

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Fire

in a Hurry

The force that made us human

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 20

What People Get Wrong 30

Use It 34

Terms 39

Go Deeper 42

Notes and Sources 44

Bibliography 52

The Whole Thing in One Page

The familiar picture is an ancestor striking two stones, seeing a spark and becoming human before the smoke clears. It is memorable and wrong. Wildfire burned hundreds of millions of years before hominins. The human achievement was not discovery in a moment. It was the gradual conversion of a naturally occurring event into a dependable practice: approaching fire, moving it, keeping it alive and eventually producing it after the last ember died.

Fire is not a substance. It is a self-sustaining reaction. Fuel and an oxidiser react, release heat, and use part of that heat to prepare more fuel. Wood first dries and breaks down into gases; much of the visible flame is those gases burning above the surface. Heat then moves ahead by conduction, convection and radiation. Fire spreads by preparing what will burn next. Remove enough fuel, oxidiser, heat or sustaining chemistry and the feedback collapses.

Control therefore means more than putting a wall around flame. A hearth, kiln, furnace or engine works only because place, fuel, airflow, heat, products and human attention are arranged together. The archaeological record reveals those abilities in stages. Wonderwerk Cave supports deliberate fire use deep inside a cave by around one million years ago, perhaps earlier within a wide date range. Repeated burning and heated fish remains at Geshert Benot Ya'akov show organised use and cooking around 780,000 years ago. Barnham provides the earliest published case for making fire around 400,000 years ago, through an association of heated ground, fire-cracked tools and transported pyrite. None identifies a first inventor.

Once reliable, fire altered several constraints at once. Cooking could soften food, increase the usable energy from some ingredients, reduce chewing and kill many pathogens. Warmth, drying and light widened where and when people could act. A hearth created an evening centre for work, food and conversation, but also a fuel requirement and duties that someone had to

perform. Fire may have contributed to anatomical and social evolution. The dated evidence does not prove that cooking alone produced a larger brain, a family form or language.

People then designed fire regimes and thermal processes. They burned particular landscapes, fired clay, made charcoal, heated lime and reduced ores to metal. The result depended on fuel, weather, timing, atmosphere and local knowledge. Fire could renew one fire-adapted habitat and destroy another. A kiln could make ceramic or ruin it. Control remains conditional.

Industrial civilisation enlarged the arrangement until flame disappeared inside boilers, cylinders, turbines, power stations and supply chains. Fossil fuels loosened energy from the annual growth of nearby wood and made combustion mobile. Electricity can now remove flame at the appliance and, with non-combustion generation, from more of the chain. It has not done so completely. Smoke still damages millions of lives, escaped fires still consume settlements and ecosystems, and carbon dioxide from burning carbon-based fuels crosses the useful boundary into the atmosphere.

Fire did not make humanity by itself. It helped make a distinctively human way of living by moving work outside the body and making survival depend on learned control, cooperation and maintained systems. The same force became landscape practice, craft, industry and planetary pressure. Its usefulness lasts only while the reaction, its labour and its consequences remain governable.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

You can pass an entire day without seeing a flame and still live inside fire.

The steel in the building was made with intense heat. Cement was produced in a kiln. Ships and lorries burned fuel to move the objects around you. A turbine may have helped generate the electricity that charged your phone. Even where the final service is electric, combustion can remain upstream in mining, refining, manufacture or freight. Modern life has hidden flame behind

walls, engines and supply chains, then mistaken invisibility for escape.

That concealment matters because fire links deep time, living systems, the human body and industrial civilisation through one mechanism. Fossil charcoal records wildfire before forests. A candle, a peat fire and a jet engine look unrelated, yet each depends on heat making further reaction possible. A forest front and a room fire both advance by preparing fuel, though weather, geometry and consequences differ. Once you see the feedback rather than the orange shape, kitchens, caves, furnaces, cities and climate become parts of one history.

It also corrects a flattering story about ourselves. Other animals use tools. Humans became the species that made controlled combustion a routine part of life. A stone edge extends the hand. Fire can perform work no muscle can: soften food before the mouth, alter wood and stone, convert clay into ceramic, release metal from ore and turn water into expanding steam. It resembles an external metabolism, but one that must be supplied and rebuilt outside the body.

That last condition changes the human story. A useful fire is never only chemistry. It needs fuel, knowledge, timing and care. A group unable to make fire could lose cooking, warmth and light when its last ember died. A household stove may look automatic because another person extracted the fuel, maintained the flue or accepted the smoke. Fire increased human capability by creating dependence on shared work and infrastructure. The keeper belongs in the history beside the spark.

The subtitle still needs restraint. Fire did not act alone. Upright walking, dexterous hands, social learning, tools, diet, cooperation and changing climates all mattered to human evolution. The strong claim is cumulative: controlled heat altered food quality, cold, darkness, predation risk, material processing and the geography of possible occupation together. The weak claim is that one first barbecue caused a larger brain. The archaeology cannot establish that date or causal simplicity.

There is also a present divide inside the long history. For some people fire is

recreational, ceremonial or enclosed in regulated appliances. For billions, household combustion remains an intimate source of food, heat and pollution. The World Health Organization attributes an estimated 2.9 million premature deaths in 2021 to household air pollution from polluting fuels and technologies. One of humanity's oldest useful technologies still imposes its heaviest daily burden where access to cleaner systems is limited.

Wildfire requires the same refusal of slogans. Fire is neither pure destruction nor automatic renewal. Some ecosystems developed under frequent burning; others are severely damaged by it. Indigenous fire stewardship can join burning to food, access, habitat, ceremony and safety, but its knowledge is place-specific and inseparable from authority and land relationships. Excluding fire can build dangerous fuel in some systems. Applying it in the wrong habitat, season or weather can destroy what it was meant to protect.

Learn the mechanism and you gain a lens for buildings, landscapes, engines and energy systems. Learn the history and the caveman spark dissolves into a harder achievement: generations learning to maintain a process that consumes its own conditions and can always escape. Fire made more of the world edible, habitable and workable. It also makes one permanent demand. Power is not possession; it is a relationship that has to be maintained.

The Core Ideas

Fire Is a Feedback, Not a Thing

A flame looks like an object. It has an edge, a colour and a shape that bends in moving air. Try to pick it up and the illusion ends. There is no piece of flame to hold. There is fuel becoming gas, gas reacting with an oxidiser, hot products rising, light being emitted, and fresh reactants replacing what has been consumed. The shape persists while the material inside it changes from moment to moment.

The ordinary word fire covers several processes. A candle flame, glowing

charcoal, a grass front, a peat fire and a metal fire do not behave identically. What joins them is rapid oxidation that releases heat quickly enough to sustain further reaction. Oxidation does not always mean oxygen from the air, and it does not always produce flame. Iron rusts through oxidation without becoming a fire because the energy is released too slowly and lost to the surroundings. Fire begins when reaction outruns loss.

That condition explains why Earth could not burn from the start. A planet needs fuel, an oxidising atmosphere and ignition. Land plants eventually supplied abundant combustible material. Photosynthesis helped maintain atmospheric oxygen. Lightning supplied a recurrent spark. Fossil charcoal now pushes the oldest known wildfire evidence back to roughly 430 million years ago. Fire is younger than life, older than forests, and vastly older than humanity. It entered evolution as an environmental force long before humans treated it as equipment.

The fire triangle gives the elementary model: fuel, oxygen and heat. Take away one side and the fire stops. Water often works because it absorbs heat. A lid on a pan restricts oxygen. A firebreak separates flame from fresh fuel. The fire tetrahedron adds the continuing chemical chain reactions that sustain flaming combustion. Some extinguishing agents interrupt those reactions rather than cooling or starving the fire.

Useful as the diagram is, it hides the decisive word: enough. Fuel can be present but too wet, dispersed or cold. Oxygen can be present but unable to reach the reaction zone. A spark can be hot yet too brief to create a self-sustaining region. Ignition is a contest between heat generation and heat loss. This is why a match lights dry paper more readily than a thick log. The paper needs less energy to heat a small mass and presents more surface to oxygen. The log may contain far more chemical energy while being harder to start.

Human mastery began with arranging the contest. Dry tinder catches a brief input. Kindling enlarges the reaction without absorbing too much heat. Larger fuel then receives a sustained heat flux. A hearth shelters the flame from wind while allowing air in. What looks like a pile of sticks is a designed

sequence of thermal thresholds.

This gives the book its governing physical model. Fire persists only while an arrangement keeps heat generation ahead of loss. Human control therefore has several parts: place the reaction, feed it, direct its heat, remove its products and limit its spread. A ring of cleared earth, an oven wall, a furnace lining and an engine casing are boundaries, but none works alone. Fuel choice, airflow, attention and maintenance complete the control system. The container is only the visible part.

Heat Prepares the Next Fuel

Watch a log closely and the common description becomes strange. The solid wood appears to be burning, yet the visible flame sits above it. That is because most flaming combustion of wood occurs in gases. Heat drives water out, then breaks large molecules in the wood into smaller volatile compounds. This thermal decomposition is pyrolysis. The vapours mix with oxygen and burn. The glowing char left behind oxidises more slowly at the surface and may continue without a flame.

The distinction matters because flame is a messenger as much as an event. It sends heat back to the fuel, sustaining further pyrolysis, and outward to material that has not yet joined the reaction. Fire advances by preparing its future.

Heat moves in three familiar ways. Conduction passes energy through matter by molecular interaction. A metal poker becomes hot along its length even when only one end sits in the coals. Convection carries energy with moving fluid. Hot combustion gases rise, draw in surrounding air and form a plume. Radiation travels as electromagnetic energy and needs no contact or moving gas. Stand near a fire and feel warmth on the side facing it: that is largely radiation reaching your skin.

In real fires the three work together. A flame heats a wall by radiation and hot gas. Heat conducts into the surface. The material dries and decomposes. Vapour accumulates near it. Once the mixture reaches the necessary conditions, it ignites. The visible flame may never have touched

the original surface. This is why distance, shielding, ventilation, surface area and orientation matter as much as a neat list of flammable objects.

A room makes the feedback more severe. The plume strikes the ceiling and spreads, creating a hot upper layer. Surfaces below absorb increasing radiation. More objects begin to release fuel vapours. If heat release and ventilation align, widespread ignition can occur in a rapid transition called flashover. The room has changed from containing one burning object to participating in the fire. By then, the orange flame that first attracted attention is a poor guide to the danger.

Smoke is part of the same chemistry. For ordinary carbon-based fuels, complete combustion ideally yields mainly carbon dioxide and water. Real fires mix imperfectly and cool unevenly. They produce soot, carbon monoxide, irritant gases and a changing mixture determined by fuel and conditions. Smoke can travel beyond the hottest zone and can disable or kill before flame arrives. The cleaner-looking flame is not automatically the safer one, and the absence of visible smoke does not establish complete combustion.

Smouldering deserves equal attention. It is slower, flameless combustion at the surface of a solid porous fuel. Peat, upholstery and forest duff can smoulder for long periods, move through hidden spaces and later transition to flame. Its lower temperature does not make its emissions benign. It is a reminder that fire is defined by reaction and heat feedback, not by spectacle.

Once this model is clear, familiar puzzles resolve. Gentle blowing can feed embers; hard blowing can strip heat from a small flame. Split wood heats through more readily because it has more surface relative to mass. Charcoal behaves differently because many volatiles have already been removed. Glowing particles can ignite receptive fuel far ahead. Spread is the preparation of the next reaction zone.

The human story depends on this physics. Early fire users did not need chemical language, but they had to acquire practical knowledge of moisture,

size, airflow, shelter, fuel sequence and time. Keeping a fire alive meant predicting a process that was always consuming its own conditions. Long before formal science, tending a hearth was an education in feedback.

Control Came in Steps

No first person discovered fire. Hominins in fire-prone landscapes saw lightning burns, smoking trees and blackened ground. The hard questions come later. Did they collect heat-altered food at a burned edge? Carry a branch? Keep embers overnight? Cook deliberately? Produce ignition after the last ember died?

Those are separate abilities, and the traces do not line up neatly. Reddened sediment can result from human activity, wildfire or later chemical change. Burnt bone can move after heating. Ash is fragile. Open sites are exposed to natural fires; caves reduce that explanation but create problems of disturbance and dating. Burning is not automatically control. Repeated use is not automatically fire making.

Wonderwerk Cave in South Africa matters because thermal traces occur far inside the cave with stone artefacts and animal remains. Microscopic work identified ash and heated bone in a layer around one million years old. Research published in 2026 found further high-temperature bone alteration in that layer and in an older deposit whose broad date range reaches back towards 1.8 million years. The combined evidence supports repeated deliberate introduction or use of fire inside the cave. It does not reveal how ignition began, whether cooking was routine or whether a formal hearth existed.

Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, beside an ancient lake in what is now Israel, gives a different case around 780,000 years ago. Burnt flint, wood and seeds recur in spatial clusters across occupation horizons. Fish pharyngeal teeth associated with those clusters show heat-related crystal changes consistent with temperatures below about 500 degrees Celsius, while most other fish bones are absent. The researchers interpret the pattern as cooking. The authors treat it as the oldest published archaeological case of hominin

cooking, not a preserved meal with its purpose labelled.

Barnham in Suffolk now supplies the earliest published case for making fire. On a buried land surface around 400,000 years old, researchers found repeatedly heated sediment, fire-cracked handaxes and two pieces of iron pyrite, which is scarce in the local geology and can produce sparks when struck with flint. Their association supports deliberate transport for ignition. The pieces do not form a complete kit, the association does not preserve the act itself, and no human remains identify the makers. Later French bifaces, around 50,000 years old, carry mineral traces and wear consistent with percussion against pyrite, preserving the striking action more directly.

The likely sequence is practical before mechanical. A group can learn fuel, transport and maintenance while depending on lightning or another natural ignition. Fire may be shared, carried as embers or kept alive through organised attention. Reliable production changes the risk: losing the last ember no longer means waiting for weather or another group.

That distinction changes fire's social meaning. A captured flame is a perishable common asset. It demands continuity, memory and duty. A produced flame is a reproducible technique, but still needs suitable material and learned motion. The keeper of embers protects a shared service. The maker of sparks carries a portable option.

The single-discovery story persists because it compresses a behavioural ladder into one image. The better history is encounter, approach, transport, maintenance, repeated use, specialised use and production. The rungs overlap, the dates remain uneven and preservation is biased. What matters is not a birthday for fire, but the gradual conversion of a naturally occurring event into dependable practice.

Cooking Moved Work Outside the Body

Raw food is not free energy. An animal must bite, break down and digest it; some foods also impose microbial or chemical hazards. All of that takes time and energy. Heat can perform part of the work before eating begins.

Cooking changes foods in different ways. It gelatinises many starches, unfolds proteins, softens connective tissue, ruptures plant cells and reduces the force needed to chew. It can make nutrients more accessible and raise the net energy obtained from some foods. It also destroys some nutrients, creates harmful compounds under some conditions and offers no single benefit across every ingredient. The useful claim is not that cooked food is always better. It is that thermal processing changes the energy, time, safety and texture constraints of a diet.

Experiments support the energetic mechanism, though their scope needs discipline. In one study, mice maintained on cooked meat or tuber gained more body mass than groups eating equivalent raw food; mechanical processing also mattered. Food preparation can therefore alter usable energy beyond gross calorie measurement. Mice are not Pleistocene humans, and a controlled diet cannot date an evolutionary transition.

Richard Wrangham built the strongest version of the cooking hypothesis. He argued that habitual cooking began with early *Homo*, perhaps around the emergence of *Homo erectus*, and helped support larger bodies and brains, smaller teeth and shorter feeding time. The theory has explanatory force because brains are expensive tissue and processing can increase the rate and amount of energy obtained from some foods. Cooking does not create chemical energy. It changes how much of a food's energy can be absorbed and how much chewing and digestion are required.

The evidential gap is timing. Anatomical changes associated with early *Homo* begin far earlier than the common archaeological record of hearths. Wonderwerk and Gesher push fire use back, and the fish evidence at Gesher supports cooking by about 780,000 years ago. That remains much later than the appearance of *Homo erectus* around 1.9 million years ago. Earlier cooking could have left little trace, but absence of trace cannot be converted into a date. The hypothesis is credible and disputed, not a solved origin story.

Cooking also changes behaviour without changing anatomy. Softer food reduces chewing time. Heat can make tough or toxic plant resources

usable, widen seasonal options and turn scavenged or stored material into safer food. A fire concentrates activity in place and time. Fuel must be collected. Food can be pooled, delayed, guarded and distributed. Those features create possibilities for cooperation and exploitation. They do not dictate one family system, one gender division or one path to civilisation.

The phrase external digestion captures the mechanism. Teeth, stomach acid, enzymes and microbes process food inside the body. Grinding, pounding, fermenting and heating perform some transformations outside it. Fire therefore adds an energy source to the human digestive strategy. It can reduce one bodily cost while creating external costs in fuel, labour, smoke, burns and dependence.

Dependence is the part celebratory accounts miss. Once bodies, diets and schedules adapt to processed food, losing the processing system can become dangerous. The same applies at larger scales. A settlement dependent on boiled grain, baked bread or stored food has gained reliability and created a fuel requirement. Forests around towns can become energy hinterlands. Charcoal making can consume wood far from the final furnace. The meal contains a landscape.

Fire helped make human diets more flexible and human time less dominated by chewing. It may have influenced anatomy and brain energetics. The strongest causal version outruns the dated evidence. The defensible conclusion is still large: humans learned to move part of metabolism into the environment, using controlled heat to change what counted as food and how much work eating required.

The Hearth Made Fire a Social System

A hearth is more than a fire on the ground. It turns a transient reaction into a shared service located in one place. Fuel gathers nearby. Ash records previous use. Food, bodies and materials occupy different distances according to the heat they need. The centre has no permanent substance until people return and rebuild it.

That return creates obligation. Someone must collect fuel, choose what is

dry enough, protect embers, control sparks and decide when the fire may die. Smoke has to go somewhere, and the work takes time. The division of those duties is social rather than chemical. Access to a hearth can bind a group through shared food and warmth while also giving authority to whoever controls fuel, cooking or distribution. Dependable fire is therefore a social achievement before it is a private possession.

The thermal gains are large and conditional. Fire widens the temperatures in which people can remain active, and it can dry clothing, bedding, hides and fuel. It may deter some predators and smoke can repel some insects, but no ring of flame made a camp safe. A small smoky fire in a warm climate is a different technology from a sustained hearth in a cold shelter. Heat expands options; it does not cancel weather, hunger or danger.

Fire also alters the day. A controllable pool of light makes part of the night usable for food preparation, tool repair, teaching and conversation. Recorded talk among Ju/'hoansi communities offers one modern example: daytime discussion concentrated more on immediate practical affairs, while firelit evening talk contained more stories, singing, ritual and absent people. The setting is one population under recent conditions, not a recording of the Pleistocene. It demonstrates a possibility. When darkness no longer ends collective attention, information can travel beyond the day's direct experience.

Stories can carry knowledge of absent relatives, dangerous places, past disputes and imagined beings. Repeated evenings may therefore have favoured teaching, memory and social worlds larger than the visible camp. That remains an inference. Language did not appear because someone lit a branch, and archaeology cannot recover a conversation. The defensible claim is about opportunity: fire created recurring time in which social cognition could be exercised together.

The hearth was also a graded workshop. Close to the heat, material chars or burns. Farther away, it dries, softens or cures. Wooden points can be hardened through controlled heating, though much of the effect may be drying and surface change. Stone can fracture under thermal stress. Resins

can be warmed, pigments altered, hides smoked and food dried. Distance, colour, smell and experience became instruments.

Hearth and household became linked because a living fire made continuity visible. Rome's cult of Vesta made the city's maintained flame a sign of civic continuity. Zoroastrian traditions made carefully tended flame a ritual centre without treating flame itself as a god. The meanings differ. The practical fact remains. A stone tool waits where it is left. Fire dies. A community organised around a hearth learned that some technologies exist only through repeated care.

Burning Became a Tool for Landscapes and Materials

Once people could choose where and when fire entered a patch of ground, burning became more than camp technology. It became a way of changing landscapes deliberately.

Fire removes some plants, changes light at ground level, exposes food, releases some nutrients into ash and alters where animals feed. Certain species resprout after burning or release seeds under heat. The outcome depends on fuel, moisture, season, frequency, intensity and the ecosystem's previous fire history. A cool surface burn and a wind-driven crown fire share chemistry without sharing ecological meaning.

Indigenous fire stewardship shows the precision possible. In parts of Australia and North America, communities used carefully timed burns, often at low intensity under chosen conditions, for foods, travel, hunting, habitat, ceremony and risk reduction. Burning was one part of year-round relationships with land, not a generic instruction to apply more fire. Colonial dispossession, prohibition and suppression disrupted many of those regimes. Their restoration therefore concerns authority, access and living expertise as well as technique.

The opposite error is to treat every unburnt landscape as unhealthy. Peatlands, wet forests and systems with long natural intervals can be damaged by frequent fire. Burning can simplify vegetation, exhaust soils,

favour invasive species and release stored carbon. Even a fire-adapted system can be pushed beyond its historical regime by altered fuels, extreme weather or repeated severe events. Management has to begin with a particular place and purpose.

The same habit of controlled transformation moved into material production. Clay heated sufficiently changes irreversibly as water leaves its mineral structure and particles begin to bond. At Dolní Věstonice, in what is now the Czech Republic, people made and fired thousands of small clay fragments and figures around 26,000 years ago, long before pottery vessels became routine. Kilns later retained heat, directed airflow and made temperature more even.

Charcoal required a different control. Wood is heated while oxygen is restricted, driving off water and many volatile compounds and leaving a carbon-rich solid. The result is not automatically hotter than every wood fire. Its lower moisture, lower volatile content and higher carbon fraction can support high, steady furnace temperatures and reducing conditions more predictably. The maker has to let some fuel oxidise to heat the load without allowing the whole load to become ash. This is managed carbonisation, balanced between conversion and consumption.

Lime burning turned limestone into quicklime for plasters and mortars. Furnaces arranged fuel, air and ore so oxygen could be removed from metal compounds under the required temperature and atmosphere. Copper smelting, bronze making and iron production followed different regional histories and demanded different operations. A hot campfire was not enough. Structures, fuel supply, airflow, skilled judgement and repeated failure mattered.

These processes changed landscapes and institutions in return. Kilns and furnaces consumed wood and charcoal. Mines expanded. Specialists held knowledge that a finished pot or blade did not reveal. In some societies rulers or states controlled fuel, ore and production. Deliberate burning could now reshape a meadow, a vessel, a building material or a metal. It also allowed mistakes and extraction to be repeated at scale. Designed fire is a

claim about purpose and control, tested by what the process leaves behind.

The Container Grew Until Fire Disappeared Inside It

A hearth locates a fire. A kiln retains heat for a process. A furnace intensifies reaction and alters its atmosphere. The industrial leap came when heat could be converted into repeated mechanical work and the reaction could be fed from concentrated stores of fuel.

A steam engine burns fuel beneath a boiler. Heat turns water into high-pressure vapour, and changing pressure moves a piston or turbine. The fire does not touch the final task, whether pumping a mine, turning a mill or moving a train. Combustion has become an upstream service whose work can travel through shafts, pipes or wires.

Internal-combustion engines place heat release inside the engine itself. In a piston engine, a timed charge burns in a cylinder and expanding gases push the piston. In a gas turbine, combustion occurs continuously in a chamber and the hot flow drives turbine blades. Neither design is controlled by casing alone. Mixture, timing, pressure, cooling, materials, lubrication and exhaust make repeated operation possible.

Fossil fuels changed the feed rate. Coal, oil and gas concentrate chemical energy from ancient biological production. They can be stored, transported and consumed without waiting for a nearby forest to regrow. Factories could exceed the annual energy flow available from local wood, wind, water and muscle. Mines, refineries, railways, pipelines, ships and roads made industrial fire mobile and dependable.

Electricity deepened the concealment. Motors, electric vehicles and induction hobs can remove combustion where the service is delivered, improving local air and controllability. Generation from wind, solar, hydro and nuclear power can remove it upstream as well, while storage shifts electricity through time. None of this is visible from the appliance alone. Steel, cement, fertiliser, batteries and freight may still contain high-temperature or fossil processes elsewhere in the chain.

In 2025 fossil fuels supplied 86 per cent of global total energy supply under the Energy Institute's accounting, and energy-sector carbon dioxide emissions rose again. That measure is not interchangeable with every primary-energy series, but its meaning is clear enough: civilisation has not left combustion behind. It has built a global system for extracting, moving and using its fuels.

The burdens appear at different distances from the flame. Incomplete combustion in household stoves exposes cooks and families directly to damaging smoke. Urban coal burning concentrated soot and gases over cities. Engines distributed exhaust along roads. Industrial furnaces moved heat away from the consumer but concentrated risk and pollution in plants and supply chains. Carbon dioxide crossed the useful boundary entirely because the atmosphere became the receiving system.

Wildland fire shows why ignition and outcome must still be separated. Fuel condition, wind, topography, land use, suppression history and exposure help determine what an ignition becomes. Human-caused warming has increased dangerous fire weather in many regions, while attribution of one event still requires a regional and event-specific analysis. Climate is neither the match nor an excuse to ignore the conditions that make spread possible.

Industrial infrastructure is not a chemical flame, and the analogy should stop there. Mines, engines, buildings and habits do, however, make one fuel system cheap to continue and costly to replace. The apparatus around combustion becomes part of its persistence. Changing the burner may be easy; changing the services, capital and supply network around it may not be.

Fire's contribution to becoming human lay in a long accumulation of control over food, warmth, time, materials and shared life, not in one spark or one anatomical event. The modern test is whether the same species can keep useful heat and work while changing the fuels, processes and waste streams that no longer fit. The oldest lesson remains practical: know what feeds the reaction, where its heat and products go, who maintains the system and what failure lets it escape.

How It Actually Works

Before there were hands to tend it

Lightning strikes dry vegetation. Heat starts reactions in a small patch. If heat release and spread outrun losses to moisture, ground and air, the patch becomes a front. Long before a human saw this, wildfire was sorting life.

The fossil record preserves that history as charcoal. Charcoal resists decay and can survive in sediment after leaves, bark and flesh have vanished. Silurian fragments from roughly 430 million years ago show that vegetation and atmospheric oxygen had reached conditions in which fire could propagate on land. Later, as forests expanded and oxygen varied, fire became part of repeated ecological disturbance. Plant lineages evolved thick bark, protected buds, heat-triggered seed release and other traits that can improve survival under particular fire regimes. No lineage evolved for an abstract thing called fire. It evolved under a local pattern of intensity, season and interval.

A burn also creates opportunities without requiring control. It opens ground, exposes seeds and kills or injures animals. Many species exploit what a fire leaves. The missing human transition begins only when prediction becomes intervention: approaching at the right time, carrying heat away and keeping it useful after the natural front has passed.

At the burned edge

A plausible first apprenticeship began at such burned edges. Heat-altered plants, exposed tubers, dead animals and easier travel could be used without anyone intending the fire. A branch or root still glowing afterwards presented a harder possibility: move the reaction and feed it after the landscape cooled. This is reconstruction, not an observed episode. The first carrier left no labelled container.

Transport changes approach into maintenance. Flame is conspicuous and hungry; an ember can persist in slow-burning material but still consumes

itself. A group dependent on captured fire must judge fuel, distance and weather, and may need another group or another natural ignition after loss. Organic carriers decay and embers leave little trace. Archaeology can therefore see repeated burning more readily than the first successful journey with heat.

At Koobi Fora in Kenya, thermally altered stone, soil aggregates and bone fragments around 1.5 million years old have long attracted attention. Mapping, micromorphology and spectroscopy support heating, but at an open site the human explanation remains harder to separate from natural fire. At Evron Quarry in Israel, researchers found molecular traces consistent with heat in material dated between about one million and 800,000 years ago even where visible burning was scarce. Such sites widen the possible record. They also show why the argument moves by confidence levels rather than one trophy date.

Fire inside Wonderwerk

Wonderwerk Cave changes the natural-fire explanation because the relevant deposits lie well inside the cave. In a layer about one million years old, microscopic analysis found ash from grasses, leaves and brush, together with bone fragments heated to temperatures consistent with a small fire. The remains occur with Acheulean stone tools. Wind-blown ash from a distant wildfire would have a different spatial and microscopic history; a blaze sweeping through the cave is implausible at that depth.

The evidence does not resemble a later stone-lined fireplace. Ash is dispersed, and post-depositional movement complicates the layer. The secure claim is controlled use or at least deliberate fire inside the cave. Whether the users made the fire, carried it in, cooked routinely or gathered socially around it remains open.

A 2026 study used bone luminescence, checked against infrared spectroscopy, to detect high-temperature alteration in the one-million-year layer and in Stratum 11 below it. The lower deposit has a broad chronology, roughly 1.79 to 1.07 million years old, so it may push the local record back

substantially without yielding one precise date. Spatial pattern and cave depth support deliberate introduction on repeated occasions. Neither layer proves independent ignition or habitual cooking.

Repetition beside a lake

Gesher Benot Ya'akov preserves a different scene. Around 780,000 years ago, people repeatedly occupied the shore of a lake in the Jordan Valley. Waterlogged conditions preserved wood, seeds and nuts alongside stone tools and animal remains. Burnt flint fragments are concentrated in clusters rather than scattered at random. Across occupation levels, those concentrations recur. Fire appears to have had places.

Spatial organisation matters because control is visible in pattern. A natural blaze does not usually sort knapping debris, food remains and heat-altered material into repeated activity zones at a lakeside camp. The site suggests that people returned, made or maintained fires, and worked around them.

The fish evidence adds a further threshold. Researchers examined pharyngeal teeth from large cyprinid fish. Crystal changes in the enamel indicated heating below about 500 degrees Celsius, rather than direct destruction in an intense fire. More than 95 per cent of the fish remains were teeth, most other bones were absent, and the material clustered with repeated burning. The team treated it as the oldest known case of hominin cooking. The conclusion is convergent rather than photograph-like: temperature, anatomy and spatial context make deliberate preparation stronger than accidental burning.

By roughly 400,000 years ago, hearths and burnt materials become more common at sites in Europe and western Asia. The increase may record more habitual use, more enduring occupations, population growth or improved preservation. It also marks a practical change: fire was becoming a regular part of the human niche rather than an occasional advantage.

Making the spark

Keeping fire and producing it are different technologies. Friction methods turn mechanical work into heat at a small contact. Percussion methods strike suitable minerals to detach hot particles. Flint striking steel works well, but striking two ordinary flints does not create a useful shower by magic. In prehistoric contexts, pyrite or marcasite can supply the reactive particles.

The oldest ignition kits are hard to identify because wood and tinder decay and pyrite can break down. Barnham in Suffolk now provides the earliest published evidence for making fire. On a buried surface around 400,000 years old, repeatedly heated sediment and fire-cracked handaxes lay beside two pyrite fragments. Pyrite is scarce in the local geology and can produce sparks when struck with flint, so deliberate transport for ignition is the best current explanation. The pieces do not form a complete kit, the association does not preserve the act itself, and no human fossil identifies the maker.

Bifaces from several late Neanderthal sites in France bear mineral traces and microscopic damage consistent with repeated percussion against pyrite around 50,000 years ago. Experiments produced similar marks. This later evidence shows the action on the striking tool more directly; it no longer sets the earliest date.

Once ignition became dependable, fire could be planned rather than merely preserved. Camps no longer had to carry a living reaction through every interruption. Yet production still required dry tinder, appropriate material and learned motion. A fire drill is portable stored knowledge, not an instant flame.

The fire becomes a place

Regular hearths changed camp geometry and duty. Sleeping places, tool work and refuse were arranged around heat, light, smoke and sparks. In caves, smoke had to move somewhere; in open air, wind direction mattered. Fuel had to arrive before the last useful ember failed. Ash and charcoal could be cleared, spread or reused. The hearth was an object made of repeated behaviour as much as stone.

Cooking widened the menu and altered schedules. Roasting exposes food directly to radiant heat. Earth ovens place heated stones and food in a pit, often wrapped and covered, creating slower and more even cooking. Boiling became easier once people had heat-resistant containers, though hot stones can heat water in skins or baskets before pottery. Drying and smoking preserved food by reducing moisture and changing the environment for microbes. No single technique arrived everywhere in the same order.

Fire also moved with people into colder regions. Clothing, shelter and food remained necessary; a hearth alone cannot make an Ice Age winter harmless. It could warm a small zone, dry wet material, thaw frozen ground and support occupation where night temperatures would otherwise impose a harder limit. Fire increased the range of viable strategies rather than granting immunity from climate.

Fire across the ground

As people learned plant cycles, animal movement and wind, they used landscape burning deliberately. A timed burn could favour selected foods, open travel, influence grazing, improve visibility or alter habitat.

Documented practices in Australia and North America became bodies of local law, obligation and knowledge. They were not crude versions of one modern fuel treatment. Their purposes included food, access, ceremony, habitat and safety, in combinations specific to place.

Agriculture increased both opportunity and pressure. Farmers burned crop residues, cleared fields and shifted cultivation. Pastoralists burned to

influence forage. Repeated fire could maintain grassland where woody vegetation might otherwise expand. It could also exhaust soil, escape into neighbouring land or become destructive when population, ownership or climate changed. Human fire regimes became ecological forces whose traces can appear in charcoal records, pollen and vegetation, but assigning every change to people remains difficult.

From clay to metal

The hearth taught temperature through effects. Clay near heat hardened. Stone changed colour or fractured. Wood became charcoal. People learned to separate stages and build tighter containers.

At Dolní Věstonice, around 26,000 years ago, people shaped and fired clay animals and human figures. The firing was controlled enough to transform clay. Pottery containers became widespread much later and at different times in different regions. Once vessels could withstand repeated heating, boiling, storage and transport changed food systems.

A kiln improves insulation and airflow. A charcoal-making mound or pit restricts oxygen so part of the wood supplies heat while the rest carbonises rather than becoming ash. A furnace directs air through fuel and ore. Bellows can increase reaction and temperature, but the desired atmosphere may also need to remain reducing. The operator reads colour, flame, slag and sound because no thermometer is present. Too little heat leaves ore unchanged; the wrong airflow can oxidise the product being sought.

Copper and bronze working created metals that could be melted and cast. Iron smelting demanded a reducing atmosphere and management of solid bloom and slag. Smithing then returned metal to controlled fire repeatedly. Heat became a means of changing hardness, carbon content and shape. The blacksmith's skill was less about producing maximum temperature than moving metal through the right sequence.

Cities learn the cost

Dense settlement placed many fires beside combustible roofs, walls, workshops and stores. A domestic flame could become a district fire through wind, narrow streets and shared building fabric. Water supply and organised response mattered, but so did construction and separation.

London in September 1666 burned for four days after fire began in a bakery on Pudding Lane. Strong wind, timber buildings and delayed demolition helped it spread through the old city. The traditional count is more than 13,000 houses destroyed. The fire did not end plague, a later comforting story. Its clearer legacy was a rebuilding regime that required more brick and stone, regulated party walls and widened some streets. The city treated fuel arrangement as a design problem.

Fire insurance turned risk into information. Insurers inspected buildings, set premiums, supported brigades and encouraged construction standards. In Britain and many other industrialising cities, public fire services later absorbed or replaced fragmented private protection. Building regulation, alarms, compartmentation, protected escape routes and sprinklers pursue related aims: detect early, slow heat and smoke, preserve escape and keep one burning item from recruiting the structure.

Signal, ceremony and weapon

Fire carried meaning because it could be seen, moved and lost. Beacons transmitted warnings across lines of sight. Lamps extended administration and worship into darkness. Communities kept ceremonial flames whose continuity represented a household, city or faith. Rome assigned priestesses of Vesta to tend the public fire; allowing it to die was treated as a failure larger than poor housekeeping. Zoroastrian traditions made carefully maintained fire a focus of worship without worshipping fire itself. The examples differ, but both build symbolism from a practical property: a living flame records uninterrupted care.

The same visibility and spread made fire a weapon. Incendiary arrows, burning pitch and fire ships aimed less at killing by flame than at recruiting

an enemy's own fuel. Fortifications, sails, granaries and crowded roofs supplied what the attacker could not carry. Byzantine forces used the substance later called Greek fire in naval warfare; its exact composition is uncertain despite centuries of confident recipes. Gunpowder introduced a faster case. It contains its own oxidiser, so reaction does not depend on oxygen diffusing in from the air. Confined gas expansion can propel a projectile or rupture the container. Detonation in high explosives is a different, supersonic reaction front and should not be folded into ordinary flame.

Weaponised fire reveals the limit of the control story. The user designs an ignition and then relies on loss of control at the target. The desired boundary is political rather than physical: spread there, stop here. Wind has never signed that agreement.

Learning what burning is

Practical mastery preceded chemical explanation by hundreds of thousands of years. European natural philosophers still argued in the eighteenth century that combustible material contained phlogiston, a substance released during burning. The theory explained some observations and failed badly at others, especially why certain metals gained mass when heated.

Antoine Lavoisier replaced the release story with combination. Through careful weighing and work with gases, he showed that combustion and calcination involved a component of air, which he named oxygen. Burning material did not shed a fire substance; it combined with an oxidiser. Mass balance turned flame from an element into a reaction.

The correction mattered beyond vocabulary. If burning is chemical combination, fuel and air ratios can be measured, products identified and heat accounted for. Furnace design, engine efficiency, ventilation and pollution become connected problems. The older craft knowledge remained indispensable, but it could now be translated into quantities.

Modern combustion science added chain reactions, fluid flow and heat transfer. A flame is both chemistry and motion: radicals sustain reaction

while diffusion and turbulence bring fuel and oxidiser together. This is why the same fuel burns differently in a wick, a pool, a spray and a pressurised combustor. Lavoisier supplied the bookkeeping. Later science explained the speed and shape.

Ventilation can become the controlling variable. In an enclosed room, fuel may remain while oxygen falls, leaving hot unburnt gases. A new opening can then supply air and change the fire sharply, which is one reason door control belongs to trained response.

Fire becomes motion

In 1712 Thomas Newcomen's atmospheric engine used coal to raise steam and condensation to create a pressure difference that drove a piston. It was inefficient, but it pumped water from mines, which made more coal accessible. James Watt's separate condenser later reduced heat wasted in reheating the cylinder. Fire could now perform repeated mechanical work at a place and rate set by machinery.

The loop accelerated. Coal powered pumps that enabled further coal extraction. Steam drove mills, locomotives and ships. In British ironmaking and later elsewhere, coke displaced much charcoal, easing one constraint from forests while expanding fossil mining. Heat was no longer tied to the annual growth of nearby biomass.

Late nineteenth-century piston engines brought combustion into the working cylinder. Petrol and diesel engines used different ignition methods, but both turned rapid pressure rise into repeated motion. Gas turbines later placed continuous combustion in a chamber whose hot gases drove turbine blades. Liquid fuels combined high energy density with easy transport, and controlled fire became small, frequent and mobile.

Electric systems then separated service from flame. A household received light without a candle and motion without a local engine. Power stations could contain boilers and turbines far away. Today generation from wind, solar, hydro and nuclear sources, joined to networks and storage, can provide many services without combustion. Steel, cement, aviation, shipping

and some industrial heat remain harder to change. The question is now systemic: where fuel enters, where heat is needed, where waste goes and which function another process must perform.

How we know

Fire destroys evidence while creating it. Archaeologists therefore combine context with microscopic and chemical traces: ash structure, heated bone, altered flint, magnetic change, mineral transformation, spatial clustering and experimental replication. No single red stone proves a hearth. Confidence rises when independent indicators agree and when wildfire, sediment movement and later contamination fit the pattern poorly.

Behavioural labels require separate thresholds. Burning can show exposure without control. Repeated clusters can support organised use without showing how ignition began. Heat-altered food can support cooking without proving that cooking reshaped anatomy. Barnham's pyrite association is evidence for production, while the French bifaces preserve the striking action more directly. Wonderwerk's 2026 result may extend the chronology, but its lower deposit has a wide date range.

Later evidence is richer and still selective. Kilns, slag, tools, buildings, patents and fuel accounts reveal designed processes, while health and energy statistics depend on definitions, coverage and reference periods. A fact sheet published in 2025 may report deaths estimated for 2021; an energy review published in 2026 reports 2025 supply. The sequence from natural fire to industrial combustion is secure. Its earliest rungs and strongest evolutionary claims remain open to revision.

What People Get Wrong

"Humans invented fire"

Fire is hundreds of millions of years older than humanity. What changed was not the existence of combustion but the human relationship to it. The popular image survives because invention stories want an inventor, a moment and an object. Archaeology offers none of them. It offers burnt traces of unequal quality and a sequence of abilities: approaching natural fire, moving it, feeding it, locating it repeatedly, using it for particular tasks and producing ignition.

Calling that sequence an invention hides its hardest part. A stone tool remains where it is dropped. Fire consumes itself and can escape. Early control was probably less like possessing a device than maintaining a demanding animal with no loyalty. The correction makes cooperation, attention and continuity part of the technology. The breakthrough was not seeing flame. It was keeping a useful reaction alive without letting it take the camp.

The language also distorts what counts as success. A group able to preserve an ember for days had solved a major technical problem even if it could not make a spark. A group able to relight fire after rain possessed a different advantage. Treating all of this as one discovery erases the skills that made fire dependable enough to reshape life. A cumulative technical achievement has no single inventor or birthday. The ladder preserves what the evidence can distinguish.

"You make fire by striking two flints together"

Films love two stones because they are available, dramatic and wrong in a useful way. Ordinary flint struck against ordinary flint can make a flash and eject particles, but it is a poor prehistoric ignition system. Reliable percussion kits pair flint with an iron sulphide such as pyrite or, later, with steel. The struck material supplies hot particles that land in prepared tinder.

The tinder is the overlooked machine. A spark contains little energy and

lasts briefly. It needs a dry, fine material that can catch, smoulder and be nursed into flame before heat disappears. Friction methods solve the same threshold differently by concentrating mechanical work at a contact. In both cases the visible strike is one stage in a fuel sequence.

That sequence explains why a demonstration can fail even when the striker produces sparks. Moist tinder absorbs heat. Coarse material loses the hot point before a reaction spreads. Kindling that is too large takes more energy than the nascent flame can provide. The practical consequence goes beyond trivia: ignition depends less on producing a spectacular spark than on arranging what happens to its heat.

"Cooking made us human"

The phrase is a strong book title and a weak verdict. Cooking can increase the usable energy from some foods, reduce chewing, soften tissues, kill many pathogens and move digestive work outside the body. Those mechanisms make it a plausible contributor to the evolution of *Homo*. They do not establish a single date or a single cause.

The anatomical changes often linked to habitual cooking begin around the emergence of *Homo erectus*, roughly 1.9 million years ago. Strong archaeological evidence of controlled fire is much later, around one million years ago at Wonderwerk, with cooked-fish evidence around 780,000 years ago at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov. Earlier cooking may have left little trace, but possibility cannot fill the gap.

Nor does cooking have one biological effect. Heating can increase digestibility, destroy vitamins, create new compounds, reduce pathogens or leave toxins intact, depending on the food and method. Fire belongs in the explanation alongside tools, diet, cooperation, locomotion and climate. It may still have been one of the rare changes that altered several constraints together. The correction preserves a powerful hypothesis by refusing to advertise it as proof.

"Fire is always the enemy of a healthy landscape"

A severe crown fire through dry forest looks like the definition of ecological destruction. Generalising from it produces bad management. Many savannas, grasslands, shrublands and forests developed under recurrent fire. Some species resprout after burning, protect living tissue beneath bark or release seeds under heat. Carefully timed cultural burns can maintain foods, travel routes and habitat while reducing some fuels.

The opposite slogan is no better. Peatlands, wet forests and systems with long natural intervals can be damaged by frequent burning. A low-intensity burn in one season is not interchangeable with a hotter burn in another. Repeated mild fire can still exhaust a site if the interval is wrong, while excluding fire can allow dangerous fuel to accumulate in some systems.

Health depends on the fire regime, not the moral status of flame. Ask what burns, how often, under what weather, at what intensity and towards which ecological goal. That distinction matters because both blanket suppression and careless prescribed burning can replace one problem with another.

"The flame is the danger"

Flame attracts the eye, so people use it as a scale. A small flame feels like a small incident. Fire dynamics does not grant that comfort. Radiation and hot gases can heat materials that the flame never touches. Smoke can travel ahead, obscure exits and deliver carbon monoxide and irritant gases. Smouldering material can persist inside walls, peat or upholstery after visible flame has gone.

A compartment can also change state. Hot gases accumulate under the ceiling, surfaces absorb increasing radiation and many objects begin releasing fuel vapour. Flashover can then involve most exposed combustible surfaces within a short interval. The decisive danger was built before the room appeared fully aflame.

The same visual error works outdoors. A line of modest flames can throw embers far ahead, and a quiet peat surface can conceal deep combustion.

This is why alarms, closed doors, compartmentation and prompt evacuation matter. Judge a fire by heat, smoke, fuel and enclosure, not by the photogenic part.

"Water is the universal extinguisher"

Water is excellent at absorbing heat, and that success encourages a dangerous universal rule. On burning cooking oil, water can sink, boil rapidly and throw flaming liquid out of the container. On energised electrical equipment it can create an electrical hazard. Reactive metals and some chemicals can respond violently or release flammable gas. Different fuels require different extinguishing strategies.

The broader lesson is that extinction is a mechanism problem. Cooling is one route. Excluding oxygen, separating fuel and interrupting chain reactions are others. A domestic extinguisher label therefore names classes of fire because the wrong agent can spread the event. The size of the fire matters as much as its class: equipment that is appropriate in principle may be useless once heat and smoke block escape.

For anyone not trained, the priority in a developing fire is warning others, leaving, closing doors where safe and calling emergency services, not testing chemistry at arm's length. A good response to one fire can be a bad response to another.

"Modern civilisation has left fire behind"

The absence of a hearth from an office does not mean the office escaped combustion. Its steel and cement required high-temperature processing. Freight burned fuel. Electricity may have come from a thermal power station. Even where generation is renewable, mining, manufacture and heavy transport can retain fossil heat elsewhere in the chain.

Electrification can remove combustion from the point of use and, when supplied by low-carbon generation, from much of the system. That is a substantial change, not accounting theatre. It is also unfinished. In 2025 fossil fuels accounted for 86 per cent of global total energy supply under the

Energy Institute's accounting, and energy-sector carbon dioxide emissions rose again.

The distinction between service and flame is therefore decisive. A heat pump can warm a building without burning fuel on site. Hydrogen can burn without producing carbon dioxide at the burner, although combustion can still form nitrogen oxides and upstream emissions depend on how the hydrogen was made. Biomass can be renewable in one accounting sense while producing harmful local smoke. Visible flame is therefore a poor measure of dependence. To know whether fire has been replaced, follow the heat, the fuel and the exhaust through the whole service. A clean room can depend on a dirty furnace somewhere else; it can also be cleaner in substance when the upstream process has changed.

Use It

Follow the heat before the flame

The visible edge is late information. A material first absorbs heat, loses moisture, decomposes and releases vapour. Only then may flame appear. Train your attention on what is being prepared.

In a room, that means the hot layer above, the closed or open doors, the surfaces receiving radiation and the route smoke can take. Outdoors, it means dry grass ahead of the front, embers carried by wind, slope and fuel continuity. In a kiln or pan, it means where energy enters, where it accumulates and where it escapes. The useful question is not merely what is burning. It is what is being moved towards ignition or transformation next.

This lens also corrects visual bias. A bright yellow flame can be less important than an unseen hot surface. A blackened area may be cold while an adjacent cavity smoulders. You cannot infer safety from appearance alone. In an emergency, that is a reason to leave assessment to trained responders. In ordinary analysis, it is a reason to track energy rather than colour.

Find the whole control system

Every useful fire is an arrangement of materials, space and people. A hearth uses cleared ground, fuel spacing and attention. A kiln uses insulation, airflow and judgement. A prescribed burn uses weather limits, ignition pattern, crews, authority and contingency. An engine uses materials, cooling, timing and maintenance. The wall or perimeter is one component, not the controller.

Ask where the reaction may occur, what feeds it, who watches it, where heat and products are meant to go, and what failure would recruit new fuel. A stove can hold its flame while leaking exhaust into a room. A burn can remain inside a mapped perimeter while damaging a soil or habitat. A furnace can reach its target temperature while wasting fuel or consuming its lining. Physical containment and useful control are different achievements.

This lens also exposes invisible labour. A device may look automatic because someone else supplied fuel, cleaned a flue, maintained a sensor or accepted the smoke. Control is distributed across design, operation, authority and care. When any one of them is omitted from the story, the appliance looks safer and more self-sufficient than it is.

Separate ignition from spread

People ask what started a fire because beginnings are narratively satisfying and legally important. The cause of ignition is often a small part of the final loss. A spark, lightning strike or overheated component may be necessary without explaining why one incident self-extinguished and another crossed a landscape or building.

To understand consequence, separate the initiating event from fuel condition, ventilation, wind, topography, detection, response and exposure. This distinction improves arguments about wildfire. Human-caused warming can increase the frequency or severity of dangerous fire weather in a region without striking the match. Land management can influence fuel without controlling drought or wind. An arsonist can cause ignition without explaining weak compartmentation. Several causal layers can be true together.

The same discipline improves prevention. Reducing ignition opportunities matters. So does making ignition less able to grow. Smoke alarms, fire doors, fuel breaks, less combustible materials and rapid detection do not stop every spark. They change what the spark can become.

Ask what work heat has moved

Cooking is external digestion because heat performs some work that teeth and guts would otherwise do. Use that model whenever fire enters a process. What task has been shifted from a body, tool or later stage into thermal treatment?

Drying moves water removal outside storage. Charcoal production moves volatile removal before the final furnace. Smelting performs chemical separation that no hand tool can manage. Steam lets pressure replace some muscular work. Sterilising uses heat to reduce biological risk. Each gain has an input and residue: fuel, time, smoke, ash, slag, carbon dioxide or altered nutrients.

This lens blocks the word efficiency from becoming vague praise. A process can save muscular work while consuming more fuel. It can increase usable food energy while requiring hours of gathering wood. It can move pollution away from the buyer and towards the worker or household that handles the fuel. Ask which work disappeared, which work appeared, who performs it and who breathes the residue. Fire often relocates a cost before it reduces one.

Read regimes, not events

One burn tells you less than a pattern. Ecologists use the idea of a fire regime to describe characteristic frequency, intensity, season, size and type. Carry that structure into any repeated use of heat.

A woodland exposed to cool burns every few years differs from the same woodland after decades without fire and one severe event. A household cooking over a smoky stove each day faces a different burden from an occasional outdoor fire. A furnace run near its design temperature differs

from repeated thermal cycling that fatigues materials. Frequency and sequence can matter as much as the peak.

The regime lens also keeps cultural burning specific. Knowledge belongs to places, species, seasons and communities. Copying an interval from one ecosystem to another is not respect for tradition or science. It is deleting the variables that made the practice work. Ask what historical pattern shaped the system and whether current climate, fuels and ownership have changed it.

Trace the hidden fire

When a service looks clean, move upstream. Identify whether combustion supplied electricity, process heat, transport or materials. Then distinguish uses that can be electrified readily from those that require new industrial processes, fuels or infrastructure.

The aim is not to accuse every object of having a flame concealed inside it. It is to stop point-of-use appearance from ending the analysis. An electric train can remove local exhaust and cut emissions substantially on a low-carbon grid. Steel made with coal retains a combustion burden even if delivered by that train. A heat pump moves ambient heat, so the delivered heat can exceed the electrical energy it consumes. Different replacements attack different parts of the chain.

Following hidden fire turns decarbonisation from a slogan into an engineering map. Find the temperature, chemical function, energy carrier, equipment lifetime and waste stream. Then ask what could perform the same service without the same combustion.

The limits

A compact mental model is not fire-safety training. Real incidents change quickly, fuels behave differently and smoke can remove the time needed for analysis. Do not use this chapter to decide whether to fight a developing fire. Leave, warn others, close doors where safe, call emergency services and follow local guidance.

The control-system model also has limits. Fire is physical feedback; societies are not flames. Industrial dependence can resemble a feed system, but institutions do not obey combustion equations. Use the comparison to locate fuel, infrastructure, labour and momentum, then stop before metaphor replaces evidence.

Ecological lessons are place-bound. Prescribed and cultural burning require local expertise, legal authority, suitable weather and clear objectives. The fact that suppression harmed one fire-adapted system does not license amateur burning elsewhere. This ancient technology still punishes confidence faster than ignorance.

The one thing to keep

Keep the feedback.

A fire continues because energy released now creates the conditions for more reaction next. Humans became unusual when they learned to intervene in that loop: selecting tinder, spacing fuel, sheltering embers, directing air, retaining heat and deciding where the reaction should stop. Every later achievement added control or shifted responsibility. The hearth fixed a place and created duties. The kiln held temperature. The furnace changed atmosphere. The engine timed pressure. The grid moved the service away from the flame and hid much of the work behind it.

The permanent change in view is this: fire is not the bright object in front of you. It is a relationship among fuel, oxidiser, heat, geometry, time and care. A landscape can be prepared for fire before ignition. A room can become dangerous before flames fill it. A meal can contain the labour of a forest. A clean device can depend on combustion elsewhere. For a group unable to make fire, a dead ember could turn a planned move into a search for another flame; a maintained one could reorganise the night.

Control therefore never means ownership. It means maintaining a self-amplifying process whose feeds, labour, products and failures you understand. That was the ancient achievement: converting an event into a dependable practice. It remains the standard modern systems have to meet.

Terms

A glossary of the words this book has used, and the ones that will appear in serious writing about fire.

Combustion. A heat-releasing chemical reaction between a fuel and an oxidiser. It may produce flame, glow or smouldering. Fire is sustained combustion coupled to heat transfer and fuel preparation.

Oxidation. Loss of electrons in a chemical reaction, commonly involving oxygen in ordinary fires. Slow oxidation includes rusting. Rapid oxidation can release heat fast enough to become fire.

Fuel. Material that can be oxidised under the conditions present. Wood, methane, petrol vapour and some metals can be fuels, but form, temperature, moisture and surface area alter their behaviour.

Oxidiser. The reactant that accepts electrons from the fuel. Atmospheric oxygen is the usual oxidiser in fire, while nitrates and other compounds can supply oxidising power within a mixture.

Ignition. The transition to self-sustaining combustion. An ignition source must create enough reacting material for heat generation to exceed losses after the source is removed.

Fire triangle. The model of fuel, oxygen and heat. Removing one can extinguish many fires. It is a useful first model, though it hides reaction chemistry and the question of how much is enough.

Fire tetrahedron. The fire triangle plus the chemical chain reactions that sustain flaming. Some extinguishing agents work by interrupting those reactions rather than mainly cooling or excluding oxygen.

Heat-release rate. The amount of heat a fire produces per unit time, usually measured in watts. It is often more informative for growth and hazard than flame height alone.

Pyrolysis. Thermal decomposition caused by heat, with oxygen not

participating directly in the decomposition step. Heated wood releases flammable vapours through pyrolysis; those gases then burn above the surface if conditions permit.

Flame. A gas-phase reaction zone, often visible through light from excited species and glowing soot. In a wood fire, the solid commonly supplies vapour while char also reacts at the surface.

Smouldering. Slow, flameless combustion at the surface of a porous solid. Peat, coal, wood and upholstery can smoulder, travel through hidden material and later change into flaming combustion.

Conduction. Heat transfer through matter by molecular and electronic interaction. It carries energy into a solid, along a metal object and through walls or structural members.

Convection. Heat transfer through the movement of gas or liquid. In fire, buoyant hot gases rise in a plume, spread under ceilings and transport smoke and heat.

Radiation. Heat transfer by electromagnetic waves. It crosses open space, warms exposed surfaces and can prepare fuel for ignition without direct flame contact.

Flashover. A rapid transition in a compartment when heat causes widespread ignition of exposed combustible surfaces. It marks the change from a local fire to room involvement.

Backdraught. Rapid burning after oxygen enters a hot, under-ventilated compartment containing unburnt fuel gases. It is a specialised hazard for trained responders, not a condition to test by opening a door.

Firebrand. A burning or glowing piece carried away from the main fire. Firebrands can cross breaks, enter buildings and start spot fires well ahead of a wildland front.

Tinder. Fine, dry material able to catch the small heat of a spark or ember. Tinder converts a brief ignition source into a sustained glow or flame.

Kindling. Small fuel placed between tinder and larger pieces. Its high surface-area-to-mass ratio lets it ignite readily and build a fire without absorbing too much heat.

Hearth. A maintained place for controlled fire, with physical and behavioural boundaries. It can organise cooking, heat, work, sleeping, fuel storage and social attention around one centre.

Fire regime. The characteristic pattern of fire in an ecosystem, including frequency, intensity, season, size and type. Ecological effects depend on the regime rather than fire in the abstract.

Surface fire. Fire that burns grasses, litter, shrubs and other fuel near the ground. It may be low intensity or severe and can transition into tree crowns under suitable conditions.

Crown fire. Fire spreading through the upper foliage of trees or shrubs. Crown fires can move rapidly and release intense heat, especially when wind and dry canopy fuels align.

Prescribed burn. Deliberate fire applied under planned objectives and conditions for ecological, fuel or land-management purposes. Formal programmes use weather limits, authority, trained crews and contingency plans.

Cultural burning. Place-specific fire stewardship developed and practised by Indigenous peoples for food, habitat, access, ceremony and safety. It is a living system of knowledge and authority, not a generic synonym for prescribed fire.

Charcoal. Carbon-rich solid made by heating biomass while restricting oxygen. Water and many volatile compounds are driven off, creating a fuel useful for steady high-temperature processes and reducing atmospheres.

Kiln. An insulated chamber designed to control temperature and atmosphere while heating materials such as clay, lime, charcoal or timber. It retains and distributes heat more effectively than an open hearth.

Furnace. Equipment built to generate and direct high-temperature heat for melting, smelting, treatment or power. Fuel, airflow, charge, lining and exhaust are parts of one reaction system.

Stoichiometric mixture. Fuel and oxidiser in the exact chemical proportion needed for complete reaction in an ideal case. Real flames may run fuel-rich or fuel-lean, changing temperature, products and stability.

Wildland-urban interface. The zone where buildings and human infrastructure meet or mix with vegetated land. Risk depends on landscape fire, building vulnerability, access, weather and evacuation capacity together.

Go Deeper

The planet: Andrew C. Scott, *Burning Planet: The Story of Fire Through Time*

Begin here if the oldest part of the story caught you. Scott is a palaeobotanist who has spent a career reading fossil charcoal. He follows fire through roughly 450 million years of plants, oxygen, climate and extinction, showing why wildfire is a feature of the Earth system rather than a human intrusion into an otherwise fireless world. The book is personal and accessible, though its centre of gravity is deep time rather than domestic or industrial fire. It is especially useful for seeing that oxygen, vegetation and climate set the conditions under which fire could exist long before humans entered the story. Oxford University Press published it in 2018.

The human history: Stephen J. Pyne, *Fire: A Brief History*

Pyne treats humanity as a fire-using species and traces the shift from burning living landscapes to burning fossil landscapes. His distinction between first fire, human landscape fire and industrial fire is one of the most useful large-scale accounts of the subject. The second edition, published by the University of Washington Press in 2019, updates a book first issued in 2001. Read it for breadth, argument and the history of fire management. Its long view also explains why suppression debates cannot be reduced to one instruction for every landscape. Pyne writes with compression, so some passages reward a slower second reading. His categories are interpretive tools rather than a substitute for the regional ecology and archaeology behind them.

The major interpretation: Richard Wrangham, *Catching Fire: How Cooking Made Us Human*

This is the boldest version of the subtitle's claim. Wrangham argues that habitual cooking began early enough to help shape the anatomy, energetics and social life of *Homo erectus*. The mechanisms are serious and the prose is inviting. The date is the problem: strong archaeological evidence for controlled fire remains later than the anatomical transition his theory seeks to explain. Read the 2009 Basic Books edition as a major hypothesis, then keep the difference between explanatory power and dated proof in view. The book is strongest on the mechanism and most vulnerable on the chronology.

The evidence: Nira Alperson-Afil and Naama Goren-Inbar, *The Acheulian Site of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Volume II: Ancient Flames and Controlled Use of Fire*

This 2010 Springer monograph shows what a strong archaeological case looks like before it becomes a headline. It assembles burnt flint, wood, seeds, spatial pattern and repeated occupation at the roughly 780,000-year-old lakeside site. The work is technical, expensive and designed for specialists, but it is the best next step for a reader who wants to see how controlled fire is inferred from distributions rather than declared from one blackened object. Pair it with the later fish-cooking study for the continuing argument. Because the monograph predates Barnham and the 2026 Wonderwerk analysis, use it for the site's evidence and method rather than as a current survey of the earliest fire record.

Notes and Sources

The Whole Thing in One Page and Why You Should Care

The central description of fire as self-sustaining combustion coupled to heat transfer follows Dougal Drysdale's *An Introduction to Fire Dynamics*, Vytenis Babrauskas's *Ignition Handbook* and the *SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering*. The control-system model joining reaction, heat flow, containment, labour and maintenance is this book's synthesis. It is not a formal term from those works.

The statement that wildfire predates forests rests on fossil-charcoal evidence. Ian Glasspool and Robert Gastaldo reported Silurian charcoal from deposits about 430 million years old, earlier than the first forests by tens of millions of years. The dating and atmospheric interpretation may be refined, but the conclusion that wildfire long predates humans is secure.

The modern health statement uses the World Health Organization fact sheet updated on 16 December 2025. It attributes an estimated 2.9 million

premature deaths in 2021 to household air pollution from polluting fuels and technologies. The body pairs the estimate with its 2021 reference year rather than treating the page's 2025 update date as the observation period.

Core Idea 1: combustion and ignition

The fire triangle is a teaching model, not a complete combustion theory. The fire tetrahedron adds sustaining chain reactions. Babrauskas is the principal source for ignition as a competition between heat generation and loss, while Drysdale and the SFPE handbook support the discussion of fuel form, moisture, surface area and feedback.

The phrase that fire begins when reaction outruns loss compresses several heat-balance conditions. It should not be read as one universal ignition temperature. Materials can ignite through different routes, and measured thresholds depend on geometry, exposure, oxygen and test method.

Core Idea 2: pyrolysis, heat transfer and room fire

The account of wood, pyrolysis, gas-phase flame, char oxidation, conduction, convection and radiation follows standard fire-dynamics texts. Solid fuels can also burn heterogeneously at their surfaces, so the statement that most visible flaming above wood involves gases is deliberately narrower than saying solids never burn.

The description of flashover concerns a rapid transition to widespread involvement in a compartment, not one temperature that guarantees it. Ventilation, fuel layout, enclosure and heat-release rate all matter. Drysdale and the SFPE handbook informed the treatment of plume, ceiling layer, radiation and secondary ignition.

Smoke composition varies with fuel and conditions. Carbon monoxide and soot are important examples, not an exhaustive list. Smouldering can persist at lower temperatures and produce high pollutant yields; Rein's review of smouldering combustion provides the specialist basis.

Core Idea 3: the ladder of control

The distinction among encounter, transport, maintenance, repeated use, specialised use and production follows John Gowlett's review of fire discovery as a long process and the broader archaeological literature. These are analytical thresholds, not a universally agreed sequence with fixed names.

For Wonderwerk Cave, Francesco Berna and colleagues identified *in situ* ash and heated bone in Acheulean Stratum 10, dated to about one million years ago, deep inside the cave. The evidence supports fire introduced and used in the cave more strongly than it supports a formal hearth, habitual cooking or independent ignition.

The 2026 Wonderwerk study by M. Dolores Marin-Monfort and colleagues used bone luminescence, checked against Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, to identify high-temperature alteration in Strata 10 and 11. Stratum 10 is about one million years old; Stratum 11 has a much broader estimated range, roughly 1.79 to 1.07 million years. The authors interpret spatially patterned thermal signatures deep inside the cave as repeated deliberate introduction of fire. This book does not convert that result into proof of independent ignition or habitual cooking.

Gesher Benot Ya'aqov is commonly described as roughly 780,000 to 790,000 years old. The fish-cooking paper uses 780,000 years, which the narrative adopts consistently. Goren-Inbar and colleagues first set out the burnt-flint pattern; Alpers-Afil developed the case for repeated burning; the 2009 *Science* paper mapped spatial organisation. The term continual in one article title is the author's interpretation of repeated evidence, not proof that a flame burned without interruption.

Rob Davis and colleagues published the Barnham evidence online in *Nature* on 10 December 2025, with print publication in volume 649 in 2026. A roughly 400,000-year-old land surface contains repeatedly heated sediment, fire-cracked handaxes and two iron-pyrite fragments. Geological work makes pyrite scarce locally, supporting deliberate transport for spark

production. This is the earliest published case for making fire. The inference is association-based: the fragments do not form a complete kit, the act itself is not preserved, and no human fossil identifies the maker. Later French microwear evidence preserves the striking action more directly.

Andrew Sorensen, Emilie Claud and Marie Soressi compared mineral residues and wear on late Middle Palaeolithic bifaces with experimental percussion against pyrite. Their result independently supports fire-making technology among Neanderthals around 50,000 years ago and shows the action on the striking tool more directly. It no longer supplies the earliest date.

Core Idea 4: cooking and human evolution

Rachel Carmody, Gil Weintraub and Richard Wrangham's mouse experiment found higher body-mass outcomes from thermally processed meat and tuber diets than from matched raw diets, with mechanical processing also affecting energy gain. External validity is limited: the work establishes an energetic mechanism under controlled conditions, not the date or total effect of cooking in human evolution.

Wrangham's early-cooking thesis is treated as a major interpretation rather than consensus fact. The key mismatch is between anatomical changes associated with early *Homo erectus*, beginning around 1.9 million years ago, and the later secure archaeological record. Earlier use may be absent from the record because fire destroys and open sites preserve poorly, but that possibility cannot supply missing evidence.

Irit Zohar and colleagues analysed more than 40,000 fish remains from Geshar Benot Ya'aqov, over 95 per cent of them pharyngeal teeth. X-ray diffraction and experimental comparison supported heating below about 500 degrees Celsius, while the scarcity of other bones and association with repeated burning supported preparation and consumption. The article appeared in *Nature Ecology & Evolution* in 2022 and received an author correction in 2023. The authors describe it as the oldest evidence of hominin cooking; it does not prove that all food was cooked or that cooking began

there.

Core Idea 5: the hearth as a social system

Polly Wiessner's study compared recorded daytime and evening talk among Ju/'hoansi people. Evening firelight talk contained more stories, singing and discussion beyond immediate economic affairs. This is the most setting-specific evidence retained in the book. It demonstrates one realised use of firelit time under recent conditions; it is not a sample of humanity, a direct model of Pleistocene speech or proof that fire caused language.

Claims about warmth, drying, insect smoke and predator deterrence are kept conditional because effects depend on species, fuel, shelter and climate. The hearth as a centre of labour and continuity is a comparative synthesis, not a claim that every group organised domestic life in the same way.

The references to Vesta and Zoroastrian fire concern distinct traditions in which maintained flame carried ritual significance. The comparison rests only on continuity and care; it does not imply one theology, origin or meaning.

Core Idea 6: landscape and materials

David Bowman and colleagues provide the Earth-system frame for fire. Stephen Pyne supplies the long view of human landscape burning. Frank Lake and Amy Cardinal Christianson's account of Indigenous fire stewardship, together with Bhiemie Williamson's analysis of Aboriginal cultural burning and public forests in south-eastern Australia, supports the insistence that cultural burning is place-specific knowledge joined to authority, objectives, year-round practice and land relationships.

The ecological account avoids a global good-fire or bad-fire rule. Fire effects depend on regime and ecosystem. Thick bark, protected buds and heat-linked seed release are examples of adaptations under particular regimes, not proof that every individual burn benefits the organism or community.

Pamela Vandiver and colleagues reported more than 5,000 ceramic fragments and objects at Dolní Věstonice from deposits dated roughly 28,000 to 24,000 years before present, commonly summarised as about 26,000 years ago. These are early ceramic technology, not the beginning of widespread pottery vessels. J. E. Rehder supports the account of charcoal, lime, kilns, furnaces, smelting and the importance of atmosphere and airflow.

The metallurgical sequence is deliberately compressed. Copper, bronze and iron technologies appeared through different regional histories. The book explains thermal control rather than assigning one universal ladder of civilisation.

Core Idea 7: industrial fire and current scale

The steam-engine chronology follows standard histories of technology: Newcomen's atmospheric engine dates from 1712; Watt patented the separate condenser in 1769 after development in the 1760s. The manuscript credits no lone inventor with the Industrial Revolution. Vaclav Smil and Pyne support the larger energy transition from annual biomass flows to fossil stocks.

The modern figures use the completed *Statistical Review of World Energy 2026*, published in 2026 with data for 2025. Under its total-energy-supply definition, fossil fuels accounted for 86 per cent of global total energy supply. Energy-sector carbon dioxide emissions rose by 1.1 per cent to 35,806.2 million tonnes. The manuscript names the measure and does not compare it numerically with primary-energy series that use different substitution or conversion methods.

The climate statement follows the IPCC Sixth Assessment. Human influence has increased fire weather in many regions, with strong regional variation and further risk under additional warming. Attribution of one fire remains event-specific. Ignition, fuel, vegetation, land use, suppression history, topography and exposure remain part of the causal account. Detailed climate mechanisms and attribution belong to *Climate in a Hurry*.

The operating sequence

The Koobi Fora discussion follows Sarah Hlubik and colleagues' high-resolution spatial, micromorphological and spectroscopic study of FxJj20. Thermally altered stone, soil and bone around 1.5 million years old are significant, while an open-air natural-fire explanation remains harder to exclude than at Wonderwerk.

At Evron Quarry, Zane Stepka and colleagues used spectroscopic methods and spatial pattern to identify hidden heat signatures in flint and faunal remains dated between about one million and 800,000 years ago. The method expands detection beyond visible burning; the site's behavioural interpretation remains less direct than repeated hearth-like clustering.

The friction and percussion account follows experimental archaeology, Davis and colleagues at Barnham, and Sorensen's microwear study. Flint with pyrite or marcasite is distinguished from the film convention of striking two ordinary flints. Organic ignition kits preserve poorly, so the absence of older complete sets cannot date the first successful spark.

Earth ovens, stone boiling, drying and smoking are presented as broad technological possibilities, not a universal chronology. Archaeological dates differ sharply by region and material preservation.

The Great Fire of London began on 2 September 1666 and destroyed more than 13,000 houses by the traditional official count. Rebuilding legislation strengthened requirements for brick or stone external construction and party walls. The manuscript rejects the claim that the fire ended plague because plague mortality had already fallen and causal evidence is lacking.

The notes on Vesta, Zoroastrian fire and Greek fire draw respectively on Mary Beard, John North and Simon Price; Mary Boyce; and J. R. Partington. Greek fire's exact composition is unknown. Gunpowder contains an oxidiser within its mixture; high explosives detonate through a different regime and receive only the distinction needed here.

Antoine Lavoisier's oxygen theory replaced phlogiston through quantitative

work on combustion and calcination. His *Elements of Chemistry* provides the primary account. The manuscript compresses contributions by predecessors and collaborators and does not present scientific change as one experiment by one man.

Fire-safety passages are explanatory, not operational instruction. Local emergency guidance takes precedence. The advice to leave, warn others, close doors where safe and call emergency services follows London Fire Brigade escape guidance; no reader should delay escape to identify a fuel class.

What People Get Wrong and Use It

The seven corrections were chosen for consequence rather than novelty. The fire-making correction rests on material pairing and tinder thresholds. The cooking correction separates mechanism from date. The landscape correction uses fire regime. The flame correction uses heat and smoke. The water correction reflects fuel-specific extinction hazards. The modernity correction separates point of use from supply chain.

The control-system, hidden-fire and moved-work lenses are authorial syntheses built from combustion, archaeology and energy history. They are meant to improve questions, not replace professional fire engineering, ecological management or life-cycle analysis.

Scope and neighbouring titles

This book owns fire as a physical process and as a human system of capture, maintenance, production, use and scaling. *Human Origins in a Hurry* owns the full hominin lineage. *Cooking in a Hurry* owns kitchen technique and food transformation in depth. *Energy in a Hurry* owns the complete modern source-to-service system. *Climate in a Hurry* owns greenhouse physics and attribution, *Pollution in a Hurry* owns exposure and disease across contaminants, and *Disasters in a Hurry* owns vulnerability, emergency response and recovery. Shared material is retained only where the fire model would otherwise fail.

Bibliography

Original evidence and data

Alperson-Afil, Nira. "Continual Fire-Making by Hominins at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel." *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, nos. 17-18 (2008): 1733-1739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.06.009>.

Alperson-Afil, Nira, Gonen Sharon, Mordechai Kislev, Yoel Melamed, Irit Zohar, Shosh Ashkenazi, Rivka Rabinovich, et al. "Spatial Organization of Hominin Activities at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel." *Science* 326, no. 5960 (2009): 1677-1680. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180695>.

Berna, Francesco, Paul Goldberg, Liora Kolska Horwitz, James Brink, Sharon Holt, Marion Bamford and Michael Chazan. "Microstratigraphic Evidence of In Situ Fire in the Acheulean Strata of Wonderwerk Cave, Northern Cape Province, South Africa." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109, no. 20 (2012): E1215-E1220. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117620109>.

Carmody, Rachel N., Gil S. Weintraub and Richard W. Wrangham. "Energetic Consequences of Thermal and Nonthermal Food Processing." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, no. 48 (2011): 19199-19203. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112128108>.

Davis, Rob, Marcus Hatch, Sally Hoare, Simon G. Lewis, Claire Lucas, Simon A. Parfitt, Silvia M. Bello, et al. "Earliest Evidence of Making Fire." *Nature* 649 (2026): 631-637. Published online 10 December 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-09855-6>.

Glasspool, Ian J., and Robert A. Gastaldo. "Silurian Wildfire Proxies and Atmospheric Oxygen." *Geology* 50, no. 9 (2022): 1048-1052. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G50193.1>.

Goren-Inbar, Naama, Nira Alperson, Mordechai E. Kislev, Orit Simchoni, Yoel Melamed, Adi Ben-Nun and Ella Werker. "Evidence of Hominin Control of Fire at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel." *Science* 304, no. 5671 (2004):

725-727. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1095443>.

Hlubik, Sarah, Francesco Berna, Craig Feibel, David Braun and John W. K. Harris. "Researching the Nature of Fire at 1.5 Mya on the Site of FxJ20 AB, Koobi Fora, Kenya, Using High-Resolution Spatial Analysis and FTIR Spectrometry." *Current Anthropology* 58, suppl. 16 (2017): S243-S257. <https://doi.org/10.1086/692530>.

Marin-Monfort, M. Dolores, Candice L. Shaw, Filipe Natalio, Liron Grossman, Peter Andrews, Joaquín Campos, Sara García-Morato, et al. "New Evidence for Early Pleistocene Use of Fire at Wonderwerk Cave, South Africa." *PLOS ONE* 21, no. 6 (2026): e0347480. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0347480>.

Sorensen, Andrew C., Emilie Claud and Marie Soressi. "Neandertal Fire-Making Technology Inferred from Microwear Analysis." *Scientific Reports* 8 (2018): 10065. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28342-9>.

Stepka, Zane, Ido Azuri, Liora Kolska Horwitz, Michael Chazan and Filipe Natalio. "Hidden Signatures of Early Fire at Evron Quarry (1.0 to 0.8 Mya)." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119, no. 25 (2022): e2123439119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2123439119>.

Vandiver, Pamela B., Olga Soffer, Bohuslav Klima and Jiri Svoboda. "The Origins of Ceramic Technology at Dolni Vestonice, Czechoslovakia." *Science* 246, no. 4933 (1989): 1002-1008. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.246.4933.1002>.

Wiessner, Polly W. "Embers of Society: Firelight Talk among the Ju/'hoansi Bushmen." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, no. 39 (2014): 14027-14035. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404212111>.

Zohar, Irit, Marion Prevost, Ido Toffolo, Ruth E. B. Blasco, Jordi Rosell, Naama Goren-Inbar, et al. "Evidence for the Cooking of Fish 780,000 Years Ago at Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Israel." *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 6 (2022): 2016-2028. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01910-z>. Author correction published 2023.

Modern works and reference sources

Alperson-Afil, Nira, and Naama Goren-Inbar. *The Acheulian Site of Gesher Benot Ya'aqov, Volume II: Ancient Flames and Controlled Use of Fire*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3765-7>.

Babrauskas, Vytenis. *Ignition Handbook: Principles and Applications to Fire Safety Engineering, Fire Investigation, Risk Management and Forensic Science*. Issaquah, WA: Fire Science Publishers, 2003.

Beard, Mary, John North and Simon Price. *Religions of Rome, Volume 1: A History*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Boyce, Mary. *Zoroastrians: Their Religious Beliefs and Practices*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge, 2001.

Bowman, David M. J. S., Jennifer K. Balch, Paulo Artaxo, William J. Bond, Jean M. Carlson, Mark A. Cochrane, Carla M. D'Antonio, et al. "Fire in the Earth System." *Science* 324, no. 5926 (2009): 481-484. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1163886>.

Carmody, Rachel N., and Richard W. Wrangham. "The Energetic Significance of Cooking." *Journal of Human Evolution* 57, no. 4 (2009): 379-391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2009.02.011>.

Drysdale, Dougal. *An Introduction to Fire Dynamics*. 3rd ed. Chichester: Wiley, 2011.

Energy Institute. *Statistical Review of World Energy 2026*. London: Energy Institute, 2026.

Gowlett, J. A. J. "The Discovery of Fire by Humans: A Long and Convolved Process." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 371, no. 1696 (2016): 20150164. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0164>.

Hurley, Morgan J., et al., eds. *SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering*. 5th ed. New York: Springer, 2016.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Climate Change 2021: The*

Physical Science Basis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022.

Lake, Frank K., and Amy Cardinal Christianson. "Indigenous Fire Stewardship." In *Encyclopedia of Wildfires and Wildland-Urban Interface Fires*, edited by Samuel L. Manzello. Cham: Springer, 2019.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51727-8_225-1.

Lavoisier, Antoine-Laurent. *Elements of Chemistry, in a New Systematic Order, Containing All the Modern Discoveries*. Translated by Robert Kerr. Edinburgh: William Creech, 1790.

London Fire Brigade. "Houses and Converted Flats: Escape Plan."
Accessed 4 September 2026.

<https://www.london-fire.gov.uk/safety/the-home/escape-plan/escape-plan-houses-and-c>

Partington, J. R. *A History of Greek Fire and Gunpowder*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1998. First published 1960.

Pyne, Stephen J. *Fire: A Brief History*. 2nd ed. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 2019.

Rehder, J. E. *The Mastery and Uses of Fire in Antiquity*. Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2000.

Rein, Guillermo. "Smouldering Combustion Phenomena in Science and Technology." *International Review of Chemical Engineering* 1, no. 1 (2009): 3-18.

Roebroeks, Wil, and Paola Villa. "On the Earliest Evidence for Habitual Use of Fire in Europe." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, no. 13 (2011): 5209-5214. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018116108>.

Scott, Andrew C. *Burning Planet: The Story of Fire Through Time*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

Smil, Vaclav. *Energy and Civilization: A History*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2017.

Tinniswood, Adrian. *By Permission of Heaven: The Story of the Great Fire of London*. London: Jonathan Cape, 2003.

Williamson, Bhiemie. "Cultural Burning and Public Forests: Convergences and Divergences between Aboriginal Groups and Forest Management in South-Eastern Australia." *Australian Forestry* 85, no. 1 (2022): 1-5.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00049158.2022.2054134>.

World Health Organization. "Household Air Pollution and Health." Updated 16 December 2025. Accessed 4 September 2026.
<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/household-air-pollution-and-health>.

Wrangham, Richard. *Catching Fire: How Cooking Made Us Human*. New York: Basic Books, 2009.