

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Chocolate

in a Hurry

From Aztec ritual to global habit

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 20

What People Get Wrong 29

Use It 34

Terms 39

Go Deeper 42

Notes and Sources 44

Bibliography 50

The Whole Thing in One Page

Chocolate looks like an ingredient. It is a manufacturing achievement.

Cut open a ripe cacao pod and there is no familiar brown square waiting inside. There are pale seeds wrapped in sweet, slippery pulp. Bite one before processing and the flavour is bitter, sharp and astringent. The smell people call chocolate has to be built. Microbes ferment the pulp. Heat and acid kill the seed and rearrange its chemistry. Sun or hot air dries it. Roasting creates hundreds of aroma compounds. Grinding releases a remarkable fat. Refining, conching and tempering turn the result into something smooth, glossy and able to snap.

The history follows the same pattern. Cacao originated in tropical South America, where secure evidence of human use reaches back about 5,300 years. Mesoamerican societies later made it socially powerful. Maya and Mexica elites drank cacao beverages at feasts, marriages and political ceremonies. Foam mattered. So did the vessel, the flavourings and the person allowed to drink. Cacao also moved as tribute and as a convenient medium of exchange. The Aztecs did not invent chocolate, and their drink was neither a primitive bar melted in water nor a single fixed recipe.

Spanish conquest carried cacao into an Atlantic system. Europeans kept the drink and altered its setting. Sugar softened the bitterness. Cinnamon and vanilla displaced or joined older flavourings. Porcelain cups, chocolate houses and medical claims made it fashionable among people who had never seen a cacao tree. The sweetness came from another colonial crop, and both ingredients travelled through systems built on conquest, coerced labour and slavery. The elegant cup hid a large acreage.

Industrialisation changed the physical object. The cocoa press separated much of the butter from the solids. Manufacturers could make powder for drinking, then add cocoa butter back to create a mouldable paste. Fry produced a recognisable eating bar in 1847. Milk chocolate followed in the nineteenth century. Lindt's conche helped remove harshness and grit. Machinery, cheap sugar, branding and distribution turned an elite drink into

a portable daily habit.

Yet chocolate never escaped the tree. Cacao still grows in a narrow tropical belt. Its flowers are difficult to pollinate, pods ripen by hand, disease can strip a farm, and fermentation remains a local judgement made before the buyer tastes anything. Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana now supply roughly three-fifths of the world's cocoa. Millions of smallholders carry weather, crop and price risk, while the most profitable stages often occur far away. Child labour and deforestation persist because labels and audits do not by themselves repair low incomes or weak bargaining power.

The loop closes in the first mouthful. What makes chocolate luxurious is the long sequence of work that separates a seed from a bar. What makes cheap chocolate possible is how little of that sequence the eater has to see. A product sold as instant comfort begins with a tree that cannot be hurried. The wrapper promises consistency, but the crop beneath it remains seasonal, biological and stubbornly local. Standardisation happens downstream; uncertainty begins at the trunk.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

Leave an ordinary bar on a warm dashboard and it collapses. Put the same bar in a refrigerator, unwrap it the next day and a grey film may appear. Melt it carelessly, let a drop of water fall in, and a fluid sauce can seize into a grainy lump. Chocolate is treated as dependable confectionery, yet it is one of the most temperamental materials in the kitchen. Its convenience is engineered.

That engineering gives you the first reason to care. Chocolate is a compact lesson in transformation. Fermentation changes chemistry before a factory receives the beans. Roasting must develop aroma without burning it away. Grinding turns dry-looking nibs into a liquid because about half the seed is fat. A few degrees during cooling decide whether a bar will shine, snap and melt cleanly or remain dull and soft. You can understand much of food

science by following this one crop from pod to palate.

The second reason is historical. The object in a supermarket wrapper joins worlds usually taught apart. Indigenous American agriculture, Maya writing, Mexica tribute, Spanish colonial consumption, Caribbean sugar, African plantations, Quaker manufacturers, Victorian machinery, modern advertising and commodity finance all meet in the same square. Chocolate became global because each era changed what it was. A ritual drink became an aristocratic drink, then an industrial solid, then a cheap reward sold to almost everyone.

That sequence corrects a comfortable story about progress. Machinery lowered prices and widened pleasure. It also made demand vast enough to reorganise landscapes and labour far from the consumer. Cocoa moved from the Americas to islands and African mainland farms. Production concentrated where colonial administrations, merchants and manufacturers could secure land, labour and export routes. Abolition did not end coercion. The early twentieth-century scandal over forced labour on São Tomé reached British chocolate firms that advertised moral seriousness at home. The argument has changed its vocabulary since then. The structure remains recognisable.

The third reason is practical. Labels are full of information that most buyers cannot interpret. Cocoa percentage tells you the combined share of cocoa solids and cocoa butter, not how much flavour, skill or fairness a bar contains. Single origin may name a country, a region, a cooperative or little more than a marketing category. Dark chocolate can contain biologically active flavanols, but percentage alone does not reveal the dose, and ordinary confectionery is a poor substitute for medical evidence. Ethical certification can improve parts of a supply chain without proving that farmers earn a living income.

There is a fourth reason. Chocolate exposes how taste becomes habit. The first sweetened cup could seem exotic, medicinal or morally suspicious. The first eating bars were coarse beside modern ones. Milk chocolate had to be taught as a desirable flavour rather than a dilution. Manufacturers then

linked bars to childhood, romance, wartime energy, holidays and small personal rewards. None of those uses was contained in cacao. They were built through price, packaging, repetition and social permission. The result is a food that can feel emotionally private while being industrially standardised across countries.

That emotional power makes the supply question harder rather than easier. Buyers do not approach chocolate like rice or copper. They approach it as comfort, gift and deserved pleasure. Criticism can feel like an attempt to spoil the treat, so companies have strong incentives to offer reassurance in the smallest possible form: a green leaf, a farmer photograph, a certification mark. Those signs may represent real work. They can also encourage the consumer to treat a structural problem as a purchasing mood.

Chocolate therefore rewards a particular kind of attention. Ask what changed the raw material. Ask which stage created the flavour. Ask what the label measures and what it leaves out. Ask who carried the risk before the product became effortless.

Then eat it slowly enough to notice the answer.

The Core Ideas

The Seed Is Not Chocolate

A cacao tree looks badly designed for farming. Its small flowers emerge directly from the trunk and older branches, a habit called cauliflory. They are intricate, short-lived and hard to pollinate. Tiny midges do much of the work in the wild, and only a small fraction of flowers begin developing into fruit. Months later, pods the size of a small rugby ball hang from the wood. Each contains perhaps thirty to forty seeds packed in white pulp.

The pulp gives the first clue. It is sweet and fruity, closer to lychee or citrus than to a chocolate bar. The fresh seed underneath is purple or pale, wet, bitter and intensely astringent. Its cells contain fats, proteins, sugars, polyphenols and chemical precursors, but the familiar aroma is absent.

Calling it a cocoa bean is convenient. Botanically it is a seed, and technologically it is unfinished material.

Finishing starts on or near the farm. Workers split pods, scoop out seeds and pulp, and pile the mass in boxes, baskets or heaps. Yeasts consume sugars in the pulp and make alcohol. Lactic acid bacteria and acetic acid bacteria follow in a changing microbial community. Heat rises. Acids move into the seeds. The embryo dies, cell walls break down, purple pigments alter, and enzymes create precursors that roasting can later turn into chocolate aroma. An under-fermented seed can remain harsh and flat. An over-fermented one can lose definition or acquire faults. The factory cannot rewind this stage.

The beans are then dried, commonly in the sun, until moisture falls enough for storage and transport. Drying slows reactions, reduces acidity and prevents mould, but it can also introduce smoke, dirt or excessive heat. Farmers must judge rain, thickness, turning and time with limited equipment. A buyer may later call the flavour fruity or defective. The decision that produced it may have been made on a tarpaulin months earlier.

The tree adds further difficulty. Cacao thrives in warm, humid tropical conditions and suffers from several destructive diseases, including black pod, witches' broom and frosty pod rot. Pods do not ripen together. Harvesting is selective and often manual because a careless cut can damage the flower cushions from which future pods grow. The seeds resist conventional frozen seed-bank storage, so genetic diversity must be maintained in living collections, farms and forests.

Commercial language often sorts cacao into Criollo, Forastero and Trinitario. The scheme is historically useful and genetically crude. Modern studies identify a wider set of populations and extensive mixing among them. A prestigious name does not guarantee flavour, and a high-yielding tree is not condemned to mediocrity. Farmers choose among yield, disease resistance, pod traits and sensory potential, often without being paid enough to preserve rare material. Genetic diversity is both a flavour library and insurance against the next pathogen.

Cacao also protects itself chemically. Polyphenols make fresh seeds drying and puckering in the mouth, while theobromine and a smaller amount of caffeine deter some consumers and stimulate human ones. Fermentation lowers part of the astringency and changes the internal colour from violet towards brown. The farmer is carrying out the first piece of flavour engineering without a laboratory, often judging the process by smell, heat, drainage and experience.

This biology explains the whole commodity. Chocolate can be moulded by machines, yet its first stages remain tied to living trees, variable weather, microbial ecology and skilled labour. Industry can standardise the recipe after export. It cannot manufacture a sound bean from a failed pod.

The First Chocolate Was a Drink

The old story begins in Mesoamerica and gives the Aztecs the opening scene. Archaeology has moved the beginning south. At Santa Ana-La Florida in present-day Ecuador, vessels dating to about 5,300 years ago yielded cacao starch, theobromine residues and ancient DNA. The upper Amazon is also where the species has its greatest genetic diversity. Cacao use began in South America long before the Mexico Empire existed.

That does not shrink Mesoamerica's achievement. It changes its nature. Peoples across the region developed cacao into a politically and ritually charged beverage culture. Chemical residues show early use. Classic Maya painted vessels identify cacao drinks in their inscriptions and depict courtly consumption. Preparation could involve grinding roasted seeds, mixing the paste with water and other ingredients, then creating foam by pouring the liquid between vessels or beating it. Foam was not waste. It could be the prized surface, evidence that the drink had been properly made.

There was no single ancient recipe. Cacao could be mixed with maize, chilli, achiote, vanilla and aromatic flowers. Some preparations were warm, others cool. Some were thin, others thick. Sweetness did not begin in Europe, since honey and sweet plant materials were available, but refined cane sugar was absent. The flavour profile was usually less sweet and more

spiced, bitter or savoury than a modern cup.

Cacao mattered because it was difficult to grow in the highlands and central Mexican basin where powerful consumers lived. It arrived through trade and tribute from warmer regions. Among the Mexica, rulers and elites displayed it at feasts and ceremonies. Cacao appeared in marriage exchanges, diplomatic hospitality and religious offerings. Warriors and merchants had their own claims to prestigious consumption. Ordinary people were not living in a cacao-free world, though access, quantity and ceremonial form were unequal.

The dried seeds could also mediate exchange. That fact is often inflated into a neat monetary system in which every purchase had an official bean price. Cacao worked better as commodity money: valued, countable, portable and consumable, useful beside cotton cloth and other standards of value. Quality varied, counterfeit shells could be filled, and prices shifted by place and time. Money did not grow on trees in quite the orderly way the slogan implies.

The name chocolate also resists a clean origin story. Spanish adopted Indigenous vocabulary, but scholars dispute the exact path from Nahuatl and neighbouring languages to the European word. The uncertainty is fitting. The product itself crossed languages, recipes and social settings before it crossed the Atlantic.

The evidence is unusually physical. Residues remain inside ceramics after the liquid has vanished. Theobromine can identify cacao-related plants, starch grains preserve microscopic structure, and ancient DNA can narrow the case further. Maya writing sometimes names the contents of a vessel, allowing chemistry and text to meet on the same object. Each method has limits, but together they rescue chocolate from stories written only after conquest.

The essential correction is that early chocolate was not a rough version of a bar. It was a family of drinks whose texture, foam, vessel and setting carried meaning. Europe inherited that form first. The solid came centuries later.

Europe Changed the Recipe and the Labour Map

Spanish invaders encountered cacao inside existing American systems of cultivation, tribute and exchange. They did not discover an unknown flavour and carry it untouched to Europe. Conquest broke political institutions, redirected trade and joined cacao to an Atlantic economy. The drink survived because Indigenous producers, colonists, merchants and households kept making it under new conditions.

Europe's decisive alteration was sugar. Ground cacao suspended in water or milk remained bitter and fatty. Cane sugar made it easier to like and easier to sell. Cinnamon became common. Vanilla travelled with cacao. Chilli and maize became less central in many European recipes, though no single national formula won immediately. Chocolate entered medicine as a warming, nourishing or restorative substance according to the theories of the day. Clergy debated when a rich drink counted as breaking a religious fast. Courts turned service into display through silver pots, porcelain cups and specialised whisks.

The drink remained expensive. Cacao had to cross an ocean, and sugar was itself a colonial luxury before it became a mass staple. A cup in Madrid, Paris or London therefore condensed two tropical agricultures. Paintings show polished rooms and careful service. They leave the fields outside the frame.

Those fields moved. Cacao cultivation expanded through Spanish America, Portuguese Brazil, Caribbean colonies and Atlantic islands, then into West Africa and Asia. Different regions rose and fell with disease, war, policy, transport and labour supply. Colonial administrations and merchants rarely treated land as empty, even when their records did. They displaced existing claims, demanded taxes, recruited workers and built export systems around ports.

Slavery formed part of that expansion. Enslaved Africans laboured in American cacao and sugar zones, with conditions varying sharply by place and period. After legal abolition, coercion did not vanish. Debt, contract

manipulation, forced recruitment and colonial power could keep workers bound. The best-known chocolate scandal of the early twentieth century concerned São Tomé and Príncipe, Portuguese islands whose cocoa was harvested by labourers recruited from Angola under conditions British investigators came to regard as slavery. Cadbury, a Quaker firm celebrated for worker welfare at home, continued buying while it investigated and debated how to respond.

The episode matters because it was not a tidy contest between a wicked company and a clean alternative. Manufacturers faced commercial incentives, incomplete information, rival accusations and the inconvenient fact that cheap cocoa could carry costs the invoice omitted. Humanitarian concern was real. So was delay. Chocolate's moral history is full of organisations that wished to improve labour without surrendering supply, price or market share.

By the twentieth century, West Africa had become the centre of world cocoa. African farmers were never passive units inside a European plan. In places such as the Gold Coast, farmers adopted cacao energetically, moved planting material, hired labour, accumulated land and built a major export economy. Colonial rule shaped roads, law, taxation and marketing, but local initiative drove much of the spread. That distinction matters because exploitation can coexist with entrepreneurship. Removing African agency does not make the history more critical. It makes it less accurate.

Europe changed cacao's flavour, audience and geography. Sugar made the drink more widely desirable. Empire made the ingredients available. The cup became sweeter as the labour map became harder to see.

Machines Turned a Drink into a Bar

For most of chocolate's recorded history, the finished product was poured. A maker roasted cacao, removed the shells, ground the nibs and mixed the oily paste into a drink. The change from cup to bar required more than cooling it down. Ground cacao contains roughly half cocoa butter, and an unmodified paste can be dense, coarse and difficult to sweeten into a pleasant solid.

The first decisive machine separated the material. In 1828, Coenraad van Houten patented a press that squeezed much of the fat from cocoa mass. The hard press cake could be milled into a powder that dispersed more easily in water. The extracted cocoa butter became a separate ingredient. Alkalisising cocoa, associated with the Dutch process, could darken colour, soften acidity and improve dispersal. Chocolate had been split into parts that manufacturers could recombine.

That made the eating bar possible. In 1847, J. S. Fry & Sons mixed cocoa powder, sugar and added cocoa butter into a paste that could be moulded. Earlier solid preparations existed, but Fry's product marks the recognisable industrial bar: formulated for eating, shaped by machinery and sold as a manufactured object rather than a drink ingredient. The ability to add fat back mattered because it gave enough flow for mixing and moulding without restoring the full bitterness of cocoa mass.

Texture remained a problem. Early bars could feel gritty because sugar and cocoa particles were large. Roll refiners reduced them until the tongue stopped reading individual grains. In 1879, Rodolphe Lindt introduced a conching process that mixed and sheared chocolate for prolonged periods. Conching reduced moisture and volatile acidity, coated particles with fat and improved flow. It did not create quality from bad beans, but it made a smoother, less harsh style reproducible.

Milk chocolate solved another engineering problem. Daniel Peter developed a commercially successful version in Switzerland during the 1870s, using concentrated or dried milk technology associated with Henri Nestlé's

enterprise. Ordinary liquid milk adds too much water, which makes chocolate seize and spoils storage. Removing water let dairy sweetness and proteins enter a stable bar. The result became one of the industry's dominant flavours, not because it was inevitable but because manufacturers learned to make and market it consistently.

Industrial chocolate then became a system of scale. Large factories blended beans from several origins to maintain a house flavour despite crop variation. Sugar lowered cost and bitterness. Milk widened appeal. Moulding delivered repeatable portions. Foil and paper protected aroma. Railways, steamships, retailers and advertising moved the bar beyond elite rooms. Quaker-owned British firms built model villages for workers while competing fiercely for mass custom. American manufacturers tied chocolate to soldiers, schoolchildren and affordable energy.

The bar changed the occasion. A drink needs a vessel, preparation and time. A bar survives a pocket, a shop counter and a factory break. It can be divided, wrapped, gifted, rationed and branded. Portability turned chocolate from an event into an impulse.

The machine did not merely lower the price of an old food. It created the food most people now imagine when they hear the word.

Cocoa Butter Remembers Temperature

Melt chocolate and it forgets the shape of the mould, but it does not forget how it cooled. Cocoa butter can crystallise in several arrangements called polymorphs. They contain the same fat molecules packed differently, and each form has its own stability, melting behaviour and appearance. This is why one batch can set glossy and hard while another remains soft or develops grey streaks.

Chocolate makers usually aim for a form commonly called Form V. It contracts enough to release from a mould, breaks with a clean snap, resists ordinary storage and melts close to body temperature. Less stable crystals form readily during careless cooling but melt too low or transform later. Form VI is more stable and can develop during long storage, though it is not the

normal target in fresh production.

Tempering is the controlled creation of the right crystal population. The maker first heats chocolate enough to melt unwanted crystals, then cools it so crystals begin forming, then warms it slightly to remove unstable forms while preserving useful seeds. Exact temperatures depend on recipe, milk fat, equipment and working conditions. Dark, milk and white chocolate therefore use different curves. Agitation spreads crystal seeds through the mass. Once deposited in a mould, the network guides the remaining fat as it sets.

A tempering curve is not kitchen superstition. It is phase control. The glossy surface appears because stable crystals pack densely and evenly. Snap comes from the solid network. Clean melt comes from the narrow range in which the target crystals give way in the mouth. Chocolate feels luxurious partly because a hard room-temperature solid becomes a flowing fat at roughly the temperature of the tongue.

Fat bloom is the pale or grey film that appears when cocoa butter migrates and recrystallises at the surface. Poor tempering can cause it, as can warm storage, temperature cycling or incompatible fats in fillings. The bar may look old while remaining safe to eat. Sugar bloom is different. Moisture dissolves sugar at the surface; when the water evaporates, rough crystals remain. A cold bar opened in humid air can collect condensation and acquire sugar bloom without any failure of cocoa butter.

The same chemistry settles an argument about white chocolate. White chocolate contains cocoa butter, sugar and usually milk ingredients, but no non-fat cocoa solids. It lacks the brown colour and many roasted cacao compounds. It is still a cacao product, and legal definitions in many markets recognise it as chocolate when minimum composition rules are met. Calling it fake mistakes flavour for material.

Water explains another kitchen failure. A small splash in melted chocolate can dissolve sugar locally and pull dry particles into clumps, producing a stiff seized mass. Enough hot liquid can turn the same mixture into a smooth

ganache or sauce because the particles become fully dispersed in a continuous water phase. The disaster lies in the middle.

Cocoa butter also explains why compound coatings are different. Some inexpensive products replace part or all of it with other vegetable fats that set without the same tempering sequence. They may be easier to handle in warm conditions and cheaper to manufacture, but their melting behaviour and flavour release differ. Whether the label may call them chocolate depends on local law. The mouth notices the material even when the wrapper is vague.

Chocolate seems solid and obedient because factories manage its crystal history. Every clean snap is a record of temperature.

Flavour Is Made at Every Stage

People speak of cacao origin as though geography writes the tasting note. Origin matters, but no country has one flavour and no bean carries a finished destiny.

Genetics sets a range. Cacao populations differ in bitterness, acidity, colour, fat composition, disease resistance and aroma potential. Soil, shade, rainfall, harvest maturity and tree health alter what reaches the pod. Yet the first large sensory decision comes after harvest. Fermentation reduces astringency, changes acids and creates precursors for later reactions. A well-grown bean badly fermented can taste worse than an ordinary bean handled with care.

Drying then controls which fermentation products remain. Too fast and acidity can be trapped. Too slow and mould or smoky contamination may develop. Storage adds further risk because cocoa absorbs odours and moisture. By the time sacks reach a factory, flavour has already accumulated a biography.

Roasting makes the transformation unmistakable. Heat drives Maillard and related reactions among amino acids and sugars, producing pyrazines, aldehydes and many other volatile compounds associated with nuts, toast,

fruit and cocoa. Roast too lightly and raw acidity may dominate. Roast too hard and delicate origin character disappears beneath bitterness and burnt notes. Large factories may roast whole beans, nibs or cocoa mass according to equipment and target flavour.

Winnowing removes shell. Grinding releases cocoa butter and creates cocoa mass. Refining reduces particles until the tongue no longer reads them as grit, commonly below a few tens of micrometres. Finer is not infinitely better: excessive surface area demands more fat to coat particles and can produce a pasty sensation. Texture is a design choice, not a race to dust.

Conching continues the work. Heat, mixing and aeration reduce moisture and some volatile acids, spread fat, round particles and allow flavours to combine. Time alone is not a quality mark. A long conche cannot repair mouldy beans, and modern equipment can reach a target quickly. The useful question is what physical and chemical change the maker wanted.

The recipe then edits the result. Sugar suppresses bitterness and acidity. Milk contributes lactose, proteins and cooked dairy flavours. Vanilla can add its own aroma or smooth variation between batches. Lecithin changes flow with little effect on cocoa percentage. Extra cocoa butter improves fluidity and melt while raising the percentage figure.

That last fact breaks the usual quality ladder. A 70 per cent bar may contain 60 per cent cocoa mass and 10 per cent added cocoa butter, or another ratio. The label often does not tell you. A high number may accompany poor fermentation, harsh roasting or excessive sugar within the remaining share. A lower-percentage milk chocolate can show better sourcing and technical control. Percentage measures formulation, not merit.

This is why tasting begins before flavour vocabulary. Listen to the snap, smell the broken surface, let a small piece warm, notice when sweetness arrives, then follow acidity, bitterness, fruit, dairy, nuts or roast into the finish. The order matters because sugar dissolves, fat melts and volatile molecules reach the nose at different rates. A bar that seems flat when

chewed quickly can become legible when allowed to melt.

Taste chocolate as the result of decisions. Fruit may come from genetics and fermentation. Roast notes may come from heat. Smoothness comes from particle size and fat. A flavour you admire can be amplified, preserved, covered or invented at several points. The tree begins the sentence. Processing writes most of it.

The Global Bar Pushes Risk Upstream

Modern chocolate looks like a triumph over scarcity. Global cocoa production in the 2024/25 season was estimated at about 4.7 million tonnes. Supermarkets offer bars through every month and climate. Manufacturers can blend origins, store beans, hedge prices and reformulate recipes. The biological first mile remains much less protected.

Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana together supply roughly three-fifths of the world's cocoa. Production is dominated by smallholders, many farming a few hectares with ageing trees, limited credit and weak access to inputs. They sell a crop that must be harvested, opened, fermented and dried before it earns anything. They cannot pause a pod while waiting for a better futures price. Nor can most turn beans into branded confectionery and capture the margin from refining, packaging and retail.

Price shocks reveal the imbalance. Poor harvests in 2023/24 produced an exceptional global deficit and sent cocoa prices sharply higher. The following season recovered enough for the International Cocoa Organization to estimate a small surplus, yet the adjustment came with reduced grindings and continued volatility. A high commodity price can benefit farmers who have beans to sell. It can miss those whose crop failed, arrive after local prices were fixed, or be absorbed by debt, labour and rising input costs. Expensive chocolate does not automatically mean prosperous growers.

That gap exists partly because the headline market is not the price a particular household receives. Futures contracts help manufacturers and traders manage exposure; national marketing systems, local buyers, exchange rates, quality deductions and the timing of sales shape farm-gate

returns. Governments in Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana announce producer prices within regulated systems rather than passing every daily market movement straight through. This can cushion falls and delay gains. It also means a chart of international prices cannot tell you how much cash reached a farmer, how many kilograms the household harvested, or what production cost. Revenue is price multiplied by saleable crop, and both can move in opposite directions.

Poverty connects several harms that are often marketed separately. Families with low and uncertain income may rely on children's work, including hazardous tasks that international standards classify as child labour. The United States Department of Labor reports more than 1.5 million children working on cocoa farms in Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana. That number does not mean every child on a family farm is enslaved, and it must not be used to collapse ordinary help, hazardous work, trafficking and forced labour into one category. It does show that decades of promises have not removed the scale of risk.

Land pressure follows a similar path. When yields fall and income depends on volume, expanding into forest can look more attainable than rehabilitating old farms. Satellite mapping has associated cocoa with a large share of forest loss inside protected areas in both countries. Disease and climate stress can intensify the pressure. Agroforestry, better planting material and farm renewal can improve resilience, but they require secure land, finance, knowledge and time.

Certification, traceability and due diligence are useful when they identify farms, monitor risk and require remediation. They are not substitute incomes. An auditor can check a school-age worker today and miss the price structure that brings the child back next season. A segregated supply chain can prove where cocoa came from without proving that its producer had bargaining power. A premium can help and still fall short of a living income.

The loop closes here. Cacao's flavour begins with intimate farm work because the crop cannot be made by pressing a button. Mass chocolate did

not remove that dependence. It made the downstream product uniform while leaving pollination, disease, fermentation, weather and household labour variable. The mass-market bar became dependable partly because manufacturers, traders and retailers learned to pool, hedge and standardise risk downstream while much of the biological uncertainty remained at farm level. That does not mean every cheap bar impoverishes a grower or every expensive bar enriches one. It means the consumer sees a stable product built on an unstable crop.

The next improvement will not come from pretending a label has solved the chain. It depends on treating price, farm productivity, land rights, child protection, traceability and long-term purchasing as connected parts of the same material system. Regulation is moving in that direction too. As of August 2026, the EU Deforestation Regulation is due to apply to large and medium operators from 30 December 2026 and to most micro and small operators from 30 June 2027, covering cocoa and many chocolate products. Its practical effect will depend on traceability, geolocation and enforcement, not the existence of a rule alone. Chocolate cannot be more responsible than the conditions under which its flavour begins.

How It Actually Works

Flowers on old wood

A cacao crop begins in a place where a tractor cannot do much. The tree grows under tropical heat, often beneath taller shade trees, and bears flowers on its trunk and mature branches. Thousands may appear over a season. Most fall. The flowers are small, structurally awkward and pollinated mainly by tiny biting midges and related insects that thrive in moist organic matter. Wind does little. Honeybees are poorly suited to the job. On many farms, pollination is one of the least visible limits on yield.

A fertilised flower takes months to become a mature pod. The colour shifts according to variety, from green or red towards yellow, orange or deeper red. Pods on the same tree ripen at different times, so harvesters return

repeatedly. They use knives or hooked blades on poles, cutting close enough to remove the pod but not so deeply that they injure the cushion that can flower again.

The pod is opened soon after harvest. A blade or wooden club cracks the husk, and the wet seed mass is pulled free by hand. A sound pod may hold thirty to forty seeds, though there is wide variation. Each seed is enclosed in white mucilage rich in sugars. At this moment the crop is perishable and heavy. It also tastes nothing like the dry cocoa trade expects.

Harvest maturity matters. Unripe pods contain seeds and pulp that ferment poorly. Diseased or damaged pods can carry off-flavours and reduce yield. Sorting is therefore the first quality control. It is done before the bean becomes an export commodity and often before a farmer knows who will eat the chocolate.

Farm management sits behind the harvest. Pruning controls height, shade and airflow. Fallen husks and leaf litter can shelter both pollinating insects and disease, so a tidy-looking plantation is not automatically the most productive ecosystem. Farmers balance shade trees, soil moisture, pest pressure and access for harvesting. A pod count is therefore the visible result of decisions made months earlier, plus weather and luck.

The hot pile

Fresh seeds and pulp are collected in wooden boxes, baskets, covered heaps or other local systems. The mass must be large enough to retain heat and porous enough to admit oxygen as the process changes. It is commonly covered with banana leaves or sacks. The exact method varies by region, farm size, genetics and intended flavour.

The first microbes are usually yeasts. They consume pulp sugars under low-oxygen conditions, producing alcohol, carbon dioxide and aroma compounds. As the pulp drains and the mass is turned, oxygen enters. Lactic acid bacteria and then acetic acid bacteria become more active. Acetic acid forms from alcohol, and the temperature can rise towards 45 to 50 degrees Celsius. Acid and heat penetrate the seed and kill the embryo.

That death is constructive. Cellular compartments break down, allowing enzymes and stored compounds to meet. Proteins are cut into amino acids and peptides. Sugars change. Polyphenols oxidise and diffuse, reducing some bitterness and astringency. The seed turns from purple or slate towards brown. These reactions prepare material that roasting will later transform through heat. Fermentation does not create the finished chocolate aroma. It builds the precursors and removes obstacles.

Turning controls oxygen and temperature. Too little can leave parts of the mass cool, acidic or under-fermented. Too much can accelerate heat and acid loss or dry the outside. Different genetic material needs different handling. Large bulk beans may receive five to seven days; some fine-flavour types need less. A cut test, in which beans are split to inspect colour and structure, gives a rough quality signal, but smell, temperature and experience remain important.

The process also creates loss. Pulp drains away, water evaporates and the seed mass shrinks. Fermentation therefore reduces saleable weight while improving value. A buyer who pays only by kilogram can give a farmer a reason to shorten the process. Quality depends on incentives as well as microbiology.

Dry enough to travel

Fermented beans still contain too much water to store safely. They are spread on mats, raised tables, patios or sliding trays and dried in sun and air. Workers rake or turn them so moisture escapes evenly. In wet climates, mechanical dryers may finish or replace sun drying, though direct smoke and excess heat can damage flavour.

Drying has to be slow enough for remaining acids to leave and fast enough to prevent mould and germination by contaminating organisms. The target for trade is commonly around 6 to 8 per cent moisture, low enough for stability but not so low that beans become brittle and lose excessive weight. Rain can interrupt the process. Night air can re-wet the surface. Thick layers dry unevenly. A farmer with no covered platform may be choosing between

smoke, delay and spoilage.

Once dry, beans are graded by size, defects, mould, insects, slate colour and foreign matter. Export standards often use a cut test on a sample. The test is crude but useful: a well-fermented bean is browner and more fissured inside, while a slaty bean can indicate insufficient fermentation. Flavour cannot be reduced to appearance, and samples can miss a bad sack, yet the trade needs quick ways to convert biological variation into categories.

Beans are packed, commonly in jute sacks or bulk containers, and moved through local buyers, cooperatives, licensed buying companies, exporters and warehouses. At every transfer they can absorb odours, moisture or contamination. Cocoa is hygroscopic and will take up water from humid air. It can also acquire smoke, diesel, spices or chemicals stored nearby. The finished bar may carry a warehouse's mistakes.

Transport completes the farm stage. By the time beans cross an ocean, their basic flavour potential is largely fixed. A manufacturer can blend, roast and formulate. It cannot restore a bean lost to mould or rebuild precursors never made in fermentation.

The dried bean now enters a trade built around samples. A small lot can be kept separate for a named-origin bar, while bulk shipments are assembled from many farms and blended for consistency. Separation can reward distinctive work, but it raises costs for collection, storage and documentation. Blending can smooth defects and seasonal change, but it can also make excellent farm handling commercially invisible.

Clean, roast, break

At a factory, beans are sampled before they enter production. Technicians check moisture, defects, bean count, contamination and sensory quality. Larger manufacturers may make small test liquors or chocolates from incoming lots. Food-safety controls matter because cocoa can carry microbial contamination even though the final product contains little water.

The beans are cleaned with screens, magnets, aspiration and destoning

equipment. Dust, twigs, metal and broken material must leave before roasting. Some plants roast whole beans. Others break and winnow first, then roast nibs. A few roast cocoa mass. Each route changes heat transfer, microbial control and flavour retention.

Roasting drives off water, develops aroma and reduces the microbial load. Temperature and time depend on bean size, origin, intended product and equipment. Heat triggers Maillard reactions and other chemistry among amino acids, peptides and reducing sugars created during fermentation. The resulting volatile compounds include pyrazines associated with roasted and nutty notes, alongside aldehydes, acids, esters and hundreds of other molecules. There is no single chocolate molecule.

After roasting, beans are cracked. Airflow separates the lighter shell from the denser nib in a process called winnowing. Shell is fibrous, bitter and more exposed to environmental contaminants, so efficient removal improves texture and control. The nib is the usable core.

Grinding then performs a visible trick. The nib seems dry, but roughly half its mass is cocoa butter. Mechanical shear ruptures cells and releases the fat. The particles become suspended in their own liquid oil, producing cocoa mass or cocoa liquor. The name liquor describes flow, not alcohol. At warm processing temperatures it pours. At room temperature it sets.

This mass is the crossroads. It can become chocolate, or it can be pressed into cocoa butter and cake. Industrial chocolate begins by deciding whether to keep the seed whole in composition or split it into parts.

Separate or formulate

A cocoa press places hot cocoa mass under high pressure. Much of the cocoa butter flows out through filters, leaving a compressed cake that still contains some fat. The cake is broken and milled into cocoa powder. The separated butter is filtered, deodorised to different degrees if desired, and sold back into chocolate, confectionery, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics.

This separation gives manufacturers control. Drinking cocoa can be made

with lower fat. A dark bar can combine cocoa mass with extra cocoa butter to improve flow. Milk chocolate can use less cocoa mass while still carrying enough butter for melt. White chocolate uses cocoa butter without the brown non-fat solids. One seed becomes a shelf of products because the press turns composition into a design choice.

Natural cocoa powder retains much of cocoa's acidity. Alkalised powder is treated with alkali at one of several possible stages. The treatment raises pH, darkens colour, changes flavour, improves dispersal and alters reaction behaviour in baking. A cake recipe using baking soda may depend on natural cocoa's acidity; Dutch-process powder may need baking powder or another acid. The two powders are not interchangeable in every formula.

For a bar, the maker weighs cocoa mass, sugar, cocoa butter, milk ingredients where required, and small additions such as lecithin or vanilla. Cocoa percentage normally means the combined proportion of ingredients derived from cacao, so both cocoa mass and added cocoa butter count. A 70 per cent label therefore does not specify how much is brown cocoa solid and how much is pale fat.

Ingredients are mixed into a thick paste. At this point the flavour may be recognisable, but the texture is not. Sugar crystals and cocoa particles are far larger than the tongue will tolerate in a modern bar. The next task is to make solids disappear without dissolving them.

Make the particles disappear

Chocolate is a suspension. Solid particles of sugar, cocoa and sometimes milk sit inside a continuous fat phase. They do not dissolve in cocoa butter. Smoothness therefore depends on reducing them below the size at which the tongue detects grit and coating their surfaces so they can slide past one another.

Roll refiners squeeze the mixture through narrowing gaps, producing a dry-looking flake with particles often around 15 to 30 micrometres. The exact target depends on style. Too coarse feels sandy. Too fine can feel sticky or heavy because smaller particles create much more surface area.

That surface demands fat. A maker who chases an impressively low particle size may need extra cocoa butter to recover flow.

The refined flakes enter a conche or related mixer. Heat and shear spread fat over particle surfaces, break agglomerates, remove moisture and drive off some volatile acids. Early in conching, chocolate can resemble damp powder. As surfaces become coated, it turns fluid. The manufacturer can add remaining cocoa butter and lecithin to reach the required viscosity.

Conching also changes flavour. Acetic acid and other volatiles escape. Oxidation and heat can soften harsh notes. Milk chocolate may develop caramelised or cooked-milk character. The duration can range from hours to much longer according to machine, recipe and target. The old idea that longer always means better confuses one historical solution with the outcome it sought. Modern equipment can achieve strong mixing, aeration and heat transfer quickly.

Flow matters because chocolate must pump through pipes, coat wafers, fill moulds and release air bubbles. Two chocolates with the same percentage can behave differently because of particle distribution, added butter, milk fat and emulsifiers. Couverture contains enough cocoa butter to flow readily for dipping and moulding. Baking chips may be formulated to keep their shape.

By the end of conching, the flavour and texture are close to final. The chocolate is still a warm liquid. It has one more structural decision to make.

Temper, mould and cool

Uncontrolled cooling gives cocoa butter several possible crystal forms.

Tempering narrows the choice. Industrial lines use heat exchangers and scraped surfaces to cool chocolate while mixing it, create stable crystal seeds, then warm it slightly to melt less stable forms. Small makers may use a tempering machine, a marble slab or seed chocolate with known good crystals.

The working temperature must keep the chocolate fluid while preserving enough stable seeds. Dark chocolate is commonly worked warmer than milk

or white chocolate because milk fat changes the mixture. The useful numbers are recipe-specific, which is why memorising one universal curve causes trouble.

Tempered chocolate is deposited into moulds. Vibration spreads it, drives out air and settles inclusions. Cooling removes heat at a controlled rate. As cocoa butter crystallises, the chocolate contracts slightly and pulls away from the mould. A well-tempered bar drops out with a smooth surface. A poorly tempered one sticks, bends or shows streaks.

Filled chocolates complicate the system. A ganache contains water and dairy fat. Nut pastes contain other oils that can migrate into the shell and soften it. Wafer, caramel and biscuit add moisture gradients. Product developers manage barriers, fat compatibility and water activity so that a glossy shell does not become bloomed, soggy or microbiologically unsafe before its stated shelf life.

After demoulding, bars are wrapped to protect them from oxygen, odours, light and moisture. Storage is cool, dry and stable rather than cold. Repeated warming and cooling encourages fat movement. Refrigeration can preserve a bar in a hot climate, but the package should remain closed while it returns to room temperature so condensation forms outside rather than on the chocolate.

The finished object looks inactive. It is a metastable crystal network containing particles, aromas and sugars. Time and temperature can still rearrange it. The factory has not frozen nature. It has persuaded the fat to hold one useful structure for long enough to sell and eat.

What happens in the mouth

The first sensation is mechanical. Teeth fracture a crystal and particle network. A clean snap signals structure, though it does not prove good flavour or sourcing. As the piece warms, cocoa butter melts and releases solid particles across the tongue. Sugar begins dissolving in saliva. Aroma molecules enter the nose from the mouth as well as from the broken surface.

This sequence explains why chewing and swallowing quickly gives a different experience from letting chocolate melt. Sweetness can arrive early. Acidity and fruit may rise as volatile compounds warm. Bitterness and astringency can persist after the fat has gone because polyphenols and roasted compounds interact with saliva and taste receptors. Milk fat and sugar soften some edges. Salt can increase contrast. Vanilla can make separate batches seem more alike.

Texture also shapes flavour. Large particles feel gritty and distract from aroma. Too much solid surface can produce a pasty coating. A high-melting fat can leave waxiness. Proper cocoa butter melts rapidly enough to create cooling and lubrication without lingering as a heavy film. The physical design determines how quickly the chemical information reaches the senses.

Chocolate then acquires a second life through association. Packaging, price, expectation and memory influence perception before the bar touches the tongue. A named origin can make a taster search for fruit. A luxury wrapper can slow eating. Familiar milk chocolate can taste better in a childhood context than in a blind technical panel. These effects do not make flavour imaginary. They show that eating is both measurement and interpretation.

The finish is partly retronasal smell, the aromas that travel from the mouth into the nasal cavity after the piece begins melting. Pinching the nose flattens much of what seems like taste. Releasing it allows fruit, roast, spice and dairy notes to return. Chocolate demonstrates a useful sensory rule: the tongue detects a limited set of tastes, while most named flavour arrives through smell, texture and expectation working together.

How we know

Chocolate leaves evidence at nearly every scale. Archaeologists identify ancient cacao through vessel inscriptions, characteristic starch grains, theobromine and related chemical residues, and, in the strongest recent work, ancient DNA. No single marker is perfect because related plants can share compounds and residues can move, so agreement among independent methods matters.

Historical recipes, account books, advertisements, patents, court records and company archives show how ingredients, machinery and markets changed. They also reflect the people who kept records, usually merchants, officials and manufacturers rather than farm workers. Colonial labour claims need to be read against investigations, testimony and the incentives of employers and reformers.

Modern processing is measured directly through temperature, moisture, pH, particle size, viscosity, crystal structure, chromatography and trained sensory panels. Supply-chain claims are harder. Production estimates are revised, farms are incompletely mapped, and labour surveys cannot observe every household. Current figures should therefore be treated as dated estimates, not permanent properties of chocolate. The mechanisms are clearer than the exact totals.

What People Get Wrong

“The Aztecs invented chocolate”

This survives because European accounts met cacao through central Mexico and because the Aztecs provide a dramatic story of emperors, tribute and conquest. The chronology is wrong. Cacao was used in tropical South America thousands of years before the Mexica Empire, and evidence from Mesoamerica also predates it by many centuries. Maya writing and painted vessels show an established cacao culture long before Tenochtitlan became powerful.

The correction is not that the Mexica were unimportant. They inherited, adapted and intensified a wider Mesoamerican system. Cacao moved through tribute and trade, marked rank, appeared in ritual and served as a medium of exchange. Their political reach helped make cacao visible to the Spaniards who carried it into Europe. They were one major chapter, not the beginning. The popular mistake also confuses the broad label Aztec with the Mexica of Tenochtitlan. Other Nahua and non-Nahua peoples grew, traded and drank cacao, and some later fought against Mexica power. The history belongs to a region, not one state.

origin stories decide who receives intellectual ownership. Giving invention to the last Indigenous empire Europeans encountered erases the longer American history and makes conquest look like the moment cacao entered significance.

“Chocolate was always sweet”

Modern chocolate is so closely tied to sugar that bitterness feels like a specialist deviation. Early cacao drinks were far more varied. Mesoamerican preparations could include maize, chilli, achiote, vanilla, flowers, honey and other ingredients. Foam, thickness and ceremonial presentation could matter as much as sweetness. Refined cane sugar became central after cacao entered European colonial consumption.

Europe did not improve an unfinished bitter drink by discovering the obvious missing ingredient. It changed the flavour to suit new consumers and joined cacao to a second tropical crop. Sugar made chocolate easier to sell widely, while milk and vanilla later softened it further. That recipe became familiar enough to disguise its history. It also changed texture and occasion. Sugar encouraged thicker, richer drinks and later supported solid confectionery. The modern preference for sweetness was reinforced by childhood marketing, cheap calories and repeated exposure, so familiarity came to feel like a property of cacao itself.

sweetness was a commercial and imperial choice, not cacao's natural destination. Once that is visible, a bar becomes a formula rather than a

neutral expression of the bean.

“Cacao beans were Aztec coins”

Cacao seeds did function as money in parts of Mesoamerica, but the phrase encourages a false picture of official, uniform coinage growing on trees. They were commodity money. They had value because people wanted to consume them and because dried seeds were portable and countable. Cotton cloth and other goods could also express value. Prices varied by time, place, quality and political conditions.

The system had familiar monetary problems. Beans could spoil, differ in size or be counterfeited by emptying shells and refilling them. Large payments were awkward. Tribute, barter and credit existed beside exchange using cacao. A surviving list of bean prices is evidence of a market at one moment, not a permanent national tariff. Spanish chroniclers also recorded prices after invasion and amid rapid political change, which makes tidy conversions tempting but unstable. A bean was useful precisely because many people trusted its consumption value. That trust could weaken when supply, quality or authority changed.

the correction shows that money can emerge from accepted goods without becoming modern currency. It also prevents a picturesque fact from replacing the harder economic distinction between tribute and trade.

“White chocolate is not chocolate”

White chocolate lacks the brown cocoa particles that carry much of chocolate's bitterness, colour and roasted aroma. That makes it taste unlike dark chocolate, and poor versions can seem like sweetened fat. The material still comes from cacao. Its defining fat is cocoa butter, separated from cocoa mass by pressing and recombined with sugar and usually milk ingredients.

Legal standards in many markets recognise white chocolate when it contains minimum proportions of cocoa butter and milk solids. A coating made from unrelated vegetable fats is a different case. The important

question is composition, not colour. White chocolate also needs crystal control, and good versions display cocoa butter's clean melt rather than waxy persistence.

the argument reveals what industrial chocolate is. Once cacao can be separated into butter and non-fat solids, several legitimate products become possible. The press, not a purity test, created the modern family. The better criticism is sensory and economic: some white chocolate contains little beyond sweetness, while high-quality versions preserve dairy, vanilla and cocoa-butter character. Those are differences of formulation and execution, not proof that the category is fraudulent.

“A higher cocoa percentage means better chocolate”

Cocoa percentage is a recipe measure. It normally combines cocoa mass and added cocoa butter. It does not tell you the ratio between them, the bean quality, the amount of fermentation, the roast, the particle size or the skill of the maker. A 70 per cent bar can be harsh and dull. A 45 per cent milk chocolate can be precise and distinctive.

The number became persuasive because it looks objective and often correlates with lower sugar and stronger cocoa flavour. It is useful when comparing sweetness or choosing a baking formula. It cannot rank quality by itself. Extra cocoa butter can raise the percentage while making flavour milder. Two bars with identical numbers may contain different amounts of non-fat cocoa material.

treating percentage as a score lets a prominent number replace tasting and sourcing. Read it as formulation information, then judge what the maker did with the ingredients. Percentage can also conceal sugar in a different way. A 70 per cent bar still leaves 30 per cent for sugar and other additions, while a 100 per cent bar can be unpleasant through poor processing rather than admirably pure. More cocoa is a direction, not a verdict.

“Dark chocolate is a health food”

Cocoa contains flavanols, theobromine, minerals and fibre. Controlled trials using flavanol-rich cocoa products have found modest effects on some cardiovascular measures, including a small short-term reduction in blood pressure. That evidence does not convert every dark bar into medicine. Flavanol content varies with genetics, fermentation, roasting, alkalisation and recipe, and the percentage on the wrapper does not reveal it.

Research products can deliver measured doses that would require impractical amounts of ordinary confectionery. Bars also contain energy, sugar and saturated fat in differing proportions. Observational claims are easily confused by diet, income and lifestyle. A narrow authorised claim about cocoa flavanols and normal blood flow is not a licence for broad promises about longevity, mood or disease prevention.

the health halo can make dose, processing and total diet disappear. Enjoy chocolate as food. Treat specific medical effects as questions for standardised evidence. This is especially important for alkalised cocoa, which can lose substantial flavanols while becoming darker and less acidic. Colour and bitterness are weak guides to dose. A pleasure can have useful compounds without needing to be promoted as a therapeutic strategy.

“An ethical label solves the problem”

Certification and company programmes can improve traceability, prohibit hazardous practices, pay premiums, train farmers and fund remediation. Those are material gains. A mark on one bar cannot prove that every farm was observed, that a household earns a living income, or that deforestation and child labour have ended. Cocoa supply chains are fragmented, farms change, and risks move when buyers reward compliance without covering its cost.

The stronger current approach is due diligence rather than reassurance. Companies need to identify farms and intermediaries, assess local risk, prevent harm, track outcomes and remedy cases when they find them. For deforestation, regulation increasingly asks for farm-level traceability rather

than a generic sustainability claim. Even that works inside a price and land system. Monitoring a child does not replace a school, safe transport, adult labour or sufficient household income.

ethical consumption is useful when it supports structural change and misleading when it claims to substitute for it. The label is evidence to examine, not absolution to purchase. Ask what standard lies behind it, whether farms are mapped, how premiums are distributed, what happens after a violation, and whether purchasing contracts cover several seasons. A credible programme should be able to describe failures and remediation, not only successful audits.

Use It

Read the label as a formula

Start with what the package can tell you, then stop asking it to tell you everything.

Cocoa percentage is the combined share of cacao-derived ingredients. In dark chocolate that normally means cocoa mass plus any added cocoa butter. The remaining share is mostly sugar, with possible emulsifier and vanilla. In milk chocolate, milk powder and milk fat also occupy space. A high percentage therefore predicts less room for sugar, but it does not reveal the amount of non-fat cocoa material, the bean quality or the processing.

The ingredient order adds useful detail because ingredients are listed by weight in many markets. Cocoa mass before sugar suggests a different formula from sugar before cocoa mass. Added cocoa butter usually improves flow and melt. Lecithin can reduce viscosity with a small dose. Vanilla may be a deliberate flavour or a tool for smoothing batch variation. None is automatically a defect.

Origin claims need scale. A country can contain many climates, varieties and processing practices. A cooperative or estate can be more informative,

though harvest and fermentation still vary. Look for a maker who explains what the origin means and who processed the beans.

Taste the sequence

Tasting works better as an order of attention than as a hunt for exotic nouns.

Break the bar. A clean snap can indicate sound temper, though milk and high-fat recipes will be softer. Smell the fresh surface before the aromas disperse. Place a small piece on the tongue and let it warm. Notice the first sweetness, then acidity, bitterness, roast, dairy or fruit as the fat melts. Follow the finish after swallowing. Texture, timing and persistence are often more reliable than whether you can name plum, tobacco or cedar.

Compare only a few variables at once. Two bars from the same maker with different origins can reveal bean and farm differences. Two makers using the same origin can reveal fermentation, roasting and recipe decisions. A dark and milk bar from one maker shows how sugar and dairy edit the base.

Do not confuse intensity with quality. Strong bitterness can come from high cocoa content, genetics, under-fermentation or heavy roasting. Sharp acidity can be lively or unfinished. Smoothness can reflect careful refining or enough added fat to make average cocoa pleasant. Ask what caused the sensation and whether the parts remain balanced.

Choose chocolate for the job

The best eating bar is not automatically the best ingredient.

For ganache, moulded shells and dipping, fluidity matters. Couverture contains enough cocoa butter to move and coat well, but different couvertures still have different viscosities. A recipe designed for a thick dark chocolate may become loose with a high-butter product. Milk and white chocolate need less cream than dark chocolate for the same ganache firmness because their sugar, milk and fat balance differs.

For baking, cocoa powder and solid chocolate do different work. Cocoa powder supplies flavour and dry solids without much cocoa butter. Natural

cocoa is acidic; alkalised cocoa is less acidic and darker. That can change leavening where baking soda is involved. A bar adds sugar and fat as well as cocoa, so replacing powder with chocolate requires reformulating the whole recipe.

Chocolate chips are often designed to hold shape. A bar intended to melt smoothly may spread more in a biscuit. Compound coating can be practical where temperature control is poor because alternative vegetable fats may set without tempering. It is not the same material, and the mouthfeel will show the difference.

Choose by function: flavour strength, sweetness, fat, fluidity, setting behaviour and cost. Percentage is one variable inside that decision, not a universal answer.

Control heat and water

Most domestic chocolate failures are phase problems, not bad luck.

Melt gently. Direct heat can burn particles before the whole mass warms, so use short microwave intervals or a bowl over barely simmering water. Keep steam out. Stir between heating steps because the bowl and unmelted pieces hold enough energy to finish the job. Stop before every fragment disappears and let residual heat complete the melt.

A small amount of water can seize chocolate by dissolving patches of sugar and binding particles into clumps. The rescue depends on the intended product. For a sauce or ganache, add enough warm liquid gradually to create a continuous emulsion. For a tempered shell, the batch is no longer suitable because the water phase changes its structure and shelf stability.

For tempering, use a thermometer and a method suited to the quantity. Seed tempering is efficient: melt the chocolate fully, cool it by stirring in finely chopped tempered chocolate, then warm to the working range. Test a thin smear. It should begin setting evenly within a few minutes at a cool room temperature. Streaks and softness signal a poor crystal population, not insufficient patience.

Store finished chocolate in a stable, cool, dry place away from odours. If refrigeration is necessary, wrap it tightly and let the sealed package return to room temperature before opening. That keeps condensation on the wrapper rather than the surface.

Ask where the risk sits

A responsible purchase begins with a better question than which logo is greenest.

Find out whether the company can identify the country, region, cooperative or farms behind its cocoa. Traceability is useful because unknown origin makes risk harder to assess. Then ask what happens after identification. Does the buyer use multi-year relationships, pay a transparent premium, support farm renewal, publish child-labour findings and describe remediation? Does it report failures, or only the percentage of cocoa covered by a programme?

Price deserves care. Paying more for a bar can fund better cocoa and smaller production, but retail price is not a direct measure of farm income. Packaging, rent, labour, transport, tax and brand margin also rise. A cheaper bar from a large buyer may support extensive programmes; an expensive craft bar may buy tiny quantities from one well-paid group while leaving the bulk market untouched. Scale and depth solve different parts of the problem.

Treat child labour, forced labour and ordinary family work as distinct. A teenager helping briefly with safe tasks is not the same as a child using a machete, carrying dangerous loads or missing school. Trafficking and forced labour require different evidence again. Precision prevents both denial and sensationalism.

The practical standard is credible improvement rather than moral purity: known farms, risk-based checks, enough income, safe adult labour, schooling, remediation, forest protection and contracts long enough for farmers to invest.

The limits

Chocolate cannot carry the full history of sugar, slavery, empire, nutrition or tropical agriculture. Cacao was one crop inside systems that varied across centuries and continents. A concise account must not imply that all plantations used the same labour, that every African farmer was controlled in the same way, or that one certification model operates everywhere.

Science also has limits. Flavour is measurable and subjective. Chemical compounds can be identified, yet people experience them through culture, memory and expectation. Processing ranges overlap, so there is no temperature or fermentation duration that guarantees quality across all beans. Health evidence based on standardised flavanol doses cannot be mapped neatly onto a wrapper percentage.

Consumer action is limited. Better buying can reward particular practices and create demand for traceability. It cannot set land law, build schools, regulate traders or stabilise national farm prices. Refusing all chocolate may reduce demand without directing help to households already dependent on cocoa. Buying any certified bar and declaring the issue solved is equally weak.

Use chocolate to see the chain more clearly. Do not use one chain to explain every food.

The one thing to keep

Keep the transformations.

A bar hides them because success looks simple: a neat wrapper and a familiar taste, delivered with a reliable snap. Its physical life begins with a wet seed that tastes nothing like the product and will never reach it without a sequence of biological and human decisions. Fermentation prepares what roasting can reveal. Grinding releases the fat that tempering must organise. Industry can accelerate, separate and standardise those transformations, but it cannot skip them.

That changes how to see the history. Europeans did not take a finished food

and spread it. Indigenous American peoples developed cacao uses over millennia. Colonial consumers changed the recipe and moved production through violent labour systems. Manufacturers then changed the state of matter, price and occasion until chocolate could become an ordinary habit.

It also changes how to see responsibility. The first work remains close to the tree. Pollination, pruning, harvest, pod opening, fermentation and drying carry biological uncertainty before a branded company owns the flavour in the consumer's mind. The farther chocolate travels from that work, the easier it is to treat the bean as interchangeable and the farmer as a cost.

A bar is a record of successful transformation and remarkable distance. The transformation deserves admiration. The distance should never be mistaken for simplicity.

Terms

Theobroma cacao. The tropical American tree whose seeds supply cocoa. The name was formalised by Linnaeus; *Theobroma* means food of the gods. The species contains substantial genetic diversity.

Cauliflory. The production of flowers and fruit directly from a trunk or older branches. In cacao it places pods on established wood and makes careful harvesting and pruning necessary.

Pod. The large berry of the cacao tree, commonly called a pod. Its thick husk encloses pulp and roughly thirty to forty seeds, with number and shape varying.

Mucilage. The sweet, moist pulp surrounding fresh cacao seeds. Its sugars feed the microbes of fermentation. It can also be eaten or used for juice and fermented drinks.

Cacao bean. The trade name for a fermented and dried cacao seed. It is not a botanical bean. Quality depends on genetics, maturity, fermentation, drying and storage.

Nib. The edible interior fragment left after a roasted cacao bean is cracked

and its shell removed. Nibs contain cocoa solids and about half their weight in cocoa butter.

Fermentation. The farm-level microbial process in which yeasts and bacteria consume pulp, produce heat and acids, kill the seed and create flavour precursors. It normally lasts several days.

Drying. The controlled removal of water after fermentation. Proper drying prevents mould and makes beans storable while allowing acidity to fall. Rain, smoke and excess heat can create faults.

Winnowing. The separation of lighter shell from heavier cacao nib after roasting and cracking. Airflow and size differences do the work. Efficient winnowing improves texture and limits contaminants.

Cocoa mass. Ground cacao nibs suspended in their released fat, also called cocoa liquor or chocolate liquor. It contains no alcohol and supplies both cocoa solids and cocoa butter.

Cocoa solids. Often used for the non-fat components of cocoa, including proteins, starch, fibre, polyphenols, minerals and flavour compounds. Labelling usage can vary, so context matters.

Cocoa butter. The pale edible fat pressed from cacao. Its unusual crystal behaviour gives chocolate gloss, snap and a melt near body temperature. It is also used in cosmetics.

Cocoa press. A hydraulic or mechanical press that separates much of the cocoa butter from cocoa mass. The remaining cake is milled into powder; the recovered butter returns to chocolate.

Cocoa powder. The milled cake left after cocoa butter has been pressed from cocoa mass. Natural and alkalised powders differ in acidity, colour, flavour and behaviour in baking.

Dutch process. Treatment of cocoa with alkali to raise pH, darken colour, soften acidity and improve dispersal. It changes flavour and can reduce some flavanols. The treatment stage varies.

Refining. Milling chocolate ingredients until solid particles are too small to feel gritty. Finer is not always better because extra surface area raises viscosity and demands more fat.

Conching. Prolonged mixing, shearing, heating and aeration of refined chocolate. It reduces moisture and some volatile acidity, coats particles with fat and develops final texture and flavour.

Tempering. Controlled heating, cooling and agitation used to create a useful population of stable cocoa-butter crystals. Correct temper gives shine, snap, clean mould release and resistance to bloom.

Polymorph. One of several crystal structures formed by the same fat molecules. Cocoa butter's polymorphism explains why identical chocolate can set glossy, soft, crumbly or grey after different temperature histories.

Form V. The cocoa-butter crystal form usually targeted in tempered chocolate. It combines good stability with a firm snap and a melting range that releases flavour cleanly in the mouth.

Fat bloom. A pale film or mottling caused by fat migration and recrystallisation at the chocolate surface. Poor temper, heat cycling and incompatible filling fats can produce it.

Sugar bloom. A rough surface deposit formed when moisture dissolves sugar and later evaporates. Condensation on refrigerated chocolate is a common cause. It differs chemically from fat bloom.

Couverture. Chocolate formulated with enough cocoa butter to flow readily for moulding, dipping and enrobing. It still needs appropriate tempering and may require recipe adjustment in ganache or baking.

Ganache. An emulsion commonly made from chocolate and cream, with possible additions such as butter or flavourings. Its firmness depends on recipe, cocoa content, temperature and water balance.

Cocoa percentage. The share of a product derived from cocoa ingredients, usually cocoa mass plus added cocoa butter. It predicts some formulation

features but does not measure flavour or ethics.

Single origin. Chocolate whose cacao is identified with one country, region, cooperative or estate. The geographical scale varies, and origin does not remove differences in genetics, harvest or processing.

Fine-flavour cacao. A trade and sensory category for cacao valued for distinctive aroma beyond bulk cocoa character. Definitions and official classifications vary, and skilled fermentation remains necessary.

Traceability. The ability to follow cocoa through farms, groups, traders and processors. Traceability can expose risk and support claims; by itself it does not guarantee good labour or income.

Living income. The net annual income a household needs for a decent standard of living in its location. It differs from a wage because most cocoa farmers are self-employed producers.

Agroforestry. Growing cacao with other trees or crops rather than in a treeless monoculture. Well-designed systems can provide shade, biodiversity and extra income, with trade-offs in labour and yield.

Go Deeper

For the best accessible history: Sophie D. Coe and Michael D. Coe, *The True History of Chocolate*, third edition (Thames & Hudson, 2019). This is the modern classic that made chocolate a serious popular-history subject. It moves from cacao biology and Mesoamerican drink culture through Europe and industrial manufacture with wit and an unusually broad range of evidence. Read it for the full sweep and for the authors' eye for revealing detail. Its earliest-origin story predates the strongest upper-Amazon discoveries, so use the third edition beside newer archaeology rather than treating every chronological claim as closed. Its broad confidence is part of its appeal and the reason to check early dates and etymologies against newer work.

For the Indigenous American evidence: Cameron L. McNeil, editor,

Chocolate in Mesoamerica: A Cultural History of Cacao (University Press of Florida, 2006; paperback 2009). This substantial scholarly collection brings archaeology, epigraphy, botany, chemistry, art history and ethnography into one place. It is the best route into vessels, residues, ritual, language and regional variation without reducing cacao to the Mexico encounter with Spain. The chapters are specialised and sometimes demanding. Read selectively, beginning with the evidence methods and the sections on Maya religion, beverages and exchange. It is a reference volume rather than a continuous narrative, and its range is the reason to keep it nearby.

For the material science: Emmanuel Ohene Afoakwa, *Chocolate Science and Technology*, second edition (Wiley Blackwell, 2016). This is the technical book behind the pod-to-bar mechanism: fermentation, drying, roasting, particle size, rheology, conching, tempering, sensory quality and industrial control. It is written for students, researchers and manufacturers, so equations and processing detail exceed what a general reader needs. Use it when a claim about texture or flavour sounds like folklore and you want the variables, measurements and trade-offs that settle it. A home cook can skip the equations and still learn why small changes in temperature, particle size or water matter.

For the modern commodity: Kristy Leissle, *Cocoa* (Polity, 2018). Leissle follows the crop through farms, trade, politics and chocolate markets while keeping African producers in the centre of a story that consumer culture often tells from Europe and North America. It is concise, critical and alert to the difference between celebrating craft chocolate and changing the conditions of bulk cocoa. Read it for power, value distribution, gender, labour and the limits of ethical consumption. The statistics have moved since publication, but the structure it explains remains the right one to test. Pair its argument with current ICCO and labour data whenever the latest production balance matters.

Notes and Sources

Cacao origin and biology

Earliest secure use. The statement that cacao use reaches back about 5,300 years follows Sonia Zarrillo and colleagues' 2018 study of ceramics from Santa Ana-La Florida in southeast Ecuador. The authors reported three independent lines of evidence: cacao starch grains, absorbed theobromine residues and ancient DNA. Claire Lanaud and colleagues expanded the South American picture in 2024 by analysing residues from hundreds of pre-Columbian vessels and showing early movement and genetic mixing outside cacao's native upper-Amazon range. These discoveries displaced the older popular assumption that cacao was first domesticated in Mesoamerica. The book uses *earliest secure evidence* rather than claiming that the first human use has been found permanently.

Tree, flowers and seeds. The description of cauliflory, tropical habitat, pod form and living genetic diversity draws on the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, and current crop science. Sarah Arnold and colleagues support the treatment of Ceratopogonid midges as major cacao pollinators while also showing that the interaction is more complex than the familiar claim that one midge species alone determines fruit set. Pod and seed numbers vary widely, so thirty to forty is used as a practical range rather than a botanical constant.

Genetic categories. Criollo, Forastero and Trinitario remain common trade and historical labels. Modern population genetics identifies more numerous and mixed cacao groups. The manuscript therefore treats the three-part scheme as useful shorthand and poor genetic taxonomy. It avoids promising flavour from a name alone.

Farm processing. Fermentation, drying, flavour precursors, moisture control, diseases and recalcitrant seed storage are based principally on Emmanuel Ohene Afoakwa's technical synthesis, supported by crop-science literature. Timings and temperatures are presented as ranges because they change with genetics, mass size, turning, weather and target

style. No one fermentation method is described as universal.

Indigenous American use

Mesoamerican evidence. John Henderson and colleagues identified cacao-related residues in early vessels from Puerto Escondido, Honduras. Terry Powis and colleagues found theobromine residues at San Lorenzo in contexts from roughly 1800 to 1000 BCE. Cameron McNeil's edited collection supplies the broader archaeological, epigraphic, botanical and ethnographic evidence used for Maya and central Mexican beverages, ritual, vessels and exchange.

Drinks and foam. Painted and inscribed Maya vessels show cacao as a drink associated especially with elite and ceremonial contexts. Recipes varied by period and place. The treatment of maize, chilli, achiote, vanilla, flowers and honey is deliberately non-prescriptive. The importance of foam is well supported, but the exact technique and social meaning differed among communities.

Mexica boundary. The account uses the Mexica only far enough to explain cacao as tribute good, prestigious drink and commodity money. It does not retell Mexica government, conquest or ordinary life, which belong to *The Aztecs in a Hurry*. Cacao beans could mediate exchange, but there was no single permanent table of official prices across central Mexico. Cotton cloth and other valued goods also performed monetary functions.

The word chocolate. Several etymologies have been proposed through Nahuatl and neighbouring languages. No single derivation is presented as settled. Sophie and Michael Coe discuss the dispute, while more recent linguistic scholarship has continued to test the routes by which Spanish acquired the word.

Colonial transfer, sugar and labour

Europe and the recipe. Marcy Norton's *Sacred Gifts, Profane Pleasures* is central to the argument that Europeans did not receive cacao as a blank substance. Iberian consumers adopted an American drink and changed it gradually through ingredients, medical ideas, service and status. Sugar, cinnamon and vanilla became prominent, while older flavourings persisted unevenly. The manuscript avoids a single date when Europe suddenly made chocolate sweet.

Sugar and slavery. Sugar's full plantation history belongs to *Sugar in a Hurry*. Here it appears only as the ingredient that changed chocolate's flavour and joined cacao to Atlantic land and labour systems. William Gervase Clarence-Smith supplies the long commodity history of cocoa cultivation, trade, firms and labour between the eighteenth and early twentieth centuries.

São Tomé. The Cadbury dispute follows Lowell Satre's *Chocolate on Trial*, which reconstructs the company's knowledge, investigations, continued purchases, public criticism and libel case. Catherine Higgs adds a wider history of labour, race and empire on São Tomé and Príncipe. The manuscript uses *conditions investigators came to regard as slavery* because legal contract language did not remove coercive recruitment, inability to leave and colonial violence.

African agency. Kristy Leissle and Clarence-Smith support the distinction between colonial structures and African initiative. The rise of cocoa in the Gold Coast cannot be explained as a plantation plan imposed from Europe. African farmers moved planting material, invested, hired labour and expanded production, although colonial government shaped law, infrastructure and marketing.

Industrial chocolate

The cocoa press. Cornell University Library's chocolate exhibition dates Coenraad van Houten's patented press to 1828 and explains how it reduced cocoa butter in the press cake, making powder easier to mill and disperse. The book separates the press from alkalisation because historical accounts often merge related Van Houten innovations into one event.

The eating bar. The Smithsonian National Museum of American History identifies Fry's 1847 moulded eating bar as the first of its type, made possible by adding cocoa butter back to a formulated mixture. The manuscript says *recognisable industrial bar* rather than claiming that nobody had ever cooled or eaten solid cacao before 1847.

Milk chocolate and conching. Maison Cailler's historical material dates Daniel Peter's successful milk-chocolate work to 1875 and connects it to condensed-milk technology. Lindt & Sprüngli dates Rodolphe Lindt's conching process to 1879. Company origin stories can acquire legend, especially the tale of a machine left running by accident, so the book retains the dates and mechanisms but not the unverified drama.

Mass habit. Coe and Coe, Clarence-Smith and the company histories support the movement from expensive drink to portable, branded confectionery. The account gives Quaker manufacturers their worker-welfare programmes without allowing those programmes to cancel the supply-chain contradictions exposed by São Tomé.

Processing, crystals and flavour

Composition and flow. The technical explanation of cocoa mass, pressing, powder, refining, particle size, viscosity, lecithin, conching and formulation comes chiefly from Afoakwa's *Chocolate Science and Technology*. Figures such as roughly half the nib being cocoa butter and smoothness in the range of a few tens of micrometres are practical approximations. Recipes, equipment and sensory targets vary.

Tempering. Cocoa butter has several crystal polymorphs. Form V is the

normal production target because it combines gloss, contraction, snap and a useful melting range. The labels assigned to forms and the exact transition temperatures can differ slightly among technical conventions and recipes. The manuscript therefore explains the mechanism and avoids one universal tempering curve.

Bloom and water. Fat bloom and sugar bloom are separated because they have different causes. Fat migration, unstable crystals and temperature cycling can create fat bloom. Surface moisture dissolving and recrystallising sugar creates sugar bloom. Seizing is described as a local water-and-particle problem; adding enough liquid can create an emulsion, but that does not restore chocolate for tempered shells.

Flavour. No single compound produces chocolate aroma. Fermentation creates precursors; drying changes acids and oxidation; roasting produces many volatile compounds through Maillard and related reactions; refining, conching and recipe alter release and perception. Origin is therefore treated as one cause among genetics, farming, post-harvest handling and manufacture.

Modern trade, labour and forests

Current production. The International Cocoa Organization's May 2026 bulletin revised 2024/25 global production to 4.723 million tonnes, grindings to 4.628 million tonnes and the surplus to 48,000 tonnes. These are estimates for a completed cocoa season and may be revised again. The prose rounds production to about 4.7 million tonnes and does not treat a small surplus as proof that volatility or farm stress has ended.

West African concentration. The United States Department of Labor states that Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana produce about 60 per cent of global cocoa. The share changes by season, so the text uses *roughly three-fifths*. Most production comes from smallholders, but farm size, tenure, labour arrangements and income differ within and between countries.

Prices. Futures prices, national producer-price systems and household revenue are distinguished. Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana announce producer

prices through regulated systems, so international price increases do not arrive at every farm immediately or in full. A household with a failed crop can earn less during a price spike. The account avoids a single global figure for the farmer's share because methods and products differ.

Child labour. The Department of Labor reports more than 1.5 million children working on cocoa farms in Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana, with a large share in hazardous work. The underlying nationally representative research includes the NORC survey published in 2020. Child work, child labour, hazardous child labour, forced labour and trafficking are not interchangeable. The manuscript states the scale while preserving those distinctions.

Due diligence. The OECD's 2023 cocoa handbook supports the treatment of traceability, risk assessment, monitoring, prevention and remediation as an ongoing process rather than a label claim. The handbook also stresses that complex and fragmented supply chains make company knowledge incomplete. Certification can contribute to due diligence but does not establish living income by itself.

EU deforestation rule. The European Commission's July 2026 implementation guidance states that the EU Deforestation Regulation is due to apply from 30 December 2026 for large and medium operators and from 30 June 2027 for most micro and small operators. Cocoa is a covered commodity, and specified chocolate products are within scope. The manuscript treats these dates as the current legal timetable as of 11 August 2026, not as a permanent fact, because the implementation schedule has already been amended more than once.

Deforestation. Nikolai Kalischek and colleagues used high-resolution plantation maps and satellite data to associate cocoa cultivation with more than 37 per cent of forest loss in protected areas in Côte d'Ivoire and more than 13 per cent in Ghana. These are modelled national estimates for protected areas, not claims that cocoa caused the same share of all forest loss everywhere.

Health claims

Flavanols and blood pressure. Karin Ried and colleagues' 2017 Cochrane review found moderate-quality evidence that flavanol-rich cocoa and chocolate products produced a small, roughly 2 mmHg short-term reduction in blood pressure, mainly in healthy adults. Trials used products with measured flavanol contents and varied designs. The result does not establish that an ordinary dark bar provides a known therapeutic dose.

Authorised claim. The European Food Safety Authority accepted a narrow relationship between specified cocoa flavanol intake and maintenance of normal endothelium-dependent vasodilation. Processing can reduce flavanols, especially alkalisation. Cocoa percentage, darkness and bitterness are therefore poor substitutes for measured content. The American Heart Association's public guidance is consistent with treating chocolate as an enjoyable food rather than a cardiovascular treatment.

Bibliography

Original evidence, research and institutional data

Arnold, Sarah E. J., Samantha J. Forbes, David R. Hall, Dudley I. Farman, Sarah Bridgemohan, Ian P. Woiwod and Philip C. Stevenson. "Floral Odors and the Interaction between Pollinating Ceratopogonid Midges and Cacao." *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 45 (2019): 869-878. DOI: 10.1007/s10886-019-01118-9.

European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies. "Scientific Opinion on the Substantiation of a Health Claim Related to Cocoa Flavanols and Maintenance of Normal Endothelium-Dependent Vasodilation." *EFSA Journal* 10, no. 7 (2012): 2809. DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2809.

Henderson, John S., Rosemary A. Joyce, Gretchen R. Hall, W. Jeffrey Hurst and Patrick E. McGovern. "Chemical and Archaeological Evidence for the Earliest Cacao Beverages." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences 104, no. 48 (2007): 18937-18940. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0708815104.

International Cocoa Organization. *Quarterly Bulletin of Cocoa Statistics: May 2026*. Abidjan: ICCO, 2026.

European Commission. "Commission Updates Product Scope and Tools to Support EUDR." 13 July 2026. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Kalischek, Nikolai, et al. "Cocoa Plantations Are Associated with Deforestation in Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana." *Nature Food* 4 (2023): 384-393. DOI: 10.1038/s43016-023-00751-8.

Lanaud, Claire, et al. "A Revisited History of Cacao Domestication in Pre-Columbian Times Revealed by Archaeogenomic Approaches." *Scientific Reports* 14 (2024): 2972. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-53010-6.

NORC at the University of Chicago. *Assessing Progress in Reducing Child Labor in Cocoa Production in Cocoa Growing Areas of Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana*. Chicago: NORC, 2020.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Business Handbook on Due Diligence in the Cocoa Sector: Addressing Child Labour and Forced Labour*. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2023. DOI: 10.1787/79812d6f-en.

Powis, Terry G., Ann Cyphers, Niles W. Gaikwad, Louis Grivetti and Kong Cheong. "Cacao Use and the San Lorenzo Olmec." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, no. 21 (2011): 8595-8600. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1100620108.

Ried, Karin, Peter Fakler and Nigel P. Stocks. "Effect of Cocoa on Blood Pressure." *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 4 (2017): CD008893. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008893.pub3.

United States Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs. "Ending Child Labor in Cocoa Production." Supply-chain profile. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Zarrillo, Sonia, et al. "The Use and Domestication of *Theobroma cacao* during the Mid-Holocene in the Upper Amazon." *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2 (2018): 1879-1888. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-018-0697-x.

Archives, museums and institutional histories

American Heart Association. "Are There Health Benefits from Chocolate?" 12 February 2019. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Cornell University Library. *Chocolate: Food of the Gods*. Online exhibition, including "Coenraad Van Houten," "Pollination and Pods," "Harvest and Fermentation," and "Chocolate for Eating." Accessed 11 August 2026.

Lindt & Sprüngli. "History" and "Rodolphe Lindt." Corporate historical archive. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Maison Cailler. "150 Years of Milk Chocolate" and "Our History." Corporate historical exhibition. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. "*Theobroma cacao* L." *Plants of the World Online*. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Smithsonian National Museum of American History. "J. S. Fry & Sons Chocolate Tin." Collections record. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Modern works

Afoakwa, Emmanuel Ohene. *Chocolate Science and Technology*. 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, 2016. DOI: 10.1002/9781118913758.

Clarence-Smith, William Gervase. *Cocoa and Chocolate, 1765-1914*. London: Routledge, 2000.

Coe, Sophie D., and Michael D. Coe. *The True History of Chocolate*. 3rd ed. London: Thames & Hudson, 2019.

Higgs, Catherine. *Chocolate Islands: Cocoa, Slavery, and Colonial Africa*. Athens, OH: Ohio University Press, 2012.

Leissle, Kristy. *Cocoa*. Cambridge: Polity, 2018.

McNeil, Cameron L., ed. *Chocolate in Mesoamerica: A Cultural History of Cacao*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2006.

Norton, Marcy. *Sacred Gifts, Profane Pleasures: A History of Tobacco and Chocolate in the Atlantic World*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2008.

Satre, Lowell J. *Chocolate on Trial: Slavery, Politics, and the Ethics of Business*. Athens, OH: Ohio University Press, 2005.