

THE IN A HURRY SERIES

Animals *in a Hurry*

How the animal kingdom actually works

Max Brocklesby

BOOKSINAHURRY.COM

Contents

The Whole Thing in One Page 4

Why You Should Care 5

The Core Ideas 7

How It Actually Works 21

What People Get Wrong 31

Use It 36

Terms 41

Go Deeper 46

Notes and Sources 48

Bibliography 52

The Whole Thing in One Page

The public animal kingdom is a procession of large vertebrates. Lion, eagle, shark, whale, human. They run, hunt, look back at the camera and occupy nearly every nature documentary poster. This is excellent marketing by a minor branch of the family.

Begin instead with a sponge fixed to rock. It has no brain, no muscles, no gut and no front end. Water passes through a body built from cooperating cells, food is captured from the current, waste leaves with it, and the whole structure repairs and regulates itself. The sponge is an animal. A Venus flytrap moves, senses contact and eats flesh, but is a plant. The boundary is ancestry, not performance. That correction moves the centre of the kingdom away from familiar faces and towards the construction of a dependent body.

What unites animals is therefore not a shape. It is a way of becoming and staying alive. An animal body is usually rebuilt from one cell, or from a small bud or propagule, through adhesion, communication, division of labour and development. Its cells can specialise so deeply that many surrender the ability to live alone. The resulting systems range from sponge cell layers and water canals to epithelia, guts, muscles, nerves and specialised reproductive tissues.

Across Animalia, persistence requires solving recurring problems: obtaining concentrated food, moving gases and dissolved materials across surfaces, keeping water and salts within workable limits, disposing of waste, supporting structure, producing force, detecting change, coordinating responses, repairing damage and beginning another generation. Size and environment decide how difficult each task becomes. Diffusion can supply a thin flatworm. A whale needs lungs, blood, a heart and kilometres of vessels because distance has become the problem.

Body plans are the routes through which those flows travel. A gut creates an inside specialised for digestion. Bilateral symmetry produces a front that meets the world first. Segments let a body repeat useful units. Hydrostatic skeletons, shells and bones give muscles something to pull against.

Nervous systems turn light, pressure, chemicals, vibration and temperature into action. Behaviour extends the body into time: hiding, migrating, building, learning, courting and caring for young alter what anatomy can achieve.

Development is the hidden centre. Evolution does not redesign an adult animal with a pencil. It alters a process that must build the adult from an egg, bud or propagule while keeping the intermediate stages alive. That is why history, constraint and compromise sit inside every wing, eye, shell and spine.

The result is not a ladder. Sponges, comb jellies, corals, worms, molluscs, arthropods and vertebrates are surviving branches, not stages on a route to us. A tapeworm has lost structures its free-living ancestors needed. A bee can navigate and learn with a brain measured in cubic millimetres. A coral colony can make the word individual difficult to use. Each animal is a package that pays some bills brilliantly and accepts others.

The kingdom works because there is no perfect animal. There are bodies whose flows, forces, senses, development and reproduction remain affordable in a particular way of life. Change the scale, medium or danger, and the winning package changes with it.

That is the book.

Why You Should Care

Put your hand in seawater beside a sponge and almost nothing appears to happen. The animal stays where it is. There is no face to read and no limb to follow. Yet cells lining its internal chambers beat flagella, draw water through pores, capture food, exchange gases and coordinate changes in flow. The quiet object is running a body plan unlike yours while solving many of the same material problems.

That is the first reason to care. Animals contain a vast range of ways for multicellular bodies to coordinate action. Plants can grow towards light and fungi can invade a food source. In many animal lineages and life stages, controlled movement, rapid sensation and flexible behaviour became

central; others coordinate filtration, ciliary motion or contraction without a brain or conventional muscles. The result ranges from a sponge adjusting water flow to a raven caching food while another bird watches.

Understanding the kingdom means understanding how bodies turn selected conditions into organised responses before those conditions pass.

The second reason is that familiar words conceal mechanisms. A wing is not flight. It is a surface that must produce useful forces in a fluid while the rest of the body supplies power, stability, control and fuel. A brain is not intelligence. It is one arrangement for coordinating sensors, memory and action, and its value depends on the tasks it faces. A skeleton is not a frame added after the animal was designed. It changes leverage, protection, growth and the cost of moving. Once you see these connections, the animal in front of you stops being a list of features and becomes a working system.

The third reason is that the comparison comes home. Human physiology looks inevitable when examined alone. Set it beside a bird's air sacs, an insect's tracheae, an octopus's distributed arms or a kangaroo rat's water economy and it becomes one solution among many. Your eye has a blind spot because vertebrate retinas are wired with nerves in front of the light-sensitive cells. Cephalopods route them differently. The comparison does not turn one animal into an engineer and another into a mistake. It shows that every body arrives through a history and must be built from what that history has made available.

Animals also make and remake the physical world. Corals build reefs, earthworms alter soil, grazing animals shift vegetation, termites move air through mounds, and burrowing animals mix sediments. The effects need no plan. A body pursuing food, shelter or reproduction can become part of another species' habitat. The line between organism and environment is therefore busier than it looks.

Then there is the human bill. We now decide which animal bodies multiply in vast numbers, which survive in fragments and which disappear. Farmed mammals outweigh wild land mammals by a wide margin, though estimates depend on the mass measured and the species included. That fact is less a

ranking than an x-ray of power. The animal kingdom around us is no longer a neutral sample of what evolution produced. It is increasingly a record of what one animal feeds, breeds, hunts, transports, protects or removes.

This book will not turn the kingdom into a parade of records, nor pretend that one hour can catalogue millions of forms. It will give you the operating model beneath them: integrated bodies, routed flows, controlled force, sensation, development, behaviour and trade-offs. Once that model is in place, an unfamiliar animal becomes intelligible. You may not know its name. You can still begin asking the right questions.

That operating model also disciplines wonder. It lets you admire an octopus without calling it alien, or dismiss a sponge because it lacks a face. Differences become evidence about constraints rather than rankings. The kingdom grows stranger, but less mysterious: each strangeness has a material history and a current job.

The Core Ideas

An Animal Is an Integrated Body

Ask a child to draw an animal and you will probably get eyes, legs and a mouth. Ask a zoologist to define one and the answer moves backwards, from appearance to relationship. An animal is a member of Animalia, a branch of the tree of life descended from a shared ancestor. That sounds circular until the awkward cases arrive and prove why ancestry is needed.

A sponge is multicellular and feeds on organic matter, yet most sponges have no neurons, muscles or conventional organs. A placozoan is a thin, crawling sheet with only a handful of major cell types and no mouth in the usual sense. A coral may look like a rock or a plant. A parasitic worm can lose a gut and absorb nutrients through its surface. Any checklist built from movement, nerves, ingestion or visible complexity will reject some animal or admit something from another kingdom.

Ancestry establishes membership. The useful mechanistic theme is a

characteristic inherited package of integration. Animal cells attach to one another and to an extracellular matrix, a mesh of proteins and other molecules outside the cell membrane. Collagen is a major component of that shared structure. Receptors such as integrins connect the matrix to the internal scaffolding of cells and carry signals across the boundary. In many lineages, sheets of cells form epithelia that separate one compartment from another. Adhesion, communication and controlled differentiation let millions or trillions of cells behave as parts of one body rather than as a crowded colony.

Much of the molecular equipment did not appear from nothing with the first animal. Choanoflagellates, the closest living relatives of animals, are single-celled or colonial organisms that possess versions of genes involved in adhesion, signalling and cell regulation. They are not miniature ancestors left behind for inspection. They are modern relatives whose shared features show that evolution recruited older cellular tools into a new social arrangement.

The decisive transition was not the sudden invention of biological glue. It was the repeated coupling of adhesion, communication, differentiation and reproduction within one life cycle. Cells produced by division stayed together; position and signals altered what they became; reproduction passed through a restricted subset of cells or propagules. Across later animal lineages, cell types specialised for contraction, conduction, digestion, protection or reproduction. Many became somatic cells whose work ends with the body. A sperm or egg may carry the lineage forward while a liver cell, however useful, does not.

This division of labour creates power and danger together. Specialisation lets an animal do things no isolated cell could manage: pump blood, coordinate a limb, digest a meal in a controlled cavity, remember a route. It also makes each part dependent. A neuron without oxygen dies quickly. A muscle cell without a nervous and circulatory system cannot perform its normal role. Multicellular cooperation has to be maintained through signals, resource control, immune policing and programmed cell death. Cancer is

one class of failure in which cells escape parts of that control and reproduce at the body's expense.

Even the word body needs care. A sponge can sometimes reorganise after its cells are separated experimentally. A colonial tunicate may share circulation across repeated units. A coral reef contains colonies, microbial partners and mineral skeletons built over generations. Integration comes in degrees and at several levels. What makes the animal kingdom coherent is not one visible form but descent from a lineage that made regulated multicellular development its way of life.

The animal transition becomes intelligible when cells are no longer merely neighbours but recurrent parts of one another's conditions of existence.

Shape Is a Routing System

An animal's outline is easy to treat as decoration. In operation, shape is logistics. It decides how far oxygen must travel, where food enters, which end meets danger first, what a muscle can pull against and whether a signal reaches the right tissue before the opportunity has gone.

Start with symmetry. A sea anemone is arranged broadly around an oral axis. Food and threat may arrive from many directions, so a circular organisation works. A moving bilaterian has a left and right side, a front and a rear. Once one end encounters the world first, concentrating sensory structures and feeding equipment there can pay. This tendency, cephalisation, helps explain the repeated association between directed movement and a head. It is not a rule without exceptions, and it does not mean that radial animals are unfinished bilaterians. The two arrangements solve different spatial problems.

The inside matters as much as the outline. A thin animal can exchange materials across much of its surface. A thicker one needs folded surfaces, branching canals or transport systems. Flatworms keep diffusion distances short and often use a highly branched gut to distribute digested material. Many other bilaterians have a through-gut, with an entrance and an exit. That permits food to move in one direction through regions specialised for

processing, rather than every meal returning through the same opening. A gut is a controlled piece of outside threaded through the body.

Embryonic development establishes spatial organisation before the adult has anything resembling its final shape. In many animals, gastrulation moves cells, creates internal layers and establishes axes. Those layers contribute to different tissues, though the details vary across lineages. Developmental genes help assign position, so a cell responds differently depending on where and when it receives a signal. The animal is therefore mapped before it is filled in.

Repeated units offer another solution. Segmentation lets parts be modified without rebuilding the entire body. An annelid can repeat fluid-filled compartments. An arthropod can turn serial appendages into antennae, mouthparts, legs or reproductive structures. Vertebrae and associated muscles organise the chordate trunk in a different history of repetition. Similar visual results need not come from the same ancestral mechanism, but modular construction gives evolution pieces it can alter while leaving the rest functional.

Support changes the options. A hydrostatic skeleton uses pressurised fluid resisted by muscles and connective tissue. Earthworms move by changing the shape of body segments while keeping their volume nearly constant. An exoskeleton places stiff material outside, providing armour and attachment but requiring joints and, in arthropods, moulting for growth. An endoskeleton grows within the body and can support large size, but it is no free gift: bone must be built, maintained and repaired, while joints remain vulnerable points.

Scale rewrites the geometry. Double a body's length while keeping its proportions and volume rises faster than surface area. Mass and forces do not scale in lockstep with the area of muscles, bones or exchange surfaces. Small animals can fall from heights that would kill a large one because air resistance and low mass matter differently. A large land animal needs disproportionately robust support. A microscopic aquatic organism lives in a world where viscosity dominates and coasting is nearly absent. The same

shape does not perform the same job at every size.

This is why convergent forms are informative. Sharks, extinct ichthyosaurs and dolphins arrived at streamlined bodies through different ancestries because moving quickly through water imposes recurring demands. Their resemblance identifies the problem; their internal differences preserve the route by which each lineage reached its answer.

Shape is anatomy viewed from outside, but it is also physiology, mechanics and history compressed into a silhouette.

Every Body Runs on Exchange

Animal metabolism is heterotrophic. The body must obtain organic matter made by other organisms, directly or through a chain of eaters. This dependence is easy to reduce to predation, but the operating problem is wider. Food must be found, captured or filtered, broken into absorbable units, moved to cells, combined with usable chemical reactions and balanced against the water, salts and wastes that come with living in a particular medium.

Sponges show the system at low centralisation. Flagellated cells drive water through chambers. Suspended particles are captured and digestion occurs largely within cells. Cnidarians and many flatworms use a gastrovascular cavity with one opening. In animals with a complete digestive tract, food passes through successive regions where mechanical processing, enzymes, microbes and absorptive surfaces can perform different tasks. A cow's fermentation chambers and a bird's crop and gizzard are lineage-specific elaborations of the same general move: control the conditions around food before distributing its products. In many species, resident microbes perform part of the chemical work. The host supplies habitat and food while its tissues regulate access and absorption; the partnership is consequential without being identical or obligatory across all animals.

Exchange begins at surfaces. Oxygen and carbon dioxide cross membranes by diffusion, moving down gradients. Diffusion is effective

across microscopic distances and punishing across large ones because travel time rises steeply with distance. Thin bodies, folds and branching structures keep active surfaces close to the medium. Gills expose a large area to water. Lungs bring air into protected internal surfaces. Insects deliver gases through tracheal tubes that branch towards tissues. None is a universal upgrade. Water carries less oxygen and is denser than air, but gills can extract dissolved gas without the water loss that exposed terrestrial surfaces would invite. Lungs suit air while remaining moist and internal.

Circulation extends exchange beyond the surface. In a closed system, blood remains within vessels and can be directed under pressure. In an open system, circulating fluid leaves vessels and bathes organs within body spaces. The names invite a ranking that the animals do not support. Many arthropods perform high levels of activity with open circulation, while their tracheal systems handle much of the oxygen delivery. A cephalopod combines closed circulation with gills and multiple hearts because its active way of life and molluscan body present a different set of demands.

Water is never background. Marine animals live in a medium full of salts, freshwater animals in one that tends to drive water inward, and land animals face continual loss to dry air. Osmoregulation keeps water and dissolved ions within workable ranges. Kidneys, nephridia, gills, salt glands and specialised epithelia are different pieces of this control. Nitrogenous wastes present another bargain. Ammonia is toxic but cheap to make and easy to dilute in abundant water. Urea costs energy but can be stored and excreted with less immediate danger. Uric acid conserves water further but costs more to produce.

Temperature alters reaction rates, membrane behaviour and oxygen demand. Many animals rely heavily on external heat and regulate by moving between sun, shade, water and shelter. Birds and mammals generate much of their body heat metabolically, which permits sustained activity across wider thermal conditions but demands fuel. The distinction between ectotherm and endotherm is a useful starting point, not two sealed boxes. Tuna warm parts of their bodies, insects can heat flight muscles, and

hibernating mammals let temperature and metabolism fall.

Every exchange system consumes space and energy. A large gut helps process poor food but adds mass. High-pressure circulation supplies active tissues but requires strong pumps and vessels. Water-saving excretion has chemical costs. Insulation conserves heat and can make cooling difficult. An animal's energy budget therefore records its entire way of life: maintenance first, then growth, movement, defence and reproduction from what remains.

The body is not a container filled with organs. It is a set of managed boundaries through which matter must keep moving in the right direction.

Movement Has a Price

Movement looks like freedom because the animal goes somewhere. Mechanically, it begins with constraint. A muscle can shorten and produce force, but useful motion requires something else to resist that force. A worm presses against fluid in its body and against the ground. An insect pulls on an exoskeleton and pushes air down with a wing. A fish bends against water. A human foot pushes backwards and down so the ground can push the body forwards and up.

Animal muscles use interactions among protein filaments, especially actin and myosin, to convert chemical energy into force. Those proteins have deep cellular histories beyond animals, but animal evolution organised contractile cells into tissues, attached them to supportive structures and placed them under control. Muscles often work in opposing sets because contraction pulls rather than pushes. Tendons, elastic proteins and stiff elements can store and return energy, turning a stride, wingbeat or jump into more than a sequence of fresh muscular efforts.

The medium sets the rules. Water supports weight but resists acceleration. Streamlining can reduce drag, fins redirect flow and flexible bodies generate thrust. Air offers less support, so flight demands lift sufficient to oppose weight while propulsion overcomes drag. Wings work by shaping and accelerating air, not by imitating a flat board held up from below. On land, gravity makes support relentless and friction becomes an ally. Limbs act as

levers, trading force, speed and range according to their proportions and muscle attachments.

At small scales, intuition fails. A swimming bacterium or tiny larva occupies a world dominated by viscosity. Stop producing thrust and it scarcely coasts. Time reversal can undo a symmetrical stroke, which is why microscopic swimmers need cycles of shape change that do not look the same backwards. At larger scales, inertia matters more and momentum can be stored, redirected or lost. The Reynolds number expresses this changing balance between inertial and viscous effects, though the lesson matters more than the formula: size changes the physics before it changes the animal's ambition.

Movement need not carry the whole body. A sponge moves water. A bivalve works cilia across gills and a muscular foot through sediment. A sea anemone contracts, bends and captures prey while remaining attached. Plants move too, so motion cannot define Animalia. Across many animal lineages, contractile tissue, rapid signalling and adjustable behaviour became tightly integrated. Other animals coordinate cilia, epithelial contraction and water flow without a central nervous system or conventional muscles. Movement is one animal capacity among several, not the kingdom's defining test.

The price arrives in fuel, heat, wear and risk. Fast muscle can generate power but fatigue sooner. Long tendons return energy yet may fail under repeated load. A hard shell protects and adds weight. Migration reaches seasonal food and exposes an animal to weather, predators and navigational error. A cheetah's acceleration, an albatross's soaring and a kangaroo's elastic hopping are different arrangements of the same accounts.

There are also reasons not to move. A fixed animal can occupy a favourable current, invest in filtration, grow a protective structure and let water deliver food or gametes. Sessility is not inactivity. It shifts what must move: the whole adult, its feeding apparatus, the surrounding medium, or a larval stage that settles later.

Locomotion therefore reveals the whole animal at once. Form supplies leverage, physiology supplies energy, senses supply timing, nerves supply coordination and development has to assemble the machine while it is alive. A footprint is the final mark of a system whose costs began long before the foot met the ground.

Sensing Turns Conditions into Action

There is no neutral world waiting to be recorded. There are wavelengths, chemicals, pressures, electric fields, temperatures and movements. A sensory receptor responds to a selected part of them and a nervous system, where present, turns that response into control. Each animal therefore inhabits an environment filtered by the equipment it carries.

A flower may present ultraviolet patterns to a bee that a human eye cannot see. A snake can detect infrared radiation through specialised pit organs. Many fishes sense water movement through the lateral line. Sharks and rays detect weak electric fields. Many bats and toothed whales extract spatial information from returning sound. These are not exotic additions to a shared picture. They are different pictures, built for different actions. The biologist Jakob von Uexküll called the perceptual world of an organism its Umwelt: the portion of surroundings that becomes meaningful to that animal.

Sensation without response would be expensive decoration. Receptors convert physical or chemical events into changes in cells. Networks compare, amplify, suppress and combine signals. Motor systems alter movement, posture, colour, secretion or internal state. Hormones can coordinate slower changes across the body. Animals without neurons still coordinate: sponges alter pumping and canal flow through cellular and chemical signals, while placozoans combine cilia, signalling peptides and contractile cells. A nervous system greatly extends the speed, reach and flexibility of control, but it is not the first possible control system.

The network need not have one command room. Cnidarians possess nerve nets with varying degrees of concentration. An octopus has a large share of its neurons in its arms, which can organise substantial local activity while

remaining part of the animal's wider control. Insects fit effective navigation, learning and action selection into small brains. Vertebrates centralise heavily, but even they rely on spinal circuits, enteric networks and local feedback. The brain is an important organ in many animals, not the definition of an animal and not the sole place where coordination occurs.

Complexity cannot be ranked by weighing brains. A larger body usually needs more neural tissue to control more muscle and process larger sensory surfaces. Neuron density and placement vary sharply among lineages. Some birds pack large numbers of forebrain neurons into compact skulls, while insects perform sophisticated tasks with far fewer. Performance also divides into problems: route learning, tool use, social inference, flexible foraging and motor control need not rise together. Intelligence is a profile before it is a score.

Behaviour grows from inherited organisation, development and experience. A spider does not attend engineering school before building a web, yet web construction changes with age, nutrition, damage and conditions. Young birds can possess biases for species-typical song while still needing exposure and practice. Bumblebees can learn an unusual task by watching trained companions and may discover more efficient actions. Calling a behaviour instinctive identifies a strong inherited contribution. It does not freeze the animal.

Information can also travel between animals. Signals alter the receiver in ways shaped by selection: a call, scent, posture, flash or vibration may change courtship, alarm or group movement. Other cues are informative without being sent for that purpose. Social learning can preserve local habits across generations, producing traditions and, under broader definitions, culture. Evidence exists in primates, cetaceans, birds, fishes and insects, though the word covers different mechanisms and levels of stability.

Sensing gives an animal options, but never complete knowledge. Every receptor has thresholds and noise. Every nervous system has limited time and energy. Attention is selection under pressure. The animal does not need a faithful model of everything. It needs enough of the right world, soon

enough, to act.

Development Rebuilds the Animal

An adult body can look like a finished object. It was never assembled from finished parts. In most animal life cycles, one cell divided, moved descendants relative to one another, changed which genes they used, folded sheets, opened cavities and built tissues while every stage remained alive. Budding and fragmentation start from more cells but still require organised development. Development is the process that makes animal form possible, and it is the route through which evolution must alter it.

Early divisions partition the embryo without immediately producing a miniature adult. During gastrulation in many animal lineages, cells change position and help establish internal layers and axes. Sponges and placozoans organise early development differently, so one textbook sequence cannot stand for the whole kingdom. Signals work by place and time: the same molecule can have different effects at different concentrations, in different tissues or at different developmental stages. Cells respond through gene-regulatory networks, and their responses change what signals they send next. Form emerges from interaction, not from one gene issuing a complete instruction set.

This matters because genes do not specify traits in isolation. A mutation affects a process embedded among other processes. Change an early signal and many structures may move together. Change a later, local control and one appendage or colour patch may alter with fewer side effects. Development therefore creates both evolvability and constraint. Repeated modules, branching programmes and conserved patterning systems give evolution workable pieces. Dependence among those pieces makes some imagined designs unreachable without breaking the route to adulthood.

Larvae show that one genome can build more than one animal way of life. A caterpillar is not a small butterfly. It is an eating stage with its own muscles, senses and behaviour. Metamorphosis reorganises the body into a dispersing and reproductive stage. A tadpole and frog divide aquatic feeding

and terrestrial movement differently. Marine larvae may drift and feed in plankton before settling into adults that crawl, burrow or remain fixed. Separating jobs across stages can reduce competition between young and adults, though many species use direct development and no universal larval pattern exists.

Conditions can redirect the programme. Temperature can influence developmental rate and, in some reptiles, sex determination. Food, crowding, predators and social signals can alter growth, morphology or timing in many animals. This developmental plasticity is neither limitless freedom nor genetic irrelevance. It is a reaction range produced by an inherited system. A phenotype is what the developmental process makes in a particular set of conditions.

Reproduction starts the construction again. Asexual reproduction can transmit a successful arrangement quickly, while sexual reproduction mixes inherited material and creates different costs and opportunities. The evolution of small mobile gametes and large resource-rich gametes produced a deep asymmetry between sperm and eggs, but it does not dictate one mating system, one parental role or one simple contest between strong males. Ecology, care, mortality, mate availability and social organisation can reverse familiar expectations.

Life histories allocate limited resources across growth, maintenance and reproduction. An animal may mature early and produce many offspring with little care, or delay reproduction and invest heavily in fewer. It may reproduce once or repeatedly. No strategy is best outside its conditions, and apparent waste can make sense when most young die for reasons no parent can control.

Development is the hidden continuity between egg and adult, anatomy and behaviour, inheritance and environment. Evolution changes animals by changing how animals build themselves.

There Is No Best Animal

The animal kingdom is often narrated as a competition whose winner should be obvious. Largest, fastest, cleverest, most numerous, most dominant. Each category selects its champion by changing the question. The deeper error is to imagine one scoreboard.

Fitness in evolution is success in leaving descendants within particular conditions. A body that performs well in one setting can be ruinous in another. Thick insulation saves heat and impedes cooling. A shell resists attack and taxes movement. A large brain can support flexible control and consumes energy, develops slowly and demands protection. Flight opens three-dimensional space while limiting mass and imposing costly muscles. Parasitic animals often discard structures because a host supplies the missing function. Loss can be an adaptation when ownership costs more than dependence.

Trade-offs reach through time. Growing faster may raise present risk. Producing more young may reduce investment in each. Long life requires repair and maintenance that cannot be spent elsewhere. Sexual display can win mates while attracting predators. Immune defence can control infection and damage the animal's own tissues. Selection does not choose the option that is good in every column. It can preserve combinations whose net consequences permit reproduction under the pressures that exist, while chance and inherited constraint still influence which combinations are available.

The combination can extend beyond one body. Corals consist of repeated polyps linked within colonies and associated with microbial partners. Siphonophores are colonies of specialised zooids so integrated that some feed, some reproduce and some provide propulsion. Eusocial insects divide labour among reproductive and largely non-reproductive members. These cases do not erase the individual. They reveal several candidates for it: cell, module, organism, colony and lineage. The useful unit depends on the process being explained.

Animals also alter the conditions against which later animals are tested. Burrowers mix sediment and change oxygen penetration. Reef builders create shelter and feeding surfaces. Grazers alter vegetation. Predators change prey behaviour as well as prey numbers. Such ecosystem engineering belongs primarily to ecology, but its bodily source matters here. Teeth, tubes, tunnels, mounds and movement turn an animal's way of making a living into part of another animal's world.

Humans have pushed that effect to planetary scale. By one global estimate, the wet biomass of livestock is tens of times greater than that of wild land mammals, while humans themselves also outweigh the remaining wild terrestrial total. The figures are modelled, incomplete and metric-specific. Their message survives the uncertainty: evolutionary success and ecological freedom are no longer distributed independently of human demand.

Return now to the first idea. Animal multicellularity created integrated bodies whose specialised cells could sense, move, feed and act at scales impossible for an isolated cell. The same dependence created the bills. Tissues need transport. Movement needs energy and support. Rapid sensing needs excitable cells and control. Development must rebuild the arrangement from an egg, bud or propagule. Repair must suppress internal cheating. Reproduction must carry the lineage through a narrow opening into the future.

There is no final design because the demands conflict and the setting keeps changing. The kingdom works through plurality. Each animal is a temporary settlement among physics, ecology, inheritance and development, good enough to keep its particular lineage in the argument.

How It Actually Works

Before a body

Long before there was an animal mouth, nerve or muscle, the cellular pieces from which such structures could evolve were already in use. The closest living relatives of animals include choanoflagellates, organisms whose single cells often carry one beating flagellum surrounded by a collar of microvilli. The flagellum drives water and the collar helps capture bacteria. Sponge feeding cells look strikingly similar, though neither living group should be mistaken for the other group's ancestor.

Genomes make the deeper connection. Unicellular relatives possess versions of adhesion proteins, signalling enzymes and transcription factors once assumed to belong only to animals. In a single cell, these molecules can attach to a surface, detect prey, alter shape or coordinate stages of a life cycle. Animal ancestors did not need to invent communication from silence. They changed what existing cellular conversations were for.

Some choanoflagellates can live alone or form organised colonies. In *Salpingoeca rosetta*, daughter cells remain attached after division and build rosette-shaped groups under particular environmental signals, including compounds produced by bacteria. This is not a surviving rehearsal of the first animal. It demonstrates that regulated clonal multicellularity can arise from a life cycle in which cells already switch forms, adhere and respond to other organisms.

The first integration

The transition to an animal required more than cells staying together. A clump can be multicellular without becoming a body. The new lineage had to control which cells divided, where they sat, what jobs they performed and how the whole arrangement reproduced. Clonal development helped because neighbouring cells were close genetic relatives, reducing some conflicts over shared investment. Selection could then favour cooperation among cells when the reproducing unit was increasingly the group they built.

The precise route is lost. The last common ancestor of living animals left no labelled specimen, and modern lineages have all changed since they separated. It may have alternated between solitary and multicellular stages. It may have fed with collar cells and produced other cell forms at different points in its cycle. Current comparisons support a gradual assembly of regulatory capabilities, but they do not deliver one photographed sequence from colony to animal.

What emerged was development: repeated division from one founding cell, followed by reliable differentiation and spatial organisation. Once some cells specialised in movement, feeding, protection or reproduction, integration could deepen. The body became more effective than its parts and the parts became less able to leave.

A reproductive bottleneck strengthened that arrangement. When each new body begins from one cell or a small propagule, mutations arising among somatic cells are less likely to pass into the next generation. Development repeatedly rebuilds membership: cells arise in particular positions, receive signals that alter their state and may be removed when damaged or no longer needed. The first animal lineage did not abolish conflict among cells. It made cooperation reproducible enough for selection to act on the body they built.

The Ediacaran experiment

The Ediacaran Period, from roughly 635 to 539 million years ago, contains the first widely accepted record of large, complex organisms and some of the earliest widely accepted animal evidence. Much of that world looks unfamiliar. Fossil surfaces preserve quilted discs, fronds, tubes and creeping forms with few obvious heads, limbs or shells. Some may sit near known animal branches. Others may represent extinct constructions with no close living counterpart.

Dickinsonia is a flattened, ribbed fossil that could grow far larger than most earlier organisms. Repeated impressions and disturbed surfaces support movement and external feeding, while organic films have yielded sterane

patterns consistent with an animal affinity. Biomarkers remain vulnerable to contamination and alternative source interpretations, so they cannot settle classification alone. Combined with trace and body evidence, they support the presence of soft-bodied animals before the familiar Cambrian record filled with tracks, teeth and armour.

Molecular-clock studies often place the origin and early branching of animals within the Ediacaran, before many crown groups become clear as fossils. Their dates depend on evolutionary models and fossil calibrations, so ranges matter more than one birthday. The broad sequence is defensible: animal lineages began separating before hard parts made their history easy to see.

Late Ediacaran sediments also preserve simple burrows and tubular skeletons. Each example is debated in detail, but together they suggest that animals were beginning to enter sediments, build resistant structures and interact in new ways before the formal start of the Cambrian. The ecological transition crosses the period boundary rather than obeying it.

The Cambrian expansion

Around the start of the Cambrian, about 539 million years ago, the record changes sharply. Trace fossils become more varied. Animals burrow more deeply, move across sediment, attack hard surfaces and leave complex tracks. Small shells and spines appear, followed by larger mineralised skeletons. Exceptional deposits preserve eyes, guts, limbs and soft tissues that ordinary fossilisation would erase.

The phrase Cambrian explosion is useful if explosion means a geologically rapid expansion across tens of millions of years. It misleads if it suggests that every major animal lineage appeared in one moment. Genetic divergences began earlier, many fossils belong to stem groups outside the living crowns, and preservation improved when bodies acquired hard parts and sediments captured them unusually well.

Several causes probably interacted. More oxygen may have permitted higher activity in some settings, but oxygen alone does not explain why

bodies took particular forms. Developmental systems had accumulated. Predation rewarded armour, speed, concealment and better senses. Burrowing opened new habitats and changed seafloor chemistry. Larger animals created ecological opportunities for parasites, scavengers and specialists. The expansion was biological and ecological at once: animals became important parts of one another's environment.

Sites such as Chengjiang in China and the Burgess Shale in Canada preserve communities with eyes, jointed limbs, grasping appendages and recognisable guts. They also preserve experimental forms that do not fit neatly into living groups. Many are best understood as stem relatives: closer to a modern branch than to others, but outside the crown containing all its living members. The Cambrian record is therefore neither a zoo of modern phyla nor a collection of evolutionary failures. It is a view into lineages while their defining combinations were still being assembled.

A front end, a gut and deep branches

Most named animal species belong to Bilateria, the broad lineage whose members have a front-back axis, left and right sides, and usually three main embryonic germ layers. The ancestral bilaterian was probably small, soft-bodied and far less specialised than its descendants. Its organisational package still mattered. Directed movement could favour concentration of sensors at the leading end. Mesoderm supplied new possibilities for muscle and internal tissues. A gut could separate feeding from the external surface.

Bilaterians are often divided into protostomes and deuterostomes. Arthropods, molluscs, annelids, roundworms and many other invertebrates fall on the protostome side. Echinoderms and chordates, including vertebrates, have long been grouped as deuterostomes, although recent genomic analyses question whether that grouping is one true branch. Small, mostly worm-like xenacoelomorphs also matter because their position near the bilaterian root remains contested. The old classroom distinction based on what the embryo's first opening becomes does not classify every member cleanly. Modern relationships are tested with anatomical, developmental and genomic characters together.

The tree also corrects visual bias. A beetle and an octopus occupy separate protostome branches with an ancient split between them. A starfish adult looks radial, yet its bilateral larva and ancestry place it among bilaterians. Adult appearance is one frame of a developmental film, and classification follows the lineage through the whole film.

The major living constructions

The deepest living branches show how little one diagram can say about the ancestral animal. Sponges build canal systems around cells that drive water and capture particles. Comb jellies swim with rows of fused cilia called comb plates and catch prey with sticky cells in most species. They possess muscles and a nervous system arranged unlike the familiar vertebrate pattern. Which of these two lineages separated first remains one of zoology's hardest phylogenetic questions.

Placozoans are tiny, flattened animals that glide on cilia and digest food against an external surface. Cnidarians include corals, sea anemones and jellyfishes. Their defining stinging cells, cnidocytes, fire specialised capsules used in prey capture and defence. Many alternate between attached polyp and swimming medusa forms, although entire groups modify or omit one stage. Their bodies are organised around a gut cavity and an oral axis rather than a bilaterian head and rear.

Bilateria contains most of the forms people picture as animals and most of the named species. One great protostome branch, Ecdysozoa, includes arthropods, roundworms and other animals that moult an outer covering. Another, Spiralia, includes molluscs, annelids, flatworms, brachiopods and many smaller lineages with varied developmental patterns. Echinoderms, hemichordates and chordates make up the traditional deuterostome grouping, whose exact status remains under active test. Molecular data clarified many relationships that adult anatomy had hidden and reopened others once treated as settled.

None of the living branches is an untouched model of the first animal. Sponges have had the same span of evolutionary time as mammals. Comb

jellies are specialised predators, placozoans have their own derived biology, and every bilaterian is the endpoint of a long independent history. Early branching means a lineage separated early. It does not mean its living members stopped changing.

Bodies begin changing the planet

Early animals did more than occupy environments. They reworked them. Burrowing mixed sediment, carried oxygen below the surface and disrupted the microbial mats that had covered much of the seabed. Filter feeders redirected suspended particles into bodies and waste. Reef builders created hard, uneven structures in a world that had offered fewer vertical surfaces. Predators turned another animal's tissue into a concentrated resource worth detecting and defending.

Hard parts evolved in different materials and for different jobs. Calcium carbonate, calcium phosphate, silica and chitin entered shells, spicules, teeth, armour and support. Mineralisation can resist compression and attack, provide muscle attachment and preserve a body after death. It also requires raw materials, control of chemistry and a plan for growth. Arthropods periodically shed an external skeleton. Vertebrates remodel internal bone. Molluscs add shell at a growing edge. Similar protection produces different maintenance problems.

Competition and predation did not create every innovation, but they changed the value of many. An eye matters more in a world where something can approach quickly. Speed matters more when prey can flee. A burrow becomes shelter and an attack route. Each improvement changes the field for other bodies, producing escalation without any final winner.

Leaving water

Animal life began in water, and every cell still operates in a watery chemical setting. Moving onto land meant carrying or protecting that setting while facing gravity, drying and wider temperature swings. It happened repeatedly rather than through one march ashore.

Arthropod lineages established themselves on land early, with jointed limbs, resistant outer coverings and air-breathing structures altered in different groups. Molluscs, annelids and several smaller lineages also made terrestrial transitions. Vertebrate limbs evolved from paired fins within one branch of fishes, and early tetrapods combined aquatic and terrestrial features before later lineages became less dependent on water for locomotion and reproduction.

Eggs posed a severe problem. Many terrestrial animals still return to water or damp places for vulnerable stages. Arthropods evolved internal fertilisation and resistant coverings in several forms. The amniotic egg placed the embryo within membranes that manage protection, gas exchange and waste, helping reptiles, birds and mammals reproduce away from open water. In therian mammals, pregnancy reworks the same inherited extra-embryonic membranes inside the mother; monotremes retain egg-laying. Mammals did not escape the amniote inheritance.

Flight evolved independently in insects, pterosaurs, birds and bats. Each route required a body light and strong enough, power sufficient, sensory control and a surface able to manipulate air. The wings are not variations on one ancestral wing. They show how one physical problem can be reached through different developmental materials.

Reinvention, return and loss

Evolutionary history does not run in one direction. Some descendants of land vertebrates returned to water. Whales transformed forelimbs into flippers, lost external hind limbs and moved propulsion to the tail. Penguins use wings as underwater foils. Seals retain four limbs and divide their lives between sea and land. Similar environments pull bodies towards comparable performance while ancestry keeps the mechanisms distinct.

Other lineages abandon expensive capacities. Flightless birds reduce flight structures when the benefits fall. Cave animals may lose eyes or pigment. Parasites can discard guts, limbs or sensory equipment when a host supplies transport, food and protection. Adult barnacles surrender free

movement after a mobile larva finds a surface. Loss is not reversal towards inferiority. It is subtraction under a different budget.

Colonial integration creates another route. Coral polyps clone themselves and remain connected. Bryozoans build colonies from repeated zooids. Siphonophores divide tasks among modified zooids that cannot perform the whole life alone. Multicellularity, modularity and sociality blur into one another because repeated selection can stabilise cooperation at more than one biological level.

Behaviour joins the inheritance

Genes and bodies are not the only things that persist. A young animal can acquire information from parents, peers or the traces others leave. Migratory routes, foraging techniques, songs and tool use may pass socially. The transmission can last one encounter or many generations, and researchers use stricter or looser definitions of culture depending on the question.

The important shift is causal. A learned behaviour can alter survival and reproduction, changing which bodies and learning biases are favoured later. It can also reshape the environment faster than genes change. Birds learning local foraging methods, whales using local feeding tactics and fishes following experienced leaders show that animal populations may differ because of what members learn as well as what they inherit genetically.

This does not make every copied action culture or every tradition intelligent planning. It makes behaviour part of the historical system. An animal receives genes, a developing body, an environment modified by earlier animals and sometimes information carried by other minds.

From ladder to tree

Human classification took time to catch up with this history. Aristotle grouped animals by observed anatomy and ways of life, distinguishing blooded from bloodless forms and describing development with unusual care. His categories were not modern taxa, but he treated animals as bodies to compare rather than symbols to arrange.

Linnaeus gave species standard two-part names and placed them within nested ranks. The system made description portable, even while the ranks were treated as fixed creations. Comparative anatomists then recognised deep organisations beneath superficial resemblance. Georges Cuvier divided animals into several fundamental plans and used correlated anatomy to reconstruct extinct forms. He rejected evolution, yet his insistence that organs work as an integrated system helped make functional comparison rigorous.

Darwin changed what classification was meant to recover. If species share descent, a natural grouping should express genealogy rather than one chosen feature. Later embryology and microscopic anatomy revealed homologies that adults conceal. In the late twentieth century, comparisons of DNA and protein sequences redrew several major branches. Moulting animals were united as Ecdysozoa; many groups once scattered among simple worms found places within Spiralia; apparent complexity no longer decided who belonged near whom.

Modern zoology therefore uses several kinds of character at once. A skeleton can converge under similar mechanics. An embryonic feature can be modified or lost. A gene sequence can accumulate misleading similarities when lineages evolve at different rates. Strong trees explain the widest agreement among anatomy, development, genomes and fossils while remaining revisable at difficult nodes. The kingdom became intelligible when ranking gave way to relationship.

The age of one animal

Humans have rearranged the membership and abundance of the animal kingdom at a scale visible across the planet. Domestication concentrated selected bodies around settlements and later industrial systems. Hunting, fishing, habitat conversion, pollution, transport and climate change removed or displaced others. Conservation created refuges and recoveries, but the overall distribution remains heavily filtered by human value.

Global biomass estimates make the distortion visible. Livestock now outweigh wild land mammals by a large multiple on a wet-mass basis, and humans themselves exceed the estimated total for wild terrestrial mammals. Such calculations omit poorly measured species and vary by method. They still reveal a world in which the wet biomass of large land mammals is dominated by animals bred for human use.

The change reaches small bodies too. Artificial light alters nocturnal behaviour. Roads divide movement. Ships and trade move species between continents. Pesticides and veterinary drugs travel through food webs. Cities create warm, vertical, food-rich habitats in which some animals flourish. The animal kingdom has not stopped evolving. Many lineages now face a dominant environmental pressure with intentions, markets and laws.

How we know

Animal history is reconstructed from evidence that fails in different ways. Fossils favour hard parts, rapid burial and particular sediments, so soft animals and ordinary habitats are easily lost. Trace fossils record behaviour without always naming the maker. Chemical residues can support an affinity while remaining vulnerable to contamination or alternative sources.

Comparative anatomy and embryology reveal shared structures and developmental sequences. Genomes recover inherited relationships, but deep branches can move when researchers change species sampling, sequence models or the characters analysed. The deepest split among living animals remains disputed. Sequence analyses and chromosome-scale comparisons have supported different roots. A 2023

linkage study favoured comb jellies, but a 2025 reanalysis challenged the statistical strength of part of that evidence. A separate 2025 sponge-root paper was retracted in 2026 after analytical errors. Other phylogenomic methods still recover sponges. The branch remains open.

Molecular clocks estimate divergences by combining genetic change with fossil calibrations. Their ranges depend on rate models and the fossils admitted. Experiments on living animals and unicellular relatives test adhesion, signalling, development, biomechanics, sensation and learning, but no modern species is a preserved ancestor.

Confidence comes from convergence. Fossils, molecules, development, anatomy and observed function often constrain the same account from different directions. Where they do not, the branch should stay open.

What People Get Wrong

"Animals are the creatures with backbones"

Vertebrates dominate zoos, documentaries and children's books because they are large enough to film, expressive in familiar ways and disproportionately close to us. Taxonomy tells a different story. Vertebrata is one branch within chordates, and chordates are one branch within Bilateria. Their traditional grouping with echinoderms and hemichordates as deuterostomes is itself under active genomic test. Arthropods, molluscs, annelids, roundworms and many smaller lineages occupy far more of the animal tree.

The word invertebrate helps with storage and little else. It means every animal lacking vertebrae, so it groups an octopus with a sponge and a butterfly by the fact that none is a horse. These animals do not share one alternative body plan. They include exoskeletons, shells, hydrostatic skeletons, nerve nets, distributed brains, open and closed circulations, complete guts and no guts.

The correction matters because vertebrate biology cannot stand in for

zoology. Begin with mammals and lungs, bones, blood and central brains look like the standard equipment. Begin with the kingdom and they become one package among many. The animal archetype is a sampling error with good publicity.

"Evolution climbs towards the perfect animal"

The ladder is easy to draw and difficult to kill. Fossil displays move through time, bodies with more parts look more advanced, and human beings place themselves at the top. Even the language of higher and lower animals turns branching ancestry into rank.

Animal evolution has no fixed destination. Lineages split and face different conditions. Some gain structures; others lose them. Snakes reduced limbs, whales lost external hind limbs, parasites abandoned organs supplied by hosts, and many birds surrendered flight. None moved backwards. Each changed the balance of costs and benefits within its own way of life.

Early-branching animals are not living ancestors or failed drafts. Modern sponges have evolved for as long as modern humans. Their organisation remains effective because filtering water while fixed to a surface is a viable life, not because evolution forgot to finish them.

The correction changes every comparison. Complexity, size, speed and cognition can each be useful, and each carries bills. Natural selection can improve local fit without producing universal improvement. A tree has many surviving tips and no biological top.

"A bigger brain means a cleverer animal"

Brain size correlates partly with body size because larger bodies have more sensory input and muscle to control. Raw mass therefore confuses control overhead with cognitive capacity. Correcting for body size helps, but it still leaves differences in neuron density, connectivity, region size, sensory specialisation and the tasks an animal has evolved to solve.

Birds demonstrate the problem. Some pack primate-like numbers of forebrain neurons into much smaller brains. Insects use tiny nervous

systems for navigation, category learning and flexible foraging. An octopus distributes much neural processing through its arms. None of this proves that one group has a hidden general intelligence score equal to another. It shows that tissue can be organised differently.

Within one species or among close relatives, carefully matched measures of relevant neural structures can sometimes relate to performance. Such local evidence cannot be carried across distant lineages without controlling for body, task and organisation.

The myth persists because one number is convenient and human tests are easier to imagine than an animal's own problems. Yet memory for caches, control of a flexible arm, echolocation and social inference are not interchangeable examinations.

The correction is to ask what information is represented, how it guides action and how flexibly the system copes with novelty. Brain size is evidence about a body. It is not a league table of minds.

"Instinct means behaviour is fixed"

A behaviour may appear without formal teaching and still depend on development, practice and conditions. Genes help construct sensory biases, muscles, motivational systems and learning rules. They do not drop a complete action into an animal untouched by temperature, nutrition, social experience or use.

Birdsong gives the clean case. Some species possess inherited preferences for their own song and a sensitive period for learning, yet exposure and rehearsal shape the adult result. Spider webs have strong species-typical organisation while changing with age, hunger, damage and available space. Predators can induce prey to alter body form as well as behaviour. Bumblebees can learn unfamiliar tasks from other bees.

The fixed-instinct model survives because the first competent performance looks miraculous. It also offers a neat split: animals follow programmes, humans learn. Biology supplies gradients instead. Some actions are tightly

canalised, some broadly plastic, and many combine prepared attention with experience.

This matters whenever environments change. An inherited bias can guide an animal towards useful learning, or trap it when a new cue resembles an old one. Behaviour develops, just as limbs and eyes do.

"Nature is a permanent war of each against all"

Predation, competition, coercion and parasitism are real. They are also memorable. A chase produces better footage than millions of cells maintaining a gut lining or social insects feeding larvae. The spectacle encourages a view in which cooperation must be sentimental camouflage.

An animal body is already a vast cooperative system among cells. Multicellularity persists because controls align enough cellular activity with the reproduction of the whole. Beyond the body, relatives may help one another because they share inherited variants. Unrelated animals can exchange benefits when repeated interaction, partner choice or immediate trade makes cooperation stable. Groups can hunt, defend, rear young or transmit information together.

None of this turns nature into harmony. Cooperation creates new conflicts over contribution and reward. A worker can cheat, a symbiont can become costly, and allies can compete when conditions change. Selection explains both alignment and breakdown by asking whose reproduction is affected and over what timescale.

The correction replaces a slogan with an accounting problem. Conflict and cooperation are outcomes of relatedness, dependence, control, ecology and repeated interaction. Nature contains war because living things have competing interests. It also contains bodies because those interests can be organised.

"The strongest male gets the females"

Direct combat is one route through sexual selection, not its definition. Reproductive success can depend on attracting mates, being chosen, finding them first, guarding them, transferring sperm effectively, providing care or surviving long enough to try again. Competition can continue after mating through sperm, female physiology and cryptic choice.

The cartoon comes from conspicuous mammals with large males and visible contests. It then recruits anisogamy, the difference between small sperm and large eggs, as if that one asymmetry writes every sex role. Egg production often raises minimum investment, but ecology can alter everything built above it. Where males provide scarce care, females may compete more intensely. In many species both sexes choose, compete and care. Some animals change sex during life, reproduce without males or combine male and female functions.

Strength itself is task-specific. A stag may win a contest and lose through injury, poor timing or female avoidance. Ornament can signal condition while impairing escape. Coercion may increase one mating and reduce later survival or access.

The correction matters because sexual selection is about variance in reproduction, not a morality play starring the biggest body. Ask which stage limits success, who controls it and what it costs.

"An animal is always one individual"

A mammal makes individuality look obvious: one skin, one brain, one birth, one death. Modular animals expose the hidden criteria. A coral colony grows by cloning polyps that remain connected. A siphonophore develops specialised zooids for feeding, defence, propulsion and reproduction. Separating one unit may be closer to removing an organ than releasing an independent animal.

Eusocial colonies pose a different problem. An ant worker is anatomically complete and can act alone, yet much colony reproduction passes through

queens and males while workers divide labour, regulate temperature and defend a shared nest. Calling the colony a superorganism can illuminate coordination, but it should not erase conflicts among members or the physiological boundaries of each ant.

Even ordinary animals contain genetically distinct cell lineages, microbial partners and sometimes fused tissues. That does not make every host merely a committee. It shows that individuality depends on the question. Physiological integration, developmental origin, immune boundary, reproductive bottleneck and shared evolutionary fate may point to different units.

The myth persists because language, law and perception demand countable bodies. Biology often does not. The correction is to state which individual you mean before explaining what it does.

Use It

Start with the problem, not the label

When you meet an unfamiliar animal, naming it is useful and rarely explanatory. Begin with the job its body has to perform. Where does food come from? What threatens it? Which part meets the environment first? Does it need to travel, attach, burrow, filter, ambush or wait?

A feathery structure might be a gill, a feeding fan, a sensory organ or several at once. A hard case might resist predators, prevent drying, support muscle or protect an immobile stage. Function cannot be read from resemblance alone, but the problem narrows the possibilities and tells you what evidence to seek.

This lens also prevents the animal from becoming a bundle of adaptations with no life around them. A feature has consequences only inside a schedule of feeding, growth, reproduction and risk. Ask what the body does for most of its time, not what it did during the ten seconds that made the photograph.

Follow the flows

Draw an imaginary line through the animal and track what crosses it. Food enters, is processed and leaves as waste. Oxygen reaches active cells and carbon dioxide departs. Water and ions move according to gradients the animal must manage. Heat is gained, generated or lost. Signals travel from receptors to effectors. Force passes through muscles, skeleton and surroundings.

Then ask where distance becomes expensive. A thin body may let diffusion do much of the work. A thick or active one needs folded surfaces and internal transport. A branching gut, gill, tracheal system or blood vessel network is a map of a delivery problem. Dead ends, bottlenecks and damaged surfaces reveal likely failure points.

The method works across lineages because it does not assume familiar organs. A sponge and a mammal route the same categories of material through bodies built on different scales. Follow the flow and the anatomy begins to explain itself.

Change the scale and the medium

Before judging a design, resize it in your mind. A hair-thin aquatic larva, an ant, an eagle and an elephant do not inhabit the same physics. Surface area, volume, mass, leverage, viscosity, inertia and heat transfer change at different rates. A structure that works at one size cannot always be enlarged by keeping the proportions.

Then move it between water, air and land. Water supports weight and resists motion. Air dries exchange surfaces and makes flight possible. Land supplies friction and demands support against gravity. A gill removed from water may collapse or lose too much moisture. A massive land skeleton would be dead weight in a small drifting organism.

This lens blocks two bad questions: why every animal did not evolve the feature you admire, and why an apparently simple body did not become larger. The answer is often that the feature's mechanics belong to a scale

and setting, not to animals in general.

Ask four different why questions

The ethologist Niko Tinbergen separated four kinds of biological explanation. What mechanism produces the behaviour now? How does it develop during the animal's life? What consequence has helped maintain it through reproduction? How did the trait arise and change in the lineage's history?

Take birdsong. Airflow, muscles and neural circuits explain production. Exposure, practice and maturation explain development. Territory or mate attraction may explain reproductive consequences in a species. Comparison among relatives explains historical change. None of these answers cancels the others, and one cannot substitute for all four.

The same discipline applies beyond behaviour. A shell needs a material mechanism, a developmental route, a functional account and an evolutionary history. Confusion begins when a useful consequence is mistaken for conscious purpose, or when a current mechanism is treated as the reason the trait was historically favoured. Four questions turn one loose why into four testable problems.

When two explanations answer different questions, they can both be true. Many disputes begin by treating them as rivals.

Treat behaviour as part of the body

An animal's design extends into what it does. A hermit crab's shell, a spider's web, a beaver's dam and a bird's nest change protection, movement, temperature or reproduction without becoming genetically specified organs. Learned routes and social traditions can change which resources a body can reach. Posture and timing can make the same anatomy perform differently.

This does not mean assigning human motives wherever movement looks clever. Begin with observable choices, cues and consequences. Ask what alternatives the animal had, what information could be detected, how

experience altered later action and whether the result generalises beyond one setting.

The lens is especially useful when anatomy looks inadequate. A small ectotherm can regulate temperature by moving between sun and shade. Prey can survive by freezing, grouping or choosing a safer hour. Behaviour often supplies the fastest adjustment available, while physiology and development set the range within which that adjustment works.

Look for the bill

Every impressive capacity is attached to costs, constraints and lost alternatives. Armour restricts flexibility or adds material. High activity requires food, oxygen delivery and cooling. Large eggs contain resources that cannot be placed in other eggs. Long development delays reproduction. Social living spreads information and disease. A broad diet may sacrifice the efficiency of a specialist.

Do not assume that finding a cost disproves an adaptation. The question is whether the whole package pays under the conditions that matter. Nor should every awkward feature be excused as a trade-off without evidence. History, developmental constraint and random change can leave traits that are good enough rather than finely balanced.

The most revealing comparison is often between close relatives facing different bills. Why did one lineage retain flight while another lost it? Why does one parent guard young while another produces more and leaves? The difference may expose the scarce resource or dominant risk more clearly than either animal viewed alone.

The limits

This operating model compresses a kingdom whose deepest branches, developmental systems and sensory lives remain active research. It cannot turn a few examples into universal rules. Many animals are known from preserved specimens, brief field observations or laboratory conditions that remove the pressures shaping them outside. Small, marine, nocturnal, parasitic and deep-sea species are especially easy to neglect, while mammals and birds receive attention far beyond their share of the tree.

Mechanism also has moral limits. Knowing how nociceptors, learning or avoidance work does not by itself settle which animals are conscious, how intense an experience is or what treatment is justified. Similar behaviour can arise through different internal processes, and different bodies may express comparable states in unfamiliar ways. Caution should prevent both careless human projection and the assumption that absence of a human-like signal means absence of experience.

The model is strongest at exposing questions: what is integrated, what flows, what senses, what develops and what is paid. It does not guarantee that the answer is known.

The one thing to keep

Stop looking for the standard animal.

There is no central specimen from which a sponge is a subtraction, an insect a miniature and a whale an enlargement. Each living animal is a complete present-day outcome of its own branch, built from inherited materials under particular physical and ecological conditions. The similarities matter because they reveal common ancestry and recurring problems. The differences matter because no single solution can satisfy every demand.

So when an animal seems strange, reverse the judgement. The strangeness is evidence that your template was narrow. Ask how its cells became one body, how shape routes food and gases, what supports

movement, which part of the surroundings it can detect, how development builds the adult, what behaviour extends the anatomy and which bill the whole arrangement accepts.

That shift permanently changes the kingdom. A sponge becomes an active water system. A caterpillar becomes one phase of a body designed to change jobs. A bee's small brain ceases to be a failed mammalian brain. A coral colony makes individuality a question rather than a count.

The animal kingdom is not a ranking of creatures. It is the continuing record of cells becoming integrated into bodies that can act, and of those bodies persisting through different workable compromises.

Terms

Animalia

The evolutionary kingdom containing animals. Membership is established by common descent, supported through anatomy, development and genomes, rather than by one visible feature such as movement, a mouth or a backbone. The name carries a historical claim as well as a classification.

Metazoan

Another word for an animal, used especially in evolutionary and developmental biology. Metazoa and Animalia usually refer to the same lineage, though older classifications sometimes applied the terms differently.

Choanoflagellate

A single-celled or colonial eukaryote from the closest living sister lineage to animals. Its collar-and-flagellum feeding apparatus and molecular toolkit illuminate cellular conditions before animal multicellularity.

Tissue

An organised group of cells and extracellular material performing related functions. Muscle, nervous tissue and epithelia show how specialised cells become coordinated components of a larger animal body.

Epithelium

A sheet of closely joined cells covering a surface or lining a compartment. Epithelia control exchange, separation, protection and signalling across the body's busiest boundaries.

Extracellular matrix

The network of proteins and other molecules outside cells that provides support, attachment and signals. Collagen and cell-matrix receptors help animal tissues maintain form while changing and repairing.

Body plan

The broad organisation of an animal's axes, tissues, cavities, segments and appendages. It describes a developmental architecture, not a rigid blueprint or one ideal adult shape. Comparisons work best when they include embryos and close relatives.

Symmetry

A pattern in which body parts correspond across an axis or around a centre. Symmetry affects movement, sensing and orientation, but living animals often modify the textbook pattern.

Radial symmetry

Organisation around a central or oral-aboral axis, so several planes can produce comparable sections. It suits bodies that meet food or threat from many surrounding directions.

Bilateral symmetry

Organisation with left and right sides around a front-back and top-bottom set of axes. Directed movement often accompanies a leading end where sensors and feeding structures concentrate.

Cephalisation

The evolutionary concentration of sensory organs, nervous tissue and feeding structures near the front of a moving animal. It occurs to different degrees and is not universal among bilaterians.

Germ layer

An embryonic tissue domain established early in development. Ectoderm, endoderm and, in many animals, mesoderm contribute to different adult structures through lineage-specific developmental routes.

Gastrulation

The developmental movements and signalling events that reorganise an early embryo and establish internal layers and axes. It converts a growing cell population into the beginnings of a body plan.

Segmentation

The repetition of units along a body axis. Segments can remain similar or become specialised, giving evolution modular parts to alter while preserving much of the remaining organisation.

Coelom

A fluid-filled body cavity fully lined by tissue derived from mesoderm. It can separate organs from the body wall, permit movement and contribute to hydrostatic support.

Hydrostatic skeleton

A support system in which muscles act against pressurised, nearly incompressible fluid. Worms, cnidarians and soft appendages use controlled shape change to transmit force without rigid bones.

Exoskeleton

A supportive or protective structure outside the living tissues. Arthropod cuticle provides muscle attachment and armour but must accommodate joints, repair and growth through moulting.

Endoskeleton

An internal supportive framework, such as vertebrate bone or echinoderm plates. It can grow with the body and provide leverage while demanding maintenance, joints and controlled material deposition.

Metabolism

The linked chemical reactions that transform matter and energy within an organism. Metabolism supplies maintenance, movement, growth and reproduction while releasing heat and producing wastes that must be managed.

Diffusion

Net movement of particles down a concentration gradient through random molecular motion. It is effective across short distances, which is why large or active bodies need folded surfaces and transport systems.

Respiration

A term used in two related senses: cellular reactions that release usable energy from fuel, and organism-level gas exchange that supplies oxygen and removes carbon dioxide. Gills, lungs, skin and tracheae handle gas exchange, not the whole biochemical process.

Circulation

The bulk movement of fluid around a body to transport gases, nutrients, wastes, hormones and heat. Closed and open systems organise pressure and contact with tissues differently.

Osmoregulation

Control of water and dissolved-ion balance despite movement across body surfaces. Marine, freshwater and terrestrial animals face different gradients and use specialised epithelia and excretory organs to manage them.

Homeostasis

Regulation that keeps selected internal variables within workable ranges as conditions change. It is dynamic control through sensing and response, not perfect constancy or one fixed value.

Neuron

An excitable cell specialised for receiving, processing and transmitting signals. Neurons communicate through electrical changes and chemical or electrical synapses, forming networks that connect sensation, internal state and action.

Nervous system

The organised network of neurons and associated cells within an animal. It may be diffuse, locally concentrated or heavily centralised, and a brain is only one possible component.

Phenotype

The observable and measurable characteristics produced by a genotype developing in particular conditions. Anatomy, physiology and behaviour are phenotypic, so environment and experience can alter inherited potentials.

Developmental plasticity

The capacity of one genotype to produce different phenotypes under different conditions. Plasticity can adjust form, timing or behaviour, but its range and costs are themselves evolved properties.

Metamorphosis

A major developmental reorganisation between life stages, often separating feeding, dispersal and reproduction. Caterpillars and butterflies are one genome expressed through two sharply different operating bodies.

Life history

The schedule of growth, maturation, reproduction, care and death across an organism's life. Life-history strategies express trade-offs among present survival, offspring number, investment and future reproduction. Mortality at each stage changes which schedule pays.

Go Deeper

The overview

Peter Holland, *The Animal Kingdom: A Very Short Introduction* (Oxford University Press, 2011). This is the cleanest next map: short, authoritative and organised around animal relationships rather than a celebrity-species parade. Holland moves from the problem of defining an animal through the major branches, body construction and the place of vertebrates. It predates the present root dispute and newer challenges to both sequence and chromosome-linkage analyses, so treat its deepest branching order as a snapshot rather than a closed verdict. For a reader who wants one compact bridge from this book into formal zoology, begin here, then redraw its tree carefully beside newer studies.

The minds

Peter Godfrey-Smith, *Metazoa: Animal Minds and the Birth of Consciousness* (William Collins, 2020). A philosopher of biology and experienced diver follows nervous systems through sponges, corals, shrimp, octopuses and fishes, asking how sensation and subjective experience may have emerged. It is a major interpretation rather than a neutral survey, and the evidence cannot settle every claim about consciousness. Its strength is bodily: minds are treated as forms of organised action that arose in different animal architectures, not as human intelligence diluted down the tree. Read it after the physiology here has made those architectures legible.

The four questions

Niko Tinbergen, "On Aims and Methods of Ethology", *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 20 (1963): 410-433. This is the original short paper behind the four-question method used in *Use It*: mechanism, development, survival value and evolutionary history. Some terminology has aged, and modern researchers refine the categories, but the logical distinction remains indispensable. Tinbergen prevents a useful consequence from being confused with an immediate cause, and stops a developmental account from pretending to be a history. It is technical only in patches and rewards slow reading with a method applicable to almost any animal feature. Keep the four answers on separate lines.

The tree

Gonzalo Giribet and Gregory D. Edgecombe, *The Invertebrate Tree of Life* (Princeton University Press, 2020). This is the specialist route. It covers the overwhelming share of animal body-plan diversity through fossils, anatomy, embryos and molecular phylogenetics, with disagreements left visible. The book is large, terminology-heavy and better sampled by chapter than read straight through. Its value is corrective: “invertebrate” dissolves into many distinct lineages, and familiar similarities repeatedly turn out to be convergence, loss or inheritance from a remote ancestor. Use it when you want to know how zoologists decide where an unfamiliar animal belongs and why some branches still move.

Notes and Sources

Defining an animal

Animalia is a lineage, so no single visible checklist captures every member. Sponges lack neurons and conventional muscles; several parasitic lineages have reduced or lost organs; colonial forms complicate ordinary ideas of a bounded individual. The account of animal integration, extracellular matrix, epithelia, differentiation and reproductive bottlenecks follows comparative zoology, developmental biology and work on organismality. Strassmann and Queller provide the useful distinction between high cooperation and low internal conflict without implying that every animal achieves either perfectly.

Choanoflagellates are the closest living sister lineage to animals, not preserved ancestors. King and Brunet and King review the shared molecular toolkit and competing models of animal multicellularity. Dayel and colleagues documented cell differentiation and morphogenesis in *Salpingoeca rosetta*. Alegado and colleagues identified a bacterial sulfonolipid that induces rosette development in that species. These experiments establish capacities in a living relative; they do not reconstruct one inevitable route to the first animal.

Body plans, exchange and movement

The treatments of symmetry, gastrulation, segmentation, support, diffusion, circulation, osmoregulation, temperature and life-history allocation draw on standard comparative physiology, biomechanics and developmental biology. They are presented as recurring problems rather than a universal sequence of upgrades. Open circulation does not imply low performance, lungs are not superior gills, and a skeleton changes costs as well as capabilities.

Scaling statements are conditional on shape and medium. Geometric surface-to-volume arguments provide a first model, while real animals alter proportions, materials, posture and behaviour as size changes.

Low-Reynolds-number reasoning applies most strongly to microscopic swimmers. Vogel and Alexander supply the principal mechanical synthesis; Hill, Wyse and Anderson and Schmidt-Nielsen supply the comparative physiological framework.

Sensation, nervous systems and behaviour

The account rejects brain mass as a general ranking of cognition. Chittka and Niven review why body size, neuron number, organisation and task demands must be separated. Olkowitz and colleagues measured high forebrain neuron numbers in birds despite compact brains. Within-lineage relations between neural structure and performance can still be informative when body, task and comparison are controlled; they do not create a cross-kingdom intelligence league table. The treatment of sponges and placozoans uses current function to show neuron-free coordination, not to reconstruct the first animal's control system. Senatore, Raiss and Le review the relevant ciliary, secretory, contractile and electrical mechanisms while keeping their unresolved homologies visible.

Loukola and colleagues showed bumblebees learning a novel task socially and improving on observed actions. Whiten reviews the widening evidence for socially transmitted traditions across animal groups while distinguishing mechanisms and persistence. Tinbergen's four questions remain the basis for separating immediate mechanism, development, current consequences

and evolutionary history. The wording here uses current consequence rather than purpose and does not assume that every persistent trait is an adaptation.

Development, reproduction and individuality

Developmental claims follow Barresi and Gilbert and West-Eberhard. A single-cell bottleneck is common and important, but not universal: budding, fragmentation and colonial propagation also rebuild organised bodies. Developmental plasticity is therefore treated as an evolved range of responses rather than unlimited environmental control.

Parker, Baker and Smith modelled the origin of anisogamy. Kokko and Jennions review how parental investment, mate competition and sex ratios interact, and why gamete size does not dictate one set of sex roles. Stearns supplies the life-history framework. The discussion of colonies and superorganisms retains individual organisms, modules and colonies as different explanatory units rather than declaring one level uniquely real.

Deep time and the animal tree

The Ediacaran is dated here at approximately 635 to 539 million years ago, rounded for a general reader. Bobrovskiy and colleagues identified sterane evidence consistent with an animal affinity for *Dickinsonia*. Biomarkers are treated as supporting evidence alongside trace and body form, not as a sole diagnostic. Carlisle, Yin, Pisani and Donoghue used revised fossil calibrations and molecular clocks to support an Ediacaran origin of crown Metazoa and diversification across the Ediacaran-Cambrian interval. Their dates are model-dependent estimates, not direct observations.

The Cambrian expansion is treated as a staged diversification across tens of millions of years. Erwin and colleagues integrate fossils and molecular clocks and distinguish earlier lineage divergence from later ecological success. Oxygenation, developmental capability, predation, burrowing, mineralisation and preservation are interacting explanations whose relative weights vary by interval and setting. No single trigger is presented as sufficient.

The deepest split among living animals remains unresolved. Schultz and colleagues used ancient chromosome-scale gene linkages to support ctenophores as sister to all other animals. Copley's 2025 reanalysis argued that the original permutation method overstated the significance of some linkages and that parts of the signal were method-sensitive. Sequence analyses still divide: Li and colleagues recovered ctenophores under broad tested conditions, while Redmond and McLysaght and Feuda and colleagues recovered sponges under other models and recoding strategies. Spang and Pisani's 2026 perspective treats the instability as continuing. A separate 2025 analysis supporting a sponge root was retracted in February 2026 after analytical errors and is not used as evidence here. The disagreement changes reconstructions of the earliest nervous and muscular systems, so the text leaves the root open rather than resolving it by editorial preference.

The familiar division between protostomes and deuterostomes remains useful as a conventional map, while embryological slogans based on the fate of the blastopore fail across many members. Silva and colleagues found in 2025 that support for a single deuterostome clade falls sharply after attempts to reduce systematic error. The manuscript therefore marks that grouping as unsettled, relies on the broader relationships needed for a one-hour map and avoids making disputed developmental characters do taxonomic work alone.

Animals as environmental agents

Jones, Lawton and Shachak introduced the modern ecosystem-engineer framework for organisms that change the availability of physical resources through their bodies or activities. Here that idea is used only to show how animal construction, feeding and movement alter the physical setting. Population dynamics, food webs, nutrient cycling and intervention remain with *Ecology in a Hurry* and *Conservation in a Hurry*.

Human control of mammal biomass

Greenspoon and colleagues estimated in 2023 that wild terrestrial mammals account for about 22 million tonnes of wet biomass, compared with roughly 390 million tonnes for humans and about 630 million tonnes for livestock. Their 2025 reconstruction estimated that humans and domesticated mammals together now total about 1,100 million tonnes and that wild mammal biomass has declined markedly since 1850. These are modelled wet-mass estimates with wider uncertainty for wild mammals, especially poorly monitored small species. The body text therefore uses scale and direction rather than false precision.

Evidence limits

Fossils, comparative anatomy, embryos, genomes, physiological experiments and behaviour studies fail in different ways. Fossilisation favours certain bodies and habitats. Genomic trees can be sensitive to taxon sampling, model fit and fast-evolving sequences. Laboratory tasks improve control while narrowing context. Field observations preserve context while weakening experimental control. Agreement among independent forms of evidence is stronger than any one method, and unresolved nodes or mental states remain unresolved.

Bibliography

Original research and major papers

Alegado, Rosanna A., Laura W. Brown, Sen-Lin Cao, et al. "A Bacterial Sulfonolipid Triggers Multicellular Development in the Closest Living Relatives of Animals." *eLife* 1 (2012): e00013. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.00013.

Bobrovskiy, Ilya, Janet M. Hope, Andrey Ivantsov, et al. "Ancient Steroids Establish the Ediacaran Fossil *Dickinsonia* as One of the Earliest Animals." *Science* 361, no. 6408 (2018): 1246-1249. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat7228.

Carlisle, Emily M., Zongjun Yin, Davide Pisani, and Philip C. J. Donoghue. "Ediacaran Origin and Ediacaran-Cambrian Diversification of Metazoa."

Science Advances 10, no. 46 (2024): eadp7161. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adp7161.

Chittka, Lars, and Jeremy E. Niven. "Are Bigger Brains Better?" *Current Biology* 19, no. 21 (2009): R995-R1008. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.08.023.

Copley, Richard R. "Sponges, Ctenophores, and the Statistical Significance of Syntenies." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 42, no. 12 (2025): msaf321. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msaf321.

Dayel, Mark J., Rosanna A. Alegado, Stephen R. Fairclough, et al. "Cell Differentiation and Morphogenesis in the Colony-Forming Choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta*." *Developmental Biology* 357, no. 1 (2011): 73-82. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.06.003.

Erwin, Douglas H., Marc Laflamme, Sarah M. Tweedt, et al. "The Cambrian Conundrum: Early Divergence and Later Ecological Success in the Early History of Animals." *Science* 334, no. 6059 (2011): 1091-1097. DOI: 10.1126/science.1206375.

Feuda, Roberto, Martin Dohrmann, Walker Pett, et al. "Improved Modeling of Compositional Heterogeneity Supports Sponges as Sister to All Other Animals." *Current Biology* 27, no. 24 (2017): 3864-3870.e4. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.008.

Greenspoon, Lior, Eyal Krieger, Ron Sender, et al. "The Global Biomass of Wild Mammals." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 120, no. 10 (2023): e2204892120. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2204892120.

Greenspoon, Lior, Noam Ramot, Uri Moran, et al. "The Global Biomass of Mammals since 1850." *Nature Communications* 16 (2025): 8338. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-025-63888-z.

Jones, Clive G., John H. Lawton, and Moshe Shachak. "Organisms as Ecosystem Engineers." *Oikos* 69, no. 3 (1994): 373-386. DOI: 10.2307/3545850.

Li, Yuanning, Xing-Xing Shen, Benjamin Evans, Casey W. Dunn, and

Antonis Rokas. "Rooting the Animal Tree of Life." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 38, no. 10 (2021): 4322-4333. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msab170.

Kokko, Hanna, and Michael D. Jennions. "Parental Investment, Sexual Selection and Sex Ratios." *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 21, no. 4 (2008): 919-948. DOI: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01540.x.

Loukola, Olli J., Clint J. Perry, Louie Coscos, and Lars Chittka. "Bumblebees Show Cognitive Flexibility by Improving on an Observed Complex Behavior." *Science* 355, no. 6327 (2017): 833-836. DOI: 10.1126/science.aag2360.

Olkowicz, Seweryn, Martin Kocourek, Radek K. Lucan, et al. "Birds Have Primate-Like Numbers of Neurons in the Forebrain." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113, no. 26 (2016): 7255-7260. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1517131113.

Parker, Geoff A., R. Robin Baker, and Vic G. F. Smith. "The Origin and Evolution of Gamete Dimorphism and the Male-Female Phenomenon." *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 36, no. 3 (1972): 529-553. DOI: 10.1016/0022-5193(72)90007-0.

Redmond, Anthony K., and Aoife McLysaght. "Evidence for Sponges as Sister to All Other Animals from Partitioned Phylogenomics with Mixture Models and Recoding." *Nature Communications* 12 (2021): 1783. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-22074-7.

Schultz, Darrin T., Steven H. D. Haddock, Jessen V. Bredeson, Richard E. Green, Oleg Simakov, and Daniel S. Rokhsar. "Ancient Gene Linkages Support Ctenophores as Sister to Other Animals." *Nature* 618 (2023): 110-117. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-05936-6.

Silva, Ana Serra, Paschalis Natsidis, Laura Piovani, Paschalia Kapli, and Maximilian J. Telford. "Is the Deuterostome Clade an Artifact?" *Current Biology* 35, no. 15 (2025): 3611-3623.e3. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2025.06.045.

Senatore, Adriano, Hamad Raiss, and Phuong Le. "Physiology and Evolution of Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels in Early Diverging Animal

Phyla: Cnidaria, Placozoa, Porifera and Ctenophora." *Frontiers in Physiology* 7 (2016): 481. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00481.

Spang, Anja, and Davide Pisani. "Toward a Genomic Understanding of the Tree of Life." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 43, no. 3 (2026): msag043. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msag043.

Strassmann, Joan E., and David C. Queller. "The Social Organism: Congresses, Parties, and Committees." *Evolution* 64, no. 3 (2010): 605-616. DOI: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00929.x.

Tinbergen, Niko. "On Aims and Methods of Ethology." *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 20 (1963): 410-433. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.1963.tb01161.x.

Thorp, H. Holden. "Retraction of Research Article 'Integrative Phylogenomics Positions Sponges at the Root of the Animal Tree'." *Science* 391, no. 6785 (2026): 564. DOI: 10.1126/science.aef5589.

Whiten, Andrew. "The Burgeoning Reach of Animal Culture." *Science* 372, no. 6537 (2021): eabe6514. DOI: 10.1126/science.abe6514.

Books and syntheses

Alexander, R. McNeill. *Principles of Animal Locomotion*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2003.

Barresi, Michael J. F., and Scott F. Gilbert. *Developmental Biology*. 12th ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2020.

Brunet, Thibaut, and Nicole King. "The Origin of Animal Multicellularity and Cell Differentiation." *Developmental Cell* 43, no. 2 (2017): 124-140. DOI: 10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.016.

Giribet, Gonzalo, and Gregory D. Edgecombe. *The Invertebrate Tree of Life*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2020.

Godfrey-Smith, Peter. *Metazoa: Animal Minds and the Birth of Consciousness*. London: William Collins, 2020.

Hill, Richard W., Gordon A. Wyse, and Margaret Anderson. *Animal Physiology*. 4th ed. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, 2016.

Holland, Peter. *The Animal Kingdom: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.

King, Nicole. "The Unicellular Ancestry of Animal Development." *Developmental Cell* 7, no. 3 (2004): 313-325. DOI: 10.1016/j.devcel.2004.08.010.

Schmidt-Nielsen, Knut. *Animal Physiology: Adaptation and Environment*. 5th ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.

Shettleworth, Sara J. *Cognition, Evolution, and Behavior*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.

Stearns, Stephen C. *The Evolution of Life Histories*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992.

Vogel, Steven. *Comparative Biomechanics: Life's Physical World*. 2nd ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2013.

West-Eberhard, Mary Jane. *Developmental Plasticity and Evolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.

Yong, Ed. *An Immense World: How Animal Senses Reveal the Hidden Realms Around Us*. London: The Bodley Head, 2022.