

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Animation

in a Hurry

Drawing things into life

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 20

What People Get Wrong 30

Use It 35

Terms 40

Go Deeper 44

Notes and Sources 45

Bibliography 54

The Whole Thing in One Page

The public picture of animation is a pile of drawings flicked past the eye until they start moving. It is charming, incomplete and built on a bad explanation. No drawing moves. No puppet moves on screen. No digital character moves there either. One image is replaced by another, and the viewer builds continuity between them. Animation begins in the gap.

That gap is where the work goes. The animator chooses which states deserve to exist, how long each remains, how far the subject travels before the next one, and what the change appears to mean. The same ball can feel heavy, hollow, frightened or drunk without changing its outline. Alter the spacing and it acquires weight. Hold before a jump and it acquires thought. Let the body arrive before the coat and it acquires material. Delay the eyes after the head turns and it acquires a private decision.

This is why the subtitle says drawing rather than drawings. To draw is to specify a change. A pencil can do it, but so can a cut-paper silhouette, a jointed puppet, paint scratched into film, a 3D rig, captured movement or code. The materials differ. The central act remains selection: this pose, then this one, with this interval between them.

Selection is also the economics. At twenty-four projected frames per second, a minute contains 1,440 frames. That does not mean 1,440 separately designed drawings or poses. Productions hold drawings, expose them twice, reuse walks, slide backgrounds, move a mouth while a body rests, interpolate between keys, simulate cloth or render the same asset from another angle. These are not evasions around animation. They are the medium deciding where change is worth paying for.

The history follows that pressure. Optical toys separated pictures into phases. Early film artists made lines transform and dinosaurs perform. Transparent cels let studios keep a background while figures changed above it. Sound forced movement onto a shared clock. Feature production divided labour across storyboards, layouts, key poses, in-betweens, ink, paint, camera and editing. Television made fewer new drawings carry more

time. Japanese animators turned held images, layered motion and sharp changes of scale into a different grammar. Computers stored models, rigs and key values, then calculated surfaces and intervals. Motion capture recorded parts of a performance. Real-time engines rendered change as the viewer watched.

Many tools arrived with a promise to remove labour. Some eliminated tasks, some relocated them, and some raised the scale of what productions attempted. When software can supply the interval, the hard question becomes which keys to set. When simulation can move every hair, the hard question becomes which motion should be noticed. When a generative system can propose a shot, the hard questions become continuity, control, provenance and acceptance. As image production becomes easier, direction can become the scarcer task.

Animation brings things into life because human perception is eager to find weight, cause and intention in change. Two lamps can become parent and child. A square can hesitate. A line can panic. The achievement is not making an object move. It is making change disclose matter, cause or mind.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

A small desk lamp looks at a ball. It bends, prepares, jumps and lands on it. The ball deflates. A larger lamp watches. The smaller one leaves, then returns with a ball so large that it fills the frame. There is no face, no voice and no flesh. Yet the film makes it easy to infer which lamp is young, which is the parent, when disappointment arrives and why the final entrance is funny.

Pixar's *Luxo Jr.*, released in 1986, lasts about two minutes. Its achievement is easy to miss because the finished film looks effortless. The lamps are commercial objects reduced to hinges, shades and pools of light. What makes them characters is the order and timing of their changes. A shade turns before the base follows. A body compresses before a leap. The larger

lamp pauses after the accident. From those intervals the audience infers attention, effort, judgement and affection. Animation reveals how little evidence life needs.

That matters far beyond cartoons. Animated movement now sits inside maps, medical explanations, safety demonstrations, product interfaces, architectural models, data displays, games, visual effects, advertising and the bounce that tells you a phone has received your touch. Whenever change must be shown rather than described, animation supplies a grammar. A line can trace a route. A joint can demonstrate failure. A molecule can separate. A button can acknowledge an action. The movement may be decorative, but it may also carry the explanation.

It matters because moving images recruit your sense of cause. An object that accelerates slowly seems heavy. One that stops without settling seems synthetic or comic. A character who looks before reaching appears to decide; one whose hand moves first appears to react. Sound can make the same impact feel soft or brutal. These judgements can arrive before conscious analysis. Learning to read animation makes a large class of designed persuasion visible.

The medium also gives artists freedoms that live-action cameras do not. Bodies can change species halfway through a step. Space can fold without explanation. A face can be reduced to two marks or expanded across a screen. Time can pause for one character and rush for another. Documentary testimony can be represented without exposing a witness. An abstract shape can carry rhythm without pretending to be anything else. Animation does not have to reproduce a world placed before a lens. It can decide what a world permits.

That freedom has a cost. Every permitted action must be planned, made or generated within constraints. Even apparently spontaneous movement rests on models, rigs, puppets, drawings, recordings, software, corrections and approvals. The screen may show one character; the credits reveal a factory of attention. Labour can be hidden by the smoothness it creates, and technical glamour can hide who cleaned the lines, painted the frames, built

the assets, fixed the capture, checked the render and absorbed the deadline.

Animation also makes a fact about moving images unusually visible. A live-action recording begins with a performed flow and samples it; animation must decide where the significant states will be drawn, posed, captured or generated. What disappears between them, what remains still and what arrives late are all decisions. Watching those decisions sharpens attention to movement itself: how people shift weight, how fabric follows a turn, how fear interrupts an action and how confidence removes delay.

This book will not teach you to draw a feature film, and it will not reduce a worldwide medium to one studio's rules. It will give you the mental model beneath the methods: selected states, controlled intervals, inferred life. Once you see that, a hold is no longer the absence of animation, a computer is no longer its author, and smoothness is no longer the same thing as quality.

The next time a drawn figure breathes, a puppet thinks or a menu answers your finger, you will be able to see where the life was put.

The Core Ideas

Nothing Moves

Animation starts with an awkward fact: the movement is absent from every frame. Inspect any one image and the character is fixed. Inspect the next and it is fixed somewhere else. The leap, turn or smile exists as a relation among states, built by a viewer who never sees the interval itself.

This sounds like a parlour trick until you notice how much follows from it. Animation need not begin with movement that occurred continuously before a recording device. It specifies states and asks perception to complete the event. The samples may be drawings, photographs of a puppet, rendered views of a 3D scene or fresh images calculated in real time. Their order may be fixed in advance, performed live or generated as conditions change.

What makes the practice animation is deliberate organisation of difference through time.

The old classroom account says each picture lingers on the retina, so the next arrives before the first has disappeared. That phrase, persistence of vision, describes a real family of visual after-effects but does not adequately explain cinematic motion. A sequence can leave an after-image without producing a coherent moving object, and apparent motion depends on several processes by which the visual system groups features, tracks change and interprets succession. Replacing the phrase with one fashionable neurological label does not solve the problem. The useful conclusion is modest: the eye and brain do not receive a continuous miniature world. They construct stable objects and movements from changing information.

The basic production vocabulary follows. A frame is one image position in a sequence. An exposure is the period for which an image is presented or photographed. They need not correspond one for one. A film may be projected at twenty-four frames per second while each drawing is photographed twice, producing twelve unique drawings in that second. Animators call this working on twos. A held pose may remain for many frames; a fast action may use a fresh drawing on each one. Digital displays and real-time engines can run at other rates, and a change in delivery rate does not tell you how many distinct poses were designed.

A flipbook makes the principle tactile. The pages are separate. Your thumb controls their timing badly, yet the figure crosses the paper because position remains similar enough to be grouped and different enough to imply change. Remove too much continuity and the figure flickers between unrelated states. Remove too much difference and it freezes. Animation lives between those failures.

That gives the medium its first power. Because the interval is not shown, it can contain anything the sequence permits. A head can become a moon between two drawings. A body can flatten, split or disappear. A puppet can jump without support if the support is removed before the frame is taken. A

virtual camera can pass through a wall because the wall is data rather than masonry. Live action begins with what happened in front of a lens and may alter it. Animation can begin with the alteration.

The freedom is disciplined by continuity. The viewer must know what persisted through the change. A circle that becomes a square can feel like one object transforming, or two objects replacing each other, depending on position, timing, colour and context. The animator's first job is therefore not movement. It is identity across interruption.

Timing Makes Matter

Take twelve images of a falling ball and place its positions evenly along the same path through a second. The result descends at a steady rate. Crowd the early positions near the top and spread the later ones apart, and gravity appears. Reverse the spacing and the ball seems to rise against gravity or slow under an invisible force. The path is identical. The material changes.

Timing is how long an action takes. Spacing is where the successive positions fall within that duration. Animators often discuss them together because each corrects the other. Two steps can occupy the same number of frames while one feels heavy and the other nervous. The difference may lie in how long the foot remains planted, how quickly the body passes over it and whether the weight settles before the next step begins.

This is the craft beneath words such as weight, force and energy. To read as heavy, an object must appear to resist changes of motion, accelerate under a visible force and carry momentum into the stop. Animation has no mass unless the sequence demonstrates those consequences. A boulder that begins moving the instant it is touched and halts without recoil may be drawn with perfect stone texture, yet it will feel light. A plain grey block can feel immense if the body pushing it compresses, the first displacement is small and the floor receives the impact.

Anticipation makes a cause readable before its result. A throw begins by taking the arm back; a jump begins by lowering the body; a frightened glance often begins with a stillness that tells us something has been noticed.

The preparation need not be large, and too much becomes theatrical. Its job is to establish direction, effort and intention so the main action does not arrive as an unexplained change.

Settlement completes the event. Real bodies do not stop as one rigid unit. A torso turns, then loose clothing follows. A hand reaches its target while the wrist and fingers continue. Hair, ears, tails, sleeves and soft tissue lag because connected materials respond at different times. Classical animators described this through follow-through and overlapping action. The terms sound decorative until removed. Then every part starts and stops together, and the figure acquires the stiffness of a shop dummy.

Squash and stretch performs a related job. A bouncing ball may flatten on impact and lengthen during a fast passage, while preserving enough apparent volume to remain the same ball. The distortion can communicate pressure, speed and elasticity more clearly than a physically exact contour. In character work it can operate through a bent spine, compressed face or widened stance rather than rubber skin. The principle is not permission to wobble everything. It is a method for showing force through shape.

Arcs matter because joints rotate. A hand attached to a shoulder usually travels along a curve, and a foot swinging from a hip does the same. Straight-line movement can therefore feel mechanical unless the mechanism calls for it. Yet realism is not the test. A sharp graphic snap may be the right choice when clarity, comedy or style requires it. Animation studies physical expectation in order to control when to satisfy it.

The decisive unit is often the hold. A held pose lets the audience read an expression, absorbs an impact or creates pressure before release. Poor holds are dead because nothing in the performance continues. Strong ones contain changing attention, tiny adjustment or a clear reason for stillness. Time does not cease when the drawing repeats. The question is whether the character still appears to be thinking inside it.

Life Is Read as Intention

In 1944, Fritz Heider and Marianne Simmel showed a short film of geometric shapes moving around a rectangle to 34 undergraduate women and asked them to write what happened. Thirty-three described the movements as actions of animate beings; nineteen supplied a connected story. The shapes had no faces and no limbs. Under that small, specific arrangement, trajectories were enough to elicit pursuit, fear, aggression, escape and personality.

Animation exploits this appetite without needing to deceive anyone. Imagine a small circle approaching a larger one, retreating after contact, waiting, then trying again from another direction. The viewer sees caution. Change the pause and route, and caution becomes calculation or play. We infer a mind because the movement appears responsive to circumstances.

This is the step from motion to performance. Movement tells us that a state changed. Performance tells us why the change belonged to this agent now. The evidence can be sparse: a direction of attention, a delayed response, a failed attempt, a correction. The animator gives the audience causes to infer, then leaves enough unsaid for inference to feel like discovery.

Gertie the Dinosaur made this visible in 1914. Winsor McCay presented the animated dinosaur as part of a live act, appearing to command her from the stage. Gertie raised a foot, bowed, became distracted, misbehaved and responded to her human partner. The novelty was not a dinosaur diagram in motion. It was a drawn creature with temper and timing. McCay could hold her after an instruction, let her resist, then comply. Obedience without delay would have been machinery. Delay created character.

The same principle explains *Luxo Jr.* decades later. The lamps have a narrow mechanical range: bases, jointed arms and shades. Their design prevents the shortcuts of eyebrows and mouths. The smaller lamp's bounce, the larger lamp's measured turn and the pauses around the crushed ball produce relationship. The audience reads parent and child because the movements differ in impulse, authority and recovery.

A useful pose therefore contains an action rather than a diagram of anatomy. Its silhouette should make the main direction legible, but clarity alone is not enough. A hand extended towards a glass can ask, take, offer, test or threaten. The answer lies in the preparation, speed, eye-line, distance and what happens after contact. Acting enters between poses.

Attention is especially powerful. We treat the direction and timing of looking as evidence of knowledge. A character who turns towards a sound before anyone else seems alert. One who keeps staring after another character leaves seems troubled or calculating. Animators can lead the eyes before the head, the head before the torso, or reverse that order to change the impression. A fraction of a second can decide whether a turn feels chosen or startled.

Imperfection helps because intention is revealed by adjustment. A foot placed slightly wrong and corrected suggests a body negotiating space. A reach that misses shows expectation. A voice that begins before the mouth has fully formed the word can feel urgent. Over-clean motion can erase the small failures from which agency is inferred.

There is a boundary. Viewers bring cultural habits, species assumptions and personal experience to movement. One audience may read a lowered gaze as shame, another as respect, and another as evasiveness.

Anthropomorphic reading can also make an animal or machine seem to possess motives it does not have. Animation can invite an interpretation; it cannot guarantee one.

Still, the general capacity is extraordinary. Life on screen does not require detailed skin, biological accuracy or even a body. It requires change that looks selected in response to a world.

Design Must Survive Change

A beautiful character drawing can fail the moment it has to turn around.

Animation design is judged across transformations: front to profile, compression to extension, calm to panic, close-up to distant silhouette. The design must remain identifiable while permitting the performance to alter it.

That requirement separates illustration from animation without ranking one above the other. An illustration can concentrate all its force in one chosen view. Animation needs a system that produces many views and states. Model sheets record proportions, construction, expressions and recurring details so different artists can draw the same character. In stop-motion, replacement faces, armatures and duplicate puppets perform a similar stabilising role. In 3D work, the model and rig encode which parts may bend, rotate, scale or switch shape.

Consistency is not exact repetition. A face held to rigid measurements through every expression will look embalmed. The useful model preserves identity while allowing controlled departure. Eyes may enlarge under shock; a jaw may project in anger; a torso may compress far beyond anatomy. The question is which relationships define the character strongly enough that distortion still reads as that character.

Good designs therefore allocate detail. A broad silhouette may carry action at a distance. A few stable landmarks preserve recognition during fast deformation. Fine texture can be added later or held on a separate layer. If every line must be reconstructed and checked on every frame, decorative complexity becomes a tax on performance. The medium makes ornament pay rent in motion.

The same applies to environments. Layout decides the camera position, scale, paths of action and relation between figure and setting before expensive finishing begins. A background may remain still while characters move across it, or it may be divided into layers that travel at different rates to imply depth. In a 3D scene, a virtual camera and reusable environment extend the same planning problem. Where can the action occur without breaking geography? What must be built because the camera will see it? What can remain outside the frame?

Storyboards organise shots as visible decisions, but they are not the film in miniature. A board can show staging, sequence and intent while leaving timing unresolved. An animatic places board images against a temporary soundtrack and duration, exposing whether a look lasts, a cut lands or an

action can be understood. This is where a production begins to pay for time rather than paper.

Sound changes design because it gives movement a clock. Speech establishes syllables, accents, pauses and breath. Music supplies pulse and phrase. Effects give impacts and materials an audible consequence. Animators may work from recorded dialogue, marking key sounds and shaping mouth positions around them, yet lip synchronisation alone does not create speech. The body prepares a sentence, emphasis travels through posture and silence can carry the thought after the voice stops.

Transformation is the medium's native privilege, and design must decide how much continuity to preserve through it. Émile Cohl's early drawn films let one form dissolve into another because the line itself was the stable actor. Lotte Reiniger's silhouettes hold identity through jointed profiles and patterned movement. A stop-motion puppet keeps its materials visible while each pose changes. A digital character may shift style, frame rate or geometry within one shot. There is no single correct amount of stability.

The governing question is whether the viewer can follow the change that matters. Design for animation is therefore less about creating one perfect object than defining a family of permissible states.

Every Frame Has a Price

At twenty-four frames per second, a ninety-minute feature contains 129,600 projected frames. That number does not mean 129,600 unique drawings, and it tells you little about the distribution of work. It does reveal the pressure: screen time multiplies decisions.

The history of animation can be read as a series of methods for refusing to remake everything. Registration systems kept successive drawings aligned. Transparent cels separated a moving figure from a reusable background. Cycles repeated walks, smoke, crowds or machinery. A held cel preserved most of a body while a mouth or arm changed on another level. Multiplane cameras moved separated layers at different rates. Television productions reduced unique drawings, reused shots and concentrated motion in the part

of the frame carrying the information.

These methods are sometimes described as compromises against an imagined ideal of constant full movement. That misses the medium's economics and much of its style. The relevant question is not how much changed. It is whether the chosen change carried the shot.

Consider a conversation. One approach animates every breath, blink, shoulder shift and fold of fabric. Another holds the body, changes the mouth sparingly and reserves movement for a sudden turn when the relationship changes. The second may cost less. It may also direct attention better. Stillness gives the turn value.

Limited animation became a production necessity for much television, where weekly schedules and lower budgets could not support feature-style drawing density. It also became an expressive system. Graphic layouts, strong poses, camera moves over still art, selective mouth movement, repeated cycles, abrupt cuts and changes in scale can create pace without continuous bodily motion. Japanese television animation developed many such allocations while drawing on manga, theatrical framing, commercial schedules and particular studio practices. Anime is far too varied to reduce to held frames, but its history makes one lesson hard to miss: movement density and expressive intensity are different variables.

The frame budget includes complexity as well as count. A crowd of ten characters multiplies continuity, staging and clean-up. A rotating patterned coat may cost more than a plain body crossing the same distance. Water, hair, smoke and cloth create secondary change that can be drawn, simulated, reused or omitted. A close-up can reduce the world while increasing facial demands. A wide shot can simplify expressions while enlarging spatial coordination.

Computer systems change the accounting without abolishing it. A rigged character can be posed repeatedly without redrawing construction. Interpolation supplies values between keyframes. A simulation generates many local movements from rules. A renderer calculates surfaces, light and

camera views. Yet assets take time to model, rig, shade and test before reuse pays back. A cloth simulation that looks plausible in one shot may collide in the next. More automatic change can create more material to inspect.

Budget also includes human attention. Senior animators may set key poses while others produce in-betweens, clean lines or technical fixes.

Outsourcing can move labour across companies and countries without making it vanish. A reusable asset saves one department and burdens another with maintenance. A late story change can invalidate finished work downstream.

This is why style and production are inseparable. Thick outlines, flat colour, modular mouths, replacement faces, cut-paper joints, stepped motion and deliberately reduced frame rates can be artistic choices shaped by the labour they permit. Abundance has a look; scarcity has many looks. The strongest productions make the limitation legible as intention.

Every frame has a price, but not every frame deserves the same spend. Animation is the art of placing change where it earns attention.

The Studio Is the Machine

Popular accounts of studio animation prefer a lone artist and a stack of paper. Industrial production is a chain of dependencies. One change in story can alter a board, which changes a layout, which changes the required background, which changes the animation, lighting, sound and final composite. The studio's main technical achievement is often coordination.

A typical production begins by deciding what happens and how it will be seen. Scripts, recordings, visual development and storyboards establish material that an animatic tests in time. Layout fixes camera, scale, staging and geography. Character and environment teams define assets or models. Animators create key performances and the transitions required to make them read. Effects teams handle phenomena such as fire, water, dust, magic or destruction. Lighting, colour and compositing organise the final image. Editing and sound reshape timing throughout. The order varies by

medium, studio and project, and many stages overlap.

In classical drawn production, division could be visible on the sheet itself. Key animators established major poses and acting. Assistants refined construction. In-between artists completed specified intervals. Clean-up produced controlled lines. Ink-and-paint departments transferred and coloured drawings on cels before photography. Women formed much of the ink-and-paint workforce in major American studios while men held a disproportionate share of credited creative authority. The division produced consistency and scale, but it also turned aesthetic hierarchy into workplace hierarchy.

Stop-motion replaces paper flow with a physical set that must remain stable while crews alter it. Puppets need armatures, costumes, faces and repairs. Lights, lenses and props must survive a shot that may take days. An animator cannot return casually to frame 200 after reaching frame 500 because the exact physical state may be gone. Digital capture allows immediate checking and removal of supports, but the puppet still occupies one position at a time under gravity.

Computer animation moves the dependencies into shared data. Modellers build geometry; riggers make it controllable; surface artists define material response; animators create performance; technical artists solve deformations and tools; effects systems generate complex change; lighting and rendering turn scene descriptions into images; compositors combine elements. A character can be reused across hundreds of shots, which makes early design and rigging decisions unusually powerful. One faulty shoulder can become a studio-wide problem.

Sound crosses the chain. Dialogue may arrive before animation; effects may be invented after the picture; music may be composed to locked timing or force a late revision. *Steamboat Willie* became influential less because sound had never accompanied cartoons than because action, rhythm and effects were organised as one performance. Synchronisation turned the soundtrack from an attachment into a structural partner.

Management therefore enters the art. Shot assignments, version control, approvals, naming conventions, schedules and asset tracking determine whether thousands of decisions meet. Open scene-description systems now help different tools and departments compose complex 3D work without flattening every contribution into one file. The vocabulary sounds administrative because large animation depends on administration.

Credits show only part of this system. Work may pass through subcontractors, service studios and countries whose contribution is compressed into company names. Roles differ across industries, and the person called an animator in one pipeline may perform work divided among several departments in another. Authorship is real, but it is layered.

That is not the whole medium. One artist may animate charcoal, cut paper, paint, objects or code with little division of labour. The principle changes with scale. Once hundreds of shots, versions and specialised tasks must meet, coordination becomes part of the image rather than overhead outside it.

In studio-scale production, the studio is the machine because it converts specialised labour into controlled continuity. When it works, the seams disappear and the audience sees one action. Smoothness is therefore an organisational effect as much as a visual one.

Tools Move the Bottleneck

Animation technologies are repeatedly sold with a familiar promise: the machine will do the tedious part. Sometimes it does. But less work at one stage does not guarantee less work overall; a production can spend the saving on more shots, detail, variation or revision. The bottleneck moves, and judgement moves with it.

Rotoscoping let artists study or trace photographed movement. It reduced uncertainty about complex action while creating another problem: transferred motion could feel attached to the recorded performer rather than designed for the drawn character. The tool supplied evidence, not a finished decision. Artists could follow it closely, select from it or push against it.

Cel systems stopped backgrounds being redrawn for every character change. Photocopying and xerographic processes reduced manual transfer. Digital ink and paint removed physical cels from much production. These systems could remove work in one stage while changing the image and expanding work elsewhere. A copied animator's line retained rough energy that hand inking might regularise. Digital layers permitted correction without repainting plastic sheets, then increased the number of versions that could be requested.

Three-dimensional computer animation makes the shift clear. A modeller builds a character once; a rigger defines controls; an animator sets key values; software interpolates between them; simulations may handle cloth, hair or crowds; a renderer calculates the image. The computer performs vast quantities of calculation. It does not know whether a pause is embarrassed, cruel or empty. Default interpolation often produces smooth drifting because mathematical continuity is easier than dramatic intention. Animators shape curves, add breakdowns, interrupt symmetry and remove unwanted motion until the calculated path becomes a performance.

Toy Story in 1995 was the first fully computer-animated feature. Its importance was not that drawing had been defeated. Pixar had built a pipeline in which artists and engineers could share responsibility for a synthetic world across feature length. The hard parts included keeping characters expressive within technical limits, managing scene data and making hundreds of shots feel like one film. A new image-making system became a production system.

Motion capture continues the pattern. Sensors or cameras record aspects of a performer's movement and map data towards a digital character. This can preserve timing and coordination difficult to invent from scratch. It can also carry noise, occlusion, proportions and physical assumptions that do not fit the target. A giant, animal or stylised figure cannot inherit a human performance without interpretation. Capture changes the source of motion; it does not settle the animation.

Real-time engines alter the delay between choice and image. A director can

move a virtual camera, change a light or see a performance without waiting for every frame to be rendered offline. Games generate animation in response to input, rules and state. Virtual production can combine live performers and synthetic environments. The gain is rapid feedback and variability. The constraint is that the system must produce acceptable results under many conditions rather than one locked sequence.

Generative systems push the bottleneck again. They can propose images, intervals, poses or shots from examples and instructions. Recent character-animation papers target identity preservation, temporal coherence, motion fidelity, viewpoint control and latency. Those targets identify active problems across tasks whose datasets and measurements are not directly comparable. Production use raises separate questions: can identity and action remain controllable, can continuity be edited, where did the source material come from, was its use permitted, whose performance or likeness is involved, and who accepts responsibility for the result? The evidence and tools are changing too quickly for permanent claims about where this will settle.

The causal loop closes here. Animation began by selecting a few states from all possible change. New tools can now create or calculate far more states. That abundance does not remove selection. It increases the amount that must be judged.

How It Actually Works

The operator behind the screen

On 28 October 1892, paying spectators at the Musée Grévin in Paris watched hand-painted figures perform on a screen. Émile Reynaud stood behind it operating his Théâtre Optique, advancing and reversing a long band of images by hand while music accompanied the action. He could slow a gesture, repeat it or hold a reaction during the performance. The projected picture moved, but its timing remained a live event.

This was three years before the Lumière brothers' celebrated public film

screenings. It did not make Reynaud the uncontested inventor of animation, because the category did not yet have firm borders. Magic-lantern showmen had long moved glass slides, shutters and mechanical parts. The phenakistiscope and zoetrope turned short sequences into loops during the nineteenth century. The praxinoscope used mirrors to make those loops brighter. Photographers including Eadweard Muybridge and Étienne-Jules Marey separated animal and human movement into phases for study. Toys, projection, scientific analysis, stage illusion and cinema fed the medium from different directions.

What Reynaud added was duration under control. His painted scenes could develop rather than repeat one wheel of action. Yet the system depended on a skilled operator and fragile custom bands. Photographic film soon offered a standard strip that could be copied, distributed and projected by machines built for the same gauge. Animation entered cinema and lost the person adjusting every performance behind the screen.

The optical toys had already established two different uses for separated phases. Scientists could break an existing movement into visible parts, as Muybridge's sequential photographs did for a galloping horse. Artists could reverse that operation, inventing the parts from which a movement would be inferred. One analysed change; the other composed it. Animation inherited both habits and still moves between observation and invention.

The line discovers change

Early film animation did not settle on one material. J. Stuart Blackton photographed drawings being altered on a board. Émile Cohl's *Fantasmagorie* in 1908 made a white line on black appear to invent and erase its world as it went. A bottle became a flower, a figure became an object, and continuity belonged to transformation rather than stable anatomy. The film is often called a first, but first claims depend on whether one means drawn, photographed, projected, narrative, theatrical or preserved. The safer landmark is that Cohl showed what animation could do that photographed performance could not: change the terms of existence between frames.

Winsor McCay brought another possibility. A famous newspaper cartoonist with formidable draftsmanship, he presented *Gertie the Dinosaur* in 1914 as part of a vaudeville act. He addressed the screen, issued commands and appeared to interact with the creature. Gertie breathed, shifted weight, became distracted and displayed reluctance. McCay had made animated films before, but Gertie joined accurate repeated drawing to a performed personality. The audience did not marvel only at quantity. It met a character.

The method was punishing. Background and figure had to remain registered while thousands of images were redrawn or traced. A small workshop centred on a virtuoso could make a short film by brute attention. A regular industry needed a way to divide the image and divide the labour.

The factory of intervals

During the 1910s, American producers including John Randolph Bray, Earl Hurd and Raoul Barré developed systems for alignment, repetition and layered production. Hurd's cel process placed changing figures on transparent sheets above a stable background. Registration pegs kept levels from drifting. The artistic consequence came directly from the accounting: a background no longer had to be recreated because a hand moved.

Patents and licensing helped concentrate the new methods. Studios divided work into repeatable jobs, trained staff and released cartoon series on schedule. Characters such as Felix the Cat could return because designs, cycles and gags were reusable. Animation became less dependent on one artist completing every image and more dependent on a pipeline producing consistent difference.

The exposure sheet turned time into paperwork. Rows represented frames; columns assigned drawings, cel levels, dialogue and camera instructions. A key drawing could define the main action, with breakdowns establishing its route and in-betweens filling specified intervals. This did not make creativity vanish into management. It allowed one performance to be distributed among people who needed a common account of where every part

belonged. The sheet was a score for images.

Max Fleischer's rotoscope, applied for in 1915 and patented in 1917, projected filmed action so that movement could be traced or studied frame by frame. His brother Dave performed as the basis for the clown who became Koko. The device offered complex motion without guessing every phase, while its results exposed a tension that has never left the medium. Motion copied too faithfully can seem to belong to the recorded body rather than the designed one. The animator still has to decide what to retain, simplify or exaggerate.

Feature length arrived outside the later centre of the industry. Quirino Cristiani's Argentine political satire *El Apóstol* opened in Buenos Aires in 1917 and is generally credited as the first animated feature. It is lost. Lotte Reiniger's German silhouette film *The Adventures of Prince Achmed*, completed in 1926, is the earliest known surviving animated feature. Its jointed cut-paper figures, coloured backgrounds and layered depth prove how misleading a straight road to American cel animation would be. The medium already contained political caricature, silhouette, transformation, performance and industrial series before its most famous studio found its mature form.

Sound imposes a clock

Silent cartoons were rarely silent in exhibition. Pianists, orchestras and effects accompanied them, with timing that could vary from performance to performance. Recorded sound fixed that relationship. Once music and effects travelled with the film, every footfall, impact and mouth shape could be tied to a repeatable instant.

Steamboat Willie in 1928 was not the first cartoon with sound. Its force came from sustained synchronisation and circulation. Walt Disney, Ub Iwerks and their collaborators organised the short around rhythm: whistles, pots, animals and bodies became instruments. Movement did not sit beside the soundtrack. It appeared to produce it.

This encouraged a new precision. Exposure sheets could map action,

dialogue and musical beats across frames. Mouth shapes could follow key sounds. Repeated cycles could lock to tempo. The danger was mechanical obedience, sometimes called mickey-mousing when every visible action receives a matching musical gesture. Used without variation, synchrony flattens emphasis. Used selectively, sound can complete motion the image barely supplies. A hard crack gives weight to a stylised impact; silence before it makes the blow larger.

Recorded dialogue also changed acting. Animators could work from a particular voice rather than add speech to a completed body. Breath, hesitation and emphasis supplied timing clues, while drawings could amplify what the actor left implicit. Voice and image became complementary performances. Exact mouth matching mattered less than the sense that the thought began before the sound and continued after it.

Disney's studio developed a disciplined approach to character performance during the 1930s, training animators to think in pose, force, preparation and emotional continuity. Frank Thomas and Ollie Johnston later codified twelve principles from that practice. The principles became influential because they describe recurring problems, not because Disney discovered natural laws. Other studios used different drawing, comic timing and design. Fleischer cartoons could be urban, elastic and unruly. Warner Bros. directors made speed, collision and musical construction into another performance system. The field widened even while Disney's account became the most teachable one.

The feature becomes a wager

Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs in 1937 was Disney's first animated feature, not the world's first. What it proved was that a large American studio could sustain character animation, colour, music and narrative across feature length and persuade mass audiences to remain with drawn figures. The wager required an expanded workforce, new training, detailed production control and expensive revision. The feature was an organisational achievement disguised as a fairy tale.

Disney's multiplane camera separated painted levels and moved them at different rates, creating controlled depth around flat art. Effects animators gave water, smoke and weather their own behaviour. Live-action reference assisted human movement. Colour models, layouts and exposure sheets coordinated departments whose work met only in the photographed composite.

The system carried hierarchy. Much credited character animation was assigned to men, while women formed a large share of the ink-and-paint labour that transferred, checked and coloured the final cels. Their precision defined the visible surface while the studio treated the department as separate from higher-status creative work. Other studios and countries organised labour differently, but animation's smooth front often concealed sharp divisions behind it.

The Disney strike of 1941 made those divisions public. Disputes over pay, credit, dismissal and representation broke the studio's image of one contented artistic family. Workers who left or were pushed out carried experience into other studios, advertising and wartime production. The event did not create every postwar alternative, but it exposed a fact the films concealed: a house style is also a settlement among labour, authority and ownership.

The same machinery could serve propaganda, training and military instruction. During the Second World War, studios on several sides animated maps, weapons, enemies and desired behaviours. The medium did not need to photograph the process it described, which made it useful wherever an unseen mechanism or invented figure needed to appear obvious. Bringing something to life could also make an argument look natural.

Matter refuses to disappear

Cel animation never contained the whole medium. Puppet and object animation kept the evidence of touch on screen. Willis O'Brien animated creatures for *The Lost World* and *King Kong*; Ray Harryhausen later refined the integration of stop-motion creatures with photographed settings. Their models moved one adjustment at a time, yet lighting, texture and slight material irregularity made them feel physically present.

Ladislas Starevich had already shown another route by animating articulated insects and puppets from the 1910s onward. His work is unsettling because materials keep their stubborn physical truth while behaviour becomes theatrical. Fur shifts, joints resist and light changes across a real surface. Drawn animation can replace an unwanted mark. Stop-motion must negotiate the object that remains under the camera.

Silhouette, cut-out, sand, pins, paint, clay and direct marks on film each imposed different kinds of continuity. Norman McLaren at the National Film Board of Canada drew and scratched on film, animated objects and human performers, and even created synthetic sound by marking the optical soundtrack. *Neighbours* in 1952 used pixillation, frame-by-frame animation of live actors, to turn a quarrel into impossible bodily movement. Animation here was not a type of cartoon. It was control over recorded change by any available means.

From the late 1980s, William Kentridge's *Drawings for Projection* made revision itself visible. For each sequence he photographed a charcoal drawing, altered or rubbed parts away, then photographed again. Charcoal never erased cleanly. Earlier states survived as grey smudges inside later ones, so movement carried a record of its own correction. Instead of hiding the gap between images, the material stored its history.

Postwar artists also rejected the polished illusion of depth. United Productions of America, known as UPA, used flatter graphic design and selective movement in the United States, showing that modernist simplification could carry character. Zagreb, Prague and other centres

developed distinctive drawn, puppet and cut-out traditions under different institutions and political conditions. The global field was not moving towards one technical ideal. It was discovering that every material could declare its own rules.

Television learns to spend stillness

Cinema shorts had been built for theatrical programmes. Television demanded recurring episodes at a pace and price feature methods could not meet. Studios reduced drawing, reused backgrounds and cycles, held bodies while mouths moved and let camera movement animate static art. Hanna-Barbera turned familiar characters and modular production into a durable television system from the late 1950s.

The reduction was economic, but it did not produce one result. A held pose can expose cheapness when nothing has been designed around it. It can also concentrate a scene. Graphic composition, voice performance, sharp key poses and editing can make a few changes carry more information than constant motion.

In Japan, Osamu Tezuka's Mushi Production launched the weekly television series *Astro Boy* in 1963. The schedule encouraged reuse, held images and selective motion, while manga-derived design, sound and framing helped build a recognisable television form. Japanese animation then diversified across genres, audiences, studios and levels of movement. Feature work by directors including Hayao Miyazaki and Isao Takahata used different rhythms and production ambitions from weekly series. To call all anime a style is to confuse a large cultural and industrial field with a set of traits exported most visibly.

The television character became an economic connector. Entering homes each week, a design could pass from manga to broadcast, toy, record, advert and game, each appearance promoting the others. Choices that kept a figure recognisable in static images and repeated cycles helped that travel. The asset was no longer only a finished film. It was a character capable of surviving across media.

The computer becomes a studio

Computer graphics began as research into how lines, surfaces, bodies and cameras could be represented numerically. Early experiments were brief because every shape, control and image had to be invented with the machine. The important development was not one beautiful frame. It was a reusable description of a world.

By the 1980s, short films could make computer animation demonstrate character rather than geometry alone. Pixar's *Luxo Jr.* in 1986 made two articulated lamps perform a relationship. The film depended on modelling, joints, camera, light and calculated images, but its success lay in timing familiar from earlier animation. New machinery had reached an old test: could an object appear to think?

Digital systems also entered drawn production through scanning, colouring, compositing and camera work. The transition did not occur in one clean switch from hand to computer. Pencil drawings could be captured into digital pipelines; painted backgrounds could meet synthetic camera moves; 3D objects could support 2D scenes. Hybrid production became normal before audiences had stable words for it.

Digital compositing multiplied control over layers, colour, transparency and camera effects. It also weakened the old distinction between production and post-production. A shot could remain editable across departments for longer, which encouraged revision while making finality harder to locate. Replacing the physical cel did not lead to less intervention. It led to a deeper stack of possible interventions.

Toy Story in 1995 was the first feature made entirely with computer animation. Its characters were models controlled by rigs, posed at key moments and rendered through a pipeline that had to remain reliable across a whole film. Software calculated intervals, surfaces and light. Artists built the choices, corrected the results and worked around the limits. Plastic toys also suited the technology's hard, clean surfaces.

As computing power grew, studios attempted cloth, fur, crowds, water and

complex lighting at greater scale. Each system could increase the amount of plausible motion that reached a shot. It also created new failure modes: intersections, drifting limbs, dead eyes, unstable simulations and scenes too heavy for the pipeline. Technical artists became translators between desired performance and computational constraint.

A hybrid present

Animation now moves across drawn tablets, physical sets, 3D scenes and real-time engines with fewer fixed borders. A stop-motion film may print replacement faces and remove supports digitally. A hand-drawn feature may use 3D layouts for difficult camera movement. A computer-animated film may step character motion, add drawn lines and refuse smooth surfaces to recover graphic force. The tool no longer predicts the look.

Spider-Man: Into the Spider-Verse made that separation conspicuous in 2018. Its computer-built characters could move smoothly, yet the filmmakers often stepped poses, offset elements, added graphic lines and imitated printing textures. The result used computation to escape the default appearance of computer animation. Technical capacity supplied options; style came from refusing some of them.

Motion capture records performance data; virtual production previews synthetic spaces; real-time systems generate responses during play or broadcast. Open scene-description frameworks let departments and software compose shared 3D worlds while preserving separate contributions. Generative systems can propose frames and motion from references or instructions, though stable identity, temporal consistency, directability, provenance and revision remain active constraints.

The long sequence does not end with the replacement of drawing. It ends with drawing becoming harder to see because it has moved upstream. Someone still defines the pose, path, constraint, camera, accepted variation and final cut. The mark may be a pencil line, a control curve, a puppet adjustment or a prompt followed by selection and rejection. Animation remains the deliberate organisation of change.

How we know

Animation leaves unusually mixed evidence. Finished films show timing and design, but many early works vanished through nitrate decay, fire, disposal and neglect. *El Apóstol* survives through documents, memories and later research rather than a viewable print. Optical devices, patents, cels, exposure sheets, model sheets, storyboards, puppets, software, studio correspondence, trade papers and oral histories reveal different parts of production.

The archive favours companies and countries that preserved materials, institutions that collected them and artists important enough to be interviewed. Credits can conceal uncredited assistance, ink-and-paint labour, subcontracting and work performed through service studios. Corporate histories tend to make technical change look orderly; personal memoirs can turn collective practice into individual discovery. First claims are especially unstable because a lost film can change the sequence while definitions of animated, feature and synchronised shift beneath it.

This account therefore treats surviving landmarks as evidence of possibilities rather than as one inevitable family tree. The medium's history is larger than the canon we can still screen.

What People Get Wrong

“Animation works because the image stays on your retina”

The persistence-of-vision story survives because it is brief, physical and easy to demonstrate with an after-image. It suggests that one frame remains while the next arrives, filling the gap like wet paint spread across time.

That is inadequate. Retinal persistence can explain some blur and after-effects, but a lingering image is not the same as a coherent object moving. Apparent motion depends on the visual system grouping features across changing samples, resolving continuity and interpreting timing.

Different displays and sequences recruit different processes. There is no single glue that joins all frames.

The correction matters because the crude story makes animation sound passive. It implies that speed hides the joins and the eye is fooled. In practice, the joins are designed. Position, similarity, contrast, interval and expectation determine whether the viewer sees movement, flicker, replacement or transformation. A sequence can feel continuous at one spacing and break apart at another even when the display rate stays fixed. Animation works through active perception, not a slow retina failing to clear its screen.

“More frames always mean better animation”

Smooth movement displays many intermediate states, so it is tempting to treat drawing count or frame rate as a quality score. Games market high frame rates; rough animation often looks jerky; the conclusion feels measurable.

It confuses delivery, unique images and expressive timing. A film may project twenty-four frames each second while showing twelve unique drawings. A held pose may repeat longer. A rapid accent may jump across a larger interval on purpose. Adding computer-generated in-betweens can make a sharp action float because the extra positions soften the intended change.

Quality lies in the right states at the right times. Some actions need dense information to describe rotation, contact or subtle acting. Others gain force from omission. A blink can vanish if spread too evenly; a punch can lose impact if every fraction is displayed with equal politeness. The number of frames also says nothing about the quality of the poses between which they sit. Ten weak intervals do not rescue two weak keys. Smoothness is one available property. Clarity, force, rhythm and style may require less of it. A quiet close-up may need more unique drawings than a violent impact, because the close-up carries tiny changes of thought while the impact gains force from a deliberate gap. The useful measure is whether the right

information arrives at the right instant.

“Limited animation is failed full animation”

The phrase limited animation encourages a hierarchy. Full movement sounds complete; limited movement sounds like the version made after the money ran out. Low-budget television often did produce dead holds, repeated cycles and mouths moving on bodies that seemed abandoned.

The economic origin is real, but the aesthetic conclusion does not follow. Animation always limits change. A feature keeps backgrounds still, repeats exposures and chooses which details deserve motion. Television made the allocation more visible. Strong poses, graphic layouts, voice, editing, camera movement and selective action can concentrate attention more effectively than constant motion.

Japanese animation, UPA design and many independent films use stillness, discontinuity and layered movement as positive choices. A camera can travel across a detailed still image while sound changes the emotional space; one small eye movement can then carry the scene. The correction does not turn every cheap shortcut into art. It gives the right test: does the limitation direct meaning, rhythm or focus, or does it merely expose missing work? Fullness is not a style. It is a spending pattern. Most productions also mix densities: a still face may sit beside animated smoke, then break into a richly drawn action. Calling the whole work limited hides the decisions inside the shot.

“Disney invented animation”

Disney became the dominant public name because the studio joined technical development, character performance, feature production, distribution and durable branding. Its films were widely seen, its craft language was documented, and its corporate archive kept telling the story.

Animation existed decades before Mickey Mouse. Reynaud projected painted performances in 1892. Cohl drew metamorphosis, McCay staged Gertie, Fleischer developed rotoscoping, Cristiani made a feature in

Argentina and Reiniger completed her surviving silhouette feature in Germany. Stop-motion, abstract film and regional studio traditions grew along other lines.

Disney's contribution remains enormous when the false origin claim is removed. The studio systematised one influential approach to character animation and demonstrated feature-scale control. Its dominance in English-language distribution, education, merchandising and archive access then made the history look narrower than the practice had been. Treating that approach as the medium's birth erases other materials, countries and purposes, then mistakes one successful grammar for a natural law. Disney did not invent animation. Disney helped define the dominant commercial expectation of how character animation should look and feel.

“The computer does the animation”

A digital character appears clean, dimensional and mathematically continuous. Software can interpolate between keyframes, simulate cloth, calculate light and render thousands of details no person placed individually. The phrase computer animation then slides from a description of the system into a claim about authorship.

The machine performs calculation within a structure people build. Modellers define form. Riggers define controls. Animators choose keys and shape motion curves. Technical teams set simulations, constraints and exceptions. Lighting and compositing decide what reaches the eye. Default interpolation produces movement, but movement is not yet performance.

Motion capture supplies another misleading case. Recorded data can preserve a performer's timing, but it must be mapped to a body with different proportions, cleaned where markers or cameras fail and edited to fit the scene. Automation can shift or remove tasks, and current generative systems may propose images and motion with less explicit frame-level work. That makes direction, continuity, editing, provenance and approval more important, not irrelevant. A computer can produce many plausible intervals. It cannot settle which hesitation belongs to the character or which result the

production is willing to own without a human or institutional standard applied to it. The absence of pencil marks can make that decision labour harder to see, which is one reason computational fluency is so easily mistaken for automatic authorship.

“Realism is the highest form of animation”

Realistic motion is difficult, and technical progress is often displayed through skin, hair, water, cloth and light. The closer a synthetic image approaches photography, the easier it is to present improvement as a straight line.

Animation has never had one destination. Cohl's line transforms because it refuses stable matter. Reiniger's silhouettes gain force from profile and flatness. McLaren scratches directly onto film. Television design uses held graphics. Computer films can step motion, distort perspective and expose drawn marks. A physically accurate fall may be less readable than an exaggerated preparation and impact.

Realism is useful when the work depends on believable physical integration or restrained acting. Even then, selective exaggeration often clarifies force, gaze or emotion that a camera would record too weakly. It becomes a trap when every irregularity is treated as an error and every tool is judged by imitation. Animation's special power is control over what reality must do. The best style is the one whose rules make its action intelligible and expressive.

“Animation is a genre for children”

Many people's first animated works were children's films or television, and family entertainment became a powerful commercial home for the medium. Bright design, repeatable characters and impossible action suit young audiences. Marketing then turned an audience category into a definition.

Animation is a medium and a family of techniques, not a genre. It has carried political satire, wartime instruction, advertising, pornography, abstract experiment, documentary testimony, adult comedy, horror and philosophical fiction. *El Apóstol* mocked a sitting president. *Neighbours* turned a territorial quarrel into violence. Anime alone spans children's

adventure, workplace drama, war, romance and material aimed squarely at adults.

The correction matters because the label changes what gets funded, distributed and reviewed. The word cartoon is then made to carry both a technique and an assumption about seriousness. Adult animation is often forced to prove adulthood through sex or cynicism, as though maturity were a tone rather than a range of subjects. Children deserve excellent animation. The medium does not belong to them any more than drawing, music or cinema does. The boundary also shifts by market: work scheduled for families in one country may be edited, relabelled or sold to specialists elsewhere. Audience category is an industrial decision, not a property carried by every frame.

Use It

Animation becomes easier to read when you stop asking whether the picture looks impressive and start asking how change has been organised. These lenses return attention to the interval where the medium does its work.

Compare positions, not isolated poses

A still frame can be beautiful, expressive or off-model. None of those judgements tells you whether the animation works. Movement exists between states, so compare them.

Take three moments from an action: before change, during it and after. Track the centre of mass, gaze, angle of the main forms and distance travelled. Notice which parts lead and which follow. A hand may arrive before the shoulder, a head before the torso, or the hips before the feet. Those orders imply different causes. They tell you whether the character reaches, recoils, is pulled, loses balance or changes its mind.

Spacing matters more than a neat row of screenshots. Equal gaps tend to read as constant speed. Widening gaps imply acceleration; narrowing gaps

imply braking. One large jump followed by a crowded settlement can produce impact. A run of almost identical positions can produce strain, hesitation or suspended time.

This lens also catches false smoothness. Interpolation may create many plausible positions while weakening the path that joins them. The test is whether the differences form one intelligible event.

Read preparation, action and settlement

Many movements become legible through three unequal phases. Something prepares, something changes and the result travels through the body or scene. Animators often call these anticipation, action and follow-through, but the useful idea is causal sequence rather than a compulsory recipe.

Preparation tells the viewer where force, attention or choice is gathering. A body lowers before a jump. A hand opens before grasping. Eyes move before the head when a character notices something, or after it when the turn is involuntary. Removing preparation can make an action sudden or surprising. Enlarging it can make the same action comic, fearful or effortful.

The action may be brief. Contact can occupy one frame; a fast turn may pass through a distorted pose that looks absurd when paused. The settlement shows what the event cost. Weight continues, cloth catches up, a prop vibrates, a face absorbs the result or a room becomes still again.

When movement feels weak, ask whether its cause was prepared, its decisive change was clear and its consequences were allowed to finish. Good timing distributes attention rather than filling every phase equally.

Separate performance from surface

Polished surfaces attract credit. Detailed hair, complex lighting and realistic skin can make a shot appear more animated than a plain drawing, even when the performance is inert. Reverse the judgement.

Imagine the character reduced to a few masses and joints. Can you still tell

where the weight is, what the figure wants and when its attention changes? *Luxo Jr.* passes this test with hinges and a shade. A rough storyboard drawing can pass it too. If the acting survives simplification, the surface supports a performance. If it disappears with the texture, the finish may be doing all the persuasive work.

The reverse error is possible. Graphic or stepped movement may look sparse while carrying exact choices of pose, hold and interruption. A silhouette can disclose intention through profile. Abstract animation can organise expectation and release without assigning a human motive.

Ask what comes from pose and timing, what comes from design, what comes from light or rendering and what comes from sound. This does not rank departments. It reveals cooperation and prevents visible finish from swallowing less conspicuous decisions.

Ask where the budget went

Every animated work allocates change. Money matters, but the sharper budget is attention: what did the makers decide must move, and what could remain stable without damaging the result?

Look for holds, cycles, repeated backgrounds, modular mouths, off-screen action, camera moves across still art and crowds treated as patterns. In 3D work, notice where one rig or environment supports many shots. In stop-motion, notice whether replacement faces, duplicate puppets or digital removal solve physical limits. These choices are clues to the production problem, not automatic proof of thrift or laziness.

Then ask whether the allocation supports the scene. A held image during a difficult line can force attention onto the voice. A repeated walk may establish routine. A richly animated secondary object may direct the eye away from a concealed character. Constant movement can waste the budget by making every part compete.

Effort is not value, and cost is not quality. The lens joins aesthetics to labour. Style is partly the visible answer to what a production could repeat, share,

automate or afford to remake.

Notice reuse and controlled variation

Animation depends on recurrence. A character remains recognisable across changing poses because enough features persist. A walk cycle repeats because locomotion contains a cycle. A series reuses settings and assets because rebuilding its world each week would defeat the schedule. Repetition is infrastructure.

The expressive question is what changes inside it. A walk can be sped up, weighted differently or interrupted by a glance. The same rig can perform confidence or exhaustion through posture and spacing. A familiar transformation can become funny when one expected phase is held too long. Reuse turns dead when every recurrence ignores the new circumstance.

This gives you a way to read characters carried across media. Which features must remain for recognition: outline, colour, voice, movement rhythm, a particular reaction? A design built for animation often survives simplification because identity is spread across several cues. Merchandising may favour stable shape; performance depends on how that shape changes.

The lens applies to interfaces too. Repeated motion should teach a rule. If every button responds differently, the system becomes noisy. Controlled variation keeps a convention while marking the moment that deserves attention.

Split the picture from the sound

Animation often makes sound appear to come from movement, though the two were designed through separate processes. Pull them apart.

Mute a scene mentally, or in practice. Does the action still have a clear rhythm and cause? Then attend to the soundtrack without relying on the image. Which impacts gain weight from effects? Which emotion comes from the voice rather than the pose? Does music anticipate a change, coincide

with it or continue after it? A movement can feel early or late because sound has shifted its perceived contact point.

Exact synchrony is one choice. A sound heard before its source creates expectation. A reaction held in silence enlarges uncertainty. Repeated musical matching can turn bodies into instruments, while constant matching can make every gesture equally important.

The distinction matters in practical work. A safety demonstration should not rely on sound alone if it must work in a noisy room or for a deaf viewer. Sound and image can reinforce each other, divide the explanation or conceal one another's weakness. Read the contract between them.

The limits

These lenses describe choices, not universal laws. Apparent motion depends on display, viewing conditions and perception; no single frame rate guarantees one response. Craft principles developed in particular studios can clarify recurring problems without governing every abstract film, anime sequence, puppet performance or interface.

Animation also makes designed causality look immediate. A chart can animate one line after another and imply that the first caused the second. A documentary reconstruction can give uncertain testimony a coherent body and camera. A simulation can look observed when it is a model. Movement increases explanatory force, so provenance and uncertainty must remain visible when truth is at stake.

Bodies and gestures carry cultural assumptions. A pose read as comic, feminine, threatening or childish in one setting may not travel unchanged. Generative systems add questions about training material, consent, continuity and responsibility that improved images cannot answer.

A gag may work before you can name the spacing, and a puppet may disturb you because its matter refuses clean explanation. The lenses should increase attention, not turn movement into a checklist.

The one thing to keep

Keep the interval.

Whenever an animated thing seems alive, look between the states the maker allowed you to see. That is where weight was assigned, thought was delayed, force was compressed and consequence was permitted to arrive. The image may have been drawn, posed through a rig, captured from a performer or proposed by a model. Life appears when the sequence makes change feel governed by matter, cause or intention rather than arbitrary replacement.

This changes the question you bring to animation. Stop asking how the object was made to move. Ask what the movement has made you believe: that the object is heavy, that it noticed, that it chose, that one event produced another, that a body belongs in this world. Those beliefs were built from omissions as much as additions.

Nothing on the screen crosses the gap. You do. Animation is the craft of directing that crossing until a mark can carry weight, cause or mind.

Terms

Frame. One complete image position in a sequence. A frame is the unit delivered or photographed, but it need not contain a newly made drawing or pose.

Exposure. The length of time an image, drawing or level remains visible. One drawing may receive several exposures, producing a hold without creating another unique state.

Frame rate. The number of frames displayed each second, usually written fps. Delivery rate, capture rate and number of unique images can differ, so fps alone does not measure labour or quality.

Key pose. A deliberately chosen state that establishes an action's main intention, force or change. Keys organise performance; their importance comes from what they define, not from being first or last.

Breakdown. A designed pose between keys that determines how the action travels. A breakdown can establish an arc, lead one body part, alter balance or prevent interpolation from taking the blandest route.

In-between. An image or pose placed between established states to complete an interval. In-betweening can be assigned, drawn or calculated, but its spacing still shapes speed, force and clarity.

Timing. How long an action, hold or transition occupies. Timing sets duration and rhythm, while spacing determines where the subject appears during that allotted time.

Spacing. The distribution of positions between poses. Equal spacing suggests constant speed; widening or narrowing gaps create acceleration and deceleration. Spacing is how timing acquires visible physics.

Hold. Repeating one image or pose across several frames. A hold can save labour, concentrate attention, create tension or expose deadness, depending on what continues around it.

Cycle. A sequence designed to repeat, such as a walk, mechanical rotation or flickering flame. Cycles reduce repeated work and become expressive when their rhythm or variation suits the circumstance.

Anticipation. A preparatory change that makes a later action readable. It may gather physical force, redirect attention or disclose choice. Removing anticipation can create surprise, speed or mechanical abruptness.

Squash and stretch. Controlled deformation used to clarify force, flexibility and volume. A form may compress under impact and lengthen through speed while remaining recognisably the same object.

Follow-through. Motion continuing after the main action has changed or stopped. Hair, clothing, limbs, props and emotion may settle later, showing that the event had material or psychological consequences.

Overlapping action. Different parts beginning, changing and ending at different times. Overlap prevents a figure from moving as one rigid unit and

can direct attention through a sequence of arrivals.

Arc. A curved path followed by a body, limb, camera or object. Many organic movements travel in arcs because joints rotate, though machines and graphic styles may use straighter paths deliberately.

Pose-to-pose and straight-ahead animation. Two planning approaches. Pose-to-pose establishes major states before filling intervals; straight-ahead work proceeds sequentially, encouraging discovery while making drift harder to control.

Registration. Keeping drawings, cels, cut-outs or levels aligned across frames. Pegs, punched paper, guides and digital coordinates prevent unintended wobble while allowing selected elements to change.

Cel. A transparent sheet carrying painted or inked moving elements above a separate background. Cel systems reduced repeated drawing and supported layered industrial production before digital compositing displaced physical sheets.

Exposure sheet. A frame-by-frame production chart, often called an X-sheet, recording drawings, levels, dialogue, camera instructions and timing. It turns a performance into information several departments can share.

Limited animation. Selective allocation of new drawings and movement through holds, cycles, modular parts, camera motion and strong poses. The term describes production economy, not one fixed aesthetic or level of quality.

Onion skinning. Viewing neighbouring drawings or poses faintly alongside the current one. It helps track arcs, spacing, volume and continuity, whether through translucent paper, light tables or digital overlays.

Storyboard. A sequence of images planning shots, staging and major action before finished production. Boards test visual continuity and can be rearranged cheaply while the story remains structurally flexible.

Animatic. A timed edit of storyboard images, often with temporary dialogue, music and effects. It tests duration, shot order and rhythm before costly animation commits the production.

Layout. The planning of camera, staging, scale, perspective and character placement for a shot or scene. Layout translates a board's intention into spatial instructions that later departments can use.

Model sheet. A reference showing a character's proportions, construction, expressions and key views. It helps many artists preserve identity while allowing the design to change across poses.

Rig. A controllable system attached to a digital model, puppet or cut-out. Controls, joints, constraints and deformation rules make the asset poseable without deciding how it should perform.

Interpolation. Calculation of values between chosen keys. Linear, stepped and curved interpolation produce different transitions. The software supplies a path; artists still choose keys, curves, exceptions and acceptable results.

Rotoscoping. Using photographed live action as frame-by-frame movement reference or trace material. It can supply complex timing while still requiring selection, redesign and adaptation to the animated body's rules.

Motion capture. Recording aspects of a performer's movement with sensors or cameras for transfer to a digital system. Capture produces data, not a finished character performance, and usually needs mapping, editing and correction.

Compositing. Combining character, background, effects, light and other image layers into the final shot. Compositing controls their relation through colour, depth, transparency, masking and timing, shaping what the viewer finally sees.

Go Deeper

The overview: Maureen Furniss, *A New History of Animation* (Thames & Hudson, 2016). Begin here for a broad route through technologies, studios, artists and national traditions without treating American theatrical cartoons as the entire medium. Furniss moves from optical devices and early cinema through experimental work, television, anime, computer production and contemporary hybrids. The range is its strength, though a single volume cannot give every region equal depth. Read it as a map of the field and a corrective to the straight line from Disney to Pixar. Its illustrations also make unfamiliar techniques and regional traditions easier to place before deeper study.

The practical craft book: Richard Williams, *The Animator's Survival Kit: Expanded Edition* (Faber and Faber, 2009). Williams explains timing, spacing, walks, weight, dialogue and working method through dense sequences of drawings. It is the clearest place to see why two actions with the same duration can feel entirely different. The book is inviting to anyone willing to copy and test its examples, even without professional ambitions. Its centre of gravity is classical character animation, so use the principles as tools rather than laws for every abstract, limited, experimental or culturally distinct form. It rewards slow reading with a pencil, stopwatch or animation timeline nearby.

The insider record: Frank Thomas and Ollie Johnston, *Disney Animation: The Illusion of Life* (Abbeville Press, 1981). Two senior Disney animators reconstruct the studio's development of character performance and set out the twelve principles that became a widely repeated craft vocabulary in animation training. The drawings, production examples and first-hand explanations make it valuable primary evidence for one enormously influential system. It is also a house history written by proud participants. Read it for what Disney learned and taught, while remembering the labour hierarchy, competitors and international practices that its story places beyond the frame. Compare its confidence with Furniss to see where studio memory and wider history diverge.

The early history: Donald Crafton, *Before Mickey: The Animated Film 1898-1928* (University of Chicago Press, 1993). Crafton is the cure for an origin story that begins with a mouse. He follows performers, trick-film makers, cartoonists, patents, studios, recurring characters and production systems across animation's first three decades. The book is scholarly but lively, and it shows why claims about the first cartoon or first animator depend on definitions, exhibition and survival. Read it after watching a few works by Cohl, McCay and the Fleischers, since the films make the industrial and comic arguments easier to see. Its period ends where Disney dominance begins, which is precisely why the book remains useful.

Notes and Sources

Scope and working definition

This book treats animation as the deliberate organisation of changing image states through time. That definition includes drawn, cut-out, silhouette, stop-motion, direct-on-film, computer, captured, simulated, live-performed, procedural, installation, real-time and generative practices. It does not require every frame to be handmade, every work to depict a character, or every image to be fixed before exhibition. Maureen Furniss, Paul Wells and Giannalberto Bendazzi supply complementary histories and definitions; none provides one uncontested border for the field. Hybrids make the border porous. Rotoscoping begins with photographed action, motion capture begins with recorded performance, visual effects combine photographed and synthetic material, and real-time systems may calculate the next image only after input arrives.

The subtitle's use of *drawing* is therefore verbal rather than material. It means specifying change. The broader wording does not claim that all animation descends from pencil drawing or that regional practices should be measured against the American cel tradition. *Art in a Hurry* retains the general method of looking at images, *Film in a Hurry* the wider history and operation of cinema, *Storytelling in a Hurry* narrative structure, *Video Games in a Hurry* interactive systems and their industry, and *AI in a Hurry*

the general technology and social consequences of artificial intelligence.

Apparent motion and the gap between frames

Joseph Anderson and Barbara Anderson's review, "The Myth of Persistence of Vision Revisited", is the principal support for rejecting the familiar claim that cinematic motion is explained by one image lingering on the retina until the next arrives. Visual persistence and after-images are real phenomena, but they are not a sufficient theory of coherent apparent motion. The book deliberately stops short of replacing the old slogan with one equally neat account. Motion perception involves temporal sampling, feature grouping, object tracking, expectation and several neural processes whose relative importance changes with the stimulus. The useful craft fact is narrower: viewers infer continuity from differences among presented states.

The frame arithmetic is calculation rather than an empirical estimate. At twenty-four projected frames per second, one minute contains 1,440 delivered frames and ninety minutes contain 129,600. Those numbers do not establish the number of new drawings, poses or rendered images. Working on twos presents one distinct drawing for two projected frames, but productions mix ones, twos, longer holds, cycles and reused material. Frame rate, exposure count and number of deliberately designed states are kept separate throughout.

Timing, spacing, weight and intention

Richard Williams's *The Animator's Survival Kit* is the main practical source for the distinction between timing and spacing and for the examples involving acceleration, deceleration, weight, walks, anticipation, overlap and settlement. Frank Thomas and Ollie Johnston's *Disney Animation: The Illusion of Life* supplies an influential studio account of related principles. These books describe craft knowledge developed around classical character animation. The manuscript uses that knowledge as a set of diagnostic tools, not as universal physical laws or as proof that every successful tradition must resemble Disney movement.

The account of intention from sparse motion is grounded in Fritz Heider and

Marianne Simmel's 1944 experiment. In its first experiment, 34 undergraduate women watched moving geometric figures around a rectangular enclosure under a general instruction to describe what happened. All but one interpreted the movements as actions of animate beings, and 19 reported a connected story. The result is a classic demonstration under one small, specific arrangement, not evidence that every viewer gives the same reading to every trajectory. The book therefore uses it to establish a readiness to infer agency from organised change while leaving sampling, instruction, culture, context, sound and prior knowledge visible as further influences.

The treatment of *Luxo Jr.* follows the film itself and the Computer History Museum's account of Pixar's 1986 short. Claims about parent, child, disappointment and play are readings of the staged movement rather than statements that the lamps possess fixed biological identities. The example matters because familiar mechanical joints, timing and light carry character without facial anatomy.

Design for change, production economy and labour

The discussion of silhouettes, clean shapes, stable proportions, arcs, cycles, modular mouths and replacement parts draws on Williams, Thomas and Johnston, Furniss and studio practice described across the wider histories. The claim is functional: a design intended to move must remain identifiable across altered poses and views. It is not a defence of one level of simplification. Detailed, unstable and deliberately transforming forms can be animated when a production supplies the labour and control they demand.

"Every frame has a price" is an organising economic model rather than a claim that studios cost work by the physical frame. Production accounting may operate by footage, shot, scene, asset, department, week, episode, revision or contracted deliverable. The phrase keeps the real constraint visible: screen time multiplies decisions, and complexity can cost as much as image count. Crowds, patterned clothing, water, hair, cloth, changing

perspective and late story revisions create different burdens in different pipelines.

Tom Sito's *Drawing the Line* supports the labour history, union conflict and 1941 Disney strike. Michael Barrier provides the wider American studio setting. Mindy Johnson's *Ink & Paint* documents women's extensive and frequently undercredited work in ink-and-paint departments, along with later movement into other roles. The book limits these claims to major American studio systems and does not treat one gendered hierarchy as the organisation of animation everywhere. Outsourcing and service-studio labour are included as structural cautions because credits, corporate records and national histories often compress their contribution.

Optical devices and early screen animation

Donald Crafton's *Before Mickey*, Furniss and Bendazzi are the main authorities for the chronology from optical entertainment into early cinema animation. The phenakistiscope, zoetrope and praxinoscope made short phase sequences repeat. Magic-lantern practice combined projection with shutters, sliding glass, mechanical movement and live performance. Eadweard Muybridge and Étienne-Jules Marey separated movement for photographic and scientific analysis. These were intersecting routes rather than steps in one planned invention.

The date 28 October 1892 for Émile Reynaud's public Théâtre Optique programme at the Musée Grévin is standard in the historical literature. Reynaud's *Pantomimes Lumineuses* used hand-painted image bands and an operator who could vary repetition and pace. Calling this animation is defensible, but using it to name one inventor would conceal earlier projected movement and the category's later formation. The manuscript therefore treats Reynaud as a landmark in extended, controlled projected performance.

The accounts of J. Stuart Blackton, Émile Cohl and Winsor McCay follow Crafton and the surviving films. *Fantasmagorie* was released in 1908; its importance here is transformation, not a brittle claim to be the first

animation. *Gertie the Dinosaur* appeared in 1914 and was incorporated into McCay's vaudeville performance before circulation in a film version. McCay had made earlier animated work, so the book does not present Gertie as his beginning.

Cels, rotoscoping and disputed firsts

Crafton documents the industrial changes associated with John Randolph Bray, Earl Hurd and Raoul Barré. Transparent cels, registration systems, repeated backgrounds, standardised tasks and patent licensing did not arrive as one finished factory model. They accumulated through overlapping experiments and commercial disputes. The exposure sheet is described by function rather than credited to one inventor because forms and terminology developed across studios.

Max Fleischer filed the rotoscope application in 1915 and received the patent in 1917. Dave Fleischer's filmed performance supplied movement for the clown later known as Koko. Rotoscoping can involve close tracing, selective study or substantial redesign, so the body rejects both the claim that it removes animation and the claim that recorded motion transfers without interpretation.

The British Film Institute identifies Quirino Cristiani's Argentine *El Apóstol* of 1917 as the first animated feature and Lotte Reiniger's *The Adventures of Prince Achmed* of 1926 as the earliest surviving animated feature. *El Apóstol* is lost, and definitions of feature length and animation have varied. The manuscript keeps the claims in that bounded form. Reiniger's surviving film demonstrates a separate silhouette practice built from jointed cut-outs, layered staging and frame-by-frame manipulation.

Recorded sound, Disney and the feature system

Silent animation normally had musical or effects accompaniment in exhibition, so recorded sound did not introduce sound to the experience. *Steamboat Willie* in 1928 was also not the first sound cartoon. Crafton and Barrier support the more limited claim retained here: the short's sustained, repeatable synchronisation and wide circulation made it an influential demonstration of sound organised with action.

Thomas and Johnston provide the insider account of Disney character animation and the twelve principles later attached to it. Barrier provides a more independent production history. The book credits the principles as influential studio-derived vocabulary while keeping Fleischer, Warner Bros. and other traditions outside the Disney model. "Mickey-mousing" is used in its common scoring sense, matching musical gestures closely to visible action, without claiming one settled origin for the term.

Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs was released in 1937 as Disney's first animated feature, not the world's first. The account of the multiplane camera, effects animation, live-action reference, colour planning and departmental coordination follows the film, Thomas and Johnston, Barrier and Furniss. The discussion of hierarchy and the strike is checked against Sito and Johnson. The production is presented as a large American studio achievement, not as the moment feature animation became possible everywhere.

Stop-motion, abstraction and direct work on film

Furniss and Bendazzi provide the broad treatment of puppet, object, clay, cut-out, silhouette, sand, pinscreen, paint-on-glass and direct-on-film practices. The book does not construct these as minor branches of drawn animation. Their materials alter what can be reused, revised, lit, deformed and restored after a mistake.

The National Film Board of Canada's records support the description of Norman McLaren's experimental range. He drew on film, scratched emulsion, animated objects and people, worked closely with music and

helped establish the NFB animation unit. BFI material documents his optical-sound experiments. *Neighbours* was made in 1952 using pixillation, with live actors moved and photographed frame by frame. The film's anti-war reading is supported by the work and by its later inclusion in UNESCO's Memory of the World Register, while the manuscript avoids UNESCO's unmeasurable superlative about McLaren's influence.

William Kentridge's own 1993 account supports the later charcoal example. His *Drawings for Projection* began in the late 1980s. For a sequence, he photographed one drawing, altered or erased it, then photographed again. Charcoal erasure left grey traces, so the visible motion also preserved a history of revision. The example is presented as his particular method, not a general model of independent animation.

Television, limited animation and anime

Television changed the relation among budget, schedule and screen time. The history of American television cartoons, reuse and reduced movement draws on Furniss, Bendazzi and Barrier. "Limited animation" is treated as a family of production strategies, not one look or an automatic mark of low quality. Holds, cycles, sliding levels, modular parts, camera movement, sound and pose concentration can be combined with weak or strong design.

The account of Japanese television animation is checked against Jonathan Clements, Thomas Lamarre, Marc Steinberg and the official Osamu Tezuka record. Mushi Production's *Astro Boy* began television broadcast in 1963. Tezuka's official site describes it as Japan's first thirty-minute animated television series and records 193 episodes. The manuscript retains the safer points needed for its argument: weekly television schedules encouraged selective motion, reuse and close coordination with manga, sound, framing and character circulation. Anime is treated as a large industrial and cultural field, not one frame rate, drawing style or genre. Feature work by Hayao Miyazaki and Isao Takahata is mentioned only to prevent weekly television practice from becoming a false universal.

Computer animation, scene systems and hybrid style

The Computer History Museum supports the dates and bounded firsts for Pixar's *Luxo Jr.* and *Toy Story*. *Luxo Jr.* was released in 1986 and became the first fully computer-animated film nominated for an Academy Award. *Toy Story*, released in 1995, was the first fully computer-animated feature. The book uses these milestones to explain performance and pipeline rather than to suggest that computer animation began with Pixar.

The definitions of model, rig, keyframe, interpolation, simulation, rendering and compositing follow standard production usage across Furniss, Wells, Williams and current software documentation. Their boundaries vary among packages and studios. Interpolation is described as calculation between selected key values; it does not imply that every digital system uses the same curve model or that all intermediate image content is generated by one operation.

OpenUSD is described from the Alliance for OpenUSD's current documentation as an extensible framework for describing, composing and exchanging complex 3D scenes across tools and workflows. Its first Core Specification was announced in December 2025. The body makes no claim that OpenUSD is used by every animation pipeline or solves all interoperability problems.

Sony Pictures Imageworks documents that *Spider-Man: Into the Spider-Verse* used stepped animation and work on twos among a wider set of graphic methods. The film was released in 2018. The book's claim is limited to a visible production choice: a computer pipeline with the capacity for smooth interpolation was directed towards held poses, offsets, line work and print-like texture.

Motion capture, real-time work and generative systems

Motion capture is described as recorded movement data that must be mapped, cleaned, edited and adapted to the target. The amount of manual intervention varies with capture system, performer, character, shot and quality threshold. Real-time engines are treated as systems capable of producing images in response to input or changing state. That includes games and some virtual-production uses, but it does not mean every asset, performance or environment is created at run time.

The generative paragraph is deliberately current, brief and non-quantified. Representative primary papers checked on 4 September 2026 include PoseAnimate, *Wan-Animate* and *Wan-Animate-2*. Their own problem statements and evaluation aims repeatedly name identity preservation, temporal coherence, motion fidelity, viewpoint control, latency and controllability. Results across papers are not directly combined because datasets, tasks, baselines, metrics and user studies differ. *Wan-Animate-2* was submitted in August 2026 and is an arXiv preprint, so it is evidence of a current research direction rather than settled production performance. The body does not claim a particular adoption rate, labour saving, legal status or final division of authorship. Rights, consent and provenance are presented as production responsibilities, not as a summary of one jurisdiction's law.

Misconceptions, practical lenses and evidential limits

The seven misconception headings describe recurring public models, not beliefs held by every reader. The correction to persistence of vision follows the Andersons; frame-count and limited-animation corrections follow the distinction among delivery, exposure and unique states; the Disney correction follows the early and international histories; the computer correction follows pipeline descriptions; and the realism and children's-genre corrections are critical syntheses supported by the medium's documented range.

The six practical lenses are methods for close attention rather than experimentally validated scoring systems. Comparing positions, reading anticipation and settlement, separating performance from surface, following budget allocation, noticing reuse and splitting image from sound can clarify how a work functions. None supplies one final measure of quality. The warnings about animated charts, documentary reconstructions and simulations concern misleading inference: ordered motion can make sequence appear causal and models appear observed. The remedy is visible provenance, assumptions and uncertainty, not distrust of animation itself.

The surviving record is uneven. Nitrate loss, discarded cels, uncredited work, changing corporate ownership, regional preservation gaps and private archives affect what can be studied. First claims remain unusually vulnerable because a lost or reclassified work can change them. This book therefore uses landmarks to demonstrate available practices, not to build one inevitable chain from toy to cinema, Disney to television, or pencil to computer.

Bibliography

Films and television

Astro Boy. Mushi Production and Fuji Television, 1963-1966.

El Apóstol. Directed by Quirino Cristiani and produced by Federico Valle, 1917. Lost.

Fantasmagorie. Directed by Émile Cohl. Gaumont, 1908.

Gertie the Dinosaur. Directed by Winsor McCay. 1914.

Luxo Jr. Directed by John Lasseter. Pixar, 1986.

Neighbours. Directed by Norman McLaren. National Film Board of Canada, 1952.

Pauvre Pierrot. Directed by Émile Reynaud. Théâtre Optique, 1892.

Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs. Walt Disney Productions, 1937.

Spider-Man: Into the Spider-Verse. Directed by Bob Persichetti, Peter Ramsey and Rodney Rothman. Sony Pictures Animation, 2018.

Steamboat Willie. Walt Disney Studio, 1928.

The Adventures of Prince Achmed. Directed by Lotte Reiniger. Comenius-Film, 1926.

Toy Story. Directed by John Lasseter. Pixar Animation Studios and Walt Disney Pictures, 1995.

Scholarship and craft

Anderson, Joseph, and Barbara Anderson. "The Myth of Persistence of Vision Revisited." *Journal of Film and Video* 45, no. 1 (1993): 3-12.

Barrier, Michael. *Hollywood Cartoons: American Animation in Its Golden Age*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1999.

Bendazzi, Giannalberto. *Animation: A World History*. 3 vols. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2016.

Clements, Jonathan. *Anime: A History*. Revised and updated ed. London: British Film Institute and Bloomsbury, 2023.

Crafton, Donald. *Before Mickey: The Animated Film 1898-1928*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993.

Furniss, Maureen. *A New History of Animation*. London: Thames & Hudson, 2016.

Heider, Fritz, and Marianne Simmel. "An Experimental Study of Apparent Behavior." *The American Journal of Psychology* 57, no. 2 (1944): 243-259.

Johnson, Mindy. *Ink & Paint: The Women of Walt Disney's Animation*. New York: Disney Editions, 2017.

Kentridge, William. "Fortuna: Neither Programme nor Chance in the Making of Images." Lecture, 1993; published in *Cycnos* 11, no. 1 (1994): 163-168.

Artist's online text checked 4 September 2026.

Lamarre, Thomas. *The Anime Machine: A Media Theory of Animation*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2009.

Sito, Tom. *Drawing the Line: The Untold Story of the Animation Unions from Bosko to Bart Simpson*. Lexington: University Press of Kentucky, 2006.

Steinberg, Marc. *Anime's Media Mix: Franchising Toys and Characters in Japan*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2012.

Thomas, Frank, and Ollie Johnston. *Disney Animation: The Illusion of Life*. New York: Abbeville Press, 1981.

Wells, Paul. *Understanding Animation*. London: Routledge, 1998.

Williams, Richard. *The Animator's Survival Kit: Expanded Edition*. London: Faber and Faber, 2009.

Institutional and technical sources

Alliance for OpenUSD. "OpenUSD for 3D Interoperability." Current framework description and Core Specification materials, checked 4 September 2026.

Blender Foundation. "Animation and Rigging." *Blender Manual*, checked 4 September 2026.

British Film Institute. "The Adventures of Prince Achmed." BFI National Archive and release notes, checked 4 September 2026.

British Film Institute. "The Lesser-Spotted British Animated Feature." Feature-history note, checked 4 September 2026.

Chan, Crystal. "How to Write a Film on a Piano: Norman McLaren's Visual Music." *Sight and Sound*, British Film Institute, updated 23 November 2016.

Computer History Museum. "Pixar's Luxo Jr." Current collection article, checked 4 September 2026.

Linux Foundation. "Alliance for OpenUSD Announces Core Specification

1.0.” 17 December 2025.

National Film Board of Canada. “Norman McLaren.” Director profile and film records, checked 4 September 2026.

Osamu Tezuka Official. “Astro Boy.” Animation record, checked 4 September 2026.

Sony Pictures Imageworks. “Spider-Man: Into the Spider-Verse.” Production craft record, checked 4 September 2026.

UNESCO. “Neighbours, Animated, Directed and Produced by Norman McLaren in 1952.” *Memory of the World*, checked 4 September 2026.

Current character-animation research

Cheng, Gang, et al. “Wan-Animate: Unified Character Animation and Replacement with Holistic Replication.” arXiv:2509.14055, 2025.

Wang, Guangyuan, et al. “Wan-Animate-2: Pushing the Application Boundaries of Character Animation.” arXiv:2608.06009, version 2, 2026.

Zhu, Bingwen, et al. “Zero-shot High-fidelity and Pose-controllable Character Animation.” arXiv:2404.13680, version 3, 2024.