

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Bread

in a Hurry

Flour, water, time, and transformation

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 20

What People Get Wrong 30

Use It 34

Terms 39

Go Deeper 42

Notes and Sources 44

Bibliography 50

The Whole Thing in One Page

Bread looks like a recipe with unusually few ingredients. Flour, water, salt and some means of leavening appear to explain it. They do not. Two bakers can begin with the same four things and produce a flat disc, a dense brick, an open country loaf or a soft pan bread, because the decisive ingredient is the sequence.

Begin with grain. A cereal seed is built to wait: dry enough to store, hard enough to protect its reserves, packed with starch and protein for a future plant. Bread reverses that design. Milling breaks the seed and decides which parts become flour. Water mobilises the contents. Mixing and resting organise them into dough. In wheat, gluten-forming proteins create an elastic network capable of stretching without losing all cohesion. Other grains make bread by different structural routes, often as flatbreads, pastes or loaves supported more by starches and other polymers than by gluten.

Fermentation then applies pressure from inside. Yeasts consume available sugars and release carbon dioxide, while sourdough communities add acids and a wider range of flavours. The gas does not create a loaf by itself. Mixing has already put small air cells into the dough, and the dough must retain and divide them as they expand. Fermentation therefore tests structure as much as it supplies gas.

Shaping is the editing stage. It redistributes bubbles, builds surface tension, sets a seam and directs expansion. A pan, a stone, a griddle and the wall of a hot oven impose different geometries, which is why a tortilla, pita, baguette and sandwich loaf can share an ancestry without sharing a form. There is no master loaf from which the others are deviations. The family is held together by transformation, not by wheat, yeast or height.

Heat finishes the transformation in a strict order. Gas expands, water turns to vapour, starch absorbs water and loses its ordered structure, proteins set, the crust dries and browns, and the soft dough becomes a sliceable foam. Cooling is part of the process rather than the empty wait after it. Moisture moves, the crumb firms, the crust changes, and staling begins through

starch reorganisation as well as water loss.

This chain became larger than the kitchen because grain could be stored, weighed, transported and taxed. Mills concentrated power. Ovens concentrated labour. Cities regulated loaf weights and prices because a bad harvest could turn bread into unrest. Roller mills, commercial yeast, steel ovens, tins, mixers and high-speed processes made pale, soft, uniform bread cheap enough to produce by the thousand. They also exchanged local variation and long fermentation for control, speed and scale.

Bread is therefore a transformation twice over. It converts a seed made for dormancy into food made for immediate use, then converts a household craft into infrastructure. The same durability that let grain wait through winter let states count it and factories standardise it. The loaf on the table is chemistry, mechanics, agriculture, labour and politics compressed into something you can tear with your hands. That is the book.

Why You Should Care

In a stone fireplace at Shubayqa 1, in what is now north-eastern Jordan, archaeologists found charred fragments of bread-like food made about 14,400 years ago. The people who left them were hunter-gatherers. Agriculture had not yet supplied them with fields of domesticated wheat. They gathered wild cereals and other plants, ground them, mixed them with water and cooked the result. Bread appears in the record before farming became a settled way of life. A food usually presented as agriculture's child may have helped make cultivated grain desirable.

That changes the usual story. Bread was not a passive consequence of agriculture, produced only after humans had learned to grow grain. It may have been one of the demanding foods that made grain worth cultivating. Collecting small seeds, removing husks, grinding them and cooking a dough is expensive work. People chose the work because the result changed what grain could be: portable, shareable, pleasant to eat and capable of carrying other foods.

The second reason to care is that bread makes hidden process visible. A loaf records its handling more faithfully than most manufactured objects. A tight crumb can point towards weak expansion, forceful shaping, low hydration or a flour that could not hold more gas. A burst side can mark a skin that set before pressure found an intended escape. A gummy line may reveal cutting before cooling was complete. Bread keeps a partial memory of water, temperature, time and force. Learning to read it is a compact education in materials, feedback and trade-offs.

It also reveals the difference between ingredients and systems. Flour is not one standard powder. Its grain, extraction, protein quality, particle size and milling history change the dough. Yeast is not a lift button. Gas production, gas retention and timing must meet. Heat is not one event. The loaf first expands, then sets, then loses water and browns. A formula tells you what entered the bowl. It cannot tell you what happened there.

Then there is the larger object hiding behind the smaller one. Bread has been wages, ration, tax base, religious symbol, measure of respectability and trigger for disorder. A city that depended on purchased loaves had to care about grain supply, mill capacity, oven fuel, baker conduct and price. Industrial bread later moved those questions into factories, laboratories, transport systems and regulation. The debate between artisan and factory bread is therefore not a contest between purity and corruption. It is a dispute over which costs are visible, who performs the labour and what qualities a society chooses to standardise. Once a staple is made by strangers, trust moves from the household to weights, brands, inspectors, recipes and machines. Bread shows how an intimate food becomes an institution without ceasing to feel personal.

This is not a recipe collection, although it should make recipes easier to understand. It will not turn sourdough into a general account of microbial ecology, repeat the molecular detail owned by food chemistry or range across every cooking method. Its subject is narrower and larger: how grain becomes bread, and how that repeated transformation built a food system around itself.

Once you see the loaf as a sequence rather than an ingredient list, familiar bread becomes less ordinary. A crust is a record of drying and reaction. A crumb is the fossil of a foam. A sliced white loaf is an engineering answer to a social demand. A flatbread is not an early failed loaf waiting to rise, but a complete solution to a different set of grains, tools, fuels and meals. The object stays simple. Your explanation of it does not.

The Core Ideas

Grain Is Built to Wait

Bread begins with a seed refusing to become bread.

A cereal grain is a compact survival package. The outer layers protect it from damage. The germ contains the embryo that can begin a new plant. Most of the volume is endosperm, a reserve dominated by starch and accompanied by storage proteins. Kept sufficiently dry and protected from pests, grain can remain useful long after fruit, milk or meat has spoiled. That durability made cereals attractive before anyone understood their chemistry. A family could carry grain, store it, divide it and return to it when fresh food was scarce. Dryness also concentrates the danger. A damaged store can feed insects, rodents or mould through an entire reserve, while flour usually deteriorates faster than intact grain because grinding exposes oils and enzymes to air. Milling therefore trades storage stability for immediate usefulness.

The useful part is also the obstacle. Starch granules and proteins are locked inside a hard biological structure designed for dormancy. Human teeth can crack cooked kernels, and soaked or sprouted grain can be eaten, but grinding changes the economics of digestion. It increases surface area, breaks cell structures and makes the reserves easier to mix with water and cook. Flour is therefore a technological state, not a substance found waiting inside the grain.

This is why the earliest evidence matters. At Shubayqa 1, the charred fragments contained remains consistent with ground wild cereals and other

plants formed into flat bread-like products. The find does not prove that bread caused agriculture, and it does not give us a continuous lineage from one hearth to every later loaf. It does establish that people performed the labour of breadmaking before domesticated crops made grain abundant. The desire to transform grain came first; large fields made the transformation routine.

Domestication then changed both plant and society. Over generations, farmers favoured cereals whose ears held together long enough to harvest and whose seeds germinated under managed conditions. Grain could be accumulated as surplus, but only through systems of land, seasonal labour, threshing, winnowing, storage and protection. Bread carried all of that work into a form that appeared to be one food.

Wheat became especially important for raised loaves because its storage proteins can form a viscoelastic network when flour is hydrated and worked. That advantage should not be mistaken for a definition of bread. Barley, rye, oats, millet, sorghum, maize, rice, teff and roots or pulses mixed with cereals have all supported bread traditions. Many produce flatter, denser or more brittle structures because their proteins and starches behave differently. A maize tortilla is not incomplete wheat bread. Injera, rye bread, steamed buns, crispbreads and unleavened hearth breads answer different materials and meals.

The general model is broader than wheat. Bread takes a durable carbohydrate reserve, reduces its structure, hydrates it into a form that can be shaped, then fixes that shape with heat. Leavening is one option inside the family, not the family itself.

Grain's ability to wait is the first condition of the whole system. It allowed food to be stored between harvest and hunger, which made milling worth organising and bread worth regulating. It also created the central paradox. The seed's value lies in resisting change. Every later stage exists to overcome that resistance without losing control of the result.

Milling Is Design

A mill does not merely make particles smaller. It chooses the flour.

Cut a wheat kernel across and its parts are arranged for the plant, not the baker. The bran forms outer layers rich in fibre and minerals. The germ contains oils, enzymes and the embryo. The starchy endosperm makes up most of the kernel and supplies the pale flour sought for many raised breads. Grinding everything together produces wholemeal flour. Separating and recombining fractions can produce flours with different extraction rates, colours, flavours, storage lives and baking behaviour.

Stone milling crushes grain between surfaces. Traditional systems could sift the meal repeatedly, so white flour long predates industrial roller mills, though it was costly and often associated with status. Modern roller milling works by stages. Grooved rolls open the kernel and scrape endosperm away from bran; smoother reduction rolls grind purified endosperm finer. Sieves classify particles between passes. The process is less a single crushing than a controlled disassembly.

That control changes more than appearance. Bran particles can interrupt a developing gluten network and absorb substantial water. Germ contributes flavour and nutrients but its oils shorten storage life once exposed to air. Fine endosperm flour forms smoother dough, while particle size and the amount of starch damaged during milling alter water absorption and enzyme access. Two flours with the same protein percentage can behave differently because the proteins differ in quality and because the mill has left a different physical material. Millers can blend wheats to balance strength, colour and consistency, so a flour bag may already contain a designed compromise among harvests. Age after milling matters too: controlled oxidation can improve some dough properties, while prolonged storage gradually consumes aroma and exposes vulnerable fats.

Extraction rate describes how much of the cleaned grain ends up in the flour. A lower-extraction white flour contains mainly endosperm. A high-extraction or wholemeal flour retains more outer layers and germ. Ash

content, measured after burning away organic matter, offers an indirect indication of mineral-rich outer material. Neither number tells the whole story, but both show that flour is selected rather than inevitable.

Milling also sets the terms of the later argument about nutrition, flavour and volume. Removing bran and germ can make a lighter loaf easier to produce and extend flour's keeping quality. It also removes fibre, minerals, vitamins and many flavour compounds. Adding isolated nutrients back through fortification addresses some losses but does not recreate the original grain structure. Conversely, keeping the whole kernel does not guarantee a good loaf. Coarse bran, weak wheat, old flour or poor process control can produce dense bread despite the worthy label.

The baker therefore inherits the miller's decisions. A strong white bread flour may accept more water and retain gas under long fermentation. A soft flour may suit biscuits better than a tall loaf. Rye flour may depend heavily on pentosans and starch behaviour rather than a wheat-like gluten network. Stoneground wholemeal may need more water and gentler expectations than roller-milled white flour.

Flour is the first edited version of grain. By the time it reaches the bowl, someone has decided what to keep, what to remove, how finely to divide it and how long it should survive on a shelf. The loaf begins before the baker touches it.

Water Turns Flour into a Material

Dry flour can be poured. Add water and it begins to remember every touch.

Hydration dissolves salts and sugars, lets enzymes act, swells damaged starch and gives proteins enough mobility to interact. In wheat dough, two broad families of storage protein matter most. Gliadins contribute flow and extensibility. Glutenins contribute elasticity and strength through larger linked structures. Once hydrated and organised, together they form gluten: a network able to stretch around expanding gas while pulling back against it.

The familiar claim that kneading creates gluten is too blunt. The proteins

already exist in the flour. Water allows them to associate, while mixing, folding and rest change their arrangement. Mechanical work stretches and aligns the dough, redistributes water, incorporates air and encourages useful contacts. Resting can achieve part of the same development because hydrated molecules continue moving without human effort. A dough can gain strength through repeated folds separated by time, or through intensive mixing completed in minutes. The routes are different, and so are their costs in labour, temperature and oxidation. Fast mixing raises dough temperature and can bleach flavour-bearing pigments through oxygen exposure. Slow development occupies space and schedule instead of motor power. The finished network remembers which bargain was made even when the formula is unchanged.

Hydration is usually expressed as water weight divided by flour weight. At 70 per cent hydration, 700 grams of water accompany 1,000 grams of flour. The number is useful because it survives changes in batch size. It is not a universal scale of wetness. Wholemeal flour, rye, damaged starch, high-protein wheat and dry air can all change how a given percentage feels. Eggs, milk, butter, cooked grain and liquid starters complicate the calculation further because they carry water within other ingredients.

Salt adds taste, but it also changes dough behaviour. It commonly tightens wheat dough, moderates fermentation and alters enzyme activity. Too little can leave a dough slack and fermentation rapid. Too much can suppress microbial activity and make the food unpalatable. The correct amount is therefore part of the timing system, not a finishing seasoning. Development also has an endpoint. A dough can become smoother and stronger, then lose useful resistance if work, heat or fermentation continue too far. Bakers watch how it stretches, tears and recovers because no single laboratory number captures every stage of that change at the bench.

The central mechanical problem is balance. A dough that resists every stretch cannot expand far. A dough that flows without recovery cannot hold shape. Bakers call the useful qualities elasticity and extensibility. Strong bread dough needs both: enough resistance to maintain structure, enough

compliance to enlarge without tearing. Flour choice, hydration, mixing, acidity, salt, temperature and fermentation all move that balance.

Wheat's network is remarkable, but bread cannot be explained as gluten alone. Starch supplies most of the solid matter and later sets much of the crumb. Arabinoxylans and other non-starch polysaccharides bind water and influence viscosity. Bran changes the network physically and chemically. Fats, sugars, milk solids and eggs alter softness, lubrication, browning and gas-cell stability in enriched breads. Gluten-free breads use starches, proteins, gums, hydrocolloids and process control to build alternative structures.

Flatbreads make the broader rule easiest to see. If dough will be rolled thin and cooked quickly against a hot surface, it does not need to support a tall column of gas. It can succeed with weaker flour, less development or no fermentation. A tall free-standing loaf imposes a harder structural test. A pan reduces that test by supporting the sides. Form follows the material's capacity.

Water therefore does more than combine ingredients. It changes flour from an inventory into a working system. From that moment, time begins to matter. Every minute shifts distribution, enzyme activity, protein organisation and microbial growth. Dough is flour made responsive.

Fermentation Creates Time and Pressure

A dough can ferment perfectly and still make poor bread. Gas production is only half the job.

Baker's yeast consumes fermentable sugars and releases carbon dioxide and ethanol. Some sugars are present in flour; more become available as flour enzymes break starch into smaller molecules. Carbon dioxide dissolves partly in the dough's water phase and enters existing gas cells. As pressure rises, those cells expand. The dough must stretch around them without rupturing or allowing neighbouring cells to merge into a few coarse voids.

The first bubbles do not appear from nowhere when yeast begins work. Mixing incorporates air, creating many small nuclei. Fermentation enlarges them. This distinction explains why a dough with vigorous yeast can remain dense: it may have weak structure, poor initial aeration, damaged bubbles, unsuitable consistency or mistimed proofing. Yeast supplies pressure. The rest of the process decides whether pressure becomes volume.

Sourdough widens the system. A maintained starter usually contains yeasts alongside lactic acid bacteria and sometimes acetic acid bacteria, with composition shaped by flour, water, temperature, feeding ratio, frequency and local practice. The yeasts contribute gas; bacteria generate acids and other metabolites. Acidification changes flavour, enzyme behaviour, microbial competition and dough properties. The result is not one timeless organism passed unchanged from ancestor to descendant. It is a managed community repeatedly selected by the conditions the baker supplies. Preferments make the same principle easier to control. A portion of flour and water is fermented in advance, then added to the final dough, carrying gas-producing capacity, acids, enzymes and flavour precursors. Poolish, biga, sponge and levain differ in hydration, culture and maturity, but all move part of the clock outside the final mix.

Fermentation also changes aroma. Yeast and bacteria produce alcohols, organic acids, esters and other compounds, while enzymes release additional sugars and amino compounds that later influence crust reactions. Long fermentation can therefore produce flavour without adding a new headline ingredient. It can also weaken dough if acids, enzymes and time outrun the flour's capacity. More time is not automatically better.

Temperature is the fastest way to change the clock. Warmer dough generally ferments faster within a useful range; cooler dough slows. Flour temperature, room temperature, water temperature, mixing energy and batch size all contribute to the final dough temperature. A baker who measures only elapsed hours misses the variable driving much of the biological rate.

Bulk fermentation occurs before final shaping and allows gas production,

flavour development and structural change across the whole mass. Folds during this period can redistribute temperature and nutrients, strengthen the dough and reorganise bubbles. Final proof occurs after shaping, when the loaf expands towards the point at which it can still survive handling, scoring and oven spring.

Under-proofed dough enters the oven with unused expansion potential but limited internal gas and a tight structure. It may burst at weak points.

Over-proofed dough has stretched close to its limit; it may deflate under handling or fail to spring because the network can no longer contain pressure. The correct endpoint is not a fixed number of minutes. It is a relationship among gas, structure, temperature and intended shape.

This is why fermentation belongs in the bread book even though microbes own a larger story elsewhere. In bread, fermentation is an internal loading test performed over time. It develops flavour and pressure while revealing whether the material made in the previous stage can do its job.

Shaping Decides Where Expansion Goes

Shaping is often described as making the dough look like the loaf. Its real purpose is to decide how the loaf will fail.

A fermented mass contains bubbles of uneven size, weak patches, seams and a surface that has been cut or torn during division. Pre-shaping gathers the piece into a manageable form. Rest allows tension to relax. Final shaping redistributes some large bubbles, aligns parts of the dough, closes a seam and stretches an outer skin around the piece. That skin gives the dough a direction of resistance. It can support upward expansion, or it can become the place where pressure breaks through.

The baker therefore removes some gas to preserve the system that makes gas useful. Heavy degassing produces a fine, regular crumb suited to pan bread and rolls. Gentler handling preserves more irregular cells in a country loaf. Neither is inherently superior. Crumb structure is a design choice linked to slicing, spreading, strength, eating and tradition. An open loaf that leaks butter is not automatically better bread.

Containers change the structural demand. A tin supports the sides and encourages a high, even shape. A banneton supports dough during proof but is removed before baking, so the loaf must stand by itself once inverted. A flatbread avoids the tall-structure problem and gains fast, even heating. Pita is thin enough that steam can separate layers and inflate a pocket. A baguette's long narrow form increases crust relative to crumb and shortens the distance heat must travel. Proofing supports also leave their signature. Cloth, baskets, boards and tins control sticking, drying and spread, while flour or bran on a surface changes friction. Shape is process made visible.

Scoring gives expanding dough a controlled weakness. A cut interrupts the drying skin so the loaf can open there rather than split at the base or side. Angle, depth and position affect the result, but scoring cannot rescue a dough whose fermentation or structure is wrong. It directs pressure that already exists.

Surface tension is equally practical. A shaped piece with a loose skin spreads. One pulled too tightly tears. Flour on the bench reduces sticking but too much can prevent seams from sealing. The correct handling depends on hydration, flour strength, fermentation and intended form. Rules learned from one dough become errors in another.

Bread cultures developed forms that fit their grains, ovens, fuels and meals. Thin breads cook rapidly where fuel is scarce or ovens are intensely hot. Large loaves reduce crust area and retain moisture. Small rolls divide portions before baking. Ring shapes expose more surface. Stamped and decorated breads make identity visible. The form may carry religious, regional or commercial meaning, but it also remains an engineering answer. Shaping creates a production boundary as well. Before it, the baker can fold and redistribute the whole mass. After it, every touch risks disturbing the final pattern. The loaf becomes an individual object with a top, base, seam and intended direction of growth.

The previous stages create possibility. Shaping selects from it. By editing bubbles, tension and geometry, the baker determines where expansion can go and what kind of bread the eater will receive.

Heat Fixes the Foam, Then Time Starts Undoing It

Dough enters the oven as a wet, living foam and leaves as a dry-skinned solid. The change feels sudden because the structure crosses several thresholds in quick succession.

At first, heat accelerates activity. Gases already inside the cells expand. Dissolved carbon dioxide comes out of solution. Water evaporates into vapour. Yeast briefly works faster before rising temperature stops it. The loaf enlarges during this early phase, known as oven spring, while the dough remains flexible enough to stretch.

Then the window closes. Starch granules absorb water, swell and lose much of their ordered structure. Gluten proteins aggregate and set. These changes turn the walls around the gas cells from deformable dough into crumb. The exact sequence varies with formula, loaf size and heating rate, but the principle is constant: expansion must occur before structure becomes too rigid. A cold or weak oven can let a loaf spread before it sets. An overly dry surface can harden early and constrain expansion.

The interior cannot brown like the crust because it remains wet and its temperature is constrained while water is abundant. At the surface, evaporation removes water, the temperature can rise further, and browning reactions accelerate. Maillard chemistry between carbonyl compounds and amino groups contributes colour and roasted aromas. Caramelisation can contribute in sugar-rich conditions. The crust becomes a distinct material with lower moisture, greater rigidity and a large share of the loaf's aroma. Geometry decides how much of it exists. A small roll has more surface relative to crumb than a large boule; a thin flatbread can become almost all boundary. Loaf size therefore changes baking time, moisture loss and the balance between crispness and softness even before ingredients differ.

Steam changes the boundary. A humid early oven delays surface drying, allowing expansion and producing a thinner, glossier crust in suitable breads. Later drying is needed for crispness. A pan loaf baked for softness may use fat, sugar and controlled cooling or packaging to retain a tender

crust instead. There is no single ideal surface because bread has no single intended use.

Removing the loaf does not finish it. Water continues to move from wetter crumb towards drier crust and into the surrounding air. The crumb structure stabilises as it cools. Cut too soon and escaping steam, pressure and mechanical weakness can leave a compressed or gummy interior. The audible crackle of some cooling loaves comes from a rigid crust contracting and fracturing as moisture and temperature change.

Staling then begins, and drying is an incomplete explanation. The crumb can firm while retaining much of its water. Starch chains, especially amylopectin, gradually reassociate into more ordered structures, while water redistributes among starch, gluten and other components. The crust may soften as it absorbs moisture from the crumb even while the crumb grows firmer. Refrigeration often speeds crumb firming because it favours starch retrogradation; freezing, by contrast, can slow the process if the bread is protected from dehydration and temperature cycling.

Reheating can temporarily soften stale bread because heat disrupts some ordered starch structures and redistributes water. The effect does not turn old bread into a new loaf, and repeated heating drives further moisture loss. Bread's best state is a timed interval rather than a permanent property.

The oven therefore fixes a loaf without freezing it in history. Baking establishes crumb and crust. Cooling completes them. Storage starts rearranging them. Transformation continues after the baker has stopped touching the loaf.

The Loaf Became Infrastructure

Grain could be stored, divided and counted. Bread inherited those properties, then added one urgent complication: people wanted it every day.

That combination created specialists early. Grinding grain by hand is slow and physically punishing. Larger querns, animal-powered mills, watermills and later windmills transferred labour into machines. Ovens consumed fuel

and rewarded shared use. Bakers could produce at scale for households that lacked time, equipment or rights to bake independently. The loaf became the visible end of a chain involving farmers, merchants, millers, fuel suppliers, carriers, oven owners and regulators. Mechanisation moved effort rather than abolishing it. Hand querns consumed hours in households; powered mills concentrated the work into buildings where millers maintained stones, controlled dust and claimed a share of the grain. Factory lines later reduced handling per loaf while creating night shifts, maintenance work and dependence on uninterrupted energy and transport.

Ancient Egyptian models and workshop remains show grinding, mixing, shaping and baking divided among workers. Roman towns developed commercial bakeries in which mills, kneading areas and ovens could occupy one production site. Medieval and early modern authorities regulated bakers because the public could not easily inspect the flour, loaf weight or calculation behind the price. One common solution held the money price steady and changed the loaf's weight as grain became dearer or cheaper. A smaller loaf could therefore announce inflation before anyone used that word.

Milling power was political power. A lord, monastery, town or entrepreneur who controlled the mill could charge for access. Grain standards and flour grades shaped trade. White bread carried status because separating fine endosperm flour required more grain, sifting and labour, while darker breads absorbed more of the kernel and often more of the poor person's diet. The colour hierarchy was never technically simple, but it was socially legible.

Industrialisation reorganised every stage. Steam and large roller mills produced consistent flour and separated bran and germ efficiently. Railways and ships widened the grain supply. Commercial yeast reduced dependence on uncertain local cultures. Metal mixers, dividers, moulders, tins and travelling ovens converted the bakery into a production line. Packaging and slicing made a soft pan loaf convenient to transport, sell and use over several days.

The Chorleywood Bread Process, developed in Britain in 1961, made the

exchange explicit. High-speed mixing delivered mechanical energy quickly, developing dough in minutes rather than relying on a long bulk fermentation. Formulation and process control supported soft, high-volume bread at high throughput. The result was cheaper and more consistent, and it could use a wider range of wheat qualities. It also reduced the time in which fermentation could build flavour and changed the texture people came to expect.

The honest judgement holds both sides. Industrial bread is not counterfeit. It solves real problems of cost, scale, softness, safety and predictability. Artisan bread is not automatically better; a long-fermented loaf can still be underbaked, poorly milled or unpleasant. Yet industrial systems make certain qualities easier to count than others. Loaf volume, slice strength, softness and shelf life fit a production line. Local grain character, irregular fermentation and peak freshness are harder to standardise. Once soft white bread became ordinary, it also reset expectation. Chew, acidity, thick crust and rapid staling could be experienced as defects rather than features. Industry answered taste and helped train it.

The loop closes at the seed. Grain's dry stability allowed harvests to become reserves, reserves to become accounts, and accounts to become systems of mills, prices and factories. Bread began by overcoming the seed's resistance to change. It ended by building an industry devoted to making that change repeatable.

How It Actually Works

Before the field

The first known bread fragments are not loaves. They are charred scraps recovered from two stone fireplaces at Shubayqa 1, a hunter-gatherer site in the Black Desert of north-eastern Jordan. Under a microscope, the fragments showed a porous structure and plant tissues consistent with ground wild cereals, including ancestors of domesticated wheat, mixed with other plants such as club-rush tubers. They were cooked about 14,400 years ago.

Nothing about the meal was easy. Wild cereal seeds had to be gathered before they scattered, cleaned, hulled where necessary and ground. Tubers had to be collected and processed. Water had to be added in the right amount, and the mixture had to be placed on or near a hot surface without losing it to ash. The result was probably flat, dense and made for an occasion rather than an ordinary breakfast. Its importance lies in the labour. People were willing to turn small, awkward plant parts into a composite cooked food long before fields made the ingredients cheap.

This gives bread two beginnings. The first is culinary: the decision to grind and combine. The second is economic: the point at which domesticated cereals made that decision repeatable at scale.

Fields, houses and hotter surfaces

From roughly the tenth millennium BCE, communities in south-west Asia increasingly cultivated cereals and selected plants with traits useful to harvesting. Domesticated wheat and barley did not create bread from nothing. They changed its frequency. Grain came in larger, more predictable quantities, while permanent settlements supplied grinding stones, storage rooms and built hearths.

The basic family of breads could then widen. A coarse meal mixed with water and cooked on a stone gives one result. A softer dough slapped against the wall of a hot oven gives another. A fermented dough enclosed in

a pot or oven can rise into a thicker loaf. Porridge, beer and bread also overlap in their first stages: grain is crushed, hydrated and exposed to enzymes and microbes. Early cooks did not work from modern categories. They worked from consistencies and vessels.

Grinding remained a daily burden. Saddle querns required grain to be rubbed back and forth between stones, often for hours. The resulting flour could contain grit from the tools, and the labour fell heavily on women in many documented societies. Bread's apparent convenience at the table rested on repetitive work performed before the dough existed.

Ovens altered the possibilities. An open griddle heats mainly from one side and rewards thinness. An enclosed hot chamber surrounds dough with radiant and convective heat, allowing thicker pieces to set before they collapse. Tandoor-like ovens use a hot wall as the baking surface. Dome ovens store heat in masonry and can bake many loaves after the fire is removed or moved aside. Architecture became an ingredient.

Egypt makes the workshop visible

Ancient Egypt leaves an unusually concrete record because dry conditions preserved loaves, tomb art depicted production and model workshops miniaturised the labour. A wooden model from the tomb of Meketre, dated to about 1981 to 1975 BCE, contains a combined bakery and brewery. Workers crush grain, women grind flour, men mix dough in tall tubs, ovens are tended with a poker, and conical loaves sit in a basket. In the adjoining room, related grain preparations ferment for beer.

The model is stylised, not a security camera, but its division of labour is clear. Bread is already organised production. The Egyptian grain base included emmer wheat and barley, both more awkward to process than modern free-threshing bread wheats because hulled grains require extra work to release the kernels. Archaeological loaves show varied shapes, textures and ingredients, while large baking installations at sites such as Amarna point to institutional supply.

Leavening was established, though its discovery cannot be assigned to one

forgotten accident. Dough left long enough can ferment through yeasts and bacteria already present in flour and the environment. Beer foam or fermenting grain mixtures could also inoculate dough. Once a successful piece of fermented dough was retained, bakers could carry activity into the next batch. The practice mattered more than a named inventor.

Bread also functioned as payment and provision. Temples, estates and state projects could distribute grain and loaves to workers. The ration was edible accounting: a measured transfer of stored harvest into daily labour. That use would recur wherever authorities had grain, workers and an obligation to keep them fed.

No single road to bread

The familiar western sequence from Egypt to Greece to Rome describes one important corridor, not a universal family tree. Grain foods developed across climates where the available cereal, fuel and cooking surface set different limits. Wheat and barley supported many Near Eastern and Mediterranean loaves. Millets and sorghum supported breads and porridges in parts of Africa and Asia. Rice flour, pulses and tubers entered mixtures where wheat was scarce or expensive. Maize, domesticated in the Americas, became the basis of tortillas and arepas through techniques unrelated to a European oven loaf.

The maize tortilla makes the difference especially clear. Traditional nixtamalisation cooks and steeps maize in an alkaline solution before washing and grinding it into masa. The treatment changes flavour and handling as well as the grain's chemistry. The resulting dough has no wheat-style gluten network because it does not need one: it is pressed thin and cooked rapidly on a hot surface. In Ethiopia and Eritrea, injera starts from a pourable fermented batter, traditionally based on teff, and is cooked as a broad soft sheet whose bubbles and acidity are part of the desired structure. In northern China, wheat dough can be fermented and then steamed, so the crumb sets in a moist environment without the dry browned crust that an oven produces.

Some breads rise through fermentation. Others puff because water becomes steam between thin layers. Some are batters spread on a hot plate; some are stiff doughs pressed flat; some are steamed rather than browned in dry oven heat. Acid can be structurally important in rye or teff systems for reasons beyond flavour. A clay wall, metal plate, covered pot, steam chamber and masonry oven create different boundaries.

The category survived because it remained flexible. People could grind the staple available to them, add water, shape or spread it, and apply heat. Wheat's gas-holding network later became the industrial ideal for high loaves, but height is one branch. The broader history is a repeated local answer to the same problem: how to turn a dry reserve into food that can be shared, carried and eaten with other foods.

Mills, bakers and the Roman city

The Greek and Roman worlds inherited many grains, bread forms and oven traditions rather than one standard loaf. Wheat bread carried prestige in some settings, barley remained common, and differences in flour colour, fineness and additions marked class as well as taste. Professional bakers became especially important in cities, where many households had neither an efficient mill nor a large oven.

Roman bakeries, or *pistrina*, show the production chain drawn together. Pompeii preserves hourglass-shaped rotary mills that could be turned by animals or enslaved people, stone basins associated with kneading and large masonry ovens. Some establishments combined grinding and baking on the same premises. The grooves worn into floors around mills record motion more honestly than elite texts record the people who supplied it.

Mechanisation increased output but did not remove hard labour. Grain had to arrive, animals had to be driven, millstones dressed, dough divided, fires managed and loaves sold before they deteriorated. Bakers worked at night or before dawn so customers could buy fresh bread. Smoke, flour dust, heat and repetitive lifting made the bakery a difficult workplace long before factories.

Rome also turned grain supply into statecraft. The *annona*, the system of grain provision and distribution, concerned the capital's stability as much as diet. Bread itself was not always the form distributed, but urban dependence on imported cereal exposed the political structure beneath the loaf. Control the ships, storehouses, mills and prices, and you controlled the boundary between routine and disorder.

The mill, the oven and the law

After Rome, European bread production remained local and varied, but three institutions repeatedly shaped it: the mill, the communal or commercial oven, and the authority that set the rules.

A watermill could grind far more grain than a household quern, yet access came with fees and obligations. In many manorial systems tenants were required to use the lord's mill and sometimes the lord's oven. The arrangement concentrated equipment and fuel while also concentrating rent. Windmills later widened the geography of mechanical grinding where streams were weak or contested.

Urban bakers occupied an equally sensitive position. Customers could count loaves but could not easily know the grain price, flour extraction, dough yield or losses in baking. Bakers, for their part, faced volatile raw-material costs while selling a food whose familiar price people resisted changing. Regulation tried to bridge that information gap.

The English Assize of Bread of 1266 belonged to a wider European family of rules. Rather than allowing the penny price of bread to move freely, authorities commonly adjusted the loaf's prescribed weight as grain prices changed. When wheat became dear, the fixed-price loaf became smaller. Schedules attempted to account for milling, fuel, labour and the baker's legitimate return. Inspection and penalties addressed short weight or adulteration.

The system was imperfect and often contested, but its existence is revealing. Bread was treated differently from a luxury because the poor could not respond to a price rise by buying nothing. The loaf joined the

measurement system of the state. Its weight became a public claim about harvests, markets and fairness.

White flour complicated the politics. Repeated sifting could produce fine flour centuries before roller milling, but the process consumed labour and left more of the kernel outside the prized fraction. Pale bread became associated with wealth and refinement, while coarser breads varied with region, grain and income. The later industrial loaf did not invent the desire for whiteness. It democratised a colour that had long signalled separation from bran and status above necessity.

Bread and the moral economy

Because bread absorbed a large share of ordinary income in many pre-industrial towns, its price carried moral weight. Buyers did not always accept the market argument that scarcity entitled anyone holding grain to charge whatever demand would bear. They judged millers, merchants and bakers against customary ideas of fair dealing, honest measure and public obligation.

This helps explain why food disturbances often targeted grain stores, markets, carts or bakers rather than becoming random hunger. Crowds might seize supplies, impose a customary price and leave payment behind. The behaviour was coercive, but it followed a claim: staple food could be private property without becoming an unlimited private decision. Authorities sometimes punished the crowd and sometimes acted against hoarders or short-weight bakers because they recognised the same political danger.

Bread therefore made abstract governance edible. A harvest failure could begin in weather, move through grain markets and arrive as a smaller or dearer loaf. People encountered policy in the hand. Modern consumers are less dependent on one staple, but the old sensitivity survives whenever a basic food price becomes a measure of whether the system is working for ordinary households.

The white-flour machine

The nineteenth century replaced a chain of local constraints with a connected industrial system. Steam power freed large mills from river flow. Railways and steamships moved grain across regions and oceans. Grain elevators, commodity grades and laboratory testing made vast quantities comparable. Roller milling, introduced and refined during the later nineteenth century, opened kernels gradually and separated endosperm from bran and germ with unprecedented control.

The new flour was pale, consistent and comparatively stable because much of the oil-rich germ had been removed. Millers could blend wheats to reach target performance. Bakers received a more predictable raw material, and consumers who had once paid dearly for fine white bread could buy it as an ordinary product. The same process narrowed the kernel's nutritional range, which later encouraged flour enrichment and fortification.

Commercial yeast changed timing. Brewers' yeast had supplied bakers for centuries, but its performance varied with brewing practice. Purpose-grown compressed baker's yeast offered a concentrated, transportable culture selected for rapid gas production. The bakery could now schedule fermentation with greater confidence and less dependence on a maintained sourdough or a neighbouring brewery.

Machines then entered the dough. Mixers replaced arms, dividers replaced scales and knives, moulders replaced repeated hand shaping, and tins standardised cross-sections. Continuous or travelling ovens converted batches into flow. The pan loaf suited the line because its container supported weak sides, controlled dimensions and produced slices compatible with packaging.

Sliced bread, often used as a joke about low ambition, solved a real coordination problem. Uniform slices made sandwiches faster and toasters easier to load, but exposed more surface to drying and mould. Packaging and formulation had to compensate. Convenience in one stage created engineering work in the next. Standard tins and slicing also made the loaf

legible to retailers: dimensions, yield and damage could be counted, stacked and priced with less judgement at the counter.

Industrial milling and baking altered geography as well. Cities no longer depended only on nearby grain quality or a local millstream. Hard wheats from one region could be blended with softer wheats from another, milled near ports and baked far from the field. Bread became more consistent partly because its ingredients became less local. The loaf concealed a larger map.

Time is compressed

By the middle of the twentieth century, industrial bakers could already make bread rapidly, but the Chorleywood Bread Process compressed the sequence further. Developed in 1961 by the British Baking Industries Research Association, the method used high-speed mixing to deliver a measured amount of mechanical energy to dough. Structure that a traditional process developed through longer fermentation and intermittent handling could be created within minutes.

The process is sometimes reduced to an insult, as though speed alone proves inferiority. That misses the technical achievement and the social demand. A high-throughput bakery must transform tonnes of variable biological material into loaves that divide cleanly, survive conveyors, rise predictably, bake evenly, cool safely, slice without tearing and remain soft through distribution. Small deviations become thousands of defective products.

Chorleywood-style production uses process control and a formulation suited to intensive development. Oxidising agents such as ascorbic acid, fats, emulsifiers and enzymes may be used for defined functions, while rapid mixing raises dough temperature and requires close control. The bulk-fermentation stage is greatly reduced or removed. Dough is divided, moulded, proved, baked, cooled, sliced and wrapped on a line.

What disappears is not mystical authenticity. It is a particular use of time. Long fermentation allows gradual biochemical change and creates one

range of aromas, acids and textures. High-energy mixing and controlled ingredients produce another range with less waiting and more consistency. The choice is an exchange among flavour, softness, wheat quality, capital, labour, throughput, shelf life and price.

One food, many operating systems

Modern bread did not converge completely on the wrapped pan loaf. Industrial systems dominate some markets, while others retain daily flatbread production, small bakeries, home baking, steamed breads or dense rye traditions. Sourdough revival has restored long fermentation to wealthy urban markets, sometimes as craft and sometimes as branding. Wholegrain interest has pushed millers and bakers to work again with the parts roller milling became expert at removing.

The resulting bread world contains several operating systems. A tortilla line presses nixtamalised maize masa and cooks it rapidly between hot surfaces. Injera production manages a fermented teff-based batter, acidity, bubbles and one-sided griddle heat. Northern Chinese steamed bread uses a wheat dough and fermentation logic familiar to oven bread, then sets the structure in steam rather than dry heat. Rye bread depends heavily on acidification, starch and water-binding polysaccharides because rye cannot support a high loaf through a wheat-like gluten network alone. A baguette seeks a thin crackling crust and open crumb. A supermarket sandwich loaf seeks regular cells, softness and resilience under slicing. These products should not be judged by one borrowed standard, and the lack of a browned crust or lofty wheat crumb is not evidence of technical incompleteness.

The unifying sequence remains visible beneath the variation. A reserve is broken down. Water turns particles into a shapeable mass or batter. Time and biology alter it where required. Form controls thickness and expansion. Heat fixes a structure. Cooling and storage begin the next transformation. Bread has changed its grains, tools and organisations repeatedly without escaping that logic.

The loaf carries the argument

Bread acquired cultural force because it joined necessity to repetition. The same object could mark daily subsistence, hospitality, religious obligation, national identity or social rank. Breaking bread could signal peace because sharing from one loaf made division visible. Refusing someone's bread could reject dependence. White, brown, coarse, refined, fermented or unleavened forms gathered moral meanings that exceeded their ingredients.

Those meanings can preserve skill and community. They can also turn preference into hierarchy. Wheat-eating societies have treated other staples as backward; reformers have condemned white bread as moral weakness or promoted coarse bread as virtue; marketers have sold rustic irregularity from highly controlled factories. The loaf is unusually good at carrying claims about the people who eat it.

The physical process helps explain the symbolism. Grain begins as many separate seeds. Milling removes their identity. Water combines them into one mass, which fermentation enlarges and heat fixes. A loaf can be divided while still appearing whole. Few foods offer such a convenient material for talking about labour, body, community and transformation.

How we know

Bread survives badly because fresh loaves are moist, edible and attractive to microbes, animals and people. The record is therefore uneven. Charred fragments preserve microscopic plant tissues and pore structures; desiccated Egyptian loaves preserve form and ingredients; grinding stones, mills, ovens and bakery floors preserve the equipment and labour. Artistic models and wall scenes show sequences but idealise them. Written laws, prices, rations and guild records reveal institutions while saying less about ordinary taste.

For modern bread, patents, factory records, trade bodies and technical literature document machinery and process. Food-science experiments can isolate gluten behaviour, gas cells, starch changes and staling, though

laboratory doughs are simpler than commercial or household systems. Reconstructed ancient breads test what tools and ingredients can do, but they cannot prove one lost recipe.

The strongest account therefore combines remains, tools, texts and controlled experiments. Each source answers a different question. A charred crumb can show that a bread-like product existed. It cannot tell us what the maker called it, whether it was daily food or how it tasted.

What People Get Wrong

“Bread began with farming”

The older story ran in a straight line: people domesticated wheat, harvested surplus grain, then invented bread as an obvious use for it. Shubayqa 1 breaks that sequence. Hunter-gatherers made bread-like flat products from wild cereals and other plants about 14,400 years ago, several millennia before domesticated grain farming became established in the region.

Do not replace the old straight line with a new certainty. One archaeological site cannot establish bread as a cause of agriculture, and the fragments may represent special food rather than a staple. What they show is that grinding, combining and cooking grain products were desirable enough to justify difficult labour before fields supplied easy abundance.

That matters because foods can create demand for production systems. Agriculture did not hand passive humans a loaf. People already knew transformations worth repeating. Domestication made the ingredients more dependable and turned an occasional, labour-intensive food into a foundation. It also reminds us that invention is rarely one moment. Grinding tools, plant knowledge, hearths, storage and social occasions had to meet before the field could make bread ordinary. The better question is not who invented bread, but which repeated practices allowed a rare cooked paste to become a dependable staple.

“Yeast makes the holes”

Yeast makes much of the carbon dioxide that enlarges a wheat loaf, but the gas cells begin during mixing. Air is incorporated into the dough as small bubbles. Carbon dioxide produced during fermentation dissolves and then diffuses into those nuclei, expanding them. New stable holes do not appear evenly throughout a solid mass each time a yeast cell exhales.

The mistaken model is persuasive because active yeast clearly correlates with rise. It becomes misleading when a baker responds to a dense loaf by adding more yeast. If mixing created too few useful cells, if shaping destroyed them, or if the dough cannot retain pressure, faster gas production may give the same density with worse flavour and timing.

A loaf is the result of gas production, gas-cell population and gas retention meeting at the correct moment. Yeast supplies pressure. Structure turns that pressure into crumb. The correction also explains why chemical leaveners, steam and injected gas can make cellular foods without yeast. Leavening names an expansion problem, not one organism.

“Kneading creates gluten”

Wheat flour contains the storage proteins that can form gluten. Water gives them mobility. Mixing and kneading help organise the network, distribute ingredients and incorporate air, but they do not summon a new substance from nowhere.

The myth survives because dough changes dramatically under the hands. A shaggy mass becomes smoother, more coherent and more elastic. Yet similar development can occur through hydration and rest, with occasional folds rather than continuous kneading. Intensive industrial mixing can develop dough quickly; a long hand process can reach a useful structure slowly. Neither proves that motion alone created the proteins.

That distinction changes practice. A weak dough may need time, better flour, a different hydration or reduced fermentation rather than more punishment. Overmixing can damage structure and raise temperature.

Kneading is one route for organising hydrated flour, not a ritual that must be performed for a fixed number of minutes. The useful endpoint is functional: a dough strong and extensible enough for its intended shape, reached without more heat and oxidation than the process can tolerate.

“A sourdough starter is an ancient organism”

A starter can be old as a practice, a household possession or a chain of repeated refreshments. Its microbial population is not a single creature preserved unchanged from the first bowl.

Flour and water create an environment in which yeasts and bacteria compete under repeated feeding. Temperature, hydration, flour type, feeding interval and storage select the community. Research across hundreds of starters has found considerable diversity and limited support for simple continental signatures. A starter moved to a new kitchen and fed a new flour may retain continuity while its proportions and strains shift.

The romance persists because inheritance is real. A baker receives a culture and a routine from someone else. The mistake is locating identity only in named microbes. A starter is better understood as an ecological process maintained by behaviour. Its history lives in the feeding regime as much as in the jar. This does not make old starters meaningless. It makes them closer to farms or languages than museum specimens: continuous because people reproduce a practice while the living population changes.

“More water means a more open crumb”

High hydration can permit dough walls to stretch and can support an irregular open crumb. It can also produce a flat loaf with a gummy interior and several large tunnels under the crust.

The shortcut became popular because many fashionable breads combine wet dough with large holes. Hydration is only one constraint. Flour must absorb the water, the dough must develop enough strength, fermentation must create and retain gas, shaping must preserve a useful distribution of cells, and baking must set the structure. Increase water without increasing

control and the dough may spread faster than it rises.

The lesson is not that dry dough makes open bread. Openness is an outcome, not an ingredient. The useful question is how much water this flour and process can organise. Chasing a percentage borrowed from another baker mistakes a measurement for a mechanism. It also ignores the eater. Large holes photograph well, but they reduce spreadability and structural strength. Crumb should fit the loaf's use rather than a social-media standard. A baker seeking larger cells must also accept greater sensitivity to handling, proof and slicing. Openness is bought with a narrower margin for error.

“Stale bread has dried out”

A loaf left uncovered does lose moisture, but crumb firming can occur while much of the water remains inside. Staling involves starch reorganisation, especially amylopectin retrogradation, together with water redistribution among starch, proteins and other components. Meanwhile, moisture moving from crumb to crust can make a crisp crust softer.

Dryness feels like the obvious cause because stale bread is hard and old bread often weighs less. The model fails in a refrigerator, where wrapped bread can firm rapidly despite limited moisture loss. Cool storage above freezing favours starch reorganisation. Freezing usually preserves crumb better if the loaf is sealed well.

This distinction explains why reheating briefly softens stale bread: heat disrupts some ordered starch structures and mobilises water. It also explains why the effect is temporary. The loaf has not travelled back to the oven. Its structure has been shifted again. Mould growth and staling are separate failures too: one is microbial growth, the other a physical and chemical change in an otherwise safe loaf. Confusing them wastes bread or risks eating spoiled food.

“Industrial bread is fake bread”

Industrial bread uses flour, water, yeast, salt, heat and a controlled sequence to create crumb and crust. It is bread. Calling it fake avoids the harder judgement about what the process optimises.

High-speed methods exchange long fermentation and hand handling for mechanical energy, formulation and tight control. They can produce cheap, soft, safe, sliceable loaves at enormous scale and can use wheat that might perform poorly in slower systems. Those are substantial achievements, especially where price and consistency matter more than a dramatic crust.

The costs are equally real. Rapid production narrows the time available for fermentation flavour, while factories favour regular cells, softness and shelf life because these qualities survive transport and measurement. Some products use ingredients that a small bakery would not need, each with a technical function rather than a moral character.

The useful distinction is not real against fake. It is which qualities, labour and risks each system carries, and who receives the benefit. A bad artisan loaf does not become good through slowness. A factory loaf does not become culturally neutral through efficiency. Language matters because contempt for cheap bread can become contempt for the people who need it to be cheap. The sharper criticism asks whether the product delivers nourishment, pleasure and value without hiding labour or environmental cost. That question can be answered only by comparing real loaves and real systems, not by treating scale itself as contamination.

Use It

Read the crumb backwards

Cut bread and you are looking at a record of events. The cells began as air incorporated during mixing, changed size during fermentation, were redistributed during handling and were fixed by heat. Their pattern cannot identify one cause with certainty, but it can narrow the questions.

A uniformly tight crumb may fit the design of a sandwich loaf. In a bread intended to be open, it can suggest limited gas, weak expansion, low hydration, excessive degassing or dough that set before it could enlarge. One cavern beneath the top crust often indicates poor shaping or a mismatch between proof and structure rather than glorious fermentation. Dense bands can point towards compression, drying during proof or uneven moulding. A gummy interior can come from underbaking, cutting hot, excessive enzyme activity or a formula holding more water than the set structure can manage.

Read crust and crumb together. A pale crust with dense crumb asks different questions from a dark crust around a wet centre. Diagnosis improves when you trace the sequence rather than attach one defect to one ingredient. Compare several slices rather than the showpiece centre. The ends reveal moulding and heat differently, while the base can expose compression or a weak bake. One cut is evidence; a pattern across the loaf is stronger evidence.

Separate formula from process

Baker's percentage expresses each ingredient relative to flour, allowing a formula to scale without changing its proportions. It compares recipes cleanly while leaving temperature, handling and elapsed time outside the formula.

The method is useful precisely because it does not describe the whole bread. Two formulas can match and produce different loaves through flour choice, mixing, temperature, fermentation, folding, shaping, proof and baking. Conversely, two formulas with different hydration can feel similar if one contains wholemeal flour or cooked grain that binds more water.

When a loaf changes, separate the two records. The formula states quantities. The process states conditions and sequence. Record dough temperature, elapsed time, room temperature, handling and bake alongside percentages. That distinction prevents the common error of changing ingredients to solve a process failure, then losing the ability to tell which

change mattered. Change one load-bearing variable at a time where possible. A notebook cannot remove natural variation, but it can stop memory rewriting yesterday's dough to fit today's explanation. Photographs of the dough before shaping and of several cut slices can connect the process record to the final structure.

Treat temperature as the clock

A written schedule says ferment for three hours. The dough experiences temperature, not prose.

Warmer conditions speed yeast activity, bacterial metabolism, enzyme reactions and dough softening within their useful ranges. Mixing adds heat. A large batch retains it. Cold flour and water can slow a winter dough before the room has any chance to help. The same formula left for the same time in two kitchens can reach different stages.

Use time as an observation interval rather than a command. The important question is what the dough has become: how much gas it holds, how it responds to pressure, whether it retains shape and how close its structure is to its limit. Final dough temperature gives a more useful starting point than room temperature alone because it combines ingredients and mixing history.

This lens transfers beyond baking. In any biological process, calendar time is a proxy for rate. Find the variable that controls the rate before trusting the schedule. Cooling and refrigeration are therefore active process choices, not pauses. They change which reactions continue and which organisms gain time.

Match ambition to structure

Every bread asks its material to perform a job. A free-standing high-hydration loaf must retain gas, resist spreading and survive transfer to the oven. A pan loaf receives lateral support. A flatbread needs little vertical strength and gains from rapid cooking. Rye, maize and gluten-free systems use different networks from wheat.

Judge success against that job. A dense rye loaf can be well made because density carries moisture, acidity and keeping quality. A tortilla should bend without pretending to be a baguette. A sandwich loaf benefits from regular slices that an open country loaf cannot supply. One aesthetic applied to all bread turns difference into defect.

This also changes ingredient substitution. Replacing strong white wheat with wholemeal, rye or a gluten-free flour changes water binding and structure, not merely flavour. The formula and shape may need redesign. A substitute is rarely equivalent when the removed ingredient was carrying the load. Redesign can be better than imitation. Instead of forcing rye or maize to mimic a high wheat loaf, choose a geometry and eating quality that makes their own water binding, flavour and structure useful.

Price the hidden time

A cheap loaf and an expensive loaf may contain similar amounts of flour. The difference can sit in fermentation time, skilled handling, small batches, rejected loaves, oven utilisation, shop rent, freshness losses and the grain itself. Industrial bread reduces these costs through speed, scale, automation, controlled inputs and longer distribution life. A craft bakery charges for keeping more variability and labour inside the product.

Neither price proves quality. Long fermentation can become theatre, and industrial efficiency can produce excellent bread within its intended style. The useful question is what the price is buying. Is it better grain, freshness, flavour development, hand work, local milling, expensive premises, branding or waste? Which qualities could you detect without the story?

The same lens applies to home baking. Time at home may feel free because no invoice appears. It still has an opportunity cost, though much of it is waiting rather than labour. Bread teaches an honest distinction between elapsed time and attended time. A twelve-hour process may require twenty minutes of work; a rapid process may demand expensive machinery, energy and constant supervision. Time saved at one point is usually purchased elsewhere.

The limits

Bread gives clues, not perfect diagnoses. The same crumb defect can have several causes, and a loaf cannot reveal variables that were never recorded. Flour changes between harvests and mills. Fermentation communities vary. Ovens lie about temperature. Human descriptions such as strong, slack, proofed and open remain partly comparative.

The subject also carries nutritional and medical questions this book has not answered. Wholegrain structure, fortification, salt, glycaemic response, allergy and coeliac disease require evidence beyond loaf mechanics. “Artisan”, “natural” and “sourdough” are process or marketing signals, not automatic health verdicts.

Finally, bread is not universal necessity. Many societies built staple cuisines around rice, tubers, maize porridge or other foods. Treating wheat bread as civilisation itself repeats the viewpoint of cultures that used it to rank everyone else. The lens of transformation travels well, but the judgement of what counts as good bread must remain local enough to respect grain, climate, tools and custom.

The one thing to keep

Keep the sequence.

When bread disappoints, the temptation is to hunt for a magic ingredient: stronger flour, older starter, more yeast, more water, a hotter oven. Sometimes one change helps. More often the loaf is the accumulated result of decisions that made sense separately and failed together.

Trace the material. What did the mill leave in the flour? Where did the water go? What structure was built? How were bubbles created and retained? What did time and temperature change? Where did shaping direct pressure? When did heat allow expansion, and when did it set the walls? What happened during cooling?

That way of seeing is the inheritance of bread. A loaf is ordinary because the transformation has been repeated for thousands of years, not because it

is simple. Flour, water and time do not guarantee bread. They create a field of possibilities. The discipline is to preserve causation when familiarity invites guesswork. Process chooses one, and the finished crumb keeps enough evidence for you to work backwards. That is why bread remains teachable after thousands of years: every loaf is both an answer and a record of the decisions that produced it. The best response to failure is therefore reconstruction, not superstition.

Terms

Cereal. A grass cultivated for its edible grain, such as wheat, rye, barley, maize, rice, millet, sorghum and teff. Cereal diversity explains why bread has many structures rather than one universal loaf.

Kernel. The cereal seed used for food. In wheat it includes bran, germ and endosperm arranged as a protected reserve for a future plant. Milling separates or recombines these parts.

Bran. The outer layers of a grain kernel. Bran supplies fibre, minerals and flavour, absorbs water and can interrupt gas-holding structures, especially when present as large or sharp particles.

Germ. The grain's embryo-rich part, with oils, enzymes and micronutrients. Removing it improves flour storage stability because exposed germ oils can oxidise, but it also narrows flavour and composition.

Endosperm. The largest storage tissue, dominated by starch with storage proteins around it. White wheat flour consists mainly of milled endosperm and provides most of the solid material in raised bread.

Extraction rate. The proportion of cleaned grain retained in flour. Lower extraction usually means more bran and germ removed; higher extraction retains more of the kernel and changes water demand and flavour.

Ash content. Mineral residue left after flour is burned under controlled conditions. It acts as an indirect guide to how much mineral-rich outer grain material is present, not a measure of dirt.

Roller milling. A staged process using grooved and smooth rolls, with sieving between passes. It opens kernels, separates bran and germ, purifies endosperm and reduces particles with greater control than one-step crushing.

Gluten. The viscoelastic protein network developed when wheat flour is hydrated. It helps dough stretch around expanding gas, but final bread structure also depends on starch, water, bubbles and heat.

Nixtamalisation. Cooking and steeping maize in an alkaline solution, traditionally limewater, before washing and grinding. It changes flavour, texture and dough-forming behaviour and is central to masa for tortillas and related foods.

Masa. The moist maize dough produced from nixtamalised grain or prepared nixtamalised flour. It forms tortillas without a wheat gluten network because pressing and rapid surface cooking impose a different structural problem.

Hydration. Water relative to flour, commonly expressed through baker's percentage. Hydration affects consistency, enzyme movement, protein organisation and crumb, but the same percentage behaves differently across flours and formulas.

Baker's percentage. A formula system setting flour at 100 per cent and expressing other ingredients relative to it. It makes scaling and comparison easier while leaving process variables unstated.

Autolyse. A rest after flour and water are combined, usually before full mixing and before salt or preferment. Hydration and enzyme activity begin during the rest, which can reduce later mechanical work.

Dough strength. Dough's capacity to resist deformation and retain structure under gas pressure and handling. Strength comes from flour quality, development and process, and too much resistance can restrict expansion.

Elasticity. The tendency of dough to recover after deformation. Useful

elasticity supports structure; excessive elasticity makes shaping difficult and can oppose expansion if extensibility is too low.

Extensibility. The capacity to stretch dough without tearing. It allows gas cells and shaped pieces to enlarge. Excess without sufficient strength produces spreading, while too little produces tight dough and ruptures.

Bulk fermentation. Fermentation after mixing and before final division or shaping. It develops gas, flavour and dough properties across the whole mass and may include folds for strength and redistribution.

Proof. Final fermentation after shaping and before baking. Proofing brings the dough near its useful expansion limit, so under-proofed loaves tend to burst and over-proofed ones risk weakness or collapse.

Preferment. Flour, water and leavening fermented before the final dough is mixed. Poolish, biga, sponge and levain are different preferments used to alter flavour, timing and dough behaviour.

Sourdough starter. A refreshed flour-and-water mixture containing yeasts and acid-producing bacteria. Its community is shaped by feeding, temperature and flour, so continuity lies partly in management rather than fixed strains.

Levain. A sourdough preferment built from a maintained starter for a particular dough. Bakers alter its flour, hydration, temperature and maturity to change acidity, flavour, timing and leavening strength.

Baker's yeast. Selected *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains produced for reliable dough fermentation. They generate carbon dioxide and flavour compounds but cannot create good volume without suitable gas cells and structure.

Amylase. An enzyme breaking starch into smaller carbohydrates. Flour and added amylases help supply fermentable sugars and influence crumb, but excessive activity can contribute to sticky or gummy bread.

Shaping. Handling that redistributes bubbles, builds surface tension,

establishes seams and gives dough geometry. It controls expansion and crumb structure rather than merely improving appearance.

Scoring. Cutting proofed dough before baking. A score creates a planned weak line through the drying skin so oven expansion opens there instead of tearing unpredictably elsewhere.

Oven spring. Rapid early expansion in the oven as gases warm, dissolved carbon dioxide escapes and water forms vapour before starch and proteins set the crumb.

Starch gelatinisation. Heating starch with water until granules swell, lose order and contribute viscosity and setting. In bread it helps convert wet dough walls into a stable crumb.

Maillard reaction. Browning reactions involving carbonyl compounds and amino groups. In bread it contributes crust colour and aroma, with rate shaped by temperature, moisture, acidity, time and reactants.

Retrogradation. Reassociation of gelatinised starch chains into ordered structures during cooling and storage. Amylopectin retrogradation is a major contributor to crumb firming, though bread staling has several interacting causes.

Go Deeper

William Rubel, *Bread: A Global History* (2011). Start here for the widest accessible view. Rubel moves from prehistoric grinding and ancient ovens through religious meanings, industrialisation and modern baking without treating the wheat loaf as the only legitimate form. The book is compact, illustrated and alert to the difference between bread as material and bread as cultural category. It is strongest as orientation rather than a technical manual. Read it first to prevent one national style from standing in for the whole subject, then return to its references when a particular region or period becomes interesting.

Amaia Arranz-Otaegui and colleagues, “Archaeobotanical Evidence

Reveals the Origins of Bread 14,400 Years Ago in Northeastern

Jordan” (2018). Read the original paper behind the opening surprise. It shows how researchers identified bread-like charred remains through plant anatomy, texture and archaeological context, then reconstructed a possible chain of processing from wild plants to cooked flat product. The technical microscopy is demanding in places, but the paper is short and demonstrates how a major claim can rest on fragments most people would discard as black crumbs. Pay special attention to the authors' restraint: they identify bread-like products without pretending to recover a recipe or prove a single origin.

Raymond Calvel, *The Taste of Bread* (English translation, 2001). Use this for the craft and science of wheat bread after the general model is clear. Calvel explains flour, mixing, fermentation, preferments, shaping, baking and defects with the authority of a baker who spent his career resisting flavourless production. Some formulations and assumptions reflect French professional baking of his period, but his distinction between dough development and fermentation remains instructive. This is the book to read beside a bench rather than in an armchair. Its value lies less in copying every formula than in seeing how a professional baker links flour condition, mixing, fermentation and flavour across the full process.

Steven Laurence Kaplan, *Good Bread Is Back* (2006). Read this for bread as institution, profession and argument. Kaplan follows the decline and revival of French bread through millers, bakers, regulators, teachers, competitions and consumers. He is opinionated and deeply invested in French standards, which is part of the value: the book shows how claims about quality become economic and political programmes. It supplies the human system around the loaf that technical books usually leave outside the bakery door. Read it critically beside Rubel: Kaplan's intensity clarifies what is at stake in standards, but France is one demanding case rather than the universal court of appeal.

Notes and Sources

The Whole Thing in One Page and Why You Should Care

Scope and organising model. The sequence from grain through milling, hydration, mixing, fermentation, shaping, baking, cooling and staling follows Stanley Cauvain's *Technology of Breadmaking*, Raymond Calvel's *The Taste of Bread* and the bread chapter in *The Oxford Handbook of Food Fermentations*. Those sources supply the technical chain. William Rubel supplies the wider historical and global frame. The organising claim that bread reverses a seed's storage design is a synthesis: cereal grain stores dry reserves for germination, while milling, water and heat mobilise those reserves for human digestion.

Bread before farming. The Shubayqa 1 account follows Amaia Arranz-Otaegui and colleagues. They analysed 24 charred food remains from a Natufian hunter-gatherer site in north-eastern Jordan and identified flat bread-like products made from ground wild plants. The associated context dates to about 14,400 years ago, several millennia before established agriculture in south-west Asia. The manuscript retains the researchers' term bread-like and does not claim that this was the first bread, that one site supplied a single origin, or that bread caused domestication. The suggestion that desirable processed foods may have encouraged greater investment in cereals is presented as a reasonable inference rather than a demonstrated causal sequence.

A loaf as process evidence. The diagnostic treatment of crumb, crust, bursting, gumminess and collapse is grounded in Cauvain and Calvel. These signs are rarely unique to one cause. The text therefore treats them as clues to be combined with records of flour, temperature, time, handling and bake, rather than as a visual code that guarantees a diagnosis.

Evidence for the Core Ideas

Grain and milling. Cauvain supports the treatment of kernel anatomy, storage, roller milling, extraction rate, ash content, damaged starch and flour testing. Rubel supplies the long historical contrast between household grinding, bolting and modern milling. The text avoids treating flour as a standard powder because grain variety, growing conditions, extraction, particle size and milling practice all alter bread performance. Statements about oil-rich germ and storage stability are comparative, not claims that refined flour cannot deteriorate.

Wheat structure and other breads. Jan Delcour and colleagues review the gluten-forming storage proteins that give wheat dough its distinctive viscoelastic behaviour. The manuscript separates the existence of gliadin and glutenin in flour from the hydrated, developed network called gluten. It also avoids making gluten the definition of bread. Cauvain, Rubel and Emanuele Zannini and colleagues support the inclusion of rye, maize, teff and gluten-free systems, though the one-hour mechanism necessarily gives wheat more technical space because the raised wheat loaf is the form most readers expect the subtitle to explain.

Water, mixing and gas cells. Cauvain and Campden BRI support the account of hydration, dough development and air entrainment. Yeast supplies carbon dioxide, but the cellular structure of bread begins with small gas bubbles incorporated during mixing. Fermentation enlarges and redistributes an existing bubble population inside a material capable of retaining pressure. This distinction is why the manuscript rejects the shortcut that yeast alone makes holes. Hydration percentages are kept relational because different flours, particle sizes and formulas bind water differently.

Fermentation and sourdough. The book explains only the bread-specific work of fermentation. General microbial ecology belongs to *Fermentation in a Hurry*. Elizabeth Landis and colleagues characterised 500 sourdough starters and found extensive microbial diversity, weak continental biogeographic patterning and important effects from maintenance practices and species interactions. That evidence supports describing a starter as a

managed ecosystem rather than a single ancient organism. It does not imply that place never matters or that all starters become interchangeable.

Shaping, baking and cooling. Calvel and Cauvain support the treatment of division, pre-shaping, final shaping, proof, scoring, oven spring, starch gelatinisation, protein setting, crust formation and cooling. The sequence is simplified for a general reader. Real transitions overlap, depend on dough composition and vary across bread types. The manuscript uses the loaf as a gas-filled cellular material without claiming that all breads are aerated to the same degree.

Staling. C. Fadda and colleagues, together with J. A. Gray and J. N. BeMiller, support the correction that staling is not equivalent to drying. Amylopectin retrogradation and water redistribution are major contributors to crumb firming, while proteins, non-starch polysaccharides, crust-crumbs, moisture movement, formulation and storage conditions also matter. Refrigeration can accelerate firming in many wheat breads even while slowing mould growth. The text avoids a universal temperature rule because formulas and storage goals differ.

The loaf as infrastructure. The social argument is built from several kinds of evidence. Delwen Samuel's archaeological work and the Metropolitan Museum of Art's model bakery and brewery from the tomb of Meketre show grain crushing, grinding, dough handling, ovens, specialised spaces and labour in ancient Egypt. Jared Benton combines Roman inscriptions, law and bakery remains to reconstruct commercial baking, status, regional variation and exploitation. Jan de Vries examines bread-price regulation in the Dutch Republic and its wider European background. E. P. Thompson's account of the eighteenth-century English moral economy supports the description of crowds enforcing customary ideas of fair price and honest dealing rather than behaving as an undirected hungry mass.

Evidence for the Operating Sequence

Prehistory and early cooking. Grinding stones, charred food residues and heated surfaces show that plant processing and cooking long predate the surviving written record. The manuscript therefore begins with a chain of possible operations rather than a named inventor. Shubayqa establishes one early bread-like product, not the first time flour met water and heat. Later farming made grain processing regular, scalable and visible in settlement remains.

Egyptian workshops. The Meketre model dates to about 1981 to 1975 BCE and presents bakery and brewery work in adjoining rooms. It is a funerary model from an elite tomb, not a neutral photograph of every bakery. Samuel's study of New Kingdom baking brings together surviving loaves, microscopy, tools, scenes and experimental reconstruction. The text uses Egypt to make the labour sequence visible while avoiding a claim that Egyptians invented leavened bread.

Flatbreads, loaves and multiple routes. Rubel, Cauvain and the Oxford handbook chapter support the wide family of griddle breads, oven breads, steamed breads, batters, dense rye loaves and pan breads. Peng and colleagues review the material and process logic of Chinese steamed bread. Mengesha, Tebeje and Tilahun review the fermentation variables that shape Ethiopian injera. Canelo-Alvarez and colleagues document how nixtamalisation changes maize dough properties. The shared process is reduction, hydration, forming and heating, with fermentation where required. Grain, fuel, vessel and meal determine form. The manuscript resists a ladder in which flatbread, steamed bread or batter bread is an undeveloped stage below a raised wheat loaf.

Rome and commercial baking. Benton's study supports the account of Roman bakery workshops, mills, labour hierarchy, regional variation and associations. The draft keeps the Roman grain supply and public distributions proportionate because their full political history belongs elsewhere. The relevant point here is that urban dependence separated many eaters from the work of milling and baking, increasing the need for

professional organisation and public oversight.

Weight, price and public obligation. De Vries documents European bread-price regulation and the Dutch *broodzetting*, while Thompson supplies the moral-economy framework for England. Regulations often linked the permitted price or weight of a loaf to grain costs and specified recognised qualities. Details changed across time and jurisdiction, so the narrative does not present one assize as a universal European system. The broader claim is that governments regulated bread because small failures of weight, supply or price could become collective political problems.

Roller milling, commercial yeast and the factory loaf. Cauvain and Rubel support the move towards large roller mills, flour blending, compressed yeast, mechanised dough handling, tins, continuous ovens, slicing and packaging. The manuscript describes industrialisation as a redistribution of time, skill, capital, variability and risk. It does not equate refinement with progress in every dimension or present older milling as nutritionally or sensorially uniform.

The Chorleywood Bread Process. Campden BRI confirms that the process was developed in 1961 by the British Baking Industries Research Association, now Campden BRI. Its defining technical feature is high-shear mixing to a controlled energy input, creating developed dough within minutes and allowing a no-time or greatly shortened bulk-fermentation process. Cauvain supplies the wider account of formula, process control, proving, baking and cooling. The book does not claim that every industrial loaf uses the same ingredients or that speed alone determines quality.

How we know. The evidential limits stated in the narrative follow the material itself. Bread preserves under unusual conditions such as charring or desiccation. Tools and ovens record capacity more readily than taste. Images select and stylise work. Laws reveal official concern and breach, not average compliance. Experimental archaeology establishes plausibility rather than identity with a lost recipe. Modern cereal science can isolate mechanisms but cannot remove all variation from flour, fermentation or full-scale production.

Sources for What People Get Wrong and Use It

Seven corrections. Arranz-Otaegui and colleagues support bread-like food before agriculture. Cauvain and Campden BRI support the distinction between gas creation, air-cell formation and retention. Delcour and colleagues support the distinction between gluten-forming proteins and a developed network. Landis and colleagues support the ecological account of starters. Cauvain supports the warning that high hydration does not guarantee open crumb. Fadda, Gray and BeMiller support the multicausal account of staling. Cauvain, Rubel, Kaplan and Campden BRI support treating industrial bread as a different production system rather than counterfeit bread.

Practical lenses. The lenses in *Use It* are diagnostic rather than prescriptive recipes. Cauvain and Calvel support tracking formula, temperature, dough condition, handling and final structure together. The recommendation to change one load-bearing variable at a time is experimental discipline applied to baking, not a claim that home kitchens permit controlled laboratory trials. The price lens draws on Kaplan, Benton and de Vries: the retail loaf contains hidden allocations of labour, capital, time, rejected product, distribution and regulation that cannot be inferred from flour cost alone.

Current verification. Scientific, technical, archaeological and institutional sources were rechecked on 11 August 2026. The manuscript makes no current dietary-health recommendation. Medical questions concerning coeliac disease, wheat allergy and individual metabolic response remain outside this title's scope.

Bibliography

Archaeology and material evidence

Arranz-Otaegui, Amaia, Lara Gonzalez Carretero, Monica N. Ramsey, Dorian Q. Fuller, and Tobias Richter. "Archaeobotanical Evidence Reveals the Origins of Bread 14,400 Years Ago in Northeastern Jordan."

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115, no. 31 (2018): 7925-7930. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1801071115.

Samuel, Delwen. "An Archaeological Study of Baking and Bread in New Kingdom Egypt." PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 1994. doi: 10.17863/CAM.15973.

The Metropolitan Museum of Art. "Model Bakery and Brewery from the Tomb of Meketre." Middle Kingdom, ca. 1981-1975 BCE. Object 20.3.12. Collection record accessed 11 August 2026.

Bread science and technology

Calvel, Raymond. *The Taste of Bread: A Translation of Le Goût du Pain, comment le préserver, comment le retrouver*. Translated by Ronald L. Wirtz. Technical editor James J. MacGuire. New York: Springer, 2001. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-6809-1.

Campden BRI. Tucker, Gary. "Chorleywood Bread Process: How It's Changed Industry." 25 October 2019. Accessed 11 August 2026.

Cauvain, Stanley P. *Technology of Breadmaking*. 3rd edition. Cham: Springer, 2015. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-14687-4.

Delcour, Jan A., Iris J. Joye, Bram Pareyt, Edith Wilderjans, Kristof Brijs, and Bert Lagrain. "Wheat Gluten Functionality as a Quality Determinant in Cereal-Based Food Products." *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology* 3 (2012): 469-492. doi: 10.1146/annurev-food-022811-101303.

Fadda, C., A. M. Sanguinetti, A. Del Caro, C. Collar, and A. Piga. "Bread Staling: Updating the View." *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and*

Food Safety 13, no. 4 (2014): 473-492. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12064.

Gray, J. A., and J. N. BeMiller. "Bread Staling: Molecular Basis and Control." *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 2, no. 1 (2003): 1-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-4337.2003.tb00011.x.

Landis, Elizabeth A., Angela M. Oliverio, Erin A. McKenney, Lauren M. Nichols, Nicole Kfoury, Megan Biango-Daniels, Leonora K. Shell, Anne A. Madden, Lori Shapiro, Shravya Sakunala, Kinsey Drake, Albert Robbat, Matthew Booker, Robert R. Dunn, Noah Fierer, and Benjamin E. Wolfe. "The Diversity and Function of Sourdough Starter Microbiomes." *eLife* 10 (2021): e61644. doi: 10.7554/eLife.61644.

Canelo-Álvarez, Fátima, Juan de Dios Figueroa-Cárdenas, Eliel Martínez-Cruz, Juan Francisco Pérez-Robles, Gerónimo Arámbula Villa, Rosa María Mariscal-Moreno, and José Juan Véles Medina. "Effect of Nixtamalization on Gluten-Free Whole Corn, Dough Viscoelasticity, and the Bread-Making Quality of Leavened Corn Bread." *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science* 31 (2023): 100648. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgfs.2022.100648.

Mengesha, Yizengaw, Alemu Tebeje, and Belay Tilahun. "A Review on Factors Influencing the Fermentation Process of Teff (*Eragrostis tef*) and Other Cereal-Based Ethiopian Injera." *International Journal of Food Science* 2022 (2022): 4419955. doi: 10.1155/2022/4419955.

Peng, Yanchun, Yun Zhao, Xiaojie Jin, Yin Xiong, Jing Dong, and Wujun Ma. "Empirical and Theoretical Bases of Good Steamed Bread Production." *Foods* 12, no. 3 (2023): 433. doi: 10.3390/foods12030433.

Zannini, Emanuele, Andrea Moroni, Markus Belz, Anna Faltermaier, and Elke K. Arendt. "Bread." In *The Oxford Handbook of Food Fermentations*, edited by Charles W. Bamforth and Robert E. Ward, 448-488. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199742707.013.11.

History, labour and culture

Benton, Jared T. *The Bread Makers: The Social and Professional Lives of Bakers in the Western Roman Empire*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan, 2020. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-46604-6.

de Vries, Jan. *The Price of Bread: Regulating the Market in the Dutch Republic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019. doi: 10.1017/9781108639590.

Kaplan, Steven Laurence. *Good Bread Is Back: A Contemporary History of French Bread, the Way It Is Made, and the People Who Make It*. Translated by Catherine Porter. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2006.

Rubel, William. *Bread: A Global History*. London: Reaktion Books, 2011.

Thompson, E. P. "The Moral Economy of the English Crowd in the Eighteenth Century." *Past & Present* 50, no. 1 (1971): 76-136. doi: 10.1093/past/50.1.76.