose in the same late-antique religious world with its own forceful eschatology: resurrection, judgement, paradise and hell are central Qur'anic themes. Later Muslim traditions elaborated the interval between death and resurrection, often called barzakh, alongside the final reckoning.

Then pictures made the system feel concrete. Medieval visions, sermons and art gave the unseen geography. Dante did not invent hell. He inherited centuries of material and organised it with extraordinary precision. Later preaching, painting, Milton, translation and popular culture did more of the same. Clouds, harps, flames, demons and a devil apparently running the basement became easier to remember than the arguments that produced them.

The result is not one doctrine but a layered family of answers to four questions: what survives death, what makes outcomes differ, when judgement or transition happens, and what the final condition is. Keep those separate and the history becomes clear. Merge them, and a late composite starts to look eternal. The history is hidden inside the map's apparent simplicity.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

A single English word can hide two thousand years of argument. Open an older Bible and you may find the word hell in places where the underlying text says Sheol, Hades, Gehenna or Tartarus. Those terms did not begin as synonyms. Translation can place them under one label, and once one label is printed often enough, different ideas start to look like repeated descriptions of the same place.

That is a small example of why the afterlife matters even if you are certain

there is none. Ideas about what happens after death have shaped what people do before it. Tombs absorbed wealth. Ancestors received offerings. Martyrs could face execution with a promise that earthly defeat would be reversed. Medieval Europeans paid for prayers and masses for the dead. Reformers attacked purgatory partly because an afterlife doctrine had become entangled with authority, money and institutional practice. Funeral rites, burial choices, memorials and arguments about the fate of infants or unbelievers all reveal that an invisible future can reorganise a visible society.

The subject also forces a distinction modern conversation routinely loses. Belief in an afterlife does not tell you what a person thinks survives. An Egyptian dead person could require body, name, offerings and transformed identity. A Platonic philosopher might defend an immortal soul. A Jewish apocalyptic writer might expect God to raise the dead. A Buddhist can affirm rebirth while denying a permanent self that migrates unchanged from body to body. These are not colourful variants of one idea. They are different theories of personhood disguised by one English noun.

Then comes justice. An earthly court can acquit the powerful and execute the innocent. A conqueror may die in bed while a victim disappears into an unmarked grave. Traditions that place judgement beyond death can make a claim ordinary politics cannot: the final verdict is not controlled by the winner. That claim can comfort the oppressed, discipline the powerful, frighten children, legitimate institutions, intensify persecution or make present suffering easier to endure. Its social effect depends on who interprets the judgement and what they say God will judge.

The history also changes a familiar debate about religion. A doctrine need not have arrived fully formed to count as revelation for a believer. Nor does its usefulness to rulers prove that rulers devised it. Both shortcuts miss the work of interpretation: communities inherited texts, faced new problems and argued over what earlier promises required. Institutions could exploit the results, but they also inherited ideas they struggled to contain. Control belongs in this history. It cannot explain the whole of it, any more than grief

or hope can.

Most useful of all, the subject teaches you to distrust a smooth map. A common popular Christian picture sends a soul from the body at death, through immediate judgement, to permanent heaven or hell. Yet historic Christian traditions also teach a future resurrection of the body and a final judgement. The two timings have to be related somehow. Catholic theology distinguishes particular judgement from final judgement. Eastern Orthodox teaching gives different emphasis to the state of the dead and the final resurrection. Protestants disagree among themselves about consciousness after death, eternal torment, annihilation and universal salvation. The simple map survives because pictures are better at hiding joins than theology is. The same problem appears in Islam, where resurrection and final judgement coexist with later traditions about the grave and barzakh. A one-line destination claim often leaves out the interval, the body and the final cosmic event.

Once you know how the map was assembled, ancient texts become less confusing and modern claims become easier to test. The interesting question stops being whether one culture invented the afterlife. It becomes which problem each component solved, what kind of person it imagined surviving, and who later persuaded everyone that the pieces had always belonged together.

The Core Ideas

The Dead Were Not Always Judged

Begin with the mistake the title invites. If heaven and hell are opposites, it is tempting to search ancient religion for the first culture that sent good people up and bad people down. Much early evidence refuses the question.

In Mesopotamian literature, the underworld is chiefly the destination of the dead because they are dead. In the *Epic of Gilgamesh*, the horror driving Gilgamesh is not that he may be sentenced for wickedness. It is that mortality reduces even a king. Other Mesopotamian texts vary, and burial,

family provision and social standing can affect the condition of the dead, but there is no universal two-destination moral court corresponding to later heaven and hell.

Homeric Greece gives another bleak version. In the *Odyssey*, Odysseus encounters shades in Hades, diminished figures whose continued existence is scarcely a reward. Tantalus and Sisyphus are already being punished in the poem, so punishment is no late addition to an entirely neutral underworld. But their exceptional fates do not make ordinary Hades a Christian hell filled with morally sorted sinners. Hades receives people because they are dead. It is also the name of its ruler, Zeus's brother, not an enemy god competing for souls.

Early Hebrew literature is comparably resistant to the later map. Sheol is a shadowed destination associated with the grave, dust, silence and distance from ordinary life. Psalms and wisdom texts can speak of the righteous and wicked dying without turning death itself into a complete moral tribunal. The Hebrew Bible contains development and tension, so no one paragraph describes every period, but the strong binary of eternal reward and punishment is not the starting point.

Egypt prevents this pattern from becoming a law of religious evolution. Egyptian funerary traditions developed rich forms of continued existence and, eventually, a famous moral judgement in which the heart of the deceased is weighed in relation to Maat. The dead seek vindication before a divine court. Yet the system also depends on ritual knowledge, bodily preservation, names, offerings and resources. It is an independent reminder that moral judgement after death can arise early without producing the later Christian package.

The first ghost Odysseus meets is his unburied companion Elpenor. In Homer's telling, Elpenor asks for cremation, a mound by the sea and the oar he used in life planted above his grave. He needs his shipmates to finish their obligations to him. The request is small beside a king's monumental tomb, but it exposes the same dependence: what the living do can matter to the dead. Nothing in it requires a tribunal that measures everyone's virtue

and issues an eternal sentence.

Survival and sorting are separate questions. Burial, status and ritual preparation can distinguish one dead person's prospects from another's without making those differences rewards for goodness. A hero's privilege is not yet a universal right, and a neglected burial is not a moral conviction.

That makes the afterlife historically unstable from the beginning. Once a tradition asks what remains of a person, it still has to decide whether everyone shares one fate, whether earthly status continues, whether ritual can improve the outcome, whether conduct matters, and whether judgement occurs at death or later. Heaven and hell are one possible settlement among many. They are not the default settings of the human imagination.

Justice Moved Beyond the Grave

A moral afterlife becomes especially powerful when ordinary history fails to deliver a moral ending. That pressure does not explain every doctrine, and it arose independently in more than one culture. It does explain why resurrection and judgement become politically sharp in some of the texts that shaped Judaism and Christianity.

Zoroastrian traditions are unavoidable here and easy to overstate. The Gathas contrast good and bad outcomes, refer to the House of Song and mention a perilous crossing associated with the Chinvat Bridge. Later Avestan and Middle Persian writings elaborate judgement and the final renovation in which evil is defeated and creation restored. Dating Zoroaster and interpreting the earliest textual layers remain difficult. The developed system cannot be pinned to one securely dated inventor.

Many Jewish communities lived for long periods within Persian imperial settings, so influence is possible. Similarities between Iranian and Jewish apocalyptic themes have generated a long argument about borrowing. The safe conclusion is narrower than the popular one. Contact existed. Iranian religion contained ideas of postmortem judgement and cosmic restoration. Jewish resurrection and judgement became more explicit in the Second Temple period. That does not prove that Judaism copied one Persian

blueprint. Earlier Israelite traditions, internal interpretations of covenant, martyrdom and national restoration supplied their own materials, while the chronology of Iranian texts complicates any straight arrow.

Daniel gives the decisive Jewish anchor. Its final visions belong to the crisis created by Antiochus IV in the second century BCE, when faithful Jews could be persecuted and killed while a foreign ruler appeared to prosper. Daniel 12 imagines sleepers in the dust awakening, some to enduring life and others to shame. This is resurrection, not the escape of an immortal soul at the instant of death. The answer fits the problem. If obedience can lead to execution, divine justice must be able to reverse death itself.

Second Maccabees, written in a related world of persecution and martyr memory, makes resurrection hope concrete through stories of people tortured for refusing to violate ancestral law. Their bodies can be mutilated because God can restore life. Other Jewish texts offer different pictures. First Enoch develops differentiated places for the dead and elaborate judgement. Some groups expected resurrection; some stressed immortal souls; some left the question open. Second Temple Judaism was an argument, not a waiting room for Christianity.

The same pressure can appear when martyrdom becomes a religious problem. A person can lose the earthly argument by every visible measure and still be described as victorious if death is reversible. That is why apocalyptic judgement can intensify during crisis: it turns chronology itself into part of the verdict. The tyrant's success lasts until the story reaches its final chapter.

The mechanism travels because it solves more than grief. A final judgement removes the last word from earthly rulers. It says that acquittal, wealth, conquest and survival are provisional outcomes. A dead victim can still receive vindication; a successful oppressor can still face a court he does not control.

That promise can encourage moral courage, but it can also sharpen boundaries. Once an eternal verdict exists, communities have reason to

argue fiercely over the acts, loyalties and beliefs that determine it. Moving justice beyond death protects justice from history. It also raises the stakes of disagreement beyond anything an earthly court can impose.

An Afterlife Does Not Have to Be a Destination

The phrase life after death suggests a journey: a person dies here and continues somewhere else. South Asian traditions force a different model. The problem can be repeated life rather than permanent death.

Ideas of rebirth appear in several currents of first-millennium BCE South Asia and become central in the Upanishadic and wider śramaṇa worlds. Karma links action with consequence across lives. Samsara names the cycle of repeated birth and death. Moksha or related forms of liberation answer the problem by ending that cycle. The desired future is therefore not always a better location after one death. It can be freedom from having to return at all.

There was no single moment at which one thinker announced reincarnation to India. Vedic ritual traditions, early Upanishadic speculation, Jain teaching, Buddhism and later Hindu schools developed different accounts of what travels, how karma works and what liberation means. The common vocabulary can hide major disagreements about the self.

Buddhism makes the disagreement especially useful. Early Buddhist teaching accepts karma and rebirth while rejecting a permanent, independent self that remains identical through change. That creates the famous puzzle: if there is no unchanging soul, what is reborn? Buddhist traditions answer through causal continuity rather than a little person migrating between bodies. One life conditions another without requiring an eternal essence to pass intact from one container to the next. Different Buddhist schools develop the account differently, but the basic challenge remains devastating to the casual equation of afterlife with immortal soul.

The destinations inside this cycle can themselves be morally sorted. Buddhist, Jain and Hindu cosmologies can contain heavens and hells populated according to karma. What makes them unlike the familiar

Christian binary is duration and function. A being can exhaust the causes that produced a heavenly or hellish rebirth and move on. Even bliss can remain part of the problem if it does not end samsara.

Hindu traditions offer several rival models of enduring identity, including an atman whose relation to ultimate reality is interpreted differently across schools. Jainism gives another account of soul and karmic bondage. Popular and philosophical traditions include heavens, hells and temporary postmortem states as well as rebirth. The cycle does not remove destinations. It changes their status. A heaven can be temporary if the being enjoying it remains within samsara.

This is why the book needs India even though its title uses two words most readers associate with Christianity and Islam. Without rebirth, the history would quietly treat a Western destination model as the category itself. Karma shows that an afterlife can be structured as process, causation and repeated embodiment. Liberation can mean release from every possible destination inside that process.

The comparison also sharpens a question that heaven-and-hell language obscures: what counts as the same person? Bodily resurrection says a person can be restored by divine power after death. Platonic immortality says a soul can survive bodily dissolution. Egyptian funerary religion reconstructs a network of bodily, social and ritual identities. Buddhist rebirth denies that personal continuity needs one permanent soul. These are competing metaphysics, not alternative travel brochures.

Once the identity question is separated from the destination question, several old arguments become clearer. A tradition may believe strongly in postmortem consequences without believing in an immortal soul. Another may teach an immortal soul while rejecting bodily resurrection. Another may expect resurrection and insist that disembodied existence is temporary. Asking where someone goes before asking what survives is the afterlife's most common category error.

Resurrection and Immortality Are Different Answers

A corpse and an immortal soul solve death in opposite directions. One model restores the person who died. The other says the deepest part of the person never died in the first place.

Greek philosophy gave the second model some of its most influential arguments. Pythagorean and Orphic traditions became associated with transmigration and purification, though the early evidence is fragmentary and later writers often tidy it retrospectively. Plato gives a much firmer landmark. In dialogues such as the *Phaedo*, Socrates argues that the soul can survive bodily death. Plato combines philosophical reasoning with myths of judgement, reward, punishment and rebirth. The immortality of the soul is something to defend, which itself shows that it was not a universally assumed Greek doctrine.

Jewish apocalyptic resurrection starts elsewhere. Daniel's dead awaken from the dust. Later Jewish and early Christian sources develop the hope that God will raise the dead at the end of the age. Paul is explicit that resurrection is central. In 1 Corinthians 15, Christ's resurrection is the beginning of a larger event in which the dead will be raised. The body is transformed, not declared irrelevant. In 1 Thessalonians, Paul reassures believers worried about Christians who have died before the expected return of Christ: the dead will rise and participate.

This matters because the popular sentence Christians go to heaven when they die merges two timelines. The New Testament also contains language about paradise, being with Christ, Hades and the state of the dead before the final resurrection. Later Christian theology had to relate an intermediate postmortem condition to a future resurrection and final judgement. Different traditions did so in different ways.

Greek-speaking philosophy provided conceptual tools. Christian thinkers could speak of an immaterial soul, debate its relation to the body and use Platonic or Aristotelian categories while still affirming resurrection. That is

interaction, not a clean takeover in which Greek soul replaced Jewish body. The creeds of historic Christianity continued to confess resurrection of the dead or of the body. Catholic teaching distinguishes the soul's state after death from eventual resurrection. Eastern Christian traditions retain bodily resurrection and renewed creation, while theologians and jurisdictions frame the intermediate state differently. Protestant traditions retain both in several forms.

The body question never vanished. A corpse decays; its matter disperses. What, then, makes the resurrected body the same body rather than a replacement? The promise of restoration creates this problem precisely because the body matters. Declaring an immortal soul the entire person would remove much of the difficulty, but would also change what resurrection promises. The awkward question is doing useful work.

The merger changed imagination. If a conscious soul can experience reward or punishment immediately after death, then heaven and hell can begin before the resurrection. If final judgement still lies ahead, there are now at least two moments of verdict to explain. Catholic theology answers with particular judgement after death and final judgement at the resurrection. Other traditions use different language or resist a detailed timetable.

The distinction also changes the emotional picture. Resurrection says death is an enemy to be undone. Immortality can make death look like separation or release. Those ideas can coexist, but they pull in different directions. One values restored embodiment as part of salvation; the other can encourage a hierarchy in which the soul is the real self and the body a temporary burden.

The familiar cartoon keeps the reunion and loses the difficulty. A soul flies away at death, while the grave still awaits resurrection. For a theologian, these may be related stages of one future. For a viewer, they can look like two incompatible endings. Knowing which question each answers makes the apparent contradiction intelligible without pretending that every Christian tradition resolves it alike.

Heaven and Hell Were Built From Several Places

English makes hell look older and more consistent than the source languages allow. One word has been used to translate several different ancient terms, and translation can turn a history into a location.

Heaven has its own hidden history. In the Hebrew Bible, the heavens are first the sky and divine domain, not the routine destination of every righteous dead person. Greek tradition has exceptional blessed destinations such as Elysium or the Isles of the Blessed without making them the universal opposite of Hades. Second Temple Jewish and early Christian texts increasingly use paradise and heavenly ascent alongside resurrection. Paul can speak of being caught up to a third heaven and to paradise, while Revelation ends with a renewed creation and a holy city descending rather than humanity permanently abandoning earth. Later Christianity joins celestial dwelling, the vision of God, paradise and renewed creation into a family of images that popular speech compresses to going to heaven.

Sheol in the Hebrew Bible is the world of the dead, not a mature doctrine of eternal punishment. Hades is its common Greek counterpart and also the Greek underworld known from mythology. Gehenna begins with a real valley beside Jerusalem, the Valley of Hinnom, remembered in biblical texts for condemned practices and later used as an image of divine judgement. Tartarus is a Greek term for a deep place of confinement and appears once as a verb-derived expression in 2 Peter for rebellious angels. None of these words, alone, equals the complete later Christian hell.

Jesus traditions use several images of judgement: Gehenna, fire, exclusion, darkness, destruction and a coming reckoning. Interpreters disagree over how these images relate and what they imply about duration and consciousness. Treating every image as a literal architectural feature creates confidence the texts do not supply. Treating all of them as harmless metaphor loses their threat. The secure point is that Jesus's proclamation of God's kingdom included serious judgement, but the later infernal system still required interpretation and development.

Revelation intensifies the imagery. Death and Hades are thrown into a lake of fire; the devil is thrown there too. That last detail destroys one of hell's most persistent popular mistakes. Satan is not the proprietor of a rival kingdom where he punishes God's enemies. In Revelation's final judgement he is among the defeated and punished. Medieval and modern art can still make him look like the governor because a visual scene benefits from a recognisable monster in charge.

Second-century Christian texts made punishment far more pictorial. The *Apocalypse of Peter* describes differentiated torments fitted to offences. Later works such as the *Apocalypse of Paul* expand tours of the other world. These writings were not included in the common New Testament canon, yet canonical status is not the same as cultural influence. Vivid descriptions can shape preaching and imagination even when they sit outside the final scriptural boundary.

Hell also changed as the moral catalogue changed. Early apocalypses could match punishments to visible offences. Later theologians classified sins more abstractly through intention, habit, mortal guilt and separation from God. The physical images remained, but the account of why someone was there became more conceptually elaborate.

Christian theologians then argued about duration and purpose. Some strands defended unending punishment. Origen became associated with a hope for ultimate restoration, though the history of his precise views and later reception is complicated. Augustine strongly defended everlasting punishment and gave that position exceptional authority in the Latin West. Alternative currents did not disappear. Universal restoration and conditional immortality kept returning in different forms.

The idea of hell therefore formed by aggregation: older underworld language, Jewish apocalyptic judgement, Jesus traditions, Greek terms, Christian visions, theological argument and the moral imagination of later societies. No one needed to invent a complete torture chamber on one afternoon.

That history matters when someone asks what the Bible says about hell. The first response should be linguistic. Which word, in which text, from which period? An English concordance can make Sheol, Hades and Gehenna look like three sightings of one place. The underlying texts show a construction site.

The Dead Needed Somewhere to Wait

Resurrection creates a timing problem. If the final transformation happens at the end of history, what is the condition of someone who dies today?

Early Jewish and Christian texts give more than one answer. Some imagine the dead held in differentiated places awaiting judgement. Some speak of paradise or being with Christ. Some emphasise sleep as an image of death. Later Christians developed increasingly precise accounts of the intermediate state, but precision did not arrive all at once.

Practice pushed the question. Christians prayed for dead people, commemorated them at the Eucharist, sought burial near martyrs and gave alms or services on their behalf. Such acts imply that the relation between living and dead is not socially finished at burial. They do not by themselves prove one doctrine of purgatory, but they create pressure to explain how postmortem assistance could matter.

Augustine allowed some postmortem purgation while remaining cautious about details. Gregory the Great, writing around the turn of the seventh century, gave stronger narrative and pastoral shape to temporary punishment and the usefulness of intercession. Across the early Middle Ages, penitential practice, prayer for the dead, visions and theological debate made an intermediate purgation increasingly thinkable. By the high Middle Ages, the Latin West could speak of purgatory with far greater spatial and institutional confidence.

The doctrine also created a distinctive form of solidarity. If the dead can be helped, death does not end membership in the community. The living inherit obligations to people who can no longer repay them. That makes intercession a theology of time as much as punishment: moral repair can

continue through relationships that cross the grave.

The chronology needs care. It is too late to say the medieval church invented the whole idea from nothing. Prayers for the dead and ideas of purgation are earlier. It is also too early to take every ancient prayer as proof of the full later system. A distinct purgatorial place, its theology and its social machinery hardened over centuries. Reformation disputes later made the boundary confessional. Protestants rejected purgatory in different ways, while the Catholic Council of Trent affirmed it and prayers for the dead while warning against fanciful speculation.

Islam poses the same timing problem with a different history. The Qur'an makes resurrection, final judgement, paradise and hell central. It also uses *barzakh*, a barrier or interval, in connection with death and return. Later Muslim tradition developed a rich account of the period between death and resurrection, including questioning in the grave, preliminary comfort or punishment, and the final gathering. The details vary across theological, hadith, philosophical and mystical traditions. The later elaboration should not be placed whole inside one Qur'anic word.

This parallel is useful because an intermediate state becomes difficult to avoid once a religion combines individual death with a future collective resurrection. The interval has to mean something. Is the dead person conscious? Can the living help? Has judgement happened? Can the outcome change? Are saints already blessed? What about people who died before revelation or outside the community?

These questions produced theology because ordinary death keeps happening while the promised end does not. The intermediate state is where an end-time religion learns to live with time.

Pictures Made a Map Look Like a Memory

By the Middle Ages, Latin Christianity possessed enough accumulated material to describe a remarkably crowded unseen world: heaven, hell, temporary purification, limbos, angels, demons, saints, the souls of the dead and a future resurrection still to come. The system had history. Art made it look like geography.

Church walls placed Christ in judgement above the congregation, the blessed on one side and the damned on the other. Sermons and visionary literature supplied punishments and rewards. Accounts of journeys to the other world gave readers guides, gates, bridges, fires and recognisable sinners. The invisible became spatial because space is easier to picture than a sequence of theological distinctions.

Dante arrived late in this process. He began the *Commedia* around the start of the fourteenth century and inherited Scripture, classical underworlds, patristic theology, scholastic ethics, medieval visions and established images of hell and paradise. His achievement was organisation. *Inferno* gives sin a descending moral topography. *Purgatorio* turns purification into a mountain of ordered ascent. *Paradiso* uses the medieval cosmos to stage degrees of blessedness while locating the blessed ultimately with God. Dante did not invent the three destinations. He made one version of them unforgettable.

Later culture kept editing. Paintings gave demons bodies. Mystery plays put judgement on stage. Milton's *Paradise Lost* made Satan dramatically compelling, strengthening a later reception already willing to confuse literary charisma with theological office. Popular cartoons moved heaven into white clouds and placed hell under the ground with pitchforks. None of these images is pure invention. None is a transparent photograph of doctrine either.

Translation reinforced the smoothing. When several ancient terms become hell, the English reader sees continuity before interpretation begins. When paradise, heaven and the renewed creation are treated as one location,

different stages of eschatology collapse. When resurrection disappears behind the image of a soul floating upwards, the body becomes a theological afterthought even in traditions whose creeds still promise its return.

The same process explains why doctrinal minorities can disappear from popular memory. A universalist argument may remain in books, while a judgement mural confronts a whole congregation. A subtle distinction between temporary and final states may survive in theology, while a funeral sermon gives one clean sequence. Repetition selects what becomes intuitive.

Institutions made the pictures habitual. Liturgy repeated prayers for the dead; calendars commemorated saints. Families endowed masses and memorials. A doctrine becomes culturally obvious when it is distributed across buildings and ordinary duties rather than confined to a treatise.

The effect reaches backwards. Early afterlives did not begin as one universal moral binary. Centuries of argument generated distinctions about survival, judgement, resurrection, souls, punishment, purification and final destiny. Later culture compressed those distinctions into a visible map. Once the map became familiar, people projected it backwards and found it everywhere.

The question who invented it therefore has no single-name answer. Communities developed teachings, inherited others, rejected some and translated several into the same words. Artists gave these arguments a visible form. Familiarity then did the rest: what readers had learnt to picture became what they assumed the earliest believers must have meant.

How It Actually Works

Before anyone could draw the map

The earliest evidence begins with work done to a body. People arranged corpses, added pigment, tools or food, revisited graves and later built monuments. Those acts show that death created obligations among the living, but not exactly what participants believed. A pot might provision the dead, honour the person, display a family's standing or perform several jobs at once. Archaeology records conduct more securely than doctrine.

Writing makes the possible worlds easier to distinguish. Mesopotamian poems describe a dark underworld in which death diminishes kings as well as common people. Egyptian funerary texts describe transformed life among gods, dangerous passage, bodily and ritual preparation, and moral vindication. These archives do not reveal the first human afterlife. They reveal that large literate societies were already answering death in different ways.

Earlier does not automatically mean ancestral. Egypt gives an early example of moral judgement, not a demonstrated blueprint for Daniel. The sequence that follows is therefore a history of different answers meeting, competing and changing, not a doctrine passed intact along a relay of civilisations.

Egypt makes judgement practical

Around 1050 BCE, a singer of Amun called Nany was buried with a papyrus that now belongs to the Metropolitan Museum of Art. In its judgement scene she holds her eyes and mouth, the faculties needed to see and speak in the next life. Her heart rests on a balance opposite a small figure of Maat wearing an ostrich feather. Anubis reads the scales; Thoth, shown as a baboon, records the verdict from the beam. Osiris presides. The balance is level. Nany is shown receiving the favourable judgement she needs, rather than leaving the outcome to the viewer's imagination.

Egyptian survival required more than a favourable verdict. The dead person

needed continued identity, effective speech, access to the gods and protection against dangers in the otherworld. Body, name, image, funerary knowledge, offerings and social memory could all matter. A heart scarab might carry words asking the heart not to testify against its owner. Modern categories separate ethics, ritual and material provision. Egyptian practice made them cooperate.

The scene belongs to Spell 125 of the Book of the Dead. It is a moral court long before Christian hell, but the desired result is restored, effective existence. To read it only as an early version of two later destinations would miss most of what Nany's papyrus is trying to secure.

Underworlds become arguments about justice

Homer gives Odysseus something harder to repair than a failed ritual. After his mother drinks the sacrificial blood, she recognises him and they speak. When he tries to embrace her, he cannot hold her. Memory survives, but the familiar body does not. Achilles then rejects the consolation of status: he would prefer lowly paid work among the living to sovereignty among the dead. Honour has crossed the grave and lost much of its purchasing power.

Greek traditions offered ways to hope for more. Mystery cults promised initiates better prospects, while Pythagorean and Orphic materials became associated with purification and rebirth. Plato's *Republic* turns the question into a test of judgement. In its closing myth of Er, souls choose their next lives. The first chooser seizes a great tyranny, then discovers the horrors included in it. He has returned from heaven, having behaved well through habit rather than understanding. Reward has not made him wise. He blames fortune and the gods instead of his own choice. Plato's afterlife therefore does more than repay conduct: it exposes the difference between living well by custom and knowing how to choose. Death has not abolished the need for philosophy.

Across the wider region, Iranian traditions linked conduct with contrasting postmortem outcomes and, in their developed form, the defeat of evil itself. A final renovation answers a question individual rewards cannot: what good

is rescuing the righteous if the world remains permanently damaged?

Jewish communities in parts of the Persian Empire lived within an Iranian political and cultural environment from the late sixth century BCE. That makes exchange plausible. It does not produce a receipt for resurrection. Jewish hopes also grew from covenant, restoration, prophetic visions of national renewal and the conviction that Israel's God rules death as well as history. Contact leaves the possibility open; it does not decide the source of a particular belief.

The pressure becomes visible in Jewish texts shaped by persecution under Antiochus IV in the second century BCE. Daniel's sleepers awaken from the dust. Second Maccabees brings the claim down to hands and tongue. In its account of seven brothers tortured for refusing forbidden food, the third offers the parts his tormentors will mutilate, declaring that God gave them and can return them. The claim does not make the mutilation unreal. It denies the king ownership of the ending. This is martyr literature, not a transcript of the exchange, but its bodily logic is exact: what tyranny destroys, divine power can restore.

Another route runs through rebirth

These were not the only ways to enlarge a life beyond its apparent end. In first-millennium BCE South Asia, early Upanishadic speculation, Jain teaching and Buddhist communities developed rival accounts of repeated birth and release. Vedic religion already concerned itself with ancestors and heavenly reward; rebirth changed what such rewards could accomplish.

Karma makes conduct consequential across lives. Samsara makes birth and death a cycle rather than one passage to a permanent address. Moksha, nirvana and Jain liberation differ in meaning, but each can make escape from the cycle more important than a pleasant rebirth within it. Heavens and hells remain possible. They need not be final. A being may enjoy a heavenly life, exhaust the causes that produced it and return.

Buddhism makes an apparent solution turn back into a question. A permanent soul would explain what continues from one life to another, but

early Buddhist teaching rejects that permanent self. The explanation must instead work through causes: this life conditions another without sending an unchanging owner across the gap. Rebirth is accepted; the soul as its necessary passenger is refused. Later schools argue over the details, rather than abandoning either commitment.

A pleasant rebirth can therefore be a reprieve rather than liberation. This is the sharpest contrast with a final heaven: the reward still belongs to a cycle from which the practitioner seeks release. Eternity is not the only way to make one lifetime too small.

Jesus, Paul and the approaching end

Jesus's proclamation belongs within Jewish expectation of God's coming rule, resurrection and judgement. The Gospel traditions use Gehenna, fire, darkness, exclusion, destruction, reversal and separation. Those images are serious warnings. They are not one architectural survey. Later interpreters had to decide whether different sayings describe the same event, whether punishment is endless or destructive, and how symbolic language relates to a final condition.

Paul's letters show timing becoming a pastoral emergency. Some members of the community have died before the expected return of Christ. Have they missed what they were promised? In 1 Thessalonians 4, Paul assures the living that they will not take precedence over the dead. Those who have died in Christ rise first; the community shares the future rather than being divided by the accident of who survives until it arrives. In 1 Corinthians 15, Christ's resurrection becomes the beginning of a larger defeat of death. A transformed body remains part of the promise.

Revelation gathers persecution, worship and imperial critique into a cosmic judgement. Babylon falls. Martyrs are vindicated. The dead are judged. Death and Hades are thrown into the lake of fire, and the devil is thrown there as well. The final enemy does not acquire a kingdom of his own. He is defeated. The vision ends with a holy city descending into a renewed creation, not with all saved humanity permanently abandoning earth for

clouds.

Communities lived with these questions before theologians supplied one schedule. They buried their dead, commemorated martyrs and prayed in expectation. The interval became socially inhabited before it became doctrinally neat.

The tours begin

Texts moved faster than creeds. The second-century *Apocalypse of Peter* gives readers fitted punishments in which offences receive corresponding torments. The later *Apocalypse of Paul* expands the guided tour. Neither work entered the common New Testament canon, but exclusion from the canon did not erase circulation. A memorable non-canonical scene could influence preaching, art and imagination more strongly than a difficult doctrinal distinction.

The tour format made judgement visible. A guide could open gates, point out offenders and explain why each punishment fitted. Jewish apocalypses, Greek journeys among the dead, Christian Scripture and local moral anxieties supplied a stock of scenes rather than one official atlas.

Christian thinkers used Greek philosophical tools while defending a resurrection some of their critics found crude or impossible. An immortal soul explained survival differently from a restored body. The borrowed vocabulary did not dissolve the disagreement.

The fate of the wicked remained contested. Tertullian defended bodily resurrection and punishment. Origen connected judgement with education, freedom and restoration, although the slogan that he taught a simple universal salvation flattens his system. Augustine later argued with exceptional force for everlasting punishment. The early centuries generated rival models rather than one steady march to consensus.

Heaven changes shape too

Hell receives more memorable stories because punishments provide action. Heaven changes just as much, often through quieter shifts. In biblical Hebrew, the heavens can mean the sky or God's domain. They are not initially the routine permanent home of every righteous dead person. Greek literature gives a few heroes or favoured figures blessed regions such as Elysium, but ordinary Hades remains the usual fate.

Jewish and Christian texts bring together paradise as garden, heavenly ascent, proximity to God, resurrection, banquet, city and renewed creation. Paul describes ascent to a third heaven and paradise. Revelation offers worship before God, then ends with the holy city coming down. Related images need not describe the same moment.

Martyr traditions and devotion to saints encouraged confidence that some dead already enjoyed blessed presence before the universal resurrection. Greek accounts of contemplation helped Christians describe the highest good as knowing and enjoying God. Medieval Latin theology later spoke of the beatific vision. Gardens, light, music and celestial spheres remained images, while blessedness became less a matter of altitude than communion.

The result contains the same double timing found in hell. A dead person may be described as with God now, yet still await bodily resurrection and the renewal of creation. Popular speech compresses both into going to heaven. That phrase can be pastorally clear while historically concealing whether it means an immediate state, the final destiny, the presence of God or the restored creation.

The interval fills up

Augustine's mother Monica gave him a practical instruction. As he recalls in the *Confessions*, she no longer required burial in her homeland; she wanted to be remembered at the altar. When he writes about her death, he asks God to forgive her sins, although he believes that God has already answered. He then asks his readers to remember his parents there too. The book itself becomes a way of recruiting people into prayer for the dead. No completed medieval purgatory is needed to make the obligation intelligible. Monica is beyond her son's physical care, but not, in his understanding, beyond what he can do for her.

Augustine's cautious allowance for postmortem cleansing left details open. Gregory the Great, around the turn of the seventh century, gave temporary punishment and intercession stronger narrative and pastoral form. Stories of the dead returning in dreams or visions made invisible conditions answerable to living action. Monasteries and churches maintained names, anniversaries and prayers across generations.

By the high Middle Ages, Latin theologians could define purgatory as temporary purification for people destined for heaven. It was not a third eternal kingdom. It connected the moral work of life, penance, postmortem cleansing, intercession and the final vision of God. Art often turned the condition into a place because flames and ascending souls could be painted more easily than a sequence of purification.

Remembrance required resources. Wills endowed masses, families funded anniversaries and confraternities promised reciprocal prayer. Wealth bought durable commemoration, though poor communities also maintained collective obligations. Purgatory cannot be reduced to fundraising because prayer for the dead and purgatorial ideas came first. Institutions still helped decide which formulation became ordinary.

Eastern Christian traditions developed their own teachings and practices concerning the departed without adopting the later Latin purgatory system in the same form. The distinction matters. Medieval Latin Christianity

generated one especially influential solution to the interval problem, not the universal Christian timetable.

The Reformation turned that solution into a confessional boundary. Protestant reformers rejected purgatory and challenged masses or satisfactions offered for the dead in different ways. The Council of Trent affirmed purgatory and the usefulness of intercession while instructing clergy to keep doubtful, curious, superstitious and commercial abuses out of popular teaching. The dispute concerned Scripture and authority, but it also concerned whether the living could still act for the dead.

Islam and the late-antique otherworld

The Qur'an confronts the objection in physical detail. Can scattered bones be assembled again? Its answer in surah 75 reaches even to the fingertips: the creator can restore the body, not merely preserve a memory of it. Resurrection makes each life answerable to a judgement that wealth and rank cannot buy off. The body that acted is not an embarrassing piece of equipment to be discarded before the reckoning.

Jannah offers gardens, shade, water, companionship and security; Jahannam brings fire, thirst, confinement and loss. But paradise is more than desirable surroundings. Surah 9 promises gardens and enduring dwellings to believing women and men, then places God's approval above them. The image gives a reader something to picture without exhausting the promised good. The Qur'an emerged among Jewish, Christian, Iranian and Arabian traditions, using shared motifs within its own proclamation and argument rather than as copied Christian scenery.

The interval developed through another layered process. The Qur'an uses *barzakh* for a barrier or separation and includes it in a death-to-resurrection context. The word does not by itself contain every later doctrine of the grave. Hadith and later interpretation elaborated questioning after burial, preliminary comfort or punishment, the final gathering, the reckoning and the crossing of the Sirat. Sunni and Shi'i traditions, and different theological or mystical schools within them, do not arrange every detail identically.

The comparison with Christianity concerns a shared problem, not an identical solution. Both combine individual death with a future collective resurrection. Their authorities and answers differ. Purgatory is not *barzakh* with Latin labels.

Funeral prayer, treatment of the body, grave visitation and recitation place eschatology inside communal duty. The dead remain particular people for whom the living act while trusting the final verdict to God.

Europe draws the unseen

By the early fourteenth century, a western European Christian could inherit an unusually crowded unseen world before reading Dante: biblical judgement, patristic arguments, saints and demons, visionary tours, purgatorial practice, scholastic classifications, church paintings and funeral liturgy. Dante's *Commedia* did not create this material. It gave it a structure that later memory could mistake for origin.

Inferno descends through sins arranged by moral logic and Florentine politics. *Purgatorio* turns purification into an ascent that retracts desire. *Paradiso* climbs through the medieval heavens while insisting that the blessed are united in God's presence rather than separated into astronomical flats. Classical literature, Scripture, philosophy, current politics and personal judgement meet inside one narrative machine.

At the bottom of *Inferno*, the expected fire gives way to ice. Satan is trapped in it, and the beating of his wings produces the winds that keep the lake frozen. Dante makes evil's apparent grandeur collapse into self-defeating action. This is a poet's theological construction, not an inventory of what every Christian believed, but it is also far stranger than the later cartoon. Its devil cannot even free himself from the prison he helps maintain.

Later literature continued the edit. Milton made Satan a commanding speaker within a poem that still moves him towards defeat, strengthening a later reception already prepared to find an infernal monarch. Cartoons completed the shorthand: cloud, halo and harp above; flame, horn and pitchfork below.

Translation compressed more quietly. English Bibles could render Sheol, Hades, Gehenna and Tartarus with one word. Paradise, heaven and renewed creation could become one destination, while the soul's immediate state eclipsed resurrection. Repetition in preaching, hymns and funeral speech made the composite feel ancient.

The images no longer require the old physical universe. A reader can reject medieval celestial spheres without losing the meaning of Dante's ascent, just as a painted inferno can communicate judgement without claiming to be a geological section. This portability helps explain their survival. Giving up the picture as an address does not necessarily mean giving up what it promises or threatens.

The present remains plural beneath a shared picture. Christians disagree over eternal torment, destruction and final restoration; Muslim traditions also debate punishment's nature and duration. The same fire may signify different mechanisms, just as the same word heaven may conceal different timings. The drawing does not identify the theology.

Scriptural words, theological explanation, repeated ritual and memorable images give the afterlife different kinds of authority. Their histories remain distinct even when they occupy the same church wall. Familiarity can make their agreement look inevitable.

How we know

Afterlife history offers abundant texts but limited access to private belief. Graves establish action more securely than explanation. A poem makes an image available without showing how widely it was believed. A creed records an official position, not a census. Evidence becomes stronger when text, date and setting can be connected, as with Daniel's final visions and the Antiochene crisis.

The largest causal hazard is Iranian influence on Jewish eschatology. Persian-Jewish contact is secure, Iranian and Jewish traditions share important themes, and influence is plausible. The dates of Zoroastrian materials and the routes of transfer do not support a clean borrowing

diagram. The account therefore separates contact, resemblance, influence and dependence.

The same caution applies elsewhere. Ancient prayer for the dead does not establish the medieval purgatory system, nor Qur'anic *barzakh* every later grave tradition. An English translation is not the original category. Later clarity must not be smuggled into earlier evidence.

What People Get Wrong

“Ancient people always believed good people went to heaven and bad people went to hell”

The modern binary is easy to project backwards because moral sorting feels like the obvious reason to have an afterlife. Much ancient evidence starts elsewhere. Mesopotamian underworld literature gives the dead a diminished continuation whose quality can depend on burial and social provision. Homeric Hades receives ordinary dead without operating as a universal reward-and-punishment court. Early Hebrew Sheol is associated with death, dust and silence rather than a final division into saints and sinners.

Egypt is the major warning against turning this into a universal sequence. Egyptian funerary traditions develop moral judgement and transformed blessed existence early, including the weighing of the heart, but they combine conduct with ritual knowledge, bodily treatment, names, offerings and social resources. There was no single ladder from primitive grey underworld to advanced moral heaven and hell. Different societies asked different questions of death.

The correction matters because later moral sorting was an innovation in some traditions, not a memory everyone inherited. Once earthly conduct determines an eternal future, moral and institutional stakes change sharply.

“Zoroaster invented heaven and hell, and Judaism copied him”

This claim starts from real evidence and outruns it. Zoroastrian traditions contain postmortem judgement, a bridge, contrasting destinies and a final renovation of creation. Jewish communities lived under Persian rule, and Jewish apocalyptic ideas become more explicit in the Second Temple period. Influence is plausible.

What is not secure is the neat chain. Zoroaster's date is disputed. The Avesta contains layers composed and transmitted over long periods, while some detailed Zoroastrian eschatology survives in much later texts. Jewish resurrection can also be understood from internal traditions of national restoration, divine justice and martyrdom. Daniel's second-century BCE crisis gives a direct historical reason for resurrection hope without requiring one imported blueprint.

Scholars have argued for Iranian influence at different levels; there is no basis for saying one Persian prophet invented the Christian afterlife. Contact should remain visible without being promoted into proof of a particular transfer. The responsible answer to who invented it cannot be one name merely because one comparative chart looks tidy.

“The Bible teaches one clear afterlife from Genesis to Revelation”

The Bible is a library formed across centuries, and its afterlife language develops with it. Early Hebrew texts commonly speak of Sheol. Later passages imagine divine victory over death more strongly. Daniel gives an explicit resurrection scene. Second Temple Jewish writings outside the later Hebrew canon add further models. The New Testament contains resurrection, paradise, Hades, Gehenna, final judgement, a lake of fire and renewed creation.

Those images can be joined theologically, and historic churches have done so. They are not one flat description written at one date. Translation can

hide this by rendering several terms as hell. Modern verse extraction hides it again by lifting one saying away from its literary and historical setting.

The correction is not that the Bible says nothing about the afterlife. It says many important things from different stages of Jewish and Christian thought. A doctrine is the later work of relating them. Confusing text with finished system makes development look like contradiction or makes a later harmony look original.

The order of the books can intensify the illusion. Genesis and Revelation now sit inside one binding, so readers naturally allow the last book to explain the first. Historically, that is reception, not composition. A later text can become authoritative interpretation for a community without being what an earlier writer originally had in mind.

“Christianity teaches that an immortal soul goes straight to heaven or hell”

Many Christians have believed something close to this, and several churches teach conscious existence after death. The sentence still omits the event historic Christianity places at the end: resurrection of the dead.

Paul's central hope is resurrection. Christian creeds continue that language. Catholic doctrine distinguishes particular judgement after death from final judgement and bodily resurrection. Historic Eastern Christian creeds retain bodily resurrection and renewed creation; Orthodox theologians do not reduce salvation to permanent escape from matter, though their accounts of the intermediate state vary. Protestants vary, with some teaching conscious intermediate existence and others forms of soul sleep.

The immortal-soul language also has a philosophical history. Greek thinkers, especially Plato, supplied influential arguments for the soul's survival apart from the body. Christian theologians used such concepts while maintaining resurrection. The resulting synthesis can sound seamless after centuries of repetition, but the two models answer death differently.

The correction matters because a floating soul in clouds is not a complete

summary of classical Christian eschatology. It is one stage, image or interpretation inside traditions that still promise the restoration of embodied life.

“Dante invented hell”

Dante was born into a culture that already possessed hell in Scripture, patristic theology, sermons, art and visionary literature. The *Apocalypse of Peter* had described fitted punishments more than a millennium earlier. Augustine had defended everlasting punishment. Medieval churches displayed Last Judgements before Dante began the *Commedia*.

What Dante did was harder than invention by blank page: he organised an enormous inheritance. His descending circles classify sin with philosophical and theological precision. His purgatory becomes an ordered mountain. His paradise turns the medieval cosmos into a hierarchy of vision and desire. The result is so coherent that it can overwrite the messier tradition behind it.

The correction protects both history and Dante. Calling him the inventor credits him with doctrines he inherited and misses his real originality, which lies in form, placement, psychology and poetry. Popular memory often preserves the best mapmaker as though he discovered the continent.

The same warning applies to visual details often credited to him. Demons, fires, graded punishments, judicial scenes and journeys through the other world all predate the poem. Dante selects and arranges inherited materials, then adds inventions of his own. A reader should distinguish the history of a doctrine from the history of its most successful illustration.

“Satan rules hell”

The image is narratively efficient: God rules heaven, Satan rules hell, and human beings choose between competing kingdoms. It is poor Christian theology.

In the New Testament, Satan is an adversary who is defeated. Revelation ends with the devil thrown into the lake of fire. He is a prisoner of final judgement, not its minister. The Gospels can speak of eternal fire prepared

for the devil and his angels, which again makes punishment something that happens to them.

Later literature and art give demons active roles in torment, while Dante places Satan at the bottom of hell in a striking scene of immobilised degradation. Milton makes Satan a commanding dramatic presence. Popular culture then promotes him from condemned rebel to infernal monarch.

The correction matters because the two-kingdom picture changes the moral logic. Classical Christianity does not grant evil an eternal sovereign territory equal to God's. Hell, however understood, belongs to divine judgement. Satan does not receive the keys.

“All Christians believe in eternal conscious torment”

Everlasting conscious punishment became a dominant position in much western Christianity, especially under the influence of theologians such as Augustine. It is neither the only Christian reading in history nor the only one now.

Universalist traditions have hoped that divine judgement finally restores all creatures. Conditionalist and annihilationist traditions hold that the wicked ultimately cease rather than remain conscious forever. Some Christians interpret biblical fire and destruction language in that direction. Others defend eternal conscious punishment from texts that speak of everlasting punishment, unquenchable fire or continuing exclusion.

Catholic teaching affirms the eternity of hell; its catechism describes its chief punishment as separation from God and speaks of definitive self-exclusion from communion with him. One influential Orthodox Church in America presentation describes heaven and hell as differing responses to the same divine presence. That is one modern Orthodox formulation, not a census of Orthodox belief. Protestant churches lack one central authority, so positions vary widely.

The diversity is older than modern liberal theology. Debates over restoration, destruction and endless punishment appear in ancient and early Christian interpretation, although the labels and arguments change.

The correction does not settle the argument. It restores the argument. The history of hell is partly the history of Christians disagreeing over whether judgement is endless, destructive, corrective or ultimately restorative. Saying Christianity teaches one uncontested mechanism turns a live theological dispute into a false census.

Use It

Ask what survives before asking where it goes

The fastest way to confuse afterlife traditions is to begin with destinations. Start with identity.

Does a body return? Does an immortal soul continue? Does a transformed person require body, name and social recognition? Does one life causally condition another without a permanent self crossing intact? Does the tradition refuse to specify a mechanism?

Those questions separate ideas that the word afterlife puts in one box. Paul can preach resurrection without making a Platonic immortal soul the whole person. Buddhist rebirth can preserve moral causation without affirming such a soul. Egyptian funerary practice can care intensely about bodily continuity without treating the corpse as a container whose useful contents have flown away.

Use the same lens when somebody says a religion believes in life after death. That statement gives almost no detail. Ask what counts as the person, what continuity means, and what has to be restored or preserved. The answer reveals the anthropology underneath the eschatology.

Separate the immediate state from the final state

Many traditions have more than one clock running. A person dies now. A final resurrection or judgement happens later. The interval has to be explained somehow.

This is where casual summaries go wrong. A Christian may speak of a dead person being with God and also expect a future resurrection. Catholic theology names an immediate particular judgement and a later final judgement. Some Protestants speak of soul sleep. Islamic traditions distinguish the interval of barzakh from resurrection and the final reckoning. Ancient apocalyptic texts can hold souls in provisional places while they await a larger cosmic event.

When a diagram seems contradictory, ask whether two claims refer to different times. Then ask whether the later doctrine is already present in the early text or is a subsequent solution to the timing problem.

This lens also prevents purgatory from being mistaken for a third permanent destination. In Catholic teaching it is temporary purification for the saved, not a neutral middle kingdom between heaven and hell.

Follow the original word before the translated doctrine

Translation is one of the strongest invisible forces in this history. English hell has carried Sheol, Hades, Gehenna and other terms under one roof.

Paradise can refer to Edenic imagery, a blessed intermediate state or final salvation depending on text and tradition. Heaven can mean sky, divine dwelling, the state of the blessed or a shorthand for the whole future with God.

You do not need Hebrew, Greek, Arabic or Sanskrit to benefit from this. A good annotated translation will tell you when the underlying term changes. Pay attention to the note. If two passages use different ancient words, do not assume one English choice proves they describe the same place.

The method travels beyond religion. One modern label can conceal several

older categories; different words can also describe similar structures. A translation may create agreement that was not there, or hide an agreement that was. Compare the passages before building the doctrine from a search for one English word. Vocabulary is evidence, not a shortcut around context.

Ask what problem the afterlife is solving

An afterlife claim can answer grief, justice, identity, ritual obligation, political defeat or moral formation. That does not tell you whether the claim is true. Function is not refutation. It does tell you why one version may become urgent in one historical setting.

Daniel's resurrection hope becomes sharper when read against persecution. A final judgement can deny a tyrant the permanent meaning of his victory. Rebirth can make moral consequence larger than one lifetime. Purgatorial ideas can connect unfinished moral repair with prayer and penance. Ancestor practices can keep obligations alive when a biological relationship has ended.

Ask what would remain unresolved without the doctrine. Then resist the temptation to reduce the belief to that function. People can find a doctrine useful because they think it is true, rather than think it true because it is useful. Historical explanation becomes manipulative only when it assumes motive has already settled truth.

Keep text, doctrine, ritual and picture apart

Four things can be related without being identical. A sacred text supplies words and images. Theologians organise them into doctrine. Ritual gives the doctrine a place in repeated life. Art supplies a memorable picture.

The history of hell is full of category slips among the four. Revelation's lake of fire becomes a doctrinal account of punishment, then a sermon, then a painted pit. Dante's poem borrows doctrine and vision, then later readers mistake the poem for the doctrine's source. Prayer for the dead exists before the mature medieval purgatory system, so the practice cannot be treated as a late consequence of a finished theory. Practice helped generate

theory too.

When someone says Christians, Muslims or ancient Greeks believed something, ask what kind of evidence supports the statement. A creed proves an official formulation. A tomb proves an act. A poem proves that an image was available. None alone is an opinion poll.

Follow the living people around the dead

Afterlife beliefs are easiest to see where they make living people do work. Someone digs a grave, funds a tomb, preserves a name, says a prayer, commissions a mass, gives alms, visits a shrine, recites scripture or disputes the fate of a dead relative.

This turns invisible doctrine into social evidence. It also reveals inequality. Egyptian funerary texts and elaborate medieval memorials cost money. Endowed prayers can preserve the wealthy longer than the poor. Theological disputes over postmortem aid can affect property, institutions and family obligations. A supposedly otherworldly belief may create an earthly budget.

The lens does not reduce religion to economics. It asks who must act for a theory of death to remain socially alive. Beliefs survive through repetition, and repetition needs people, time and resources. Ask also who is absent from the durable record. A wealthy tomb, endowed chapel or printed treatise survives more easily than an unwritten family custom. The social history of the afterlife is partly a history of preservation bias.

The limits

Historical study can trace texts, practices, changes and plausible contact. It cannot inspect the afterlife itself. No manuscript proves that heaven exists, and no genealogy of a doctrine proves that it does not. Explaining how a belief developed is not the same operation as judging its truth.

The evidence is also uneven. Elite funerary archives preserve more than poor households. Theologies preserve specialists better than ordinary doubt. A missing record of exchange cannot prove independent invention,

but similarity cannot establish borrowing either. The historian has to leave some connections possible without drawing them as facts.

Finally, traditions are internally diverse. A chapter can map major patterns, not tell you what every believer thinks. Treat labels such as Christian, Jewish, Muslim, Hindu or Buddhist as starting points for questions, not completed answers.

The one thing to keep

A prayer beside a grave is no longer a minor detail at the edge of a grand theory. It may be where the theory does its most important work.

Elpenor needs his companions to bury him. Nany's papyrus equips her to stand before the gods. Monica asks to be remembered at the altar. These are not three versions of one destination. They are different accounts of what death interrupts, what it leaves intact and what the living still owe. The beliefs become easier to distinguish when someone has to act on them.

The same attention changes the famous promises. For the martyrs, resurrection refuses to let a killing be the final fact about a victim. Rebirth refuses to make one lifetime the whole measure of consequence. Final judgement places an earthly winner before an authority he cannot dismiss. None of this proves the promised future. It explains why the differences matter so urgently to people living before it.

That is what the simple picture concealed. The disagreements concern what a person is, whether justice can be completed, and whether death ends responsibility between people. Those questions can sustain hope or become instruments of terror. Finding their history does not settle their truth, but it stops a familiar illustration from settling the argument for us.

Look again at the next tomb, judgement painting or promise of reunion. Ask what the dead are expected to become, and what the living are being asked to do. You are no longer looking only at a picture of elsewhere. You are looking at a claim about what this life cannot finish.

Terms

Afterlife. Any claimed continuation, transformation or consequence beyond biological death. The category is broad: resurrection, soul survival, ancestor existence and rebirth do not describe the same mechanism.

Sheol. The Hebrew Bible's common term for the domain or condition of the dead. It is often dark and diminished and is not automatically the later Christian hell.

Hades. Greek name for both the underworld and its divine ruler. The Septuagint and New Testament use the term in ways shaped by Jewish as well as Greek traditions.

Gehenna. A judgement term derived from the Valley of Hinnom near Jerusalem. New Testament uses helped make it central to later Christian hell language, but it is not a synonym for every biblical underworld term.

Tartarus. A deep punitive region in Greek myth. A related verb appears in 2 Peter for the confinement of rebellious angels, one small route by which Greek underworld vocabulary entered Christian texts.

Duat. The Egyptian otherworld traversed by the sun and encountered by the dead. It contains danger and punishment alongside regeneration, so equating it with hell loses its wider function.

Chinvat Bridge. The Zoroastrian bridge associated with postmortem judgement. Later tradition elaborates the crossing and contrasting destinations, while the dating of the tradition's layers requires care.

Frashokereti. A common transliteration for the Zoroastrian final renovation of creation, known most fully from later texts. It makes Zoroastrian eschatology more than an individual heaven-and-hell scheme.

Eschatology. Teaching or speculation about last things: death, judgement, resurrection, the end of the age and the final state of creation. It can concern individuals, history or the cosmos.

Apocalypse. A revelatory genre that unveils earthly events from a heavenly perspective, often through visions, angels and symbolic geography. Daniel and Revelation are major sources for later afterlife imagery.

Resurrection. Restoration or transformation of the dead to life, usually by divine action. It differs from an immortal soul surviving death because it treats death as something that must be reversed.

Immortality. Deathlessness or continued existence that cannot be ended by bodily death. Philosophical immortality of the soul and religious resurrection can coexist, but they begin from different accounts of the person.

Psyche. Greek term with a history ranging from life or breath to soul. Philosophers, biblical translators and Christian writers used it differently, so the English word soul can conceal changes in meaning.

Samsara. The cycle of repeated birth and death in Indian religious traditions. It changes the afterlife question from one final destination to continued existence across multiple lives.

Karma. Action and its morally significant consequences, developed differently across Hindu, Buddhist and Jain traditions. It connects lives without requiring every tradition to agree on what, if anything, permanently migrates.

Moksha. Liberation from samsara in Hindu traditions. Schools disagree over the self and ultimate reality, but liberation ends repeated rebirth rather than merely securing a more pleasant life within its cycle.

Nirvana. The Buddhist goal of liberation from greed, hatred, ignorance and the cycle of rebirth. It should not be translated as heaven or treated as a place where an immortal soul settles.

Metempsychosis. Transmigration of the soul from one body to another. Greek writers associate versions of it with Pythagorean and Platonic traditions, although early evidence for a single Pythagorean doctrine is limited.

Kingdom of God. Central expression in Jesus's proclamation, referring to God's rule and its coming fulfilment. It is broader than heaven and should not be reduced to where individuals go after death.

Paradise. A term with roots in an Old Iranian word for an enclosed garden, later used in Jewish, Christian and Islamic contexts for blessed existence. Its exact timing and location vary by tradition.

Last Judgement. The final divine reckoning associated in Christianity and Islam with the end of the age and resurrection. It differs from any judgement believed to occur immediately after an individual's death.

Particular judgement. Catholic term for the judgement of each person at death, distinguished from the later universal resurrection and final judgement. The distinction addresses a two-stage timing problem.

Purgatory. In Catholic doctrine, temporary postmortem purification of people destined for heaven. It is not a third eternal destination. The mature western system developed gradually from earlier prayer, penance and purgation traditions.

Universalism. The hope or doctrine that all people, or ultimately all creation, will be restored to God. Christian versions vary and have repeatedly competed with doctrines of everlasting punishment.

Annihilationism. The view that the finally wicked are destroyed rather than kept consciously in torment forever. It is often linked with conditional immortality and is present in several modern Protestant traditions.

Conditional immortality. The view that immortality is a gift given to the saved rather than a natural property of every human soul. It supplies one theological basis for annihilation rather than endless conscious punishment.

Barzakh. Arabic for a barrier or interval. The Qur'an uses it in a death-related context, while later Islamic traditions develop the term for the intermediate condition between death and resurrection.

Jannah. Garden or paradise in Islamic eschatology. Qur'anic descriptions

emphasise security, abundance and divine reward; later Muslim traditions debate its nature and degrees in greater detail.

Jahannam. A principal Qur'anic and Islamic term for hell, related historically to Gehenna. It appears alongside other fire and punishment terms and becomes richly elaborated in later Muslim literature.

Sirat. The bridge associated in later Islamic eschatology with passage at the final judgement. It is prominent in hadith rather than fully described in the Qur'an.

Go Deeper

The accessible history. Bart D. Ehrman, *Heaven and Hell: A History of the Afterlife* (Simon & Schuster, 2020). Ehrman gives the quickest serious continuation, moving from Mesopotamia and Greece through Jewish and early Christian developments with a sharp eye for the gap between biblical texts and later popular Christianity. He is especially readable on Jesus, resurrection and ancient tours of the other world. Some of his conclusions about what Jesus did or did not teach are stronger than every specialist would accept, so treat the argument as a lucid position rather than the last word. Start here for narrative momentum, then use the heavier works below when a causal claim feels too clean.

The large comparison. Alan F. Segal, *Life After Death: A History of the Afterlife in the Religions of the West* (Doubleday, 2004). At more than eight hundred pages, this is the opposite of a hurry. It earns the size by placing Egypt, Mesopotamia, Israel, Iran, Greece, Judaism, Christianity and Islam inside one sustained history of death, identity and social change. Use it when a neat line of influence in a shorter book looks suspicious. Segal's syntheses are ambitious, but the breadth makes disagreements and overlaps visible rather than forcing one inventor. The size is the warning: this is a book to consult and return to, not a weekend continuation.

The texts themselves. Michael D. Coogan, Marc Zvi Brettler, Carol A. Newsom and PHEME PERKINS, eds., *The New Oxford Annotated Bible*

with Apocrypha, 5th ed. (Oxford University Press, 2018). Read Daniel, 2 Maccabees, the Synoptic judgement passages, 1 Corinthians 15 and Revelation with the introductions and notes. The value is comparison: Sheol, resurrection, Gehenna and final judgement stop arriving as quotations detached from date and genre. Keep a pencil beside it and mark every change of vocabulary rather than reading 'afterlife' into passages that never use one stable category. It is a scholarly study Bible, not a history of doctrine, and that limitation is precisely why it belongs here.

The Islamic otherworld. Christian Lange, *Paradise and Hell in Islamic Traditions* (Cambridge University Press, 2016). Lange follows Qur'anic foundations into hadith, theology, devotion, philosophy, mysticism and material culture across Sunni and Shi'i settings. It corrects the habit of treating Islamic heaven and hell as footnotes to Christianity and shows how thin the boundary between this world and the next could become in Muslim practice. The detail is substantial, but the opening chapters are accessible without Arabic.

Notes and Sources

The central argument

This book distinguishes four decisions that popular maps often compress: what survives death, what makes outcomes differ, when judgement or transition occurs, and what the final condition is. Alan F. Segal, John Casey, Bart D. Ehrman, Colleen McDannell and Bernhard Lang supply broad comparative and historical control. They do not agree on every line of development. The manuscript uses their overlap for chronology and keeps disputed causal arrows visible.

The scope is narrower than a world atlas of the dead. It follows the Mediterranean, West Asian and European streams that built the familiar heaven-and-hell binary, then uses Egypt and South Asia as countermodels. Detailed ancestor traditions, East Asian otherworlds, indigenous cosmologies, mediumship and modern near-death claims remain outside

the one-hour promise.

Early underworlds and Egyptian judgement

Andrew George's edition of the *Epic of Gilgamesh* supports the Mesopotamian anchor. The Homeric examples are from *Odyssey* 11: Elpenor's burial request, the encounter with Anticlea, Achilles' preference for life, and the punishments of Tantalus and Sisyphus. The examples were checked in Samuel Butler's public-domain translation. These are events within the poem, not independently documented experiences. The blood episode does not establish that every shade is unable to speak before drinking. See [Odyssey, Book 11](#).

Jan Assmann and John H. Taylor support the broader Egyptian account. The Metropolitan Museum's object 30.3.31, now titled *Book of the Dead for the Chantress of Amun, Nauny*, dates the papyrus to about 1050 BCE. Nany and Nauny are variant renderings of the name. The museum identifies the eyes and mouth in her hands, the small Maat figure on the balance, Anubis reading it and Thoth as a baboon on its beam. Spell 125 supplies the judgement scene. See [Metropolitan Museum object record](#).

Iran, Israel and apocalyptic justice

Shaul Shaked's *Encyclopaedia Iranica* account distinguishes the Gathas, Younger Avesta and Middle Persian writings. The Gathas already name the House of Song, including Yasna 50.4 and 51.15, and contain contrasting outcomes and a crossing. Fuller accounts of judgement, resurrection and renovation develop across later layers. Neither Zoroaster's date nor the earliest passages licenses assigning the complete later system to a securely dated founder. See [Encyclopaedia Iranica: Eschatology](#).

Persian rule and Jewish-Iranian contact are secure. The degree, direction and mechanism of influence on Jewish eschatology are not. Jon D. Levenson supplies the strongest internal Israelite and Jewish account of resurrection through covenant, restoration and divine victory over death. Daniel 12, dated to the Antiochene crisis of the second century BCE, remains the decisive Hebrew Bible anchor for individuals awakening to

contrasting outcomes. *2 Maccabees* and *1 Enoch* show bodily martyr hope, differentiated holding places and wider Second Temple plurality. The bodily declaration in the martyr account is *2 Maccabees* 7:10-11, read as literary testimony to resurrection hope, not as a verified transcript. A parallel Greek, Latin and English text is at [2 Maccabees 7](#).

Rebirth, soul and resurrection

The South Asian material is a countermodel rather than a compressed history of Hinduism, Jainism or Buddhism. Patrick Olivelle, Paul Dundas and the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy entry on the Buddha control the broad chronology and the narrow claim that early Buddhism can affirm karma and rebirth while denying a permanent self. Later schools develop different accounts of causal continuity, but the combination is not a late repair invented centuries after the Buddha.

Plato's *Phaedo* and myth of Er in the *Republic* establish philosophical arguments for soul survival, judgement and rebirth. Fragmentary Pythagorean and Orphic evidence is described cautiously. The New Oxford Annotated Bible controls the distinction between this immortal-soul model and Jewish or Christian resurrection, especially Daniel 12, 1 Thessalonians and 1 Corinthians 15. Greek concepts entered Christian argument without replacing bodily resurrection in one clean transfer. The chooser who mistakes tyranny for a good life appears in the *Republic's* concluding myth of Er (Book 10); the interpretation here follows the contrast between habitual virtue and philosophical understanding. See [Republic, Book 10](#). Paul's reassurance about precedence is [1 Thessalonians 4:13-18](#).

Jesus, Christian punishment and heaven

The Gospel traditions use Gehenna, fire, darkness, exclusion, destruction and separation. The manuscript does not force those images into one uncontested mechanism or duration. Alan Bernstein and annotated biblical scholarship control the histories of Sheol, Hades, Gehenna and Tartarus. Revelation 20 places the devil in the lake of fire as a punished enemy, which supports the correction that Satan is not hell's ruler in Christian Scripture.

Early Christian tours such as the *Apocalypse of Peter* and *Apocalypse of Paul* are treated as influential non-canonical literature. Augustine's *City of God*, Book XXI, is the main primary anchor for the exceptional authority that everlasting punishment acquired in the Latin West. Jeffrey Trumbower controls the wider early Christian history of postmortem rescue, prayer and alternative outcomes. Origen is handled cautiously because his precise eschatology and later reception remain disputed.

Heaven receives a separate history. Biblical heaven can mean the sky or divine domain; paradise, heavenly ascent, blessed presence, resurrection, the beatific vision and renewed creation do not begin as one location. Colleen McDannell and Bernhard Lang control the historical changes in Christian heaven. Revelation's final city descends into renewed creation, so cloud imagery is not allowed to erase bodily and cosmic renewal.

Intermediate states, the living and the dead

Isabel Moreira and Jeffrey Trumbower support the gradual development of postmortem purification and assistance for the dead. Prayer, Eucharistic commemoration, almsgiving and burial near martyrs predate the mature Latin purgatory system. Gregory the Great strengthened its narrative and pastoral articulation; high-medieval theology, liturgy, wills, confraternities and memorial endowments gave it firmer social form. Augustine's recollection of Monica is *Confessions* 9.11.27-28 and 9.13.35-37. His prayer is direct evidence of his practice and hope, not proof of the completed medieval purgatory doctrine. J. G. Pilkington's translation is at [Confessions, Book 9](#).

The Council of Trent's decree of 1563 affirmed purgatory and the usefulness of suffrages, especially the Mass, while instructing clergy to exclude doubtful, curious, superstitious and commercially abusive material from popular teaching. These claims concern Latin western Christianity. Eastern Christian traditions developed their own teachings and prayers for the departed without adopting the later Latin system in the same form.

Islam

Christian Lange examines Qur'anic and later Islamic traditions of resurrection, judgement, paradise and hell. George Archer's study of *barzakh* prevents the later intermediate-state system from being placed whole inside one Qur'anic word. The Qur'an uses *barzakh* as barrier or separation and includes it in a death-to-resurrection context; hadith, theology, preaching, philosophy, mysticism and practice supply much of the later detail about the grave and interval. The bones and fingertips are Qur'an 75:3-4; divine approval beyond the garden imagery is 9:72. These passages are paraphrased, with the Arabic and Sahih International translation also consulted at [Qur'an 75:3-4](#) and [Qur'an 9:72](#). Neither passage is treated as a scientific prediction.

Islam is placed within a late-antique environment containing Jewish, Christian, Iranian and Arabian traditions without being reduced to copied scenery. Sunni, Shi'i and other Muslim traditions are not treated as one timetable. The comparison with Christianity is structural only: both combine individual death with future resurrection and therefore must explain the interval.

Dante, translation and current doctrine

Dante's *Commedia* is primary evidence for extraordinary organisation, not the invention of hell, purgatory or paradise. Earlier Scripture, patristic argument, visionary tours, sermons and art already supplied the otherworld. Milton is treated as one contributor to Satan's later literary reception rather than the sole cause of the infernal-monarch image. Satan's wings and the freezing of Cocytus occur in *Inferno* 34. Henry Francis Cary's public-domain translation was consulted for this episode at [Cary's Divine Comedy](#).

Translation claims concern specific lexical compression. English *hell* has been used for several ancient terms, while *heaven* can compress divine domain, blessed intermediate presence and final renewed creation. The book does not claim that every translation makes the same choices.

The official *Catechism of the Catholic Church*, consulted on 5 September

2026, distinguishes particular judgement from final resurrection and judgement, affirms purgatory and hell's eternity, and describes separation from God as hell's chief punishment. The Orthodox Church in America page *Heaven and Hell* was used only as one influential modern Orthodox formulation of differing responses to divine presence, not as a universal Orthodox census. Protestant and Muslim diversity is stated without numerical claims. The Catholic distinctions are in paragraphs 1021-1041; the Orthodox presentation is at [Orthodox Church in America: Heaven and Hell](#).

Evidence limits

Funerary archaeology establishes action more securely than private doctrine. Literary afterworlds can be ritual, philosophical, polemical or imaginative and are not population surveys. Official texts establish institutional positions rather than every believer's view.

Bibliography

Primary texts and study editions

Abdel Haleem, M. A. S., trans. *The Qur'an*. Oxford World's Classics. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.

Qur'an. Arabic text with Sahih International translation. Quran.com. Surahs 9 and 75 consulted 5 September 2026. [Quran.com parallel text](#).

Augustine. *The City of God against the Pagans*. Translated by R. W. Dyson. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Augustine. *Confessions*. Translated by J. G. Pilkington. In *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, First Series, vol. 1, edited by Philip Schaff. Buffalo, NY: Christian Literature Publishing Co., 1887. Book 9, online at New Advent.

Charlesworth, James H., ed. *The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. Vol. 1: Apocalyptic Literature and Testaments*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1983.

Coogan, Michael D., Marc Zvi Brettler, Carol A. Newsom, and Pheme Perkins, eds. *The New Oxford Annotated Bible with Apocrypha: New Revised Standard Version*. 5th ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2018.

The Holy Bible. Greek and Latin texts with Ronald Knox's English translation. New Advent. 2 Maccabees 7, 1 Thessalonians 4 and Revelation 20 consulted 5 September 2026. [2 Maccabees 7](#).

Council of Trent. "Decree Concerning Purgatory." Session XXV, 1563.

Dante Alighieri. *The Divine Comedy*. Translated by Robin Kirkpatrick. London: Penguin Classics, 2013.

Dante Alighieri. *The Divine Comedy*. Translated by Henry Francis Cary. Project Gutenberg, ebook 8800. *Inferno* 34 consulted 5 September 2026.

Elliott, J. K., trans. *The Apocryphal New Testament: A Collection of Apocryphal Christian Literature in an English Translation*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993.

George, Andrew, trans. *The Epic of Gilgamesh*. 2nd ed. London: Penguin Classics, 2020.

Homer. *The Odyssey*. Translated by Emily Wilson. New York: W. W. Norton, 2018.

Homer. *The Odyssey*. Translated by Samuel Butler. Internet Classics Archive. Book 11 consulted 5 September 2026.

Milton, John. *Paradise Lost*. Edited by Alastair Fowler. 2nd ed. London: Longman, 1998.

Olivelle, Patrick, trans. *The Early Upaniṣads: Annotated Text and Translation*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998.

Plato. *Complete Works*. Edited by John M. Cooper, with D. S. Hutchinson. Indianapolis: Hackett, 1997.

Plato. *The Republic*. Translated by Benjamin Jowett. Internet Classics

Archive. Book 10 consulted 5 September 2026.

Modern works and reference sources

Archer, George. *A Place Between Two Places: The Qur'anic Barzakh*. Piscataway, NJ: Gorgias Press, 2017.

Assmann, Jan. *Death and Salvation in Ancient Egypt*. Translated by David Lorton. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2005.

Bernstein, Alan E. *The Formation of Hell: Death and Retribution in the Ancient and Early Christian Worlds*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1993.

Casey, John. *After Lives: A Guide to Heaven, Hell, and Purgatory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.

Catholic Church. *Catechism of the Catholic Church*. 2nd ed. Vatican City: Libreria Editrice Vaticana, 1997. Official text checked 5 September 2026.

Dundas, Paul. *The Jains*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2002.

Ehrman, Bart D. *Heaven and Hell: A History of the Afterlife*. New York: Simon & Schuster, 2020.

Lange, Christian. *Paradise and Hell in Islamic Traditions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.

Levenson, Jon D. *Resurrection and the Restoration of Israel: The Ultimate Victory of the God of Life*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2006.

McDannell, Colleen, and Bernhard Lang. *Heaven: A History*. 2nd ed. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2001.

Metropolitan Museum of Art. "Book of the Dead for the Chantress of Amun, Nauny." Object 30.3.31. Object record consulted 5 September 2026.

Moreira, Isabel. *Heaven's Purge: Purgatory in Late Antiquity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

Orthodox Church in America. "Heaven and Hell." *The Orthodox Faith*.

Checked 5 September 2026.

Segal, Alan F. *Life After Death: A History of the Afterlife in the Religions of the West*. New York: Doubleday, 2004.

Shaked, Shaul. "Eschatology i. In Zoroastrianism and Zoroastrian Influence." *Encyclopaedia Iranica*. Published 1998; updated 2014. Checked 5 September 2026.

Siderits, Mark. "Buddha." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. First published 2011; substantive revision 6 March 2023. Consulted 5 September 2026.

Taylor, John H. *Death and the Afterlife in Ancient Egypt*. London: British Museum Press, 2001.

Trumbower, Jeffrey A. *Rescue for the Dead: The Posthumous Salvation of Non-Christians in Early Christianity*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001.