

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Football

in a Hurry

How one game conquered the planet

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 6

The Core Ideas 8

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 32

Use It 36

Terms 41

Go Deeper 45

Notes and Sources 47

Bibliography 55

The Whole Thing in One Page

Football looks inevitable because it is everywhere. A rectangle, two goals, twenty-two players and one ball seem less like an invention than a fact of nature. They are nothing of the sort. People have played kicking and carrying games for centuries, but the game that filled the planet came from a particular nineteenth-century problem: strangers wanted to play one another and could not agree what football meant.

The solution was a common code. In 1863 a small London association began writing one, borrowing from school and club rules while rejecting some practices and retaining others. Its authority was weak, its lawbook provisional, and rival codes remained stronger in many places. A written agreement could travel farther than any club. Once two sides accepted the same boundaries, restarts and prohibitions, they could make a match.

Those prohibitions explain the game. Restricting the hand makes the ball hard to control. Offside prevents attackers from waiting beside the goal. Continuous play gives neither team secure possession for long. Goals are scarce enough that one mistake, rebound or decision can outweigh an hour of superiority. Football asks eleven people to manage space while the object they need keeps escaping them. The result is tactical depth built from severe limits.

The code alone conquered nothing. Clubs made it durable. A club joined a team to a place, a fixture list, colours, rivals, gate receipts, stories and descendants. Cups created sudden consequence. Leagues turned scattered matches into a season. Professionalism paid working players for time they could otherwise scarcely afford, and let crowds demand a standard worth watching. Football became something a town could inherit.

British schools, ships, firms, railways, churches, merchants, soldiers and migrants carried versions of the game abroad. But export is only half the explanation. Local organisers translated the code into their own institutions and arguments. Buenos Aires, Montevideo, Calcutta, Lagos, Cairo, Prague and countless other cities did not remain classrooms for British habits. They

built clubs, styles and rivalries that could embarrass the carriers. A borrowed rulebook became local property.

Conquest did not mean first place everywhere. Cricket, baseball and other football codes kept vast strongholds. It meant that association football became locally organised and legible across almost the whole map.

That made football unusually useful. A club shirt could represent a district, class, religion, ethnicity or political hope. A national team could compress millions of people into eleven bodies for ninety minutes. The same power excluded. Women played from the early history of organised football, then associations denied them grounds, officials and legitimacy. Race and empire shaped who could lead, travel and be remembered. Belonging always draws a boundary.

World tournaments and broadcasting joined these local worlds without flattening them. FIFA, confederations and the International Football Association Board created a hierarchy around the common laws. Television turned distant clubs into daily companions. Transfers made players a global labour force. Sponsorship, data and ownership made attention measurable and saleable. The portable code that once let strangers meet now lets capital compare and buy across the whole system.

Football conquered the planet because it combined sameness with freedom. The field and laws are recognisable almost everywhere. What people make from them is not. The common game works because every community can insist that its version belongs to it.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

A crowd can rise before the shot has been taken. Nothing has happened on the scoreboard, but a gap has opened, a runner has escaped and thousands of people have seen the possibility at once. To a newcomer, football can look like long stretches of nothing interrupted by inexplicable noise. Learning to see what made the crowd stand is the quickest way into both the game and its hold on people.

Once learned, that literacy travels. The songs and colours change across borders; the anticipation is recognisable. A match does not have to be translated for each new audience. A coach working abroad must understand the players and the club, but does not have to negotiate what counts as a goal. The laws supply compatibility. They do not prescribe accent. Football can be direct or patient, improvised or rehearsed, local or migratory, and remain recognisably the same contest.

Understanding that design changes how you watch. The ball attracts the eye and hides most of the match. The deeper action lies in distances: a defender moving two metres to close a passing lane, a forward pinning one opponent to free another, a midfield line deciding whether to press or retreat. The players without the ball are continuously changing the value of the space around it. Once you see the field as a moving set of invitations and refusals, a scoreless minute stops being empty.

The history matters because football is a working model of globalisation. A practice was codified in one place, moved through unequal networks, and then escaped the control of its carriers. People elsewhere copied parts, rejected parts and made new institutions around the common core. The result is neither pure British export nor spontaneous world culture. It is a shared standard repeatedly claimed by those who received it. That pattern appears in languages, technologies, laws and religions. Football makes it visible at running speed.

It also shows how belonging is manufactured. Clubs attach memory to a schedule. The same route to the ground, the same colours and the same

opponent turn repeated attendance into membership. That membership can bind generations and give a district a public voice. It can also police who counts, turn rivalry into hatred and let owners trade on loyalties they did not create. Supporters are customers in the accounts and co-authors in reality. Remove their noise, history and expectation, and much of the product disappears.

Then there is the argument over who owns the game. Players move across borders, broadcasters sell access, sponsors buy surfaces, governing bodies control tournaments, and investors acquire clubs whose names were formed long before them. The money is not an alien substance poured onto innocent grass. Gate receipts, wages and transfers arrived early. What changed was scale: a common code made local attention globally tradable. The game's greatest strength became the infrastructure for its sharpest inequality.

Football also gives uncertainty a clean public shape. In many sports, repeated scoring steadily reveals the stronger side. Here, a dominant team can lose to one break, one set piece or one deflection. Skill still matters over seasons, yet a single match protects possibility far longer than the balance of play deserves. That is why the final minutes can hold both tactical calculation and collective panic, and why a weak side is rarely required to pretend the contest is already over.

The limits should remain visible. Football cannot explain every society that plays it, and a national team does not reveal a nation's character. The sport has repeatedly excluded women, racialised groups and poorer supporters while claiming universality. It can offer beauty without virtue and solidarity without tolerance.

It still gives you a rare object: a planetary agreement that feels intensely local wherever it lands. The question is how that contradiction was built, and what it now permits.

The Core Ideas

A Code That Could Travel

The decisive invention was not the ball, the goal or the kick. All existed in many forms. It was the match between people who did not already share a custom.

Before standardisation, football usually belonged to a school, village or club. Its rules could be understood perfectly by insiders and remain useless beyond the gate. One code permitted handling, another restricted it. One used a crossbar, another a tape, another no fixed goal at all. Hacking an opponent might be accepted, disputed or forbidden. When teams met, they often negotiated the rules first, changed code at half-time, or failed to agree. The game was social knowledge tied to a place.

A written code changed the unit of trust. Players no longer needed to know one another's habits. They needed to accept an external agreement. That agreement could be printed, carried, translated and amended. It made the game modular: organise a field, two sides, officials and a fixture, then apply the same basic law. The first Football Association rules of 1863 were neither complete nor instantly dominant, but they created a mechanism that could outgrow their authors.

The mechanism matters more than any original clause. Most of the modern game arrived later through repeated revision. The penalty kick appeared in the 1890s. The offside law changed several times. Substitutions, cards, goal-line technology and video review came much later. A code that cannot change becomes a museum piece. Football's law survived because it was stable enough to coordinate play and open enough to absorb problems revealed by play.

Authority also became layered. National associations organise football within territories. Six continental confederations coordinate regions. FIFA runs global competitions and regulates large parts of international football. Yet FIFA does not own the Laws of the Game by itself. The International

Football Association Board gives one vote each to the four British associations and four votes collectively to FIFA; six of eight are required for a law change. The arrangement is an historical fossil inside a planetary system. It also preserves a separation between the shared law-making body and any one tournament organiser.

The current lawbook contains seventeen laws. That sounds small because much of football's complexity sits outside the text. The laws define the field, ball, players, officials, time, scoring, offside, fouls and restarts. They cannot specify every contact, intention or advantage. A referee must interpret events in motion, and players learn the practical boundary through use. The global code therefore produces local judgement without surrendering common structure.

That is one reason football travelled so well. Its full form needs a large field, officials and time, but the game can be reduced without losing its grammar: fewer players, smaller goals, a rougher surface, shorter matches. Street games alter the dimensions while preserving the central bargain. Feet do most of the work; space matters; goals settle the argument. Low material requirements helped, provided somebody could supply usable ground and recurring opponents.

Standardisation can look like cultural flattening. In football it created the opposite possibility. Because the basic code was shared, difference could move to style, club, ritual, language and meaning. The same law made comparison possible, and comparison made local distinction visible. A portable agreement became a platform on which communities could insist on their own way of playing.

The Restrictions Make the Game

Football's appeal is often credited to freedom. The player can move almost anywhere, the ball stays live for long stretches, and coaches cannot stop the contest to dictate each action. Yet this freedom is manufactured by restriction.

Begin with the hand. Outfield players cannot deliberately handle the ball

during play; the throw-in is their conspicuous exception. The body part best suited to catching and carrying has been taken away. A pass arrives while the receiver is moving, watched by opponents and unable to close fingers around it. Every touch risks separation. Possession is therefore conditional rather than secure, and the game keeps producing loose balls, interceptions and improvised recoveries.

Then offside. In the opponents' half, an attacker is in an offside position when a playable part of the head, body or feet is nearer the goal line than both the ball and the second-last opponent. Level is onside; hands and arms do not count. The position is judged when a team-mate plays or touches the ball, but position alone is not an offence: the attacker must then become involved in active play. This stops forwards permanently waiting beside the goal. It also lets defenders step up to squeeze the field, while attackers try to time a run beyond them. The contest is over when to move, not merely where to stand.

Add continuous play. There are stoppages, but no regular sequence in which one side receives protected possession and chooses a rehearsed play while the other waits. Control can reverse in a touch. Coaches influence preparation, substitutions and structure, then spend most of the match unable to pause it. Players must solve changing problems while tired and under pressure. Football's intelligence is distributed across the team.

The scoring system completes the design. A goal is one unit whether it comes from a thirty-pass move, a rebound, a penalty or a miskick. Most attacks produce nothing. This scarcity gives each score unusual weight and prevents performance from translating smoothly into result. Over many matches, stronger teams usually reveal themselves. In one match, chance has room to breathe. A goalkeeper, block, post or marginal decision can preserve an underdog long enough for one counterattack to matter.

Low scoring does not mean low action. It means that value accumulates before it becomes visible. A run can pull a defender away without touching the ball. A press can force a clearance that becomes an attack two passes later. A midfielder can protect a defence by standing where a pass would

otherwise go. The scoreboard records the final conversion and discards the work that made it possible.

The foul laws impose another productive limit. Contact is allowed, but careless, reckless or excessively forceful challenges cross different thresholds. The penalty area raises the price of certain offences because a direct free kick becomes a penalty. This changes behaviour far beyond the moment of contact. Defenders alter angles, attackers invite commitments, and referees judge movements that happen faster than language can describe them.

Technology has not removed interpretation. Goal-line systems answer one narrow question: whether the whole ball crossed the line. Video assistant referees review specified incidents, generally for a clear and obvious error or a serious missed incident. Offside can be measured with increasing precision, but the relevant touch, body point and phase are still defined by rules. Precision can settle location while leaving the argument about purpose untouched.

The game is therefore neither free flow nor arbitrary chaos. It is a constrained system that keeps producing uncertain possession, compressed decisions and rare scores. Remove enough restrictions and it stops being football. Tighten them without care and the match becomes a sequence of technical judgements. The design works in the narrow space between order and escape.

The Team Is a Moving Shape

A team sheet lists eleven names beside positions. The list is necessary and misleading. During a match, no serious team remains arranged like the graphic shown before kick-off.

The useful object is shape: the changing distances among players, opponents, ball, goals and touchlines. When one full-back advances, a midfielder may drop to cover the space left behind. When a striker moves towards the ball, a winger may run beyond. When the ball travels to one side, the far side often narrows because the immediate danger has shifted.

Every action changes the options of people who never touch it.

This is why a formation does not tell you how a team plays. A 4-3-3 can defend as a 4-5-1, build with three at the back and attack with five players across the front line. Two teams using the same nominal arrangement may share almost nothing. What matters is the work: who stretches the defence, who covers a turnover, and whether the players can reach one another.

Space is not empty ground. It has changing value. A patch near the ball may be crowded and useless until one opponent is drawn away. A passing lane exists only while bodies and angles keep it open. An overload puts more useful attackers than defenders in one zone, but concentrating players there can expose another. Good teams shift the opponent until a small numerical, positional or qualitative advantage appears, then move before it closes.

Possession is one means of doing this, not the purpose of the sport. Keeping the ball can move opponents, rest defenders and reduce exposure. It can also become circulation without penetration. A team with less possession may control where the ball is allowed to go, protect the dangerous centre and attack the space left by an advancing opponent. Control belongs to the side imposing the more favourable problems, which may or may not be the side making more passes.

Transitions reveal the geometry most clearly. At the instant possession changes, both teams are temporarily arranged for the previous task. The side that lost the ball may have defenders spread wide and midfielders ahead of it. The side that won it may face open grass before the opponent can recover. Some teams counterattack immediately. Others press at once to regain the ball before the new attack forms. The first few seconds can contain the match's largest spaces.

Imagine a simple pressing trap. A striker curves a run towards a centre-back, blocking the pass into midfield while leaving the full-back apparently free. The ball goes wide. Now a winger closes the receiver and a midfielder takes away the return inside. The touchline supplies another boundary. The first runner may never tackle anyone; the job was to make

one pass look attractive and then close the exits. If the supporting players arrive late, the same plan merely gives the opponent an easy way out.

The choices behind such moves never disappear. Should a team stretch the field or crowd the ball? Mark people or protect zones? Attack quickly or secure possession? Press high and leave space behind, or retreat and surrender territory? Every choice transfers risk rather than deleting it.

Statistics can help because human attention follows the ball and remembers drama. Passing networks show connections. Event data records shots, pressures and progressions. Expected-goals models estimate the probability that shots with certain characteristics will be scored, allowing process to be compared with result. The figure depends on the model and its inputs. It does not know what a team deserved. Its value is humbler: it separates some of the chance in finishing from the quality and quantity of chances created.

Once the moving shape becomes visible, football looks less like a sequence of individual duels and more like collective engineering performed without a pause button. The finest touch still matters. It matters because ten team-mates have helped create the instant in which it can do damage.

Clubs Turned Play Into Belonging

A rulebook can make a match. It cannot make anyone return next Saturday.

The club solved that problem by giving repetition a name. Early football clubs ranged from school and university groups to cricket offshoots, church teams, factory sides and neighbourhood associations. Their origins differed, but the institutional move was the same. A temporary group became a continuing body with officers, members, colours, accounts, a ground and a future that survived the people on the field.

Competition then gave continuity a shape. A cup made one match terminal. Lose and the route closed; win and the next opponent arrived. A league did something different. It converted scattered fixtures into a table and a season, so that every result acquired meaning from all the others.

Promotion and relegation later joined sporting position to institutional consequence. Supporters could imagine progress, decline and return across years rather than afternoons.

Regular competition required infrastructure. Railways moved teams and crowds. Newspapers printed fixtures, reports and tables. Saturday leisure gave workers time to play and watch. Enclosed grounds allowed admission to be charged. Gate money could improve facilities and attract stronger players, which drew larger crowds and produced more money. The club became an engine that joined place, labour, entertainment and finance.

Professionalism made the class question unavoidable. Amateur leaders often described payment as corruption while expecting working men to sacrifice wages for travel and training. Northern English clubs paid players openly or discreetly because competitive football demanded time. The Football Association legalised professionalism in 1885. The decision did not remove hierarchy, but it allowed skill to become paid labour and helped shift the sport's centre towards industrial towns and mass spectatorship.

Players and supporters produced different forms of value. The player supplied scarce performance. The crowd supplied money, atmosphere, reputation and consequence. A match before ten people and the same actions before fifty thousand are physically similar and culturally different. The second carries inherited expectation. Noise can change communication and pressure.

Belonging deepened because clubs attached themselves to ordinary geography. A district name, factory, church or railway works could become a badge. Rivalries turned neighbouring places into opposing stories. The ground became a repeated route through streets and family memory. People inherited support before they understood tactics. A club could therefore survive poor teams, failed owners, relegation and the deaths of those who founded it. Its product was never confined to winning.

This endurance can hide who was denied entry. Women formed teams, attracted crowds and organised competitions from the nineteenth century

onwards, with a major expansion during and after the First World War in Britain. Yet governing bodies controlled access to grounds, referees and legitimacy. The English FA's 1921 resolution pushed women's matches off professional and affiliated pitches for decades. Similar restrictions and social pressures took different forms elsewhere. The men's club system accumulated facilities, archives and commercial habits while women's football was required to continue at the margins.

Race, religion and migration also shaped clubs from the beginning. Some institutions opened routes into public life; others defended exclusion. A club might represent workers denied power elsewhere, an immigrant district seeking recognition, or an elite protecting its social boundary. The badge could unite people across difference and make another difference non-negotiable.

Modern clubs can be companies, media properties and investment assets, but they remain dependent on this older institutional magic. Owners can buy shares, contracts and buildings. They cannot purchase a century of remembered Saturdays at the moment of acquisition. That inheritance was produced collectively by players, volunteers, staff and supporters who kept returning. The club is powerful because no one generation can plausibly claim to have made all of it.

Export Was Only Half the Conquest

The familiar map begins in Britain and sends arrows outward. The arrows are real. The explanation is not enough.

British schools, merchants, sailors, railway workers, engineers, soldiers, teachers and expatriate clubs carried football codes through the networks of trade and empire. Ports were natural transfer points because ships brought people with leisure, equipment and habits. Railways spread clubs and fixtures inland. Schools could turn a game into discipline. Firms could use teams to bind employees. Associations copied rules and corresponded with British bodies.

Yet a practice has not conquered a place merely because foreigners

perform it there. Expatriate clubs often guarded membership and treated local spectators as outsiders. Football became rooted only when local people learned, organised, competed and attached the game to their own arguments. The carriers supplied access. The adapters supplied permanence.

India makes the distinction visible. British soldiers, civil servants, schools and firms carried football into garrison towns and port cities. But an Indian club playing a British regiment was no longer a demonstration for spectators. Local players could enter the competition, master its rules and make the result embarrassing for the people who had brought it.

In the 1911 IFA Shield final, Mohun Bagan beat the East Yorkshire Regiment. Most of the Indian side played barefoot. Against a military opponent, the contrast gave the result a symbolism no rulebook had prescribed. Here were colonised players defeating a regimental team at its own game. The victory became part of anti-colonial memory, rather than the cause of nationalism or a verdict delivered by all India. A Calcutta club could carry a powerful public meaning without speaking for an entire subcontinent.

Nor did India become one football culture. Bengal, Goa, Kerala and the north-east developed strong attachments through different mixtures of schools, clubs, migration and regional rivalry. Cricket's later commercial dominance did not erase them. Someone describing the national market could miss the place where football mattered most to a family or town. A game could lose first place in the country and still belong to the neighbourhood.

FIFA's foundation in Paris in 1904 exposed the shift. The English association was not among the founders. Continental organisers created an international federation because cross-border football needed coordination that Britain had not supplied. FIFA later joined the law-making structure rather than replacing it. The World Cup turned national associations into a competitive world map, though entry, travel, colonial status and political power remained unequal.

Cheap equipment helped people start; it did not guarantee they could continue. A shared ball does little for a girl with no permitted place to play, or a team whose ground is taken away. Organised football needs recurring access to space and opponents, with somebody arranging the fixtures and transport. Its spread depended on this less photogenic work as much as on improvised goals.

Local appropriation also changed how football was played. Climate, surfaces, urban density, coaching traditions and available time influenced technique. So did aesthetics and politics. Nations constructed stories about flair, discipline, strength or cunning, then selected evidence to match. These identities were never biologically fixed, but they could shape training, selection and expectation until the story affected the play.

Football conquered the planet when the place of origin stopped owning the meaning. The laws remained compatible while authority, style and memory dispersed. Football cultures abroad are therefore both imported and invented at home.

The Shirt Became a Flag

A football shirt is a piece of work clothing with an extraordinary promotion. Once attached to a club or country, it can carry meanings no designer placed there.

The mechanism begins with representation. Eleven players are authorised to stand for a body far larger than themselves. A club side represents members, supporters and a place, however loosely. A national side represents a political community that may contain tens of millions of strangers. The compression is absurd and effective. When the team succeeds, people speak in the first person plural. We scored. We went out. We are champions.

Because the representation is voluntary, it can feel more intimate than formal politics. A citizen may distrust government and still invest in the national team. A supporter may leave a neighbourhood and keep its club as a portable home. Football schedules repeated public occasions on which

identity can be performed through colours, songs, flags, food, travel and remembered line-ups. The ritual makes abstraction bodily.

Class entered through the institutions around the game. Britain's industrial towns gave mass football workers, crowds and rivalries; elsewhere, elite, military, religious and immigrant clubs helped make different maps of belonging. An origin story could remain active long after the original social base changed. Support could be inherited even when the factory had closed and the family had moved.

Race and empire shaped who was permitted to represent whom. Colonised players could master the game while being denied authority. Black players could become symbols of national pride and targets of racial abuse within the same week. Selection may signal inclusion without dissolving unequal policing, journalism, coaching access or leadership.

Religion and ethnicity can enter rivalry, though the divisions are rarely as pure as later myth suggests. Clubs recruit beyond their supposed communities and supporters disagree about politics. Simplified stories survive because rivalry rewards clear categories. A badge can preserve a history and edit it at the same time.

Crowds amplify the effect. Singing in synchrony turns separate bodies into a temporary public that judges effort and sets the emotional cost of error. Stadiums have held solidarity, racist chanting, protest, sectarian hostility, mourning and comedy. Violence belongs in the record without defining support as a whole. Hooligan groups, state repression, unsafe grounds and hostile policing have caused harm. Disasters have also shown the danger of treating supporters as a disorderly mass rather than people entitled to safe design and competent control.

Women's football reveals the boundary of representation. Women played early, formed clubs and drew paying crowds, yet associations and public authorities repeatedly described the game as inappropriate for female bodies or social roles. Exclusion then produced its own false evidence. Men had grounds, leagues, archives and investment; women had interrupted

competitions and thinner records. Later observers mistook accumulated advantage for natural preference.

In the 2011 Women's World Cup final, Japan twice came from behind against the United States. Captain Homare Sawa's late extra-time equaliser took the match to penalties, and Japan won. Before the match the team had displayed a banner thanking people around the world for their support after that March's earthquake and tsunami. The players were competing for a trophy, and also using the national shirt to address the world. That is representation in a visible act, not proof that a victory can heal a country. Women were making the public meaning, not waiting to be added to somebody else's story.

Football's representative power is real because the game is simple enough to share and scarce enough in outcome to hurt. The shirt can give people a public language for loyalty. It can also demand that complicated lives fit inside two colours. Use the flag, but do not confuse it with everyone standing beneath it.

The Common Game Became a Global Market

The same code that lets teams meet also lets markets compare them. Once a match in one country is recognisably the same product as a match in another, attention, labour and ownership can cross borders without the game being rebuilt each time.

Gate receipts created an early market. Clubs charged admission, paid players, transferred registrations and competed for talent long before television. Commercialisation is therefore not a late stain on a previously moneyless pastime. The change came in reach and bargaining power. Broadcasting released the match from the capacity of the ground. A fixture could be sold to millions who had no physical connection to the town that produced it.

Television changed competitive scale because audiences cluster. Famous clubs attract viewers, rights and sponsorship revenue, which can buy stronger squads and further attention. Distribution formulas soften this loop

without erasing it. UEFA put top-division club revenue at €28.6 billion for 2024, with more than €30 billion expected for 2025 in its February 2026 report. Revenue is not profit, and it is distributed unevenly.

The player market became global too. Clubs once retained strong registration control after contracts ended. The 1995 Bosman judgment applied European free-movement law to post-contract fees and nationality quotas affecting EU workers. It shifted bargaining power for many out-of-contract players and enlarged the market in contracts, wages and timing.

FIFA's international transfer system recorded US\$13.08 billion in fees for men's professional football in 2025. The women's professional total was US\$28.6 million across 2,440 international transfers. The figures cover registered international movement, not every domestic deal or every cost, but the contrast shows two truths at once: women's professional football is expanding, and its market remains tiny beside the men's.

Talent often moves in the opposite direction to money's final concentration. Academies and smaller clubs train players. Families absorb risk. Local leagues provide minutes. Intermediaries and richer clubs identify the successful few, while rejected players carry costs that never appear in a headline transfer fee. Transfer payments can redistribute some value down the chain, but bargaining power, contract design and enforcement decide how much reaches the institutions that produced the player.

A club also offers status, political access, a media audience and an identity whose loyalty may survive poor management. Investors, corporations and states can value it for different reasons. Supporters lower the risk that the customer base will leave after ordinary failure, making inherited loyalty part of the price someone else will pay for the club. Digital media extends that audience abroad while the club keeps selling neighbourhood memory as its strongest image.

Data makes action comparable at finer scale. Recruitment departments search leagues once visited irregularly, while expected-goals and

possession-value models test claims that memory would flatter. The measurements remain partial: they record what their definitions can see and can hide uncertainty behind a decimal.

Football's common code enabled local cultures to meet without becoming identical. That compatibility built a vast audience and labour market. The resulting wealth can improve grounds, coaching, women's football and player livelihoods. It can also pull talent, attention and decision-making towards a narrow elite, leaving the communities that made the product with less control over it.

The question facing modern football is therefore not whether money belongs. It is who receives value, who carries risk and who has authority to alter institutions built from inherited loyalty. The global market exists because the game is common. Its legitimacy depends on remembering that common does not mean ownerless.

How It Actually Works

A meeting for a game nobody could name

On 26 October 1863, representatives of London and suburban clubs met at the Freemasons' Tavern to form the Football Association. Their problem was practical. Clubs wanted fixtures beyond their own members, but football meant different things to different people. Some allowed carrying. Some permitted hacking an opponent's shins. School codes assumed fields, customs and loyalties that outsiders did not share.

There were six meetings in all, across forty-four days. Ebenezer Morley drafted rules, Cambridge rules entered the argument, and Blackheath defended a rougher handling game. The final code, approved on 8 December, rejected hacking while retaining features that now look strange, including the fair catch. Blackheath withdrew and later helped found the Rugby Football Union. The separation is often presented as the birthday of two finished sports. It was closer to a branching path whose signs remained unclear.

The new association had little immediate authority. Clubs ignored or modified its laws. Sheffield had used its own written code since 1858 and developed an organised football culture before London could plausibly command the country. Teams continued to switch between codes or agree hybrids for particular fixtures. The famous rulebook began as one weak proposal in a crowded market.

What came before the code

People had kicked, carried and contested balls long before Victorian Britain. Chinese cuju, Japanese kemari, Mesoamerican ball games and European folk football show that no culture had to wait for London to discover play with a ball. They do not form a proved relay ending in association football. Similar actions can arise independently, and institutional ancestry requires evidence of transmission, not resemblance.

The closer ancestors were British folk games and the football cultures of schools, universities and clubs. Folk football could involve large groups, loose boundaries and a destination across a town or landscape. Public schools reduced local customs into written rules because pupils needed to know what counted within enclosed institutions. Each school treated its version as normal. Former pupils then carried incompatible assumptions into universities and adult clubs.

Cambridge students made several attempts to reconcile them. Sheffield FC compared school laws and selected features for its own code. Neither created the mature game alone. Their importance lies in the method: rules became objects that could be collected, compared and edited. Football ceased to depend entirely on inherited custom and became a design problem.

The equipment was changing with it. Rubber bladders replaced inflated animal bladders inside leather covers. Improved manufacture made balls more regular, though wet leather still became heavy and shape varied. Marked touchlines, crossbars, nets and standard dimensions arrived through experiment rather than one founding blueprint. The visible objects of

the match were slowly being disciplined by the same urge to make contests repeatable.

Competition chooses a code

A law spreads faster when it creates useful opponents. The FA Cup, proposed in 1871, invited member clubs into a national knockout competition. Every entrant now had a reason to accept the same rules, and every tie extended the association's network. The competition also created a story that one-off friendlies lacked. Defeat ended the route. Victory produced another match and the possibility of a final whose meaning grew with each round.

International play supplied another pressure towards agreement. Scotland and England played an official association international in Glasgow in 1872. Scottish football, especially around Queen's Park, developed a stronger reputation for close passing and combination than the more individual dribbling often associated with English play. The contrast should not be turned into a single moment of tactical invention, but it showed that a common code could contain competing ideas of how to use it.

In 1886 the football associations of England, Scotland, Wales and Ireland formed the International Football Association Board to control the laws. That moved rule-making above any one national association while preserving British authority. FIFA joined the board in 1913 and eventually received half its votes. The odd structure survived because legal continuity became an asset. Clubs could change style, personnel and ownership while trusting that the underlying contest remained recognisable.

The industrial match

The game's social base changed more quickly than its governing elite. Industrial towns supplied dense populations, local rivalries, railway links, newspapers and a growing Saturday pause in work. Churches, employers, pubs, cricket clubs and neighbourhood groups formed teams. Enclosed grounds made it possible to charge admission. Regular crowds gave clubs income and gave players a reason to train beyond the level of recreation.

Amateurism became harder to defend when working players lost wages to travel and practice. Payments were made openly, secretly or through jobs arranged by patrons. Southern officials often treated money as moral decline; northern clubs treated it as the cost of serious competition. The FA legalised professionalism in 1885 after the threat of a rival body. Three years later, William McGregor helped create a twelve-club Football League to replace unreliable friendlies with a guaranteed programme.

The league changed the unit of drama. A cup tie made one afternoon decisive. A table made consistency visible over months. Newspapers could print positions, goal records and fixtures, allowing supporters to follow the whole competition rather than one club in isolation. Promotion and relegation later gave movement between levels a formal grammar. The season became a public narrative that restarted every year without erasing the last.

A match day also reorganised ordinary urban time. Crowds left mills, workshops and offices towards the same ground, paid through turnstiles and occupied sections shaped by price and custom. Vendors, public houses, transport operators and newspapers grew around the fixture. The club's weekly rhythm became part of the town's commercial life, while songs, nicknames and remembered players supplied a history people could repeat without entering an archive.

By the end of the nineteenth century, association football had defeated rival versions in much of Britain, though rugby retained powerful regional strongholds and split over payment into union and league. Soccer's acceptance of professionalism and national competition helped it avoid that precise fracture. Working-class players and crowds did not merely fill institutions designed above them. They changed tactics, standards, finances and the social meaning of the game.

The code leaves Britain

Football travelled through networks that were already moving people and goods. British merchants played in port cities. Railway employees formed teams along new lines. Teachers used sport in schools. Sailors and soldiers arranged matches. Expatriate communities created clubs that sometimes excluded local people while displaying the game to them.

On the Gold Coast, coastal trade, mission and government schools, military connections and growing towns brought football into new settings. Africans formed clubs, built rivalries and created audiences. By independence, the game already possessed a local public life that would outlast the institutions which had introduced it.

After independence in 1957, the Ghanaian state could use a football result to project confidence abroad. The Black Stars won the Africa Cup of Nations in 1963 and retained it in 1965. Government support mattered, but a minister could not conjure a passing combination or a committed crowd. The victories drew on clubs, coaches and competitions built before the state recruited the game for its own ambitions.

This was neither a game obediently left behind by empire nor a national passion manufactured by government. Local participants had made it worth taking over. The state could promote a political message through the team without controlling why every supporter cared about it. Ghana's sequence cannot stand for all Africa, where colonial regimes and sporting institutions differed. It does show how an imported practice can acquire a life that its successive sponsors cannot entirely direct.

Translation occurred in administration as well as language. Clubs chose whether to imitate British names or announce a local identity. Associations decided who counted as an amateur, who could represent a territory and which teams received access to grounds. Newspapers created heroes and tactical vocabularies. Once local competitions produced their own calendar, disputes and memories, football no longer depended on expatriate approval. It had acquired an internal reason to continue.

The small game and the organised club fed one another. Children could learn touches and passing on dust, concrete or sand without waiting for a full-sized pitch. Turning that skill into a professional opportunity required a different kind of access: a club able to recruit, coach and enter the player in regular competition. Informal play widened the entrance; it did not remove the gates further along.

A world authority and a world cup

Uruguay shows why football's spread cannot be described as passive imitation. British residents, schools and firms helped establish the association code in Montevideo, but local clubs, players, journalists and supporters made it socially Uruguayan. By the early twentieth century, organised competition connected neighbourhood, workplace and national identities to a shared set of rules. The code travelled, yet the meanings attached to it did not.

Uruguay won the Olympic football tournaments of 1924 and 1928. The supposed pupil had become an international champion before FIFA staged a World Cup. When Montevideo hosted the first one in 1930, distance and cost kept the field small: thirteen teams entered, most from the Americas. The tournament's name described its ambition better than its reach. Uruguay then beat Argentina 4-2 in the final. A small state could meet much larger countries under common rules and finish above them. The result supplied a shared national occasion without having to create the nation it represented.

The achievement rested on a football world already busy with club rivalries and continental competition. Its players had something to represent because people at home had made fixtures worth following. International success then fed back into that attachment: the local game could claim a place in a larger story. Export had supplied rules; a season of consequential matches gave people reasons to care about them.

When a law moves every player

The laws do more than settle disputes. They change tactics by changing the value of space. In 1925, the offside requirement shifted from three opponents nearer the goal line to two. Defenders could no longer hold such an aggressive line with the same protection. Attacks gained room, scoring rose and teams adjusted their structures. Arsenal manager Herbert Chapman is associated with the WM, which withdrew a centre-half and redistributed defensive responsibility. The formation was an answer to a new risk, not a geometric revelation descending on one coach.

Tactical ideas travelled with coaches, players and international fixtures. Austria's interwar passing, Italian defensive systems and Dutch position exchange offered different answers to the same spatial problems. Catenaccio and Total Football became memorable labels, but neither named a single unchanging method. Copying a famous team's formation without its players' relationships could preserve the picture and lose the game.

Hungary's 6-3 win over England at Wembley in 1953 made the problem painfully visible: English defenders struggled with opponents who would not stay in the positions expected of them. Fixed marking can fail when roles move faster than assignments. Technical freedom and collective organisation were becoming harder to mistake for opposites.

Brazil's fourth goal against Italy in the 1970 World Cup final shows the relationship. After the ball had travelled through midfield, Pelé received it and passed into space on the right. Carlos Alberto, the right-back, arrived to drive it home. The pass was modest beside the finish, yet its timing joined two different speeds: the ball's patient circulation and a defender's accelerating run. Watch only the player in possession and the scorer seems to appear from nowhere. Watch the team's shape and the arrival becomes the point. Flair and structure were presented as opposites mainly by people who misunderstood both.

Television turned tactical difference into a global conversation. Coaches

could study distant competitions, though broadcast views also trained spectators to follow the ball and miss the spacing around it. Video analysis, specialist staff and event data made preparation more systematic. Pressing became organised through triggers and cover rather than effort alone. Positional play divided the field into relationships that could be rehearsed. Set pieces became designed attacks rather than pauses from open play.

Tactical change was never a clean procession in which one country solved the game for the next. Successful teams borrowed across borders, adapted to their players and were copied badly as often as well. Rules, pitches, substitutions and fitness altered what was feasible. A plan praised as brave after victory could be condemned as naive after the same risks produced defeat. Football tactics advance through evidence, fashion and selective memory together.

Another law change altered the game from the back. From 1992, goalkeepers could no longer handle a ball deliberately kicked to them by a team-mate. The measure targeted time-wasting and defensive recycling. It forced goalkeepers to use their feet under pressure and gave pressing teams a vulnerable extra passer to attack. Over time, the goalkeeper became more integrated into build-up, while defenders had to offer angles rather than purchase safety with a back-pass into the hands.

The women who never disappeared

The crowds came before the governing bodies' welcome. Women played organised association football before the First World War, and factory teams expanded the game during the war through industrial workplaces and charity fixtures. British sides including Dick, Kerr Ladies attracted large audiences. They had players, matches and people willing to watch; what they lacked was secure access to the institutions that could let the game grow.

In 1921 the Football Association asked affiliated clubs to refuse their grounds to women's teams and described football as unsuitable for women. This was an association restriction, not a law forbidding women to kick a

ball. It nevertheless cut them off from leading grounds and official networks. Women continued to play elsewhere, while the men's game accumulated facilities and regular competition. The FA rescinded its resolution in 1970; a rule change in 1971 restored access to affiliated grounds and registered referees.

Britain is not a universal chronology. Women's football developed through different clubs, schools, workplaces and informal networks in Europe, Latin America, North America, Asia and Africa, while national associations and governments imposed different periods of neglect, discouragement or prohibition. The first FIFA Women's World Cup in 1991 created a global tournament structure, but it did not mark the beginning of the women's game. Nor did later growth merely copy the men's path. School systems, equality law, migration, community clubs, professional investment and media access had different weight in different countries.

The central mechanism is institutional permission. A portable code can spread informally, but durable participation depends on pitches, time, safety, coaching, competition and recognition. When those resources are allocated unequally, apparent differences in popularity or performance cannot be read as simple differences in desire.

From broadcast match to financial system

European club competition and television joined local institutions to continental audiences after the Second World War. Broadcast rights expanded the stadium without expanding its seats. Sponsors acquired the shirt and perimeter. Star players became familiar in countries they had never visited. Clubs with repeated success could convert attention into commercial income, then spend it to protect their success.

The audience changed too. A supporter no longer needed local newspapers or occasional international tournaments to encounter distant football. Recorded highlights, live satellite coverage and later digital feeds made another country's league part of the weekly routine. Admiration could detach from residence. Clubs gained followers who supplied money and attention

but had never passed through the streets around the ground.

The pace changed again in the 1990s. Satellite television and reorganised competitions packaged elite club football for frequent viewing. England's Premier League began in 1992, while the European Cup became the Champions League. The same year brought the back-pass change, so the product and the play both accelerated. In 1995 the Bosman judgment weakened post-contract transfer controls and certain nationality quotas within European Union law, increasing mobility and bargaining power for many players.

Money flowed unevenly. Rich leagues recruited from poorer ones. Large clubs recruited from smaller ones. Academies, families and local teams carried much of the development risk before a marketable professional emerged. Solidarity payments and training compensation returned some value, but the system rewarded bargaining position and visibility. The global code made a player's labour legible everywhere; it did not make every producer equally powerful.

The sums require different labels. A transfer fee pays another club for a player's registration; wages pay the player; revenue records money coming into the club before its costs. A club can recruit expensively, attract a global audience and still lose money. UEFA's rising revenue totals do not contradict its warnings about costs. They describe different parts of the accounts. Football's first national association charged members a guinea. The modern financial system needs rather more explanation than the size of its largest cheque.

The match under review

Modern football adds layers without changing its basic grammar. Goal-line technology answers whether the ball crossed the line. Video review can intervene in specified match-changing incidents. Tracking and event data measure actions once left to memory. Recruitment systems compare players across leagues, and digital platforms let clubs cultivate supporters across continents.

Each layer changes authority. A referee may wait for a remote official. A marginal offside becomes a problem of frame selection and calibrated lines. A model may value a shot differently from the crowd that saw it. An owner may address a global audience while a local supporter pays the cost of changed kick-off times. The common game remains stable enough to connect everyone, but the institutions interpreting and selling it grow more distant from the field.

How we know

Football's formal record is unusually strong where institutions kept minutes, laws, fixture lists, accounts and newspapers. That makes codification, competitions and rule changes easier to trace than informal play, private discrimination or the experience of spectators. British and European archives are overrepresented because their organisations accumulated records and later historians read their languages.

Origin stories often project modern categories backwards. Clubs played mixed or changing codes, so "association" and "rugby" can mislead before the split hardened. Global diffusion is harder still: the arrival of a British team is easier to document than the local organisers who made the game durable. Women's football leaves thinner records partly because exclusion denied it stable grounds and administration.

Current governing-body reports provide valuable counts, but their definitions control what enters the number. Transfer data covers registered international movement. Revenue reports cover specified clubs and accounting periods. Match data records coded events more readily than intention, communication or unmeasured movement. The evidence is rich, selective and shaped by the institutions football created.

What People Get Wrong

“England invented football”

People played ball games involving feet, hands, goals and territorial struggle in many societies long before the Football Association met. Chinese cuju, Japanese kemari, Mesoamerican games and European folk football are evidence of repeated human invention, not stations on one proved railway to the modern sport.

England's specific claim is narrower and stronger. Clubs and schools in nineteenth-century Britain produced several written football codes, and the FA created the code that became association football. Even that was collective and unfinished. Cambridge rules influenced the settlement, Sheffield developed its own important system, Scottish clubs changed how the game was played, and later national and international bodies revised the laws.

Calling all of this “inventing football” turns a process into a patent. The story became persuasive because anniversaries, founders and surviving minute books offer a clean beginning that older custom cannot. It also makes the earlier games either fake ancestors or irrelevant curiosities. The accurate claim explains more: modern association football emerged through British codification and competition among codes, then became a different object as other societies organised and altered it. That distinction separates priority from ownership.

“The British exported it and the world copied”

British networks carried football widely. Ships, ports, railways, schools, churches, firms, soldiers and migrants matter. The error is treating movement as completion.

An expatriate match can remain socially enclosed. The game takes root when local players form clubs, organise fixtures, secure grounds, create newspapers and attach football to their own identities. South American clubs ceased to be imitations when they built competitions and styles that could

defeat British teams. Mohun Bagan's 1911 victory over a British regimental side mattered because Indians had mastered an imported institution and changed its symbolism.

Local adoption was never neutral. Elites could control associations while workers supplied players. Colonial authorities might encourage discipline and resist political organisation. Communities used clubs for nationalism, religion, class and sociability. The export story remained attractive because it gave Britain agency and everyone else reception. The code travelled through unequal power, then escaped the purpose of its carriers. "Copying" misses the labour that made the game durable and the conflict that made it local. It also cannot explain why so many former pupils surpassed the supposed teacher.

"It conquered because it is cheap"

Football can be reduced brilliantly. Two objects make a goal, a shared ball is enough, and a small game on hard ground preserves much of the sport's grammar. This lowers the entry barrier and helps explain informal play.

It does not explain organised football. A full match requires space, time, opponents, officials and some protection from traffic, owners or other users. A league needs administration, transport, communication and predictable access to grounds. A professional pathway needs coaching, medical support and money. Girls and poorer communities have often possessed enthusiasm and a ball while lacking these.

Cheapness also fails as a comparison. Many games use little equipment, while some societies with few resources have stronger traditions in other sports. The explanation became persuasive because a ball and improvised goal are visible; the volunteers, permissions and schedules behind repeated play are easy to miss. Football became dominant because low material requirements combined with a portable code, scalable team sizes, regular competitions and clubs that could bind people to place. The useful question is not whether the ball is affordable. It is who controls the time and ground on which the game can continue. The cheapest game can still be

inaccessible when space is privately owned or public leisure is scarce.

“A formation tells you how a team plays”

A formation is a filing label for starting positions. It is not a full tactical account. A 4-3-3 may become a back three in possession, a five-player front line near goal and a 4-5-1 without the ball. Another 4-3-3 may keep both full-backs deep, attack through early crosses and defend close to its own penalty area.

The revealing questions concern relationships. Who provides width? Who protects the space behind an attack? Which player leaves a line to press? Where does the team allow the opponent to receive? How quickly do distances change after possession is lost?

Formation diagrams remain persuasive because they are clean, static and easy to compare. They also let commentary explain a team before it has played. The match is none of those. Players rotate, chase, cover and improvise. The diagram can introduce personnel and give a rough defensive reference. Treating it as strategy hides the moving geometry that decides whether those personnel can help one another, and makes tactical change look like moving magnets rather than reallocating responsibility. Ask what each player must do in each phase, and the tidy label begins to earn its keep.

“Possession means control”

Possession is counted through events, usually passes or time on the ball. Control is a judgement about which team is creating the more favourable match. The two often overlap and can separate sharply.

A side may keep the ball safely across its back line while the opponent protects the centre and waits for a turnover. Another may have little possession because it attacks quickly into open space. Leading teams sometimes concede harmless circulation to reduce the chance of being drawn out. Trailing teams can accumulate the ball because opponents have chosen to retreat.

Possession remains useful. It can indicate territorial pressure, reduce the opponent's opportunities and reveal whether a team can sustain attacks. It cannot identify danger by itself. Shot quality and field position matter, as do set pieces and the players kept back to cover a lost ball. The score changes the risks worth taking. The statistic became persuasive because television could display one percentage as a summary of a half. Its correction matters because measurable activity is easily confused with command, and because a team's intention may be to concede the harmless part of the number. A percentage without location or game state can describe the plan of the side without the ball better than the side with it.

“Football has always been a men's game”

Men controlled most official institutions and wrote much of the surviving record. That is different from women being absent.

Women played organised football in the nineteenth century, formed factory teams during the First World War and attracted large crowds. In England, the FA acted against this established game in 1921, barring women's matches from professional and affiliated grounds. The paying crowds are evidence of demand; the restriction shows who controlled access, not why every official supported it. Women continued elsewhere, but without equal access to grounds, officials, leagues and archives.

Other countries followed different paths of prohibition, neglect and resistance. The myth became persuasive because official competitions began late, governing bodies dated history from their own recognition, and missing archives looked like missing activity. Later investment then appeared to prove that men naturally cared more, because the men's game had received decades of accumulated infrastructure. The modern women's game is not a recent invention attached to an old male sport. It is a long history repeatedly interrupted, followed by an uneven recovery of access. The correction changes what counts as growth: some of it is restoration.

“Money ruined a once-pure game”

Football was commercial before broadcasting made the sums extraordinary. Clubs charged at the gate, newspapers sold coverage, players received wages, and transfers moved labour between employers. The fight over professionalism in the nineteenth century was already a fight over who could afford serious sport.

The romantic myth survives because earlier money looks small and familiar. Local owners, modest wages and standing terraces are remembered as community; distant broadcasters, investment funds and state-linked ownership look external. The moral difference cannot be settled by the date on the cheque.

Scale and control did change. Television detached revenue from ground capacity. Global audiences rewarded famous clubs. Transfer and sponsorship markets concentrated bargaining power. Supporters became data, subscriptions and reliable demand. Nostalgia often selects the last commercial settlement each generation happened to accept and calls that purity. The correction is not that all commercial arrangements are equal. It is that “money versus purity” hides the better questions: who creates the value, who receives it, who bears failure, and who can make decisions about an institution held together by other people’s loyalty?

Use It

Watch space before the ball

The broadcast follows the ball because that is where the next visible event is likely to happen. Train your attention one pass ahead.

When a centre-back has possession, look at the opponent’s first line rather than the player’s feet. Which pass is being offered? Which one is being screened? Find the far winger and ask whether the defence has narrowed enough to leave a switch. When a striker drops towards midfield, watch the defender’s choice: follow and open space behind, or hold the line and allow

the receiver to turn.

This changes a match from a series of touches into a sequence of changing permissions. You begin to see why a harmless sideways pass may be preparing an attack, why a forward who never receives the ball can dominate a defender, and why a team can look tired before anyone slows down. Its distances have stopped helping.

The lens also improves replay. Do not begin with the final mistake. Move back to the first spacing decision that made the mistake expensive. The goal is usually the end of a chain whose decisive link occurred away from the camera's emotional centre.

Separate process from score

A football result is exact and noisy. The score tells you who won under the rules. It does not tell you how repeatable the path was.

Ask three separate questions. Which team created the better chances? Which team controlled the types of situation it wanted? Which parts depended on rare execution, officiating or deflection? Expected goals can help with the first question if its model and shot inputs are understood. It cannot settle the other two, and it cannot remove the value of finishing, goalkeeping or game management.

This lens prevents two common errors. The first is declaring a plan sound because it won once. The second is dismissing a good process because one match punished it. Over a league season, repeated chance quality and defensive control matter. In a cup tie, survival is the whole contract. Evaluation must respect both time horizons.

Do not use process as a polite way to deny the result. A team can play well and lose; it still lost. The mature judgement holds outcome and explanation together rather than forcing either to impersonate the other.

Ask which rule created the behaviour

When football behaviour looks strange, look for the rule or competition format paying for it.

A defensive line steps forward because offside turns position into a weapon. A goalkeeper joins build-up partly because the back-pass law removed a safe use of the hands and left an extra outfield passer. A team delays near the corner because time is continuous and a one-goal lead has full value. Knockout matches change risk because a draw may lead to extra time or penalties, while league points alter the price of settling.

This lens is useful beyond famous laws. Substitution limits affect pressing and fatigue. Squad-registration rules shape recruitment. Revenue distribution changes competitive ambition. Promotion and relegation make survival economically different from finishing one place higher in a closed league.

The distinction matters morally too. Keeping the ball near a corner is legal; delaying a restart can earn a caution. They may look like the same refusal to get on with it, but the rules treat them differently. Before condemning a team's behaviour, establish whether it is exploiting an allowed advantage or breaking a rule that officials should enforce.

Follow the carrier and the adapter

When a practice crosses borders, identify two agents rather than one. Who carried it, and who made it continue?

For football, the carrier might be a school, railway worker, merchant, sailor, missionary, migrant or military unit. That explains contact. The adapter might be a local club founder, teacher, newspaper, municipal official, player network or supporter group. That explains institutional survival. The two may cooperate, compete or barely notice one another.

This prevents diffusion from becoming a story in which the centre acts and the rest of the world receives. It also prevents the opposite romance, in which local culture appears untouched by unequal power. Mohun Bagan's

1911 victory mattered because the competition was colonial and the Indian club had made the imported code its own. Remove either half and the event loses its force.

Use the same test for tactics and technology. A coaching idea does not spread because a famous manager names it. It spreads when other teams translate it into different players, leagues and constraints. Adoption is a second act of invention.

Treat supporters as producers of value

Club accounts place supporters under revenue: tickets, subscriptions, merchandise and commercial reach. That is accurate and incomplete.

Supporters create atmosphere, narrative, rivalry, memory and the expectation that makes a fixture matter. They maintain attention during bad seasons, teach club identity to children, travel, volunteer, archive and argue. Broadcasters and sponsors pay for access to a public whose attachment was built across time. The crowd is therefore part customer, part labour and part inherited institution, even though its contribution is rarely booked as an asset created by the crowd.

This lens changes how you evaluate ownership decisions. A price rise is not merely a firm testing demand. A stadium move is not merely a property transaction. A change of badge, colours or competition can consume value that supporters produced and cannot easily recreate elsewhere.

Supporters do not deserve a veto over every decision, and no crowd is politically uniform. The claim is narrower: loyalty is an input to the product. Any model that counts the money it generates while treating its holders as replaceable customers has omitted part of the business.

Follow labour and money in opposite directions

A wealthy club's starting eleven may contain players developed across several countries, academies and families. Follow each career backwards. The map often runs from poorer institutions towards richer leagues.

Now follow money. Transfer fees, wages, agent payments, broadcasting income and sponsorship do not retrace the same route in equal proportions. Training compensation and solidarity mechanisms send some value down the chain, while successful selling clubs can build strong models around development. Yet much risk remains with players who are released, families who fund travel, community coaches and smaller clubs whose bargaining position is weak.

This lens separates a global labour market from a fair one. Mobility can increase opportunity and wages for successful players while draining local competitions or exposing young migrants to insecure contracts. Restrictions can protect clubs and also trap labour. No single rule solves every level.

Use the contrast whenever football celebrates a transfer figure. Ask which institutions developed the player, which received payment, which carried failed attempts, and whether the visible fee represents value creation or bargaining power. The largest number is often the end of the story, not its source.

The limits

Football is a powerful lens because its laws are clear, its institutions are visible and its outcomes matter to people. Those strengths invite overreach.

A team is not a country in miniature. National styles are stories built from coaching, selection, migration, class and memory, not fixed personalities shared by millions. One match cannot diagnose a society. A club rivalry may carry religious or political history without every supporter endorsing it. Tactical language can clarify collective action and become empty metaphor when transferred to business or war.

The data has limits too. Event records see passes and shots more readily than communication, fear, deception or space denied. Financial reports count according to institutional definitions. Archives favour organisations that had power to keep them. The absence of women or colonised organisers from a record may reveal exclusion from documentation rather than absence from the game.

Football can teach you to ask better questions. It cannot answer questions its evidence was never built to hold.

The one thing to keep

The next time a crowd rises before a shot, look for what has opened. Perhaps a midfielder has drawn an opponent away; perhaps the full-back is arriving where nobody has been watching. You are seeing players solve a shared problem in their own way. Carlos Alberto's run belongs to Brazil's football memory, but you do not have to be Brazilian to understand why it worked.

The same relationship holds beyond the pitch. Common rules let a club insist on its own colours, style and history while meeting an opponent whose attachments are different. That agreement does not erase difference. It makes difference playable. Football could leave Britain without remaining British because its new players inherited the terms of the contest, not an obligation to admire its authors.

The wealth built around that agreement makes questions of ownership more urgent, not the game less worth watching. A club's shareholders, players and supporters have different claims on something none of them made alone. Understanding those claims need not put you above the crowd. It gives you more to see when you stand up with it.

Terms

Association football. The code called football in most countries and soccer in some. "Association" distinguished it from rugby and other football codes formed from related nineteenth-century arguments.

Football code. A distinct rules system within the wider family of football games, such as association, rugby union, rugby league, American, Canadian, Australian and Gaelic football. Shared ancestry does not make the codes interchangeable.

Laws of the Game. Seventeen laws covering the field, ball, participants,

officials, time, scoring, offside, fouls and restarts. Interpretation and competition rules surround this common legal core.

IFAB. The International Football Association Board, guardian of the Laws of the Game. Four British associations hold one vote each and FIFA holds four; changes need six votes.

FIFA. The global federation of national football associations, founded in Paris in 1904. It runs World Cups, regulates international football and recognises associations, but does not control the laws alone.

Confederation. One of six continental organisations grouping FIFA members: AFC, CAF, Concacaf, CONMEBOL, OFC and UEFA. Confederations run regional competitions and qualification while holding unequal commercial and political power.

National association. The recognised governing body for football within a territory. It sanctions competitions, registers participants and represents members internationally, though its jurisdiction may not match a sovereign-state map perfectly.

Club. A continuing institution rather than one temporary team. A club may include several squads, employees, members, supporters, grounds, debts and traditions that survive any current group of players.

League. A competition in which results accumulate into a table across a season. Points reward outcomes over repeated matches, making consistency visible in a way a knockout cup cannot.

Cup. Usually a knockout competition in which defeat ends a team's route. Cups increase the value of one match and protect upset possibility because superiority has less time to reveal itself.

Promotion and relegation. Movement between competition levels according to sporting results. The system connects divisions and makes survival economically serious, while access to the pyramid and distribution of money remain separate political choices.

Professional. A player paid for football as work. In practice, wages, contracts, training demands and career risk distinguish a professional livelihood from occasional expenses, prize money or nominal status.

Transfer. The movement of a player's registration between clubs, normally tied to a new contract. A transfer fee compensates another club; it is not the player's wage and many moves involve no fee.

Academy. A club system developing young players through coaching, competition, education and selection. It offers a route towards professional football, not a guarantee of a contract or a secure career after years of selection.

Formation. A shorthand arrangement such as 4-3-3, describing nominal outfield lines. It identifies a starting reference, not the team's changing shape, roles or behaviour across phases.

Position. A player's broad responsibility, such as centre-back or winger. Modern positions are packages of tasks that can change with possession, opponent, score and the movements of team-mates.

Possession. Control of the ball by a team, measured by time or events. More possession can support control, but its value depends on location, pressure, game state and what the opponent permits.

Transition. The period after possession changes. Both teams are briefly arranged for the previous task, so space, decision speed and the first actions after the turnover become unusually important.

Press. Coordinated pressure intended to restrict or regain possession. Pressing depends on cover, distance and triggers; running towards the ball without closing options is exertion rather than a collective press.

Block. An organised defensive shape, often described as high, mid or low according to territory. The block protects chosen spaces and concedes others rather than attempting to challenge everywhere.

Line. A functional group such as defence, midfield or attack. Lines stretch,

compress and overlap; the distances between them often matter more than the number assigned to each.

Width. Use of the field across its width to stretch opponents or create passing angles. Width may be supplied by wingers, full-backs or rotating midfielders rather than fixed positions.

Depth. Distance ahead of and behind the ball. In attack it creates forward options; in defence it supplies cover. A team with no depth becomes flat and easier to press or penetrate.

Overload. A local advantage from placing more useful players, or a superior combination, in one area. The gain may expose another zone, so an overload always redistributes risk.

Set piece. A restart such as a corner, free kick or throw-in with prepared positions. Set pieces turn a fluid match into a brief designed situation and can carry large value.

Offside. A restriction linking attacking position to the ball and second-last opponent in the opponents' half. Position is judged at a team-mate's touch; involvement, not position alone, creates the offence.

Penalty area. The zone where a goalkeeper may handle the ball and certain defending offences produce a penalty kick. Its boundary changes the cost of contact and defensive risk.

Expected goals (xG). A model's estimate that a shot with specified characteristics will be scored. Models differ, and xG describes chance quality rather than merit, destiny or every attacking action.

VAR. Video assistant referee. Video officials review specified incidents, generally for clear and obvious errors or serious missed incidents. The system adds evidence while also adding delay and remote authority.

Supporters. People whose attention gives clubs audience, revenue, atmosphere and inherited meaning. They may be customers, members, volunteers or distant followers, and no one legal category captures their

contribution.

Go Deeper

Tony Collins, *How Football Began: A Global History of How the World's Football Codes Were Born*

Start here for the origin problem. Collins replaces the neat story of one game splitting into soccer and rugby with a field of school, club and regional codes whose boundaries remained uncertain for decades. He is especially strong on Sheffield, industrial towns, professionalism and why association football outgrew rivals. Published by Routledge in 2018, it is concise, argumentative and willing to dismantle beloved founding myths. The focus is nineteenth-century Britain and the related football codes rather than the later world game, which is why it should be read before Goldblatt rather than instead of him. Keep its attack on tidy categories beside any claim that one meeting created a finished sport.

David Goldblatt, *The Ball Is Round: A Global History of Football*

Read this for scale. Goldblatt follows football across continents, political systems, clubs, World Cups, media markets and supporter cultures, keeping the game connected to the societies that remade it. The Penguin edition appeared in 2007 after Viking's 2006 hardback. At close to a thousand pages, it is the opposite of a quick handbook and is best entered by region or period rather than treated as a weekend task. Its strength is accumulation: football stops looking like a British export with foreign chapters and becomes a world history with shifting centres. Its age means the final commercial chapters need updating, but the long historical structure remains useful.

Brenda Elsey and Joshua Nadel, Futbolera: A History of Women and Sports in Latin America

Read this to correct the archive. Elsey and Nadel reconstruct women's football and wider sport across Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Mexico, Central America and other settings, showing how public space, physical education, nationalism, race and gender shaped access. Published by the University of Texas Press in 2019, it makes participation, rather than official recognition, the starting point. It is scholarly but inviting, and its regional focus is an advantage. The book demonstrates why adding a short women's chapter to a male institutional history cannot recover lives that those institutions failed to preserve. It also prevents England's 1921 restriction from becoming a false universal timeline.

The International Football Association Board, Laws of the Game 2026/27

Read the source the whole system agrees to use. The lawbook is free online and short enough to consult directly. Begin with Laws 5, 11 and 12 on the referee, offside, fouls and misconduct, then read the VAR protocol. The text will not teach tactics or tell you what every contact deserves; it reveals where judgement enters and how much familiar commentary invents rules that are not there. Use the 2026/27 edition because laws and protocols change. A historical book explains how football travelled. This document shows the current agreement that still lets it happen. Recheck the season before relying on a clause in practice.

Notes and Sources

Scope and terminology

This book uses football to mean association football unless another code is named. The distinction matters because rugby union, rugby league, American, Canadian, Australian and Gaelic football emerged from related but separate processes. Tony Collins supplies the main history of the nineteenth-century code field and supports rejecting a neat story in which one finished game divided cleanly into soccer and rugby.

Ancient and early modern ball games establish repeated human invention, not a documented line of descent. Chinese cuju, Japanese kemari, Mesoamerican ball games and European folk football differed in rules, institutions and social purpose. No direct institutional relay from them to the Football Association code is claimed. Resemblance is not treated as proof of transmission.

The shared code

The Football Association dates its formation to 26 October 1863. Its account records six meetings over forty-four days, the approval of the first laws on 8 December and Blackheath's withdrawal after hacking was removed. Collins is used to place those minutes inside the larger field of Cambridge, Sheffield, school and club rules, and to prevent the FA's institutional history from becoming a claim of instant national authority.

The International Football Association Board was formed by the four British associations in 1886. FIFA joined in 1913. The current governance description comes from IFAB: England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland hold one vote each, FIFA holds four, and a three-quarters majority is required. The statement that FIFA cannot alter the laws alone follows directly from that voting structure.

The Smith Art Gallery and Museum describes a surviving early football made from a pig's bladder inside leather. The Scottish Football Museum's account of an 1898 ball explains the replacement of pig bladders by rubber.

These object records support the distinction between an inflated bladder and a stuffed ball; neither establishes one uniform date at which every club adopted a material.

The law references use IFAB's *Laws of the Game 2026/27*. The lawbook contains seventeen laws. Offside position and offside offence are kept separate because the current text makes position alone non-punishable. The handling, foul and penalty-area descriptions are explanatory compressions, not substitutes for the full lawbook or referee guidance.

Restrictions, uncertainty and judgement

The account of football as a constrained game is an editorial synthesis built from the laws and observable match structure. It does not claim that one restriction caused global popularity on its own. Restricting the hand, maintaining an offside law, allowing long stretches of continuous play and scoring in single goals together create insecure possession, moving space and outcomes in which one event can carry unusual weight.

Football is described as low-scoring relative to many major team sports, not as a game with one timeless average. Scoring rates vary by competition, sex, age, era and law environment. The argument needs only the narrower point that goals are scarce enough for a single event to dominate one match and for short-run outcomes to contain substantial variance.

Goal-line technology is treated as answering a narrow factual question. VAR is described according to the IFAB protocol as a review system for specified match-changing incidents generally under a clear-and-obvious-error or serious-missed-incident threshold. The manuscript does not claim that video removes interpretation, or that every competition has equal camera access, staffing or implementation.

Shape, tactics and measurement

Jonathan Wilson supplies the principal tactical chronology. UEFA's "IFAB Lay Down the Law" corroborates the 1925 change. The offside change reduced the relevant opponent requirement from three to two and altered the risk of defensive positioning. The manuscript says that scoring rose and structures adjusted, but avoids one universal before-and-after figure because totals depend on competition and period. Herbert Chapman is associated with the WM without being presented as its sole inventor.

The Hungary, Brazil, catenaccio and Total Football passages are used as anchors in a history of exchange, not as national essences. Tactical labels gather varied teams under convenient names. Claims about style are therefore framed as tendencies, influences and later interpretations rather than fixed properties of whole countries.

The imagined pressing trap is a tactical illustration, not a report of a named match. Brazil's fourth goal in the 1970 final is documented in FIFA's "Captain's Clincher Earns Brazil Immortality" and UEFA's "1970: Brazil Raise the Roof". Both identify Carlos Alberto's run from the right and Pelé's pass. The explanation of attention, timing and shape is an interpretation of that move, not a claim to know the players' private thoughts.

The 1992 restriction on goalkeepers handling a ball deliberately kicked by a team-mate is used as a clear example of a law changing incentives. Henry Winter's contemporary report of 21 July 1992 describes the new rule and its anti-time-wasting purpose. The longer development of ball-playing goalkeepers also depended on coaching, pressing, surfaces, equipment and player selection. The law changed the problem; it did not produce every later solution by itself.

Expected goals is defined as a modelled scoring probability for a shot with specified characteristics. Gabriel Anzer and Pascal Bauer provide one documented example using synchronised positional and event data from 105,627 shots from the Bundesliga and 2. Bundesliga across the 2013/14 to 2019/20 seasons. That study demonstrates how an xG model can be

constructed and validated within one dataset. The manuscript does not generalise its inputs or accuracy to every provider, league or historical period. No xG figure is treated as a verdict on merit.

Clubs, competition and paid labour

Collins and David Goldblatt support the account of clubs, cups, leagues and professionalism. The FA legalised professionalism in 1885, and the Football League began in 1888 with twelve clubs. These dates mark institutional settlements rather than the first appearance of payment or scheduled competition. Players had been compensated through several open and concealed arrangements before formal permission.

The industrial-town account links dense populations, railway travel, newspapers, enclosed grounds and Saturday leisure without claiming that one national labour pattern explains every football culture. Gate receipts created an early commercial circuit. The argument that professionalism widened access to serious play for working men is paired with the continuing control exercised by owners and governing elites.

Promotion and relegation is treated as one competition design, not as a necessary property of football. Its consequences depend on the number of divisions, entry rules, financial distribution and the cost of dropping between levels.

Movement and local appropriation

The global account distinguishes carriers from adapters. British merchants, sailors, railway workers, teachers, missionaries, soldiers, firms and migrants supplied important routes. Paul Dietschy, Peter Alegi, Goldblatt and regional histories support the stronger conclusion that durable football cultures were made by local organisers who secured grounds, founded clubs, built competitions and attached the code to existing social conflicts.

Alegi is the main source for African appropriation and for resisting a one-way civilising narrative. His cases show football being used within colonial cities, racial politics, independence movements and postcolonial

public culture. They do not establish one African path.

Ronojoy Sen supplies the historical context for the Mohun Bagan example. Monideepa Banerjee's NDTV report of 29 July 2010 corroborates the result and footwear: on 29 July 1911 Mohun Bagan defeated the East Yorkshire Regiment 2-1 in the IFA Shield final, with ten of its eleven players barefoot. The manuscript uses the match as a documented reversal of colonial sporting symbolism. It does not present the result as a cause of Indian independence or as representative of every Indian response to British sport.

Kausik Bandyopadhyay and Boria Majumdar's *A Social History of Indian Football* complements Sen on local appropriation and regional variation. Later commemorations of the 1911 victory preserve its patriotic meaning, but do not measure what every Indian thought.

South American discussion is intentionally broad and rests chiefly on Goldblatt and the regional literature used by him. It establishes movement from expatriate institutions into local clubs and mass urban culture. It does not assign the invention of a stable national style to one founder or date.

Ghana's Africa Cup of Nations victories in 1963 and 1965 are recorded by CAF. The interpretation of transmission, local clubs and post-independence sponsorship follows Alegi rather than treating tournament records as proof of a political motive. FIFA's account "Uruguay Conquer in Battle of the Balls" records Uruguay's Olympic victories in 1924 and 1928 and its 1930 World Cup triumph. Uruguay's sequence illustrates international prestige built on an existing football culture, not a universal timetable for nation-building.

Belonging, supporters and exclusion

Richard Giulianotti provides the main sociological foundation for treating football as a system of supporter cultures, identities, stadia, labour and media rather than as matches alone. Anthony King's study of English football in the 1990s helps with the transition from terrace culture to a reworked commercial and broadcast order. These are strongest for Britain and Europe, so the manuscript does not project every supporter type or ownership conflict onto the whole world.

Claims about class, religion, ethnicity and nationalism are mechanisms with local variation. A club can carry an inherited identity without every player or supporter sharing it. A national side can create a powerful first-person plural without revealing a fixed national character. The text therefore rejects biological or timeless accounts of playing style and political loyalty.

The discussion of crowd harm does not attribute one cause to hooliganism, unsafe grounds or stadium disasters. Violence, policing, infrastructure, ticketing and state control vary by place and period. The retained point is institutional: people who create atmosphere and revenue are also entitled to safe design and competent management.

Women's football

Jean Williams and Brenda Elsey with Joshua Nadel provide the principal scholarly correction to male-centred institutional history. Williams supports the British chronology; Elsey and Nadel show why England's experience cannot stand for Latin America or for the world.

The FA's heritage account states that England had about 150 women's clubs by 1921 and that some matches drew crowds of up to 45,000. On 5 December 1921 the FA barred women's matches from professional grounds and pitches controlled by affiliated clubs. The wording in the manuscript is deliberately narrower than the common claim that women were legally forbidden to play football. Women continued in public parks, independent grounds and organisations, but access to leading facilities and official networks was sharply reduced.

The FA Council voted on 19 January 1970 to rescind the 1921 resolution. A rule amendment adopted on 24 June 1971 allowed women's football on FA-affiliated grounds and permitted registered referees to officiate. FIFA's first Women's World Cup was held in China in 1991 with twelve teams. These institutional dates do not mark the beginning of women's football; they mark changes in recognition and access.

Japan's 2011 final is documented by FIFA's tenth-anniversary account and the Japanese Embassy in the United Kingdom's report of 18 July 2011. Both

record Sawa's extra-time equaliser, the penalty-shoot-out victory and the banner thanking international supporters after the March disaster. The banner supports the narrower claim that the players publicly represented more than a sporting result; no measured national recovery or universal emotional response is inferred.

FIFA, tournaments and the political map

FIFA's official history dates its foundation in Paris to 21 May 1904 and lists seven founding members. It records approval of a FIFA World Cup in 1928, the first men's tournament in Uruguay in 1930 with thirteen teams, and the first women's tournament in China in 1991 with twelve. FIFA's self-history is used for its own dates and membership, not as a neutral account of power.

Broadcasting, mobility and finance

Goldblatt, Giulianotti, King and Stefan Szymanski support the account of football becoming a broadcast and financial system. The manuscript does not locate a pure pre-commercial age. Admission charges, wages, transfer controls, newspapers and patronage appeared long before satellite television. The change attributed to broadcasting is the release of audience and revenue from ground capacity, followed by stronger feedback between fame, rights income, sponsorship and squad quality.

The Bosman description follows the judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Communities in Case C-415/93, delivered on 15 December 1995. It is limited to post-contract transfer fees and nationality rules within the relevant European free-movement framework. It does not imply that Bosman abolished transfer fees, applied identically outside European law, or settled every registration rule.

FIFA's *Global Transfer Report 2025* records US\$13.08 billion spent on international transfer fees in men's professional football. It reports 2,440 international transfers of women professionals and US\$28.6 million in fees. These are FIFA transfer-system measures, not the value of all domestic deals, wages, agent payments or informal movement.

UEFA's finance release of 26 February 2026 gives €28.6 billion as 2024 revenue and more than €30 billion as its expectation for 2025. The relevant reporting frame is European top-division clubs, not all clubs at every level, national associations or world football. An observation year is not a publication year; the later estimate is not treated as an audited final total. Revenue is also distinct from profit. The FIFA and UEFA figures are not added together or used as though they measure the same activity.

How we know and source limits

Football's formal institutions preserved laws, minutes, accounts, registrations, newspapers and results. Informal play, oral culture, discrimination and unpaid work are less evenly documented. English-language scholarship and British and European archives remain overrepresented in this manuscript, even though regional studies were used to resist a centre-out narrative.

Institutional sources are strongest for what an organisation decided or counted and weaker for judging its own legitimacy. Governing-body histories are therefore paired with scholarship where interpretation matters. Current reports are kept to their stated geography, period and denominator.

No dialogue, private thought or composite character is presented as fact. Named matches and institutional decisions are documented. The pressing trap is explicitly imagined. Generic street-game, club and supporter descriptions illustrate recurring possibilities, rather than report an unnamed individual's life. Tactical and institutional interpretations are not offered as statistical estimates of prevalence.

Go Deeper verification

Collins's *How Football Began* was released in 2018; Routledge's catalogue gives a 2019 copyright year. Goldblatt's *The Ball Is Round* appeared in Viking hardback in 2006 and Penguin paperback in 2007. Elsey and Nadel's *Futbolera* was published by the University of Texas Press in 2019. The lawbook used here is IFAB's 2026/27 edition. Edition and accessible source records were rechecked on 5 September 2026.

Bibliography

Primary, legal and institutional sources

Confederation of African Football. “Four Black Stars in the Sky.” 1 January 2022.

Embassy of Japan in the United Kingdom. “Japan Win FIFA Women’s World Cup.” 18 July 2011.

Court of Justice of the European Communities. *Union royale belge des sociétés de football association ASBL and Others v Jean-Marc Bosman and Others*. Case C-415/93. Judgment of 15 December 1995.

Fédération Internationale de Football Association. “FIFA Celebrates 120th Anniversary of Foundation in Paris.” 21 May 2024.

Fédération Internationale de Football Association. “Captain’s Clincher Earns Brazil Immortality.” 30 June 2016.

Fédération Internationale de Football Association. “Japan’s Emotional 2011 World Cup Success Remembered.” 17 July 2021.

Fédération Internationale de Football Association. “Uruguay Conquer in Battle of the Balls.” 30 July 2020.

Fédération Internationale de Football Association. *Global Transfer Report 2025*. Zurich: FIFA, 2026.

Fédération Internationale de Football Association. “International Transfers Reach Historic High in 2025.” 28 January 2026.

Scottish Football Museum. “Qualifying Cup Final Ball.” Accessed 5 September 2026.

The Smith Art Gallery and Museum. “The World’s Oldest Football.” Accessed 5 September 2026.

The Football Association. “Kicking Down Barriers: The Story of Women’s Football in England.” Accessed 5 September 2026.

The Football Association. "The History of The FA." Accessed 5 September 2026.

The International Football Association Board. "Background." Accessed 5 September 2026.

The International Football Association Board. *Laws of the Game 2026/27*. Zurich: IFAB, 2026.

The International Football Association Board. "Organisation." Accessed 5 September 2026.

The International Football Association Board. "Video Assistant Referee Protocol." Accessed 5 September 2026.

Union of European Football Associations. "1970: Brazil Raise the Roof." 29 April 2004.

Union of European Football Associations. "IFAB Lay Down the Law." 12 January 2005.

Union of European Football Associations. *The European Club Finance and Investment Landscape*. Nyon: UEFA, 2026.

Union of European Football Associations. "New Report Highlights Record Revenues and Increasing Investment into European Football." 26 February 2026.

Scholarship and modern works

Banerjee, Monideepa. "99 Years Ago, Indian Football's Moment of Glory." NDTV, 29 July 2010.

Winter, Henry. "Football: Fifa to Amend Amendment." *The Independent*, 21 July 1992.

Alegi, Peter. *African Soccerescapes: How a Continent Changed the World's Game*. Athens, OH: Ohio University Press, 2010.

Anzer, Gabriel, and Pascal Bauer. "A Goal Scoring Probability Model for

Shots Based on Synchronized Positional and Event Data in Football (Soccer)." *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living* 3 (2021): 624475.

Bandyopadhyay, Kausik, and Boria Majumdar. *A Social History of Indian Football: Striving to Score*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2006.

Collins, Tony. *How Football Began: A Global History of How the World's Football Codes Were Born*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2018.

Dietschy, Paul. "Making Football Global? FIFA, Europe, and the Non-European Football World, 1912-74." *Journal of Global History* 8, no. 2 (2013): 279-298.

Else, Brenda, and Joshua Nadel. *Futbolera: A History of Women and Sports in Latin America*. Austin: University of Texas Press, 2019.

Giulianotti, Richard. *Football: A Sociology of the Global Game*. Cambridge: Polity, 1999.

Goldblatt, David. *The Ball Is Round: A Global History of Football*. London: Viking, 2006.

King, Anthony. *The End of the Terraces: The Transformation of English Football in the 1990s*. London: Leicester University Press, 1998.

Sen, Ronojoy. *Nation at Play: A History of Sport in India*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2015.

Szymanski, Stefan. *Money and Football: A Soccernomics Guide*. New York: Nation Books, 2015.

Williams, Jean. *A Game for Rough Girls?: A History of Women's Football in Britain*. London: Routledge, 2003.

Wilson, Jonathan. *Inverting the Pyramid: The History of Football Tactics*. Revised ed. London: Orion, 2013.