

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Everest

in a Hurry

The summit, the queue, and the cost

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 30

Use It 35

Terms 39

Go Deeper 43

Notes and Sources 44

Bibliography 52

The Whole Thing in One Page

Everest is usually presented as the purest individual contest on Earth: one climber, one summit, one photograph above the clouds. That picture is incomplete. The mountain is shared by Nepal and China, stands in a region inhabited long before Europeans measured it, and is climbed through a system built by hundreds of other people. Even the summit rock began as limestone on a warm sea floor.

The mountain exists because India is still pressing into Eurasia. That collision raised the Himalaya and keeps the range moving. At 8,848.86 metres, Everest pushes a human body into air whose pressure is about one third of that at sea level. Oxygen still makes up about 21 per cent of the air, but pressure is too low to drive much of it from lungs into blood. Thought can slow, heat leaks away, appetite and digestion falter, and judgement can deteriorate. Acclimatisation helps. Bottled oxygen helps more. Neither makes the summit a normal place.

Its names reveal the first cost. Chomolungma or Qomolangma, the Tibetan name, long preceded Mount Everest. Sagarmatha, the official Nepali name, came later. The British survey turned Peak XV into a global object and attached the surname of a former Surveyor General who had never seen it. The expeditions that followed were reported as national assaults, though local knowledge, porters and Sherpa climbers carried much of the enterprise. Seven porters died in an avalanche in 1922 before any foreign climber died on Everest.

The first confirmed ascent in 1953 was made by Tenzing Norgay and Edmund Hillary together. Behind their final steps stood the Swiss attempt of the previous year, Griffith Pugh's physiological work, John Hunt's planning, a chain of camps, fixed ropes, oxygen cylinders and scores of load carries. That pattern remains. A modern client reaches the summit through a supply chain: permits, forecasts, cooks, doctors, guides, ladder teams, route fixers, oxygen workers and high-altitude Sherpa labour. The summit photograph contains one or two faces. The achievement contains many more people.

Commercial climbing widened access without widening the mountain. Most climbers use the same two routes. On the south side they must pass through the Khumbu Icefall, climb the Lhotse Face, occupy the South Col and move along a narrow summit ridge. Most also wait for the same brief fall in the jet-stream winds. When hundreds choose the same forecast, the route becomes a queue. The famous photograph from 2019 was not an accident of bad manners. It exposed a capacity problem produced by weather, permits, fixed ropes, oxygen, ambition and a ridge that cannot be made broader. Congestion did not explain every death that season, but it consumed the spare time, warmth and oxygen that help people survive other problems.

The costs spread unevenly. Clients pay money and accept danger. Nepali workers make repeated journeys through the most hazardous ground. The state collects revenue. Khumbu communities gain jobs and absorb pressure. Waste, human excrement, abandoned equipment and bodies remain difficult to remove. Regulation can improve the system, but no rule can repeal hypoxia, falling ice or the need to descend.

Everest is therefore less a story of conquering nature than of organising access to a place that will not accommodate us. The summit is real. So is the queue. The cost is everything the photograph leaves outside the frame.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

In May 2019, a line of climbers stood almost motionless below the summit of Everest. Their bright suits made the ridge look festive from a distance. Up close, each person was breathing from a finite cylinder, losing heat, consuming daylight and waiting in air that steadily damaged the mind required to make good decisions. The photograph travelled around the world as evidence that Everest had become absurd. It was more useful than that. It showed what happens when private ambition meets a shared bottleneck.

That is the first reason to care. Everest is a severe model of capacity. The mountain has no interest in demand. A government can issue more permits, companies can sell more places and manufacturers can produce better equipment, but a fixed rope still admits one body at a time. The weather may offer only a few acceptable summit days. The safest route may cross one moving icefall and one narrow ridge. When access expands faster than physical capacity, queues appear. The same pattern governs roads, hospitals, power grids, ports and every system designed around averages but tested at the peak.

The second reason is physiological. Everest marks a border between the body you know and the body you have. At sea level, breathing is automatic and recovery follows exertion. Near the summit, sleep repairs little, food becomes hard to absorb and the brain can mistake decline for confidence. Fitness helps a climber perform work but does not prevent acute mountain sickness. Acclimatisation is not training in the usual sense. It is a partial redesign of breathing, blood and metabolism under pressure. The mountain makes visible how narrow the conditions for ordinary human competence are.

The third reason is moral. The language of climbing is full of singular verbs: Hillary climbed, Mallory attempted, a client summited. Everest is made possible by plural labour. Nepali guides carry oxygen, establish camps, cook, forecast, rescue and cross the Khumbu Icefall repeatedly while many clients cross it only during their own rotations. The Icefall Doctors place and maintain the ladders before the season can begin. Recognition and exposure are distributed by different rules. Once you see that on Everest, many other celebrated individual achievements look different.

Then there is the history. The mountain has been a sacred presence, a blank on imperial maps, a prize for states, a laboratory for human survival, a workplace, a commodity and a symbol used by everyone from empires to advertisers. Tenzing Norgay and Edmund Hillary reached the summit together, yet the stories told about them were shaped by nationality, class and who controlled the press. The name Everest itself is an artefact of

survey and empire laid over older names that never disappeared.

There is also a reason to care about decisions under pressure. Everest strips away the comforting belief that more information always produces better judgement. A climber can know the forecast, oxygen remaining, turnaround time and symptoms, then still continue because years of training, money, identity and public expectation all point upwards. At extreme altitude the brain evaluating that pressure is itself impaired. The summit therefore teaches a hard distinction between making a plan and preserving the ability to obey it. The return journey is where the distinction becomes final.

The mountain also exposes the difference between a warning and a usable escape. Knowing that conditions are worsening matters only while oxygen, daylight, strength and route space remain to act. Information delivered after the margin has gone is accurate and useless. Everest is a lesson in the timing of decisions.

None of this means the climb is fraudulent. The final ascent remains brutally hard, and the descent can be harder. Commercial support does not cancel courage. It changes what courage depends on and who pays for it. Everest matters because it places achievement, risk, labour, money and nature on one narrow ridge where none can be discussed alone.

The Core Ideas

A Mountain Made by Collision

Begin with the oldest surprise. The highest rock on Earth was once under water.

The summit pyramid of Everest contains limestone formed in a shallow tropical sea roughly 450 million years ago. Marine fossils survive in the rock. The mountain did not rise because the seabed swelled neatly upwards beneath it. Much later, the Indian plate moved north and collided with Eurasia. Rock was folded, faulted, buried, heated and thrust over other rock. The collision began around 50 million years ago and continues. India still

presses north. The Himalaya remain a moving boundary rather than a finished monument.

That matters because the public image of Everest is static. It appears as a single white triangle with a number attached. Geologically it is a temporary shape produced by competing processes. Tectonic movement lifts rock. Rivers, glaciers, frost and landslides cut it down. Earthquakes rearrange slopes in seconds. The official height, 8,848.86 metres, is a measurement of a moving surface reached through agreed definitions about snow, gravity, sea level and national borders.

The number has its own politics. Nepal and China once published different heights because one measurement included the summit snow and another emphasised rock. After the 2015 earthquake prompted new concern about movement, survey teams used satellite positioning, gravity measurements and ground observations. The two governments jointly announced 8,848.86 metres in 2020. Agreement mattered almost as much as the extra centimetres. A mountain on a border requires a shared answer if its height is to function as a world record.

Height itself has more than one meaning. Everest is the highest point above mean sea level. Mauna Kea is taller when measured from its submarine base. Ecuador's Chimborazo is farther from the centre of the Earth because the planet bulges at the equator. These are not clever objections to Everest's status. They show that every record contains a measuring rule. The mountain became the highest only after surveyors built a common system in which heights could be compared.

Even Everest's exceptional elevation may owe something to an unusual river history. A 2024 modelling study argued that capture of one river system by another increased erosion nearby, lightened the crust and allowed isostatic rebound to raise Everest and neighbouring peaks by perhaps 15 to 50 metres. The estimate is a model, not a new origin story. The plate collision built the range. Erosion may have helped shape which peaks stand highest within it.

The mountain's structure creates the first condition that the rest of the book will repay. Everest is high because a continental collision forced too much rock into too little horizontal space. Its climbing routes inherit that compression. Broad demand meets narrow ridges, steep faces, moving glaciers and a small number of passages through them. The modern queue ultimately meets a geometry created by geology.

The same geology also defeats the language of conquest. Climbers do not defeat a passive object and leave it conquered. Routes change. Seracs collapse. The Khumbu Icefall can move downhill by about a metre in a day. The summit can be reached one morning and closed by wind the next. A successful ascent proves that a person passed through a temporary arrangement of rock, ice and weather. Nothing more permanent has happened to the mountain.

A Third of an Atmosphere

The summit does not lack oxygen. The proportion of oxygen in the air is still close to 21 per cent. What collapses with altitude is pressure, and pressure is what pushes oxygen across the lungs and into the blood.

Near the summit, barometric pressure averages roughly one third of sea-level pressure. Each breath therefore contains far fewer oxygen molecules. A resting climber must ventilate furiously to maintain an arterial oxygen level that would trigger an emergency in a hospital. The heart works harder, the blood becomes more alkaline as carbon dioxide is blown off, and the kidneys slowly compensate. Maximal oxygen consumption near the summit falls to a fraction of its sea-level value. Walking can become a sequence of steps followed by pauses for breath.

The damage is not confined to spectacular illness. Sleep fragments because breathing becomes unstable. Appetite fades when the body needs fuel. Dry air and heavy ventilation increase water loss. Fine motor tasks become clumsy, and a person can lose the ability to recognise their own decline. High altitude is therefore a decision environment in which the instrument making the decision is degrading.

Acclimatisation is the body's partial answer. Within hours, breathing increases. Over days, kidneys alter acid-base balance so greater ventilation can continue. Over weeks, other changes affect blood, circulation and cellular use of oxygen. None restores sea-level function. A climber who has acclimatised can work where an unacclimatised person may collapse, but the margin remains thin. Above about 8,000 metres, often called the death zone, deterioration is faster than repair. The phrase is dramatic but useful: the body cannot establish a stable long-term life there.

Altitude illness is not one problem. Acute mountain sickness commonly brings headache, nausea, fatigue and poor sleep. High-altitude cerebral oedema, or HACE, involves swelling and dysfunction in the brain, with confusion and loss of coordination. High-altitude pulmonary oedema, or HAPE, fills the lungs with fluid through pressure changes in the pulmonary circulation. Both can kill. Descent is the decisive treatment. Oxygen and medication can buy time, but no summit is worth converting a reversible illness into a fatal delay.

Fitness does not confer immunity. A strong climber may move faster and carry more, yet susceptibility to altitude illness varies in ways that training cannot erase. Previous success is useful evidence, not a guarantee. The same person can respond differently on different trips because ascent rate, illness, sleep, hydration and chance have changed.

Sherpa populations have lived at high altitude for many generations and show population-level adaptations. Research has found differences in oxygen handling, blood flow, metabolism and muscle energetics that can improve performance under hypoxia. That does not mean every Sherpa is an elite climber, or that Sherpa workers are safe from altitude illness, cold, falls and avalanches. Population-level adaptation changes physiological averages. It does not make any individual immune to altitude illness, cold, falls or avalanche.

Supplemental oxygen alters the operating environment. It can improve warmth, movement and judgement, and most modern summiters use it. The system still has failure points: regulators ice, masks leak, cylinders empty,

caches are misplaced and high flow rates consume reserves quickly. Oxygen turns an impossible margin into a workable one for many people. It does not turn Everest into sea level. The climber is still borrowing competence from a bottle with a clock attached.

The Mountain Has More Than One Name

Mount Everest is a British name attached to a mountain that British surveyors could not enter and that local people had long known.

On the Tibetan side, the established name is commonly rendered Chomolungma or Qomolangma, often translated as Goddess Mother of the World. In Nepal the official name is Sagarmatha, usually translated as Forehead of the Sky or Goddess of the Sky. Sagarmatha is not an untouched ancient label recovered from every local village. It was promoted in the twentieth century, associated especially with the historian Baburam Acharya, and adopted by the Nepali state. The names carry different histories. What they share is a refusal to let one colonial surname exhaust the place.

The English name emerged from the Great Trigonometrical Survey of India. Surveyors observed the peak from the plains because Nepal and Tibet were closed to them. Human computers reduced angles, corrected for refraction and curvature, and compared stations across immense distances. In 1856, Surveyor General Andrew Waugh announced that Peak XV appeared to be the world's highest. Accounts often award the decisive calculation to Radhanath Sikdar, an Indian mathematician in the survey, though the exact division of credit is disputed and the work was collective. Replacing one lone discoverer with another would miss the large imperial measurement system hidden behind the announcement.

Waugh proposed naming the peak for his predecessor, George Everest. Everest had favoured local names where possible and objected that his own surname could not be written or pronounced easily in the languages of India. The Royal Geographical Society adopted it in 1865. A man who had never seen the mountain became more internationally visible than the

people who lived beneath it.

National naming added another layer. Nepal opened the southern route in the 1950s and made Sagarmatha part of the state's public geography. China uses Qomolangma and administers the northern side through Tibet. Climbers cross a natural ridge that is also an international border. Permits, rescue rules, communications and access depend on which slope they occupy, although the summit wind recognises neither jurisdiction.

North of the summit, Rongbuk Monastery stood beside the glacier before the first British attempt. Founded in 1902 amid older meditation caves and hermitages, it was a religious centre within the landscape through which the 1920s expeditions travelled. Their camps, photographs and films arrived in a valley that already contained pilgrimage, ritual and local authority. The northern route was no more culturally empty than Khumbu.

The Sherpa story is equally vulnerable to flattening. Sherpa is the name of an ethnic group with roots in eastern Tibet and a long history in the high valleys of Nepal. It is not a synonym for porter or guide. Sherpa communities in Khumbu built villages, monasteries, trade routes, farming systems and religious relationships with the landscape before mountaineering became a major industry. The sacred character of mountains did not always produce a simple ban on climbing. Belief, employment, hospitality, prestige and risk have interacted in changing ways.

A climbing expedition entering Khumbu passes through an inhabited Buddhist landscape. Many teams hold a puja at Base Camp before moving onto the mountain. A lama blesses climbers and equipment, and offerings are made. Foreigners sometimes treat the ceremony as colourful insurance. For participants it can express respect, community obligation and the moral seriousness of entering dangerous ground.

Names matter because they determine who appears to own the story. A map can make a mountain seem newly found. A national flag can make a shared labour system look like one country's triumph. A job title can turn a people into a service. Everest becomes more intelligible when its names are

kept together rather than forced to compete. Chomolungma, Sagarmatha and Everest describe overlapping worlds: sacred presence, national territory, measured record and global commodity.

A Summit Is a Supply Chain

The final ridge is climbed by a person. The possibility of reaching it is manufactured by a system.

In 1953, John Hunt's expedition moved like a temporary state. It carried food, tents, fuel, medical supplies, communications equipment and 160 oxygen cylinders towards the mountain. Camps were established and stocked in sequence. Routes were explored, ladders and ropes placed, loads relayed, weather watched and climbers selected for successive attempts. Tom Bourdillon and Charles Evans reached the South Summit on 26 May but turned back after oxygen trouble and delay. Three days later, Tenzing Norgay and Edmund Hillary used the route, camps and knowledge already built, then added their own judgement on the last unknown section.

Modern expeditions use better equipment and a more mature route, but the system is recognisably similar. On the Nepal side, a specialist team known as the Icefall Doctors enters the Khumbu Icefall before most clients. They choose a line through moving blocks and crevasses, install ladders and fixed ropes, inspect them, shift them and repair damage. Higher teams fix the route towards the South Col and summit. Camps are erected and supplied. Oxygen cylinders move upward in large numbers. Cooks, base-camp managers, doctors, meteorologists, guides, communications staff and rescue coordinators support the operation.

The chain now begins long before Nepal. Some clients sleep in hypoxic tents at home or use altitude rooms, then join shortened programmes intended to reduce weeks in Base Camp. Operators purchase group equipment, contract staff, reserve helicopters and negotiate the annual division of rope-fixing work. The product is assembled across countries before a cylinder reaches the South Col.

A client may therefore buy something more complex than guiding. The

product can include permission, transport, food, tents, route access, forecasts, oxygen, load carrying, medical oversight and help with decisions. At the expensive end, the aim is to remove as many uncertainties as money and labour can remove. The remaining uncertainties are the ones that cannot be purchased away.

This does not make the client a passenger. Above the South Col, nobody can be carried in the ordinary sense for long. A climber must move, clip safely, tolerate cold, manage oxygen and descend while exhausted. A guide can advise, share equipment, change a regulator, offer a rope or attempt a rescue. The guide cannot lend a functioning brain to someone whose brain is failing.

The supply chain also has dependencies that are easy to miss. Fixed ropes create safety and concentration at once. Oxygen raises success rates and increases the loads that must be carried. Better forecasts reduce exposure to storms and cause teams to converge on the same days. Helicopters speed rescue and logistics below certain altitudes but cannot extract a collapsed climber from the upper ridge under ordinary conditions. Each improvement solves one problem while reshaping another.

Redundancy is expensive but visible only when something fails. Spare regulators, extra cylinders, reserve guides and conservative turnaround times look wasteful during an easy season. They are the capacity that absorbs error when the forecast slips, a client slows or a bottle does not work. Cheapness often enters the system by removing margins rather than removing advertised services.

The mountain is often compared with a ladder because climbers move through standard camps. A better comparison is a launch system. The summit bid is the visible moment after weeks of preparation, repeated rotations and staged resources. A late failure can make all previous work useless. A small component, a mask valve, a rope anchor, a forecast or a decision at a turnaround time, can determine the result.

This is why arguments about whether a guided summit is authentic usually

miss the interesting question. Almost every Everest ascent has depended on collective organisation. The relevant differences are how much support is used, who performs it, whether the dependence is acknowledged, and whether the people carrying the system receive a fair share of money, authority, safety and credit.

The Work Is Unequally Dangerous

Everest risk is usually counted per person. Labour risk must also be counted per journey.

A visiting climber may cross the Khumbu Icefall several times during acclimatisation and the final ascent. A high-altitude worker may cross it repeatedly while establishing camps, carrying cylinders and supporting several clients. If the probability of an ice collapse on any one passage is low, repeated exposure still accumulates. The worker and client can stand in the same place and face different occupational risk because one must return through it far more often.

The pattern began early. In 1922, a British expedition made the first serious summit attempts and the first use of bottled oxygen on Everest. During a later attempt, an avalanche swept away porters on the North Col approach. Seven died. They were the first recorded fatalities of the Everest campaign. The expedition literature remembered the ambitions and arguments of the foreign climbers in detail. The dead workers were more easily compressed into a number.

On 18 April 2014, a collapse in the Khumbu Icefall killed sixteen Nepali high-altitude workers. Most were preparing the route and carrying loads before clients had made their summit attempts. The disaster produced grief, anger and a labour stoppage. Arguments over insurance, compensation and whether the season should continue exposed the commercial structure plainly. The mountain had not killed a random cross-section of everyone present. It had struck people who were in the most dangerous place because the industry required them there.

The following year, the Nepal earthquake sent an avalanche into Base

Camp and killed eighteen people in the most commonly used count. That event was less tied to one occupation, but it reinforced the vulnerability of a dense seasonal settlement built beneath unstable ice and rock. Everest risk reaches below the death zone.

Records can hide the labour pattern too. Expedition databases were built around teams and summits, while a worker's many carries may appear as one season of participation. A client who turns back and a guide who supplies three camps can occupy adjacent rows despite radically different exposure. Better statistics have improved the comparison, but no table fully captures how often each person entered the hazard.

Long-run data complicate any simple claim that Sherpa workers always die at a higher rate. A 2026 update using the Himalayan Database found that overall mortality above Base Camp fell from about 1.4 per cent in 1921 to 2006 to 0.7 per cent in 2007 to 2024. For spring summit descents in the later period, recorded mortality was higher for climbers than for Sherpas. Safer equipment, forecasting, rescue, route knowledge and professional skill matter. So does the choice of denominator. Per participant, per summit attempt, per season and per passage through hazardous ground answer different questions.

The ethical problem therefore cannot be reduced to a slogan about victims and customers. Sherpa guides are skilled professionals, expedition owners, record holders and decision-makers. Many value the work, the income and the status. The same industry can create opportunity and place unequal burdens on those who have fewer economic alternatives. Respect requires holding both facts without turning workers into either heroic servants or helpless casualties.

A fair system would ask who controls the schedule, who can refuse a load, how insurance and compensation work, whether guides can turn clients around, how profit is divided, and whose name appears in the record. Risk cannot be equal on Everest. Power over risk can be less unequal than it has been.

The Weather Window Is the Real Gate

Everest is climbable in theory for months. In practice, the summit is often available for days.

For much of the year, the subtropical jet stream blows across the upper Himalaya. At summit level, fierce winds can strip heat, knock a person off balance and make movement or rescue impossible. The spring climbing season aims for a transition before the summer monsoon, when the jet may shift north and summit winds fall. Autumn offers another possibility but usually attracts fewer expeditions. Winter is colder and windier. The monsoon brings cloud, snow and avalanche danger.

The usable window is not a fixed annual holiday. Forecasters read global models, pressure patterns, wind speeds, precipitation and local observations. Teams then make a decision under uncertainty: move early and risk a forecast that deteriorates, or wait and risk losing the remaining season. A forecast is not a permission slip. Mountain weather can differ sharply over short distances, and the upper route has few places to shelter.

Wind is only one gate. Fresh snow can raise avalanche risk. Cloud can destroy visibility. Extreme cold can freeze regulators and damage skin rapidly. Heat lower on the route can destabilise snow bridges in the Icefall. A forecast that looks good at the summit may still leave a dangerous approach, and conditions that suit one fast team may not suit a slower one.

The window controls the whole system below. Before a summit push, climbers must have completed enough acclimatisation, recovered at lower altitude, stocked the South Col, positioned oxygen and assembled guides. Fixed ropes must reach the summit. The Icefall route must remain open. A suitable forecast that arrives before these dependencies are ready is wasted. A prepared team without a forecast remains parked.

Once the forecast looks favourable, rational decisions create collective congestion. Every operator sees the same low winds. Every climber knows the permit and acclimatisation clock is running. Nobody wants to abandon a season after spending weeks and a large sum of money. Teams may

spread across neighbouring days, but the safest period can still compress hundreds of people onto one route.

This is the important correction to the queue story. Crowds are not produced only by reckless climbers who all choose the same morning. They are produced by a shared weather gate. Better forecasting has saved lives by helping teams avoid severe storms. It also synchronises movement. The information is valuable and its collective effect is concentration.

The 2019 season made the mechanism visible. Nepal had issued a record number of permits, while difficult weather left fewer suitable summit days than the previous year. A photograph by Nirmal Purja showed a long line near the summit. Eleven people died during the season. Crowding increased time at extreme altitude and made descent harder for some, but it was not a single explanation for every death. Exhaustion, altitude illness, individual decisions, operator quality, timing and underlying health also mattered.

A weather window is therefore a capacity limit disguised as a forecast. The mountain may be wide at Base Camp and narrow on the ridge, but time narrows it again. The number that matters is not how many people can stand on Everest over two months. It is how many prepared climbers can pass safely through the upper route during the few hours when weather, ropes, oxygen and daylight align.

Access Scaled; the Mountain Did Not

The first Everest expeditions were huge because almost nothing was known. Modern expeditions are huge because the route is known so well that many people can buy access to it.

The early British campaigns were national projects with military habits. They carried large staffs into Tibet, explored approaches and built camps through repeated load relays. Success was rare. After the 1953 ascent, climbers sought harder lines and lighter styles. In 1963, Tom Hornbein and Willi Unsoeld climbed the West Ridge and completed the first traverse of the mountain. Junko Tabei became the first woman to summit in 1975. Reinhold

Messner and Peter Habeler made the first confirmed ascent without bottled oxygen in 1978, and Messner returned in 1980 for the first complete solo ascent. These climbs treated Everest as a place for new problems rather than one standard prize.

Commercial guiding expanded a different idea: the established route could become a service. Companies organised logistics and put clients with varying levels of experience under professional supervision. The model did not begin in 1996, but the disaster that May fixed it in public memory. A storm caught climbers high on both sides of the mountain and eight died in the central events of 10 and 11 May. Late summit times, rope delays, oxygen, exhaustion, judgement, leadership, weather and crowding all entered the dispute. The commercial setting shaped decisions and expectations, but no single-cause verdict survives the competing records.

It also changed who owned the business. Foreign guide companies dominated the early commercial image, but Nepali firms became major operators, employers and record-makers. That shift matters. The industry is not a fixed relationship in which outsiders command and Sherpas carry. Capital, expertise and decision-making have moved, even while the most dangerous repetitive work remains heavily Nepali.

The industry continued because it solved a real demand. Many people wanted Everest without devoting a life to exploratory alpinism. Nepali operators grew from suppliers of labour into major expedition businesses. Equipment improved, route fixing became regular, forecasts became more precise and supplemental oxygen use became more efficient. Success rates rose and overall mortality fell. The mountain became more accessible and, in important statistical senses, safer.

Yet scaling access changes the kind of danger. A small team risks isolation. A large system risks congestion, uneven operator standards and dependence on shared infrastructure. Fixed ropes can support hundreds until a section fails. Oxygen can support many clients until a cache is wrong. A low-cost operator can widen access by reducing margins that are invisible in good weather. The upper mountain has no spare lane for the market to

open.

Nepal now charges foreign climbers 15,000 US dollars for a spring permit on Everest's normal route, under the royalty schedule effective from 1 September 2025. The permit is only one part of the expedition bill. Nepal has also tightened guide and waste requirements. Proposals to require prior high-altitude experience have advanced through parliament, but as of August 2026 the detailed experience rule remained under legislative consideration rather than settled law.

In spring 2026, Nepal issued 494 Everest permits. After the season, the Department of Tourism reported 1,008 successful ascents from the Nepal side, a record in its reporting, while contemporary reporting recorded five deaths on the mountain. Summit certification can lag the climbing itself, so these are best read as the official and contemporary season totals available by August 2026 rather than as an unchangeable historical database. The scale still makes the point. An ascent once achieved by a national expedition after decades of failure can now be repeated hundreds of times in a season. It still requires thousands of correct acts.

The loop closes where it began. Continental collision built height by compressing rock. Commercialisation built demand by compressing uncertainty into a purchasable package. Both meet on the same narrow ridge. Access can scale through money, labour and organisation. The atmosphere, weather window and route geometry cannot. The queue is what that mismatch looks like from above.

How It Actually Works

The mountain enters the map

In the 1840s and 1850s, Everest was a distant triangle seen through instruments from the plains of northern India. Nepal barred foreign survey parties, and Tibet was beyond British control. Surveyors therefore measured from far away, linking observation stations through triangles and reducing the results by hand. The work depended on towers, chains, theodolites, clear air, repeated angles and teams of Indian calculators whose names rarely travelled with the result.

Peak XV was one of several Himalayan summits under comparison. In 1856, Andrew Waugh announced a calculated height of 29,002 feet and identified it as the highest known point. The result was remarkably close to modern measurements despite the distance, instruments and corrections involved. The story is often told as a moment of discovery. It was better understood as the moment a local mountain entered a global ranking system.

The name Mount Everest followed in 1865. It made the peak easier for British institutions to claim in language while it remained inaccessible in practice. For another half-century, European climbers could admire it and do little else.

The northern door

The approach itself depended on an imperial network running through Darjeeling and Sikkim. Expeditions recruited Sherpa and other Himalayan workers there, bought animals and supplies, negotiated with Tibetan officials and crossed inhabited trade country before reaching the glacier. The photographs often isolate the mountain at the end. The expedition reached it through markets, monasteries, villages and political permissions.

The first workable approach came through Tibet. In 1921, a British reconnaissance expedition crossed the plateau, mapped the northern and eastern sides, and identified a route through the East Rongbuk Glacier to

the North Col. George Mallory was central to the exploration. He saw the mountain first as a problem in terrain: where the glaciers led, which ridge connected, where camps might stand. The expedition did not make a full summit attempt. It converted a blank into a route.

In 1922, the British returned with a larger force. George Finch and Geoffrey Bruce used bottled oxygen and reached roughly 8,320 metres, a height record. Oxygen was controversial. Some climbers regarded it as an artificial aid that spoiled the test. The argument could flourish because most had not yet experienced what the summit atmosphere did to work and judgement.

The expedition was also learning that altitude reorganised ordinary tasks. Loads that looked manageable below became exhausting above the North Col. Oxygen sets were heavy and unreliable, yet Finch's performance made it harder to dismiss them. The debate over purity took place inside a logistical operation already dependent on hired carriers, camps and imported technology. There was never an unassisted starting point from which oxygen alone represented corruption.

The third attempt ended in the first Everest deaths. Mallory led porters up a snow slope towards the North Col after fresh snow. An avalanche released. Seven men were killed. Their deaths established a pattern that would recur: the labour of preparing the mountain exposed workers before the public drama of the summit began.

The 1924 expedition carried the strongest British attempt yet. Edward Norton climbed without bottled oxygen to about 8,570 metres in the Great Couloir, a height record that lasted for decades. On 8 June, Mallory and Andrew Irvine left the highest camp with oxygen. Noel Odell saw them as small figures high on the ridge before cloud closed. They did not return.

The uncertainty turns on route and time. The Northeast Ridge contained a series of rock steps, especially the Second Step, that may have been beyond the pair's equipment and remaining daylight. Odell's brief sighting is hard to place, and the oxygen cylinders recovered later do not reconstruct the whole attempt. Mallory carried a photograph of his wife that he intended

to leave on the summit; it was not found on his body. Absence invites stories more easily than proof.

Mallory's body was found in 1999. In September 2024, a National Geographic team found a boot, a sock embroidered "A.C. Irvine" and partial human remains emerging from the Central Rongbuk Glacier. They are believed to be Irvine's, although no public DNA confirmation had been announced by August 2026. The camera that might contain evidence remains missing. The discovery narrowed part of the mystery without establishing whether the pair reached the top.

Whether they summited remains unknown. The distinction between possible and confirmed matters. A summit is established by evidence of reaching the highest point and returning with an account that can be checked. Everest gives no medal for a plausible last sighting.

British expeditions tried again from the north in 1933 and 1938. Climbers reached great height but not the summit. War interrupted the campaign. Then politics changed the map. After the Chinese takeover of Tibet, the northern route closed to Western expeditions. Nepal, which had resisted foreign entry, began admitting them. The mountain did not move. The available side reversed.

The southern door

In 1951, Eric Shipton led a reconnaissance through Khumbu. The route rose from the new southern Base Camp through the Khumbu Icefall, into the Western Cwm, up the Lhotse Face to the South Col, then along the Southeast Ridge. The Icefall was the immediate obstacle: a broken river of ice where towers lean, crevasses open and the route changes beneath the climber.

The change also shifted Sherpa participation. The early northern expeditions recruited much of their workforce through Darjeeling. The southern route entered Khumbu itself, placing the expedition industry beside Sherpa villages and turning a remote seasonal campaign into a growing local economy. Trails, lodges, schools, air access and mountaineering

employment would all develop around the traffic that followed.

The Swiss received the 1952 permit. Raymond Lambert and Tenzing Norgay reached roughly 8,600 metres on the Southeast Ridge, higher than anyone had climbed on that side. They spent a miserable night without proper sleeping bags and were stopped by exhaustion and oxygen trouble. Their expedition proved the route and gave Tenzing experience at its highest reaches. The British team arriving in 1953 inherited more than a line on a map. It inherited a recent rehearsal.

John Hunt was selected to lead the 1953 expedition, replacing Shipton, whose preference for smaller exploratory teams did not fit the planned operation. Hunt organised a large, disciplined assault. Griffith Pugh, a physiologist, supplied some of the least romantic and most decisive knowledge. He had studied acclimatisation and oxygen use in the Himalaya and pressed the expedition to treat hydration, diet, rest, clothing and gas flow as linked engineering problems. At altitude, a badly chosen oxygen rate could exhaust cylinders before they had delivered the performance required. Dehydration could turn cold and fatigue into collapse. Success depended on the system holding together.

The expedition established nine camps. Loads moved repeatedly through the Icefall and up the mountain. On 26 May, Tom Bourdillon and Charles Evans used a closed-circuit oxygen apparatus and reached the South Summit. Evans's equipment was malfunctioning, the final ridge remained ahead and the day was running. They turned back.

The final partnership had been selected through performance rather than national symbolism. Hillary, a New Zealand beekeeper and experienced climber, had proved strong through the Icefall. Tenzing had been higher on Everest than any other member and had developed judgement across several expeditions. Their skills overlapped rather than fitting a simple leader-assistant pattern. Each could move, cut steps, manage oxygen and recognise the other's condition.

Hillary and Tenzing moved into the last camp with Ang Nyima, Gregory and

Lowe supporting the preparation below. They left at about 6.30 in the morning on 29 May. Above the South Summit they followed a corniced ridge to a steep rock step. Hillary found a way up a crack between rock and overhanging snow, the feature later named the Hillary Step. At about 11.30, he and Tenzing reached the summit.

They stayed around fifteen minutes. Hillary photographed Tenzing holding his ice axe. Tenzing left sweets as an offering. Hillary looked for signs of Mallory and Irvine and found none. There was no photograph of Hillary on top because Tenzing had not used a camera. The pair descended to meet George Lowe. Hillary's reported greeting, that they had knocked the mountain off, became famous because it made a huge logistical achievement sound like a job completed before lunch.

News reached Britain on the morning of Queen Elizabeth II's coronation. The ascent was absorbed into a national story at once. Yet Tenzing was not British, and the insistence on asking which man had stepped onto the summit first exposed how badly the public wanted a sole winner. Hillary and Tenzing maintained that they had reached it together. Hillary later clarified that he stepped up first while Tenzing followed on the rope. The order of a few feet changed nothing important about the climb.

After the first

Once the normal route had been climbed, Everest changed from an unsolved summit into a collection of harder questions. The 1963 American expedition placed Jim Whittaker and Nawang Gombu on the summit by the Southeast Ridge, then supported Tom Hornbein and Willi Unsoeld on the West Ridge. Hornbein and Unsoeld crossed the top and descended the South Col route, meeting Barry Bishop and Lute Jerstad and surviving a night out high on the mountain. The traverse showed that Everest could be climbed as a route rather than merely occupied as a point.

In 1975, Junko Tabei of Japan became the first woman to summit, with Ang Tsering, after her expedition had survived an avalanche lower on the mountain. In 1978, Reinhold Messner and Peter Habeler reached the top

without bottled oxygen, proving that the human limit lay just beyond what many physiologists had expected. Messner returned in 1980 for the first undisputed complete solo ascent from the north without bottled oxygen. These achievements reduced support and accepted narrower margins. They did not make the large expedition obsolete. They created different categories of ascent.

The normal routes meanwhile became more repeatable. Commercial guiding developed through the 1980s and 1990s. A client could join an organised team with professional leadership, fixed logistics and established camps. The economic product was not certainty. It was a reduction in the number of problems the client had to solve independently.

The 1996 disaster exposed the limits. On 10 May, several teams climbed from the South Col. Rope-fixing delays and congestion slowed movement. Some climbers reached the summit after conventional turnaround times. A storm closed around teams descending on both the Nepalese and Tibetan sides. Eight people died in the central disaster, including guides Rob Hall and Scott Fischer, and twelve died during the season. Accounts by Jon Krakauer and Anatoli Boukreev disagreed sharply about decisions and responsibility. The enduring lesson is not that one person caused everything. The system had too little spare capacity when delays, late summits, exhaustion, oxygen problems and weather arrived together.

The south route today

The other normal route begins in Tibet. It avoids the Khumbu Icefall but faces its own hazards, including the exposed Northeast Ridge and the Second Step. Access from the Chinese side has often been more restricted and politically variable. Most commercial traffic now concentrates on Nepal, which makes the southern system the clearest place to see modern Everest operating.

A modern Nepal-side expedition usually takes about six to nine weeks, though rapid-ascent services attempt to shorten time on the mountain through pre-acclimatisation. Climbers trek through Khumbu to Base Camp

at roughly 5,364 metres. The settlement can contain dining tents, communications, medical facilities, kitchens and hundreds of people. Before climbing begins, teams commonly hold a puja.

The Khumbu Icefall comes first. Climbers leave in the cold hours when the ice is more stable, cross ladders over crevasses and clip into fixed ropes. Above it lies Camp I and the Western Cwm, a broad glacial valley that can trap intense solar heat despite the altitude. Camp II, or Advanced Base Camp, becomes the main operational base higher on the route.

Acclimatisation traditionally requires rotations. A climber sleeps at progressively higher camps, returns to Base Camp or lower villages to recover, then repeats the route. The apparent waste is the mechanism. Exposure stimulates adaptation, while descent allows sleep and repair that the upper camps cannot provide. Some modern programmes use weeks of hypoxic training before arrival and fewer rotations, but the mountain still tests whether the preparation transfers.

From Camp II, climbers ascend the Lhotse Face on fixed lines to Camp III. The face is steep, hard blue ice in places, and movement is controlled by ascenders on the rope. Above, the route crosses the Yellow Band and Geneva Spur to Camp IV on the South Col, near 7,900 metres. The col is a windswept saddle at the lower edge of the death zone. Teams rest on oxygen, melt water and wait for the summit night.

Summit-night organisation tries to prevent one mass departure. Operators assign start times, oxygen flow plans and guide ratios. Yet speed differences soon mix the groups. Passing is difficult on exposed fixed lines, and a climber moving down may meet a line moving up. Removing a glove to operate a carabiner, waiting at an anchor or changing a cylinder is a small delay at sea level and a meaningful expenditure above 8,000 metres.

Most leave late in the evening. They climb through the Balcony, where oxygen bottles may be changed, then towards the South Summit. The route follows the exposed ridge past the area of the former Hillary Step. A 2015 earthquake may have altered the feature, though snow cover and changing

perspectives complicate claims that it vanished. From the main summit, the climber must reverse the entire upper route.

The descent is not an appendix. Fatigue is greater, oxygen may be low, daylight and weather can deteriorate, and celebratory attention can weaken discipline. Historical studies found many deaths above 8,000 metres occurred after the summit. Modern support and oxygen have improved outcomes, but the structural problem remains: success places the climber at the farthest point from safety.

The machine under strain

In 2014, the Icefall collapse killed sixteen Nepali workers and halted the season after disputes over safety and compensation. In 2015, the Nepal earthquake sent an avalanche into Base Camp, killing eighteen in the commonly cited count and closing the mountain again. The two disasters were different. Together they ended any illusion that the prepared route had domesticated Everest.

The route itself changed after 2014. Icefall workers sought a line farther from the hanging glaciers on Everest's western shoulder, trading some hazards for others. That is how Everest safety improves in practice: not through one permanent solution, but through seasonal choices made on moving terrain by people who must enter it first.

The industry resumed and grew. In 2019, permits, a short weather window and shared route timing produced the famous upper-mountain queue. Eleven climbers died that season. The photograph encouraged a simple equation between line length and death. The stronger conclusion is that congestion consumes margins. Waiting uses oxygen and heat, delays descent and makes it harder for a sick person to move against upward traffic. It becomes deadly when combined with the body's decline and other failures.

Commercial growth also altered the upper camps. More tents, cylinders and fixed lines increased what had to be carried in and out. Human waste became a separate engineering problem because cold and ice prevent

ordinary decomposition. Rules require climbers to remove specified amounts of waste, and recent regulation has tightened the handling of excrement. Enforcement and traceability remain harder above Base Camp than a regulation suggests.

Environmental management has grown alongside traffic. The Sagarmatha Pollution Control Committee manages waste systems in the region and recruits the Icefall Doctors. Its 2022/23 report recorded more than 60 tonnes of waste handled at Base Camp and nearly nine tonnes brought down from above under the eight-kilogram rule. Those totals include human waste and ordinary camp rubbish as well as abandoned climbing material. Removal from the upper mountain remains hard and dangerous. Bodies can require large teams, favourable conditions and substantial money to move.

Everest now operates as a seasonal piece of infrastructure laid across moving ice. It can be managed better or worse. It cannot be made stable.

How we know

Everest has an unusually rich record and an uneven one. Survey reports, expedition books, photographs, maps, physiological studies and government permit lists document the foreign campaigns in detail. The Himalayan Database, created by Elizabeth Hawley and maintained by a continuing team, records expeditions, routes, summits, oxygen use and deaths across the Nepal Himalaya. It is the main source for long-run statistical work, though early hired workers and unsuccessful local participants were not always recorded as fully as expedition members.

Some famous questions remain open. No surviving evidence proves that Mallory and Irvine reached the summit in 1924. The partial remains believed to be Irvine's, found in 2024, did not include the missing camera or summit evidence. Heights from early expeditions are estimates tied to old instruments and maps. Death counts after large avalanches can differ slightly by source and definition. Modern permit totals do not equal the number high on the mountain because guides and workers may be counted separately.

The clearest evidence comes where independent records converge: route logs, summit photographs, witness accounts, oxygen data, weather observations and expedition statistics. Where the mountain kept the evidence, certainty ends there.

What People Get Wrong

"Everest is the tallest mountain on Earth"

It is the highest above mean sea level, which is the record climbers usually mean. It is not the longest mountain from base to summit. Mauna Kea rises more than 10,000 metres from the floor of the Pacific, though much of it is underwater. Everest is also not the point farthest from the centre of the Earth. That is near the summit of Chimborazo in Ecuador because the planet bulges at the equator.

Climbers still use sea level because it measures the vertical reduction in atmospheric pressure that defines the challenge. A base-to-summit record would make a different geological comparison, but it would not describe the thin air at Everest's top.

The correction matters because height is not a property that exists without a rule. Sea level is a reference surface, and even that must be modelled around a planet with tides, gravity variations and an uneven shape. Everest's official 8,848.86 metres is not a natural label waiting on the summit. It is a measurement produced by instruments, definitions and agreement between Nepal and China. The mountain remains highest in the sense that shaped its history. The qualification makes the claim more precise, not less impressive.

"The British discovered Everest"

British India measured and internationally named the peak. It did not bring the mountain into human knowledge. Tibetan maps and names preceded the Great Trigonometrical Survey, and communities lived, traded and worshipped within the Himalayan landscape long before a theodolite was pointed at Peak XV.

The survey deserves its own credit. It linked distant observations with impressive precision, corrected for curvature and refraction, and enabled comparison across a range that the observers could not cross. Rejecting the word discovery does not require pretending the measurement was trivial.

The discovery story survives because measurement changed the mountain's global status. Once surveyors could compare it with other peaks, Everest became the world's highest point within a common system. That was a major technical achievement, built by British and Indian labour. It was not first contact with an empty place.

The distinction changes whose history counts. Calling the mountain discovered in the nineteenth century makes local knowledge look like scenery and imperial classification look like creation. The survey found a record. It did not find the mountain.

"Hillary climbed it first"

Edmund Hillary and Tenzing Norgay made the first confirmed ascent together on 29 May 1953. Hillary climbed the final rock step first and stepped onto the summit moments before Tenzing because they were tied on the same rope and one person had to move ahead. Treating that order as the result converts teamwork into a race neither man claimed to be running.

Tenzing had already taken part in several Everest expeditions and had reached exceptional height with Raymond Lambert in 1952. Hillary brought strength, technical skill and calm problem-solving. The rope joined two highly qualified climbers rather than a hero and a helper.

The myth grew because British and New Zealand audiences were primed for a national hero, while newspapers pressed for a single first man. Tenzing was a Sherpa climber born in the Himalayan world, resident in India and claimed by several national stories. His position did not fit the clean imperial narrative.

There is a second qualification. Mallory and Irvine may have reached the summit in 1924, but no evidence confirms it, and they did not return. The partial remains believed to be Irvine's were found in 2024, but the camera is still missing and the summit question remains open. The first-ascent record belongs to what can be established: Tenzing and Hillary together.

"Sherpas are born able to breathe up there"

Sherpa is an ethnic identity, not a job or a biological superpower. Populations with long residence at high altitude do show inherited adaptations that can improve oxygen delivery and energy use under hypoxia. Individuals vary widely, and training, experience, acclimatisation, health and equipment still matter.

The category itself also hides other Himalayan workers. Not every high-altitude employee is ethnically Sherpa, and Nepali climbing teams include people from several mountain communities. Using Sherpa for every worker erases those identities while reducing Sherpa identity to employment.

The myth is persuasive because elite Sherpa climbers perform extraordinary work with an ease foreign clients cannot match. Biology contributes. So do childhood exposure, occupational repetition, route knowledge, technique and selection into a demanding profession. A worker who crosses the Icefall twenty times is not protected from a falling serac by efficient metabolism.

The correction matters in both directions. Denying adaptation ignores strong evidence. Treating adaptation as immunity makes deaths look inexplicable and dangerous work look natural. Sherpa guides are skilled professionals operating with some physiological advantages and all the ordinary

vulnerability of human bodies.

"Bottled oxygen makes Everest safe"

Supplemental oxygen improves performance and survival margins. It can help a climber think more clearly, move faster and stay warmer. Most summiters use it, and the modern success rate would be far lower without it.

Different systems also deliver different effective support. A high flow can make movement easier and drain a bottle quickly. A low flow preserves supply while leaving a narrower physiological margin. The plan must account for pace, caches and descent rather than the summit alone.

The equipment does not remove hypoxia. Flow rates vary, masks leak, regulators fail and cylinders run out. A person using oxygen at the summit is still functioning under severe cold, dehydration, fatigue and reduced atmospheric pressure. Oxygen can also encourage a climber to enter terrain they could not survive if the system failed.

The moral argument that oxygen is cheating has faded because the mountain has become a guided objective for many people. The opposite error has replaced it: treating oxygen as a guarantee. It is better understood as life-support equipment with limited duration. Every bottle creates capability and a dependency.

"The queue is what kills people"

A queue can be dangerous. Waiting high on Everest consumes oxygen, heat and daylight, and it can block a sick climber's descent. The 2019 photograph captured a real capacity failure.

Crowding can even be a symptom of a safer choice. Teams concentrate on the best forecast rather than spread into worse weather. The problem is not that concentration is irrational. It is that the shared route lacks enough managed capacity for every rational plan to coexist.

It did not provide a diagnosis for every death that season. Climbers die from falls, avalanches, altitude illness, exposure, exhaustion, underlying medical

problems and decisions made before the line forms. Long-run studies show that commercial and non-commercial expeditions have not always had sharply different death rates. Crowding is one factor inside a system.

The statistics are awkward because a photograph records density at one moment, while mortality studies follow people across a season. A line can be severe on one day and absent on another. The absence of a simple population-level effect does not erase the delay faced by a particular sick climber.

The myth persists because the photograph gives death a visible villain. The stronger lesson is less convenient. Congestion erodes the spare margin that allows other problems to be corrected. The line may not cause the initial failure. It can turn a manageable failure into one from which there is no time to recover.

"Commercial climbing has made Everest easy"

Commercial climbing has made the normal routes more accessible, more repeatable and statistically safer than the early campaigns. Those are substantial changes. Easy is the wrong word.

The statistics need the same care. A lower death rate today reflects better systems, but the population attempting the mountain has changed and exposure is not identical across eras. Safer than the 1920s does not mean safe in any ordinary sense.

A guided client still spends weeks at altitude, crosses objective hazards, climbs through the night, depends on equipment and must descend from a place where the body is deteriorating. Better systems reduce uncertainty. They do not abolish effort or consequence.

The myth has two users. Critics use it to dismiss clients as tourists. Operators can use the opposite fantasy, that professional support controls every risk, to sell confidence. Both ignore the same fact: the system works by concentrating skill and labour around the client. The ascent becomes feasible for more people because other people solve more of its problems.

That changes the nature of the achievement. It does not make the summit harmless.

Use It

Find the bottleneck, not the average capacity

Base Camp can hold hundreds of people. The summit ridge cannot move them at the same rate. A two-month climbing season can look spacious on a calendar while only a few forecast days are suitable. Capacity is determined by the narrowest stage at the busiest time, not by the average amount of room in the system.

Carry that lens elsewhere. A hospital may have enough beds across a year and too few emergency staff on a winter evening. A port may have enough cranes but no spare rail path inland. A company may hire quickly and have one person who can approve every contract. The queue identifies the constraint more honestly than the total resources do.

A bottleneck can shift after intervention. Add ropes near the summit and the constraint may become oxygen changes at the Balcony. Increase theatre capacity and recovery beds may become scarce. Improvement therefore requires measuring the whole path again rather than declaring victory at the stage that received investment.

Measure throughput during the peak, waiting time at each hand-off and the size of the reserve when one case runs late. Average utilisation can remain comfortable while the critical hour has no slack. The queue is often the first reliable instrument in the room.

The Everest question is: how many prepared climbers can pass through the upper route, change oxygen, summit and descend within the safe hours of a favourable day? The equivalent question in another system often reveals why adding demand, money or lower-stage capacity makes the problem worse.

Count the system behind the individual

The summit photograph is a device for deleting dependencies. It shows the person who arrived and hides the survey, permit, fixed line, forecast, meals, oxygen caches, ladder maintenance, load carries and rescue plan.

This does not mean individual achievement is imaginary. Hillary and Tenzing still had to climb the final ridge. A modern client still has to move through extreme altitude. The useful correction is to account for the complete production function. Ask which tasks made the visible result possible, which people performed them, and whether the credit follows the work.

One practical method is to rewrite the result in verbs. Who measured, carried, cleaned, maintained, scheduled, checked and repaired? Nouns such as expedition, company or laboratory often hide the people doing those actions. Restoring the verbs restores the system.

The lens applies to science, business, sport and public life. A chief executive's decision contains analysts, administrators and technicians. A surgeon's outcome contains nurses, cleaners, blood services and schedulers. The person at the top may be excellent. Excellence is often a property of the system expressed through one face.

Ask who carries the repeated exposure

One passage through a hazardous place and twenty passages through it are not the same risk. Everest makes this obvious because a high-altitude worker may cross the Icefall repeatedly to prepare one client's ascent.

In ordinary organisations, the same error appears when risk is measured per task rather than per worker. A manager visits a dangerous site once and judges it tolerable. A contractor enters it every day. A customer uses a platform for minutes while a moderator sees disturbing content for a shift. A doctor orders an urgent procedure while a small team absorbs the repeated night work.

Frequency can be harder to see than danger. Serious incidents attract

reports, while uneventful repeated entries disappear into routine. Ask for the denominator: shifts worked, crossings made, calls handled or hours spent in the hazardous condition. Without it, a low incident count can conceal heavy accumulated risk.

Count exposure as well as severity. Then ask who has authority to refuse, who receives the benefit, who is insured and whose injury is treated as the cost of doing business. The fairest safety rule may be the one that reduces repeated journeys, not the one that makes each journey look slightly better on paper.

Separate hazard, exposure and vulnerability

A serac is a hazard. Being beneath it is exposure. Being exhausted, poorly equipped or unable to retreat is vulnerability. Disaster requires the three to interact.

This distinction prevents two common mistakes. The first is fatalism: Everest is dangerous, so nothing can be improved. The second is control fantasy: a good operator can make Everest safe. The icefall cannot be regulated out of existence, but its route, timing and number of crossings can change. High altitude cannot be removed, but ascent rate, oxygen, medical screening and turnaround decisions can alter vulnerability.

The three parts suggest different interventions. Remove or reduce the hazard where possible. Keep fewer people in its path. Build reserves, training and escape routes for those who remain exposed. Treating all three as one problem usually produces a slogan rather than a safety design.

Use the same separation when thinking about floods, cyberattacks, financial shocks or disease. The hazard may be unavoidable. Exposure can often be limited. Vulnerability is frequently designed into the system through weak margins, poor information, unequal power or dependence on one component.

Price the costs outside the ticket

A commercial expedition has a price. Everest has costs that the price may not contain: waste removal, rescue, route maintenance, public administration, ecological pressure, worker injury and the burden on families after a death.

Economists call these externalities when they fall on people outside the transaction. The phrase can sound bloodless until the externality is a guide's family, a village water system or human waste stored in a shrinking glacier. A deposit and a carry-down rule are attempts to move part of the cost back into the transaction. Insurance does the same for some labour risk. Neither proves the full cost has been paid.

External costs can also arrive late. Waste emerges after the summit season, injuries affect a family for years, and environmental damage can reduce future tourism. A price set at the moment of purchase may look complete because the bill has not yet arrived.

The useful question is not whether an activity makes money. It is which costs remain after the buyer and seller have completed their exchange, who receives them, and whether the system gives that person any say.

The limits

Everest is a powerful model because the constraints are stark. Most systems are less visible. Their bottlenecks move, queues can be hidden, and outcomes may not be as final as a death on descent. Do not turn every workplace into a mountain metaphor or assume pressure always reveals character. Hypoxia often reveals damage, not truth.

The mountain also cannot settle the ethics of climbing. Some people conclude that wealthy clients buying high-altitude labour is exploitative. Others see an industry in which Nepali workers and owners have built expertise, income and global status. Both can point to real evidence. Outsiders should be cautious about solving the tension by removing work that local people may want, or by calling any paid choice fair because a

contract exists.

Everest is also unusually easy to moralise from safety. The climber, worker, operator, community and government face different trade-offs. A useful lens should improve the questions asked of them, not use the mountain to award virtue to people who never have to choose.

Nor does a systems account erase personal responsibility. Weather, labour and route design shape decisions, but climbers still choose when to turn round, what experience to gain and which operator to trust. A system can explain pressure without excusing every act performed under it.

The one thing to keep

Keep the frame wider than the summit photograph.

When you see a singular achievement, look for the narrow passage that controlled it, the people who prepared that passage and the costs left on either side. On Everest, the photograph says one person reached the top. The full frame says a continent built the height, a culture named the place, a state sold access, workers opened the route, weather admitted the attempt and a failing atmosphere set the terms.

The summit remains an achievement after all of that is visible. It becomes a more truthful one. The highest point is not where the story ends. It is where every dependency meets.

Terms

Chomolungma. The long-established Tibetan name for Everest, often translated as Goddess Mother of the World. Qomolangma is a common modern romanisation used in China. The spelling varies because Tibetan sounds enter English through different systems.

Sagarmatha. Nepal's official name for Everest, promoted in the twentieth century and commonly translated as Forehead of the Sky or Goddess of the Sky.

Sherpa. An ethnic group with roots in eastern Tibet and a major population in Nepal's high valleys. The word should not be used as a synonym for porter. Sherpa people work in many professions within and beyond the mountains.

Khumbu. The Nepali region south of Everest, containing Sherpa settlements, monasteries, trekking routes, Sagarmatha National Park and the main approach to southern Base Camp. Namche Bazaar is its main trading and tourism centre.

Puja. A religious ceremony held by many expeditions before climbing. A lama blesses people and equipment, and offerings express respect and seek safe passage. The rite places climbing inside a social and religious relationship.

Sirdar. The senior local expedition leader who traditionally organised workers, loads and camp operations. The role carries authority and should not be confused with a general porter. Modern expedition companies may use different managerial titles.

Icefall Doctor. A specialist Nepali route worker who establishes and maintains the ladder and rope route through the Khumbu Icefall during the climbing season. The route must be checked as the glacier moves.

Base Camp. The main expedition settlement at the foot of a route. Everest has separate northern and southern Base Camps under Chinese and Nepali administration. Each is a workplace and logistics hub, not a single tent.

Khumbu Icefall. The broken, moving lower section of the Khumbu Glacier. Crevasses, towers and collapses make it one of the southern route's greatest objective hazards. Objective means the hazard is not created by climbing skill.

Western Cwm. The broad glacial valley above the Icefall. Pronounced coom, the Welsh word for a bowl-shaped valley, it can trap intense daytime heat. Solar radiation reflects from snow and surrounding walls.

Lhotse Face. A steep wall of hard snow and ice leading towards the South

Col. Climbers ascend fixed ropes using mechanical ascenders. Climbers may queue where ropes or anchors become congested.

South Col. The high saddle between Everest and Lhotse, around 7,900 metres. Camp IV is placed here before the final summit attempt. The body cannot recover properly during a long stay there.

South Summit. A subsidiary summit around 8,749 metres on the Southeast Ridge. It is close to the top but still separated from it by exposed ground. Turning round there can still save a life.

Hillary Step. The steep rock feature below the summit climbed by Hillary in 1953. Its form may have changed after the 2015 earthquake. Snow cover makes before-and-after comparisons difficult.

North Col. The saddle reached from Tibet between Everest and Changtse. It formed the key gateway for the early British northern expeditions. Camps above it lead towards the Northeast Ridge.

Northeast Ridge. The standard upper route from the Tibetan side, passing the First, Second and Third Steps before joining the summit ridge.

Norton Couloir. The Great Couloir on the North Face, named after Edward Norton, who reached record height there without oxygen in 1924.

Death zone. The informal name for altitude above roughly 8,000 metres, where the body deteriorates faster than it can recover and prolonged survival is impossible.

Hypoxia. Inadequate oxygen available to body tissues. On Everest it results mainly from low atmospheric pressure rather than a lower percentage of oxygen in the air.

Hypobaric. Describing low pressure. Everest creates hypobaric hypoxia: oxygen remains about 21 per cent of the atmosphere, but each breath contains fewer molecules.

Acclimatisation. The body's partial adjustment to altitude through increased ventilation and other changes. It improves function but never

restores sea-level capacity near the summit.

AMS. Acute mountain sickness, usually involving headache with nausea, fatigue, dizziness or poor sleep after ascent. Worsening symptoms require stopping ascent and often descending.

HAPE. High-altitude pulmonary oedema, a dangerous accumulation of fluid in the lungs. Breathlessness at rest and declining performance are urgent warning signs.

HACE. High-altitude cerebral oedema, severe brain dysfunction marked by confusion, altered behaviour and loss of coordination. Immediate descent is the central treatment.

Supplemental oxygen. Oxygen carried in cylinders and delivered through a mask. It increases the usable oxygen pressure but creates dependence on flow, equipment and supply.

Fixed rope. A line anchored along a route for repeated use. Climbers clip in and use it for protection, movement and organisation on steep ground.

Ascender. A mechanical device, often called a jumar, that slides up a rope and grips when weighted, allowing a climber to climb a fixed line.

Serac. A tower or block of glacial ice formed by crevassing. Seracs can collapse without warning and are central hazards in the Khumbu Icefall.

Weather window. A forecast period when summit winds and precipitation fall within workable limits. Shared forecasts concentrate many teams into the same few days.

Turnaround time. A pre-set time for abandoning the summit attempt regardless of position. It protects the oxygen, strength and daylight required for descent.

Go Deeper

John Hunt, The Ascent of Everest (1953). Start with the official account of the successful expedition. It shows the scale of planning, camp-building and load carrying that disappears from the summit photograph, while chapters by team members preserve different voices. The prose carries the assumptions of a British national expedition and gives hired Himalayan labour less interior life than a modern reader will want. That limitation is part of its value as primary evidence. Use a recent edition with the original photographs if possible, because the camp sequence is easier to understand when the route can be seen. Read the appendices as well as the summit chapters: oxygen, food and transport reveal how thoroughly the victory was engineered.

Wade Davis, Into the Silence: The Great War, Mallory, and the Conquest of Everest (2011). Read this for the early British attempts and the damaged generation that made them. Davis connects the 1921, 1922 and 1924 expeditions to war, empire, science and personal loss without reducing Mallory to the line about climbing because the mountain was there. It is long and richly detailed, closer to the 400-page version this series replaces, but the narrative earns the scale. It is strongest on the human and imperial setting of the early attempts, and less useful for the modern commercial system.

Sherry B. Ortner, Life and Death on Mt. Everest: Sherpas and Himalayan Mountaineering (1999). Read this to move the Sherpa story from the edge to the centre. Ortner, an anthropologist, traces labour, religion, class, prestige and the changing relationship between foreign climbers and Sherpa communities. Some commercial details now belong to an earlier era, but the book supplies the social model that expedition narratives often lack. Read it beside a climbing account and notice how the same expedition changes when labour, kinship and status become the organising questions.

Ed Douglas, Himalaya: A Human History (2020). Read this to put Everest

back into the mountain range. Douglas covers geology, religion, trade, empire, war, states and communities across the Himalaya, showing how thin the mountaineering story becomes when detached from the people who live there. Everest occupies only part of the book, which is the reason to choose it. The range stops being a backdrop and becomes a historical world. It is broad rather than Everest-focused, so it works best after the basic route and expedition chronology are already clear. Its scale also corrects the habit of treating the Himalaya as a chain of famous summits with empty space between them.

Notes and Sources

Dates, heights and route descriptions are given in metric units, with early imperial figures retained only where they belong to the historical record. Everest lies on the Nepal-China border. This book uses Chomolungma when discussing the established Tibetan name, Qomolangma for the modern romanisation common in China, Sagarmatha for Nepal's official name, and Everest where it is the clearest international term. The source base was checked through 11 August 2026.

The Whole Thing in One Page and Why You Should Care

The official height of 8,848.86 metres comes from the joint Nepalese and Chinese announcement of 8 December 2020 and is the value used by Nepal's Department of Tourism. Summit barometric pressure varies with weather and season; roughly one third of sea-level pressure is the appropriate general description. The physiology follows Michael Grocott and colleagues' field measurements, the 2024 Wilderness Medical Society guidance, and John West's work on extreme altitude.

The account of Everest as a shared system is a synthesis of expedition records, the Himalayan Database, research on mortality and crowding, Sherry Ortner's work on Sherpa society, the Sagarmatha Pollution Control Committee's reporting, and current permit and regulatory material. The 2019

photograph was made by Nirmal Purja. Eleven deaths were recorded on Everest that season. The book treats congestion as a loss of safety margin rather than a universal cause because the statistical evidence does not support assigning every death to the queue.

A Mountain Made by Collision

The summit limestone and marine fossils are standard findings in Himalayan geology. The International Union of Geological Sciences and geological work on the Everest massif describe the summit Qomolangma Formation as Ordovician marine limestone, above metamorphic rocks separated by major faults. The India-Eurasia collision began around 50 million years ago and remains active. Local uplift, erosion and earthquake movement mean that no measured height should be treated as physically eternal. Bas Altena and Andreas Kääb measured surface velocities just over one metre per day in parts of the Khumbu Icefall, supporting the book's rounded description of a feature that can move about a metre in a day.

Xu Han and colleagues' 2024 *Nature Geoscience* paper modelled river capture, increased gorge incision and isostatic rebound near Everest. It estimated that this process may have added about 15 to 50 metres to the elevation of Everest and neighbouring peaks. The paper does not replace plate collision as the cause of the Himalaya. Its 2025 author correction concerned publication details rather than the geological conclusion.

Mauna Kea exceeds Everest when measured from its submarine base, while Chimborazo is farther from the Earth's centre because of the equatorial bulge. These comparisons use different definitions of height. Everest remains the highest point above mean sea level.

A Third of an Atmosphere

The oxygen fraction of dry air remains close to 21 per cent with altitude. The falling barometric pressure reduces the partial pressure of inspired oxygen. Grocott and colleagues measured arterial blood gases in climbers on Everest and reported extreme hypoxaemia at 8,400 metres. Their samples were below the summit because taking and analysing arterial blood at the highest point was impracticable.

The descriptions of acclimatisation, acute mountain sickness, high-altitude cerebral oedema and high-altitude pulmonary oedema follow the Wilderness Medical Society's 2024 clinical practice guidelines and the CDC Yellow Book. Fitness does not prevent altitude illness. Descent remains the decisive response to severe deterioration, while oxygen and medication can support evacuation.

The discussion of Sherpa adaptation is deliberately population-level. James Horscroft and colleagues found metabolic differences associated with high-altitude adaptation, adding to wider evidence on ventilation, circulation and oxygen use. The research does not support treating every Sherpa individual as unusually capable or immune to altitude illness, cold, trauma or avalanche.

The Mountain Has More Than One Name

Chomolungma appears in Tibetan usage and mapping before the British name. Transliteration and translation vary. Qomolangma is the form common in official Chinese English-language material. Sagarmatha was promoted in the twentieth century, especially through Baburam Acharya, and adopted by Nepal. It should not be presented as an uncontested ancient name used uniformly across Nepal.

The survey account draws on the Royal Geographical Society's history of the Great Trigonometrical Survey and later examinations of Indian participation. Andrew Waugh announced in 1856 that Peak XV was the highest known summit and proposed George Everest's surname. Radhanath Sikdar held a senior computational role, but surviving records do

not justify turning a collective chain of observations and calculations into a secure lone-discoverer story. The Royal Geographical Society adopted Mount Everest in 1865.

The account of Khumbu, Sherpa identity, religion and changing work draws chiefly on Ortner, Ed Douglas and UNESCO's material on Sagarmatha National Park. The Rongbuk passage also draws on the monastery history preserved in the PBS *Everest* archive. Sherpa is an ethnic identity, not a generic job title. The puja description represents a common expedition practice, not a single rule followed identically by every team or participant.

A Summit Is a Supply Chain

John Hunt's *The Ascent of Everest* is the central primary source for the 1953 expedition's organisation, camp sequence and summit attempt. Hunt and Pugh's contemporary reporting support the figure of 160 oxygen cylinders. Harriet Tuckey and Mayowa Olatunji and colleagues recover Griffith Pugh's contribution to acclimatisation, hydration, oxygen flow, clothing and nutrition. The Swiss attempt of 1952 established the southern route at great height and gave Tenzing Norgay direct experience with Raymond Lambert to roughly 8,600 metres. Early accounts vary slightly in the height assigned to their turning point.

The modern route description follows standard expedition practice and the Sagarmatha Pollution Control Committee's reports on the Icefall Doctors. Expedition packages differ widely. Pre-acclimatisation, guide ratios, oxygen flow, helicopter use and the amount of load carrying vary by operator and price. The book therefore describes a system rather than claiming that every client receives the same service.

The Work Is Unequally Dangerous

Charles Bruce's 1922 expedition account records the avalanche in which seven porters died on the North Col approach. Those were the first recorded deaths in the Everest expedition record. Names and spellings for early Himalayan workers are not preserved consistently across surviving English-language accounts, one reason the book avoids supplying uncertain identifications.

Reuters reporting and expedition records support the count of sixteen Nepali high-altitude workers killed in the Khumbu Icefall on 18 April 2014. The commonly cited count for the 2015 avalanche at Nepal-side Base Camp is eighteen, although some sources count nineteen depending on later confirmation and the geographical boundary used.

Paul Firth and colleagues' 2008 *BMJ* study established the first major long-run analysis of Everest mortality using the Himalayan Database. Ryan Dodge and colleagues extended the study through 2024 in *The Journal of Physiology* in 2026. They reported that mortality above Base Camp fell from about 1.4 per cent in 1921 to 2006 to about 0.7 per cent in 2007 to 2024. They also found different patterns for climbers and Sherpas: climbers more often died during summit-day descent, while Sherpa deaths were more strongly associated with route preparation and objective hazards. Rates depend heavily on whether the denominator is people, attempts, summit descents or repeated passages through dangerous terrain.

Ortner's anthropological account is the principal source for the argument that Himalayan climbing labour cannot be reduced either to passive exploitation or to free choice emptied of economic structure. The industry includes employees, guides, record holders and Nepali business owners with different degrees of power and exposure.

The Weather Window Is the Real Gate

The upper mountain is frequently affected by the subtropical jet. Tom Matthews and colleagues' 2022 paper describes the installation of weather stations reaching 8,810 metres and the value of direct observations near the summit. Forecast teams combine global models with local knowledge and expedition timing. A weather window is a probability judgement rather than a guarantee.

For 2019, the key facts are the high permit count, a reduced run of usable summit weather, the visible queue and eleven deaths. Raymond Huey and colleagues compared Everest outcomes across recent periods and found that their crowding index had risen sharply without a clear statistical effect on success or mortality in the 2018 and 2019 data. That finding does not prove queues harmless. It supports the narrower claim used here: congestion consumes oxygen, warmth, daylight and options, but individual deaths still require specific causal analysis.

Access Scaled; the Mountain Did Not

The post-1953 milestones are supported by expedition reports and the Himalayan Database. Tom Hornbein and Willi Unsoeld climbed the West Ridge and completed the first traverse in 1963. Junko Tabei reached the summit with Ang Tsering in 1975. Reinhold Messner and Peter Habeler made the first confirmed ascent without bottled oxygen in 1978. Messner made the first undisputed complete solo ascent, also without bottled oxygen, in 1980.

The 1996 account is intentionally plural. Jon Krakauer's *Into Thin Air* and Anatoli Boukreev and G. Weston DeWalt's *The Climb* disagree over decisions, guiding practice and responsibility. The Himalayan Database records eight deaths in the central events of 10 and 11 May and twelve on Everest during the season. The book retains the well-supported interacting factors and does not choose one memoir as a complete verdict.

Nepal's revised mountaineering rules tightened guide requirements on high peaks. The Department of Tourism's royalty schedule effective from 1

September 2025 sets the foreign spring permit for Everest's normal route at 15,000 US dollars. A Tourism Bill containing prior-experience requirements passed the National Assembly in February 2026, but lawmakers were still considering extensive amendments in the House of Representatives in July. The manuscript therefore treats the detailed experience requirement as proposed legislation, not settled law.

Nepal issued 494 Everest permits for spring 2026. The Department of Tourism reported 1,008 successful ascents from the Nepal side after the season, while Reuters reporting during the season put the death toll at five. Certification can lag an ascent, so the manuscript treats these as the best official and contemporary season figures available by 11 August 2026, not as an immutable Himalayan Database total.

Route sequence and evidence

The early chronology rests on the expedition volumes for 1922 and 1924, Wade Davis's synthesis, Royal Geographical Society records and the Himalayan Database. The frequently repeated claim that the calculated 29,000 feet was deliberately changed to 29,002 to avoid looking rounded is not securely documented and has been excluded. The 1856 published figure was 29,002 feet.

Mallory and Irvine's summit status remains unknown. Noel Odell's sighting, the recovered oxygen cylinders, Mallory's body and route reconstruction permit competing inferences but no confirmation. In September 2024, a National Geographic team found a boot, a sock embroidered "A.C. Irvine" and partial remains on the Central Rongbuk Glacier. They are believed to be Irvine's, but no public DNA confirmation was found by 11 August 2026. The camera remains missing. The first confirmed ascent therefore remains Tenzing Norgay and Edmund Hillary on 29 May 1953.

The 1953 times, camp sequence and summit details come from Hunt and the Royal Geographical Society. Bourdillon and Evans reached the South Summit on 26 May. Hillary and Tenzing left their final camp at about 6.30 a.m., reached the summit at about 11.30 a.m. and remained roughly fifteen

minutes. The precise form of Hillary's first greeting to George Lowe varies slightly in recollection, so the narrative uses the familiar reported version without quotation marks.

Modern route elevations vary by operator, map and seasonal camp placement. Base Camp is commonly given as about 5,364 metres and the South Col as roughly 7,900 metres. The Hillary Step's post-earthquake form remains disputed because snow cover, viewing angle and movement of surrounding material complicate comparison.

The Sagarmatha Pollution Control Committee's 2022/23 annual report recorded 60,488 kilograms of waste managed at Everest Base Camp and 8,954 kilograms brought down from above Base Camp under the eight-kilogram rule. Categories include ordinary camp waste and human waste. These figures describe material handled in that reporting year, not the total amount remaining on the mountain.

What People Get Wrong, Use It and Terms

The seven corrections draw on the same evidence above. The distinction between hazard, exposure and vulnerability follows disaster-risk practice and is applied here to climbing. The bottleneck, repeated-exposure and external-cost lenses are the author's synthesis rather than claims attributed to a single Everest source.

Term definitions reflect common Everest usage. Exact camp heights and the informal boundary of the death zone are approximate. The death zone usually means altitude above about 8,000 metres, not a sharp physiological line. Chomolungma and Sagarmatha translations vary, which is why the entries give common renderings rather than claiming a single uncontested English equivalent.

Bibliography

Primary sources, expedition accounts and records

Boukreev, Anatoli, and G. Weston DeWalt. *The Climb: Tragic Ambitions on Everest*. New York: St Martin's Press, 1997.

Bruce, Charles Granville, and members of the expedition. *The Assault on Mount Everest, 1922*. London: Edward Arnold & Co., 1923.

Dyhrenfurth, Norman G. "Americans on Everest, 1963." *Alpine Journal* 69 (1964).

Hawley, Elizabeth, and the Himalayan Database team. *The Himalayan Database: The Expedition Archives of Elizabeth Hawley*. Database release consulted August 2026.

Hunt, John. *The Ascent of Everest*. London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1953.

Pugh, L. G. C. E. "Scientific Aspects of the Expedition to Mount Everest, 1953." *Geographical Journal* 120, no. 2 (1954): 183-192.

Krakauer, Jon. *Into Thin Air: A Personal Account of the Mount Everest Disaster*. New York: Villard Books, 1997.

Norton, Edward F., and members of the expedition. *The Fight for Everest: 1924*. London: Edward Arnold & Co., 1925.

Royal Geographical Society. "Everest: From Reconnaissance to the First Ascent." Archival and historical material consulted August 2026.

Sagarmatha Pollution Control Committee. *Annual Report 2022/23*. Namche Bazaar: SPCC, 2023.

Government of Nepal, Department of Tourism. *Mountaineering in Nepal: Facts and Figures 2026*. Kathmandu: Department of Tourism, July 2026. Official mountaineering royalty schedule effective 1 September 2025.

Modern works and research

Altena, Bas, and Andreas Kääb. "Ensemble Matching of Repeat Satellite Images Applied to Measure Fast-Changing Ice Flow, Verified with Mountain Climber Trajectories on Khumbu Icefall, Mount Everest." *Journal of Glaciology* 66, no. 260 (2020): 905-915. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.66>.

Davis, Wade. *Into the Silence: The Great War, Mallory, and the Conquest of Everest*. London: The Bodley Head, 2011.

Dodge, Ryan E., Hui Zheng, Jeremy S. Windsor, Andrew I. Sutherland, Christopher H. Imray, G. W. Kent Moore, John L. Semple, Robert C. Roach, Richard A. Salisbury, and Paul G. Firth. "Updates to Mortality on Mount Everest: 1921-2024." *The Journal of Physiology* (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1113/JP290840>.

Douglas, Ed. *Himalaya: A Human History*. London: The Bodley Head, 2020.

Firth, Paul G., Hui Zheng, Jeremy S. Windsor, Andrew I. Sutherland, Christopher H. Imray, G. W. Kent Moore, John L. Semple, Robert C. Roach, and Richard A. Salisbury. "Mortality on Mount Everest, 1921-2006: Descriptive Study." *BMJ* 337 (2008): a2654. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.a2654>.

Grocott, Michael P. W., Daniel S. Martin, Denny Z. H. Levett, Roger McMorrow, Jeremy Windsor, Hugh E. Montgomery, and the Caudwell Xtreme Everest Research Group. "Arterial Blood Gases and Oxygen Content in Climbers on Mount Everest." *New England Journal of Medicine* 360, no. 2 (2009): 140-149. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0801581>.

Han, Xu, Jin-Gen Dai, Adam G. G. Smith, Shi-Ying Xu, Bo-Rong Liu, Cheng-Shan Wang, and Matthew Fox. "Recent Uplift of Chomolungma Enhanced by River Drainage Piracy." *Nature Geoscience* 17 (2024): 1031-1037. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01535-w>.

Han, Xu, Jin-Gen Dai, Adam G. G. Smith, and others. "Author Correction: Recent Uplift of Chomolungma Enhanced by River Drainage Piracy." *Nature Geoscience* 18 (2025): 197. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-025-01643-1>.

Horscroft, James A., Aleksandra O. Kotwica, Verena Laner, James A. West, Philip J. Hennis, Denny Z. H. Levett, David J. Howard, Bernadette O. Fernandez, Sarah L. Burgess, Zsuzsanna Ament, Edward T. Gilbert-Kawai, André Vercueil, Blaine D. Landis, Kay Mitchell, Monty G. Mythen, Cristina Branco, Randall S. Johnson, Martin Feelisch, Hugh E. Montgomery, Julian L. Griffin, Michael P. W. Grocott, Erich Gnaiger, Daniel S. Martin, and Andrew J. Murray. "Metabolic Basis to Sherpa Altitude Adaptation." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114, no. 24 (2017): 6382-6387. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700527114>.

Huey, Raymond B., Cody Carroll, Richard Salisbury, and Jane-Ling Wang. "Mountaineers on Mount Everest: Effects of Age, Sex, Experience, and Crowding on Rates of Success and Death." *PLOS ONE* 15, no. 8 (2020): e0236919. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236919>.

Luks, Andrew M., Beth A. Beidleman, Luanne Freer, Colin K. Grissom, Linda E. Keyes, Scott E. McIntosh, George W. Rodway, Robert B. Schoene, Ken Zafren, and Peter H. Hackett. "Wilderness Medical Society Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Acute Altitude Illness: 2024 Update." *Wilderness & Environmental Medicine* 35, no. 1 supplement (2024): 2S-19S. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wem.2023.05.013>.

Hackett, Peter H., and David R. Shlim. "High-Altitude Travel and Altitude Illness." In *CDC Yellow Book 2026: Health Information for International Travel*. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2025.

Matthews, Tom, Baker Perry, Arbindra Khadka, Tenzing Gyalzen Sherpa, Dibas Shrestha, Deepak Aryal, Subash Tuladhar, Nirakar Thapa, Niraj Pradhananga, Pete Athans, Dawa Yangzum Sherpa, and others. "Weather Observations Reach the Summit of Mount Everest." *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 103, no. 12 (2022): E2827-E2835. <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-22-0120.1>.

Myrow, Paul M., Nigel C. Hughes, Michael P. Searle, C. M. Fanning, S. C. Peng, and S. K. Parcha. "Stratigraphic Correlation of Cambrian-Ordovician

Deposits along the Himalaya: Implications for the Age and Nature of Rocks in the Mount Everest Region." *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 121, nos. 3-4 (2009): 323-332. <https://doi.org/10.1130/B26384.1>.

Olatunji, Mayowa A., Stephen Cornish, Phillip Gardiner, and Gordon G. Giesbrecht. "Contributions of Griffith Pugh to Success on Mt. Everest and His Impact on the Advancement of Altitude and Environmental Physiology." *Wilderness & Environmental Medicine* 35, no. 3 (2024): 366-373. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10806032241259499>.

Ortner, Sherry B. *Life and Death on Mt. Everest: Sherpas and Himalayan Mountaineering*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999.

PBS NOVA. "Rongbuk Monastery." *Everest* online archive. Consulted August 2026.

Tuckey, Harriet. *Everest: The First Ascent*. London: Rider, 2013.

International Union of Geological Sciences. "The Ordovician Rocks of Mount Everest." IUGS Geological Heritage Sites. Consulted August 2026.

UNESCO World Heritage Centre. "Sagarmatha National Park." Site record and state-of-conservation material consulted August 2026.

West, John B. *High Life: A History of High-Altitude Physiology and Medicine*. New York: Springer, 1998.

Current reporting and regulation

Prasain, Sangam. "Nepal Bans Solo Expeditions on Everest and Other 8000ers." *Kathmandu Post*, 5 February 2025.

Prasain, Sangam. "Upper House Passes Tourism Bill with Tougher Everest Rules." *Kathmandu Post*, 14 February 2026.

Kunwar, Suraj. "Everest Spring Climbing Season Sets New Record with 1,008 Successful Summits." *Kathmandu Post*, 29 May 2026.

Prasain, Sangam. "Lawmakers Seek Tougher Everest Climbing Rules with 263 Amendments to Tourism Bill." *Kathmandu Post*, 22 July 2026.

National Geographic. "Remains of Sandy Irvine Believed Found on Everest after 100 Years." 11 October 2024.

Reuters. "Everest Tragedy Exposes Big Business behind Noble Pursuit." 27 April 2014.

Reuters. "All Climbers at Camps High up Everest Airlifted to Safety." 27 April 2015.

Reuters. "'Incompetent Climbers' Drive Everest Death Toll, Top Mountaineer Says." 6 June 2019.

Reuters. "Nepal Sharply Hikes Permit Fee for Everest Climbers." 22 January 2025.

Reuters. "Nepal Plans to Restrict Everest Permits to Experienced Climbers." 28 April 2025.

Reuters. "Record 274 Climbers Scale Mount Everest in a Single Day from Nepali Side." 21 May 2026.

Reuters. "Briton Makes Record Everest Climb by Foreigner, Two Die on Mountain." 22 May 2026.

Reuters. "Sherpa Rescued after Going Missing on Everest with No Food, Oxygen." 4 June 2026.